COMPOSITION OF ENDOPHYTIC FUNGAL COMMUNITY ASSOCIATED WITH LEAVES OF MAIZE CULTIVATED IN SOUTH BRAZILIAN FIELD

VIVIAN J. SZILAGYI-ZECCHIN¹*, DOUGLAS ADAMOSKI², RENATA RODRIGUES GOMES³, MARIANGELA HUNGRIA⁴, ANGELA C. IKEDA², VANESSA KAVA-CORDEIRO², CHIRLEI GLIENKE² and LYGIA V. GALLI-TERASAWA²

 ¹Department of Plant Science and Crop Protection, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil
²Department of Genetics, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil
³Department of Basic Pathology, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil

⁴Soil Biotechnology Laboratory, Embrapa Soja, Londrina, Brazil

(Received: 3 September 2015; revised manuscript received: 13 April 2016; accepted: 6 September 2016)

The objective of this study was to conduct a survey about fungi associated with leaves from two different maize plant lineages and to analyze their microbiota diversity. Isolated fungi were identified by morphological analysis and molecular taxonomy was performed using ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA. About 27 fungi morphotypes were obtained, 15 of them were from the first maize lineage. About 86.7% of the individuals belonged to the Dothideomycetes class (*Phoma sorghina, Epicocum nigrum, Cladosporium* sp., *Bipolaris zeicola*, and *Alternaria alternata* complex) and 13.3% to the Sordariomycetes class (*Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp. and *Nigrospora* sp.). This ratio was opposite in the other maize lineage with 25.0% of Dothideomycetes (*E. nigrum* and Pleosporales) and 75.0% of Sordariomycetes (*Gibberella fujikuroi* complex, *Fusarium graminearum* complex, *Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp., and *Nigrospora* sp.). By concerning the analyses of morphological characteristics and molecular phylogeny, this study intended to identify the groups of saprophytic, phytopathogenic, and mycotoxin fungi, which differently co-inhabit leaf tissue of maize plants in both tested lineages.

Keywords: fungi, molecular phylogeny, microbiota, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2

*Corresponding author; E-mail: vivian.szilagyi@gmail.com

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) crop has a high economic impact on Brazilian agriculture. This is because it is a rising commodity on international market due to its extensive supply chain. Furthermore, it is essential to compose the crop rotation system [1].

Leaves represent one of the most dynamic interfaces of plants. Fungi inhabiting this tissue share the characteristics that allow them to grow and survive in a constantly biochemical changing environment. This constant change is mainly due to the different stages of plant development [2].

Endophytes are fungi growing inside plants without causing symptoms. Normally, this group is ubiquitous, and the associated plant species have few descriptions in the literature. There is a large biological diversity among endophytes, and it is not rare for some plant species to host more than 100 different endophytic species. In addition, different lifestyles occur among endophytic species, and the same endophytic fungi can be either pathogenic (those that cause diseases to plants) or harmless, depending on the host and its health [3]. Besides, some saprophytic fungi (those that obtain nutrients from organic matter of dead plants) found in senescent plants have been isolated as endophytes inhabiting healthy tissues [4]. These endophytic fungi behave as latent saprophytes and are asymptomatic and spatially restricted during the host development, but can grow and unrestrictedly reproduce when the host tissue ages or dies [5].

The mutual dependence between endophytic fungi and plants leads to desirable consequences, such as herbivory reduction, drought resistance increase, plant growth promotion, and insect and pathogenic fungi control [6].

However, fungi are the main microorganisms responsible for yield losses in maize grain production [7], causing seed rot, seedling death [8], and damage during grain storage [9]. Furthermore, if ingested, contaminated seeds can lead to severe poisoning [10].

It is known that interaction between microorganisms and their hosts can be influenced by several causes. As an example, the genetic diversity of symbionts; the ways they are acquired from environment and the ability of individuals to colonize hosts. In addition, direct and indirect interactions between the environment and the evolutionary history of each microorganism should be considered. Genomic architecture is involved in all these aspects and it is associated with pathogenicity or with other kinds of environment interaction (endophytes or saprophytes) [11].

This study aimed to lead a survey about genetic diversity of fungi associated with leaves from two lineages of maize plants, performing the analyses of morphological characteristics and molecular taxonomy.

Materials and Methods

Fungi isolation

Leaf samples were collected from two maize plant lineages (L1 and L2) (*Zea mays* L.), cultivated in the same area. Lesions were observed in both lineages, L1 presented signs of Cercospora leaf spot, whose etiologic agent is *Cercospora zeae-maydis* and L2 presented typical lesion spots of *Exserohilum turcicum*. Leaves were collected when plants were in the phenological stage of grain filling and the lineages were cultivated at the experimental station of Semília Genetics and Breeding LTDA, Campo Largo, Paraná, Brazil. A direct planting system was used without crop rotation.

Fungi isolation was performed by washing the leaves in water and treating them with 70% ethanol (v/v) for 1 min, 3% NaClO (v/v) for 4 min, 70% ethanol (v/v) for 30 s, followed by three consecutive washes in distilled water. Five fragments (5–7 mm) were incubated at 28 °C in Petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium, supplemented with tetracycline (100 mg/mL).

Morphological characterization

Isolated fungi were grouped into morphotypes according to their micromorphological characteristics (reproductive structures), analyzed by microculture technique and macromorphological characteristics (e.g., colony color and structure and growth rate). They were incubated on Tomato Juice Extract Agar and PDA culture media, at 28 °C during 7, 14, and 21 days. From each colony, a lamina was prepared containing the fungus stained by cotton lactophenol blue. Each lamina was visualized under optical microscopy and, when possible, morphological features were compared with taxonomic patterns [12].

The isolated fungi are classified in the collection of microorganisms from the Microorganisms Genetics Laboratory, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil. Fungi colonies are maintained into inclined tubes containing PDA medium, kept at 4 °C. Mycelia are also stored in distilled water at room temperature.

Genomic DNA extraction and ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA sequencing

One fungus colony of each morphotype was selected for genomic DNA extraction. This extraction was performed using the Microbial ultraclean DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio[®], Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and DNA resuspended in 20 μ L of ultrapure water. Its integrity was

verified by electrophoresis on agarose gel 0.8% (w/v), stained with GelRed[™] (Biotium, USA), observed in an UV transilluminator (Ultraviolet Benchtop transilluminators) and photo-documented (Program Digidoc it). DNA quantification and purity were assessed by the spectrophotometer NanoDrop[®] 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA).

Amplification of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primersV9G [13] and ITS4 [14] with 10 ng of DNA, 1X PCR buffer, 0.5 U Taq polymerase, 0.1 mM of each primer (1.25 pmol/reaction), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 12.5 μ L final volume. Initial DNA denaturation was at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 1 min at 55 °C, 1 min at 72 °C, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min. DNA fragment integrity was verified as described above on agarose gel 1.5% (w/v). Purification with ammonium acetate 7.5 M was performed according to Menna et al. [15]. Ultrapure water was used to resuspend PCR products and their quantification and purity were measured by spectrophotometry as described above, adjusting final concentration to 10 ng/ μ L.

Sequencing reaction was performed using 50 ng of purified PCR product added to 1 mM of primer and 2 μ L of ET mixture for sequencing kit (ET-DYEnamic Dye Terminator Sequencing Kit for Cycle MegaBace, Amersham Bioscience[®]). Ultrapure water was added to make up a final volume of 10 μ L.

Sequencing reaction was performed with 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 15 s at 50 °C, and 60 s at 60 °C. After that, fragments were purified by gel filtration on SephadexTM G-50 medium (GE[®] Healthcare) and electrophoresis was carried out on an automated DNA sequencer model MegaBACE1000 (Amersham Biosciences[®]) [16].

Phylogenetic analysis

The quality of sequence fragments obtained was checked by Phred software [17]. Sequence fragments were compared on BLASTn (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/) and sequences of reference were obtained from NCBI database (www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov). Alignments using the obtained sequences and reference sequences were obtained using the PRANK software [18]. Maximum likelihood trees were set using the program GARLI 2.0 [19]. Bootstrap analyses were performed using 1,000 replicates by DendroPy version 3.8.1 software [20].

Diversity and ecological associations

Fungal diversity was evaluated by the Shannon index, which generally ranges between 1 and 3.5, equivalent to common and rare species [21]. It was also

estimated by the Simpson index considering values between 0 and 1 [22], and it was stable with smaller sample sizes. This estimative was obtained for all isolated fungi using PAST software [23].

Results

Isolation and morphological classification

About 27 different fungi morphotypes were obtained from leaves of two maize plant lineages (L1 and L2). Out of all the morphotypes, 15 were isolated from L1 and 12 from L2. In both lineages, it was not possible to isolate fungi considered as etiologic agents of diseases (*C. zeae-maydis* and *E. turcicum*). Reproductive structures were observed in 92.6% of fungi (Figure 1).

From L1, 86.7% of fungi belonged to Dothideomycetes (*Phoma, Epicoc-cum, Cladosporium, Bipolaris/Cochliobolus*, and *Alternaria*) and 13.3% to Sordariomycetes (*Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp. and *Nigrospora* sp.). Proportion ratio was opposite for L2, with 25.0% of Dothideomycetes (*Epicoccum* and



Figure 1. Morphological characterization of isolated fungi grown in PDA medium. A1 and A2: *Alternaria* sp. (LGMF1021); B1 and B2: *Bipolaris* sp. (LGMF1013); C1 and C2: *Cladosporium* sp. (LGMF1020); D1 and D2: *Fusarium* sp. CGF (LGMF1243); E1, E2, J1, and J2 Phoma/ *Epicoccum* sp. (LGMF1042, LGMF1016); F1 and F2: *Nigrospora* sp. (LGMF1017); G1 and G2: *Phoma* sp. (LGMF1051); H1 and H2: *Phomopsis* sp. (LGMF1026); I1 and I2: *Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp. (LGMF1245)

Pleosporales) and 75.0% of Sordariomycetes (*Gibberella*, *Fusarium*, *Diaporthe*/ *Phomopsis* sp., and *Nigrospora* sp.) (Table I and Figure 2).

Fungal identification by ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA analysis

Using BLASTn tool, it was possible to verify the percentage of similarity comparing obtained sequences and sequences from reference strains. Sequences of 417–544 bp length were used. LGMF1041 had the lowest similarity percentage (94%) and the other showed 96%–100% (Table I).

Phylogenetic tree was built using the maximum likelihood model (Figure 3). Among these fungi, one (LGMF1041) was set into Pleosporales order (group 6) since its genus classification was not clear, and it was genetically related to *Ochrocladosporium* and *Leptosphaeria*. It was possible to define the genus of seven fungi: LGMF1026, LGMF1040, and LGMF1054 belong to *Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp. (group 4); LGMF1020 belong to *Cladosporium* (group 3) and LGMF1017, LGMF1038, and LGMF1039 belong to *Nigrospora* sp. (group 2). Seven individuals were placed in groups of three complex species: *Alternaria alternata* complex (AAC) (LGMF1018 and LGMF1021), with 99% of support (group 8); *Fusarium graminearum* complex (FGC) (LGMF1036 and LGMF1037) and *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex (GFC) (LGMF1049, and LGMF1050 LGMF1053) with 93% and 82% of support, respectively (group 1) (Figure 3).

Isolated LGMF1013 and LGMF1022 strongly matched to *Bipolaris zeicola* species (synonymy: *Cochliobolus carbonum*), with 98% of support (group 7). Both showed 99% of similarity with *B. zeicola* ATCC 48129 (Table I). LGMF1014, LGMF1016, LGMF1019, LGMF1024, LGMF1025 LGMF1042, and LGMF1043 were placed in *E. nigrum* group. All of them had 99% of similarity with *E. nigrum* CBS 115825 except LGMB1042, which was 98% (group 5).

LGMF1015, LGMF1023, and LGMF1051 were classified into *Phoma sorghina* group. The isolates LGMF1023 and LGMF1051 are the most similar to *P. sorghina* PD88/549 (99%), and the other one is 96% (Table I).

Diversity and ecological associations

The most representative order was Pleosporales, including 15 morphotypes (55.5%), 12 from L1 and 3 from L2. It was followed by the Hypocreales order (18.5%) with five fungi, all of them from L2. Diaporthales and Trichosphaerales comprehended three morphotypes each, one from L1 and two from L2. Finally,

Г	
) Lineage	
E	
. Morphological description of fungi isolated and percentage of similarity obtained by BLASTn according to reference species. (L1) Lin	and (L2) Lineage 2
able	

				Reference species	s related	
				ID/		Sequence
Strain	Lineage	Morphological characteristics	Species	collections	*STI	similarity (%)
LGMF1013	ΓI	Colonies are cottony, surface, and reverse olive green. Hyphae septate and conidia ellipsoid, five to eight septs, and hilum.	C. carbonum	NI1243	AF071326	537/538 (99)
LGMF1014	LI	Colonies are floccose, gray with white patches, reverse brown. Hyphae septate, pycnidia hyaline, and multiseptate.	E. nigrum	CBS 115825	FJ426998	459/464 (99)
LGMF1015	L1	Colonies dense, gray with orange yellow patches, reverse yellow. Hyphae septate, pycnidia hyaline, and multiseptate.	P. sorghina	PD 88/549	FJ427078	476/479 (99)
LGMF1016	L1	Colonies are floccose, gray and green, reverse yellow orange. Hyphae septate, pycnidia hyaline, and multiseptate.	E. nigrum	CBS 115825	FJ426998	485/490 (99)
LGMF1017	ΓI	Colonies are woolly, graphite gray, reverse gray. Hyphae septate, conidia simple, terminal, hyaline, and globose.	Nigrospora oryzae	NRRL 54030	GQ28855	463/478 (97)
LGMF1018	L1	Colonies are floccose, gray and white, reverse black. Hyphae septate, conidia with longitudinal and transversal septa.	A. alternata	ATCC MYA- 4642	НQ263343	544/544 (100)
LGMF1019	ΓI	Colonies are floccose, gray and white, reverse yellow. Conidia rounded and hyaline.	E. nigrum	CBS 115825	FJ426998	476/477 (99)
LGMF1020	L1	Colonies are velvety, olivaceous brown, reverse black. Hyphae septate and conidia ovoid with hilo.	C. cladosporioides	CPC 12762	HM148030	540/540 (100)
LGMF1021	L1	Colonies are dense, gray and white, reverse brown with concentric circles. Hyphae septate, conidia with longitudinal and transversal septa.	A. alternata	ATCC MYA- 4642	НQ263343	537/538 (99)
LGMF1022	L1	Colonies are cottony, surface and reverse olivaceous. Hyphae septate, conidia ellipsoid, five to eight transversal septa, and hilo.	C. carbonum	NI1243	AF071326	544/545 (99)

ENDOPHYTIC FUNGAL COMMUNITY OF MAIZE LEAVES

			Ι	Reference species	s related	
				ID/		Sequence
Strain	Lineage	Morphological characteristics	Species	collections	*STI	similarity (%)
LGMF1023	L1	Colonies are velvety, surface and reverse olivaceous. Hyphae septate, simple chlamydospores, cylindrical conidia nyconidia multisentate and hypline	P. sorghina	PD 88/549	FJ427078	476/479 (99)
LGMF1024	ΓI	Colonies processes gray and green, reverse orange vellow. Hyphae septate and multiseptate pycnidia.	E. nigrum	CBS 115825	FJ426998	423/427 (99)
LGMF1025	LI	Colonies are floccose and white, reverse yellow. Hyphae softate and multiseptate providia.	E. nigrum	CBS 115825	FJ426998	487/489 (99)
LGMF1026	L1	Colonies are spreading and sparse aerial mycelium granulose, surface and reverse white. Hyphae septate and beta conidia.	Diaporthe stewartii	699518	FJ889448	423/441 (96)
LGMF1051	L1	Colonies with mycelium immersed, branched, and velvety black. Hyphae septate, pycnidia multiseptate, hvaline, and conidia ovoid.	P. sorghina	PD 88/549	FJ427078	443/461 (96)
LGMF1036	L2	Colonies are dense, cottony, white, and reverse red. Hyphae septate and chlamvdospores globose in chains.	Gibberella zeae; Fusarium brasilicum	NRRL38371 NRRL31281	DQ459830 DO459861	456/456 (100)
LGMF1037	L2	Colores are dense, cottony, white, and reverse yellow. Hyphae septate and chlamvdospores globose in chains.	G. zeae; Fusarium boothii	NRRL38371 NRRL29105	DQ459830 D0459848	514/514 (100)
LGMF1038	L2	Colonies woolly and gray, reverse white. Hyphae septate and conidia simple, terminal, globose, and hvaline.	Nigrospora oryzae	NRRL 54030	GQ28855	458/457 (97)
LGMF1039	L2	Colonies woolly and gray, reverse white. Hyphas septate and conidia simple, terminal, globose, and hvaline.	N. oryzae	NRRL 54030	GQ28855	501/529 (96)
LGMF1040	L2	Colonies are spreading and sparse aerial mycelium granulose, surface yellow, and reverse yellow-orange. Hyphae septate.	Phomopsis camptotheca	280481	AY622996	529/538 (98)
LGMF1041	L2	Colories with mycelium immersed, cottony, and olivaceous gray. Reverse pale green.	Ochrocladosporium frigidarii; Ochrocladosporium elatum	CBS 103.81 CBS 146.33	EU040234 EU040233	417/444 (94)

Table I. (cont.)

SZILAGYI-ZECCHIN ET AL.

513/528 (97)	AY622993 AY620820	280478 280249	Phomopsis chimonanthi; Phomopsis micheliae	abundant. Colonies are spreading and sparse aerial mycelium granulose, surface and reverse white. Hyphae septate and Beta conidia.	L2
476/476 (100)	X94166	7600	F. verticillioides	abundant. Colonies are cottony and white, reverse pink and white in edge. Hyphae septate, microconidia cylindrical, and	L2
423/423 (100)	X94166	7600	F. verticillioides	Colonies are cottony and white, reverse yellow with centre pink. Hyphae septate, conidia ovoid, and	L2
			verticillioides	in edge. Hyphae septate, microconidia cylindrical, and abundant.	
472/472 (100)	X94166	7600	Fusarium	orange. Hyphae septate and pycnidia multiseptate, hyaline, and simple chlamydospores. Colonies are cottony and white, reverse pink, and white	L2
438/443 (99)	FJ426998	CBS 115825	E. nigrum	pycnidia. Colonies are floccose, yellowish gray, reverse yellow	L2
			D	orange. Hyphae septate and pycnidia and multiseptate	
458/466 (98)	FJ426998	CBS 115825	E. nigrum	Colonies are floccose, yellowish gray, and reverse yellow	L2

*GenBank Accession numbers.



Figure 2. Fungi genera distribution in two lineages of maize according to morphological characterization. *refers to species complex

Capnodiales was the least represented order, with just one morphotype isolated from L1 (Figure 3).

Predominant genera in L1 and L2 are, respectively, *Epicoccum* (33%) and *Fusarium* (anamorfo – *Gibberella*) (42%). L1 totaled six genera and L2 totaled four (Table I and Figure 3).

Shannon-Wiener and Simpson diversity index were H' = 2.067 and 1-D = 0.855. Comparing L1 and L2 diversity: (H' = 1.767 and 1-D = 0.80) for L1 and (H' = 1.594 and 1-D = 0.7934) for L2.



0.05

Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree based on ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 sequences concerning fungi isolated in this study and sequences from reference strains obtained from NCBI. Eight groups were set using fragments of 545 bp length. Data were generated using maximum likelihood model and *Lenzites elegans* CBS 818.88 as outgroup. L1 and L2: lineages of maize; AAC, *Alternaria alternata* complex; GFC, *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex; FGC, *Fusarium graminearum* complex

Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica 63, 2016

Discussion

Usually, fungi identification involves the analysis of morphological characteristics by microcultures and phylogenetic analysis. These techniques provide more accurate identification results [24]. In this context, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA sequencing analysis has been successfully used for some taxa. However, some fungi isolated in this study belong to species whose sequences are not available in scientific databases. It may be not an effective identification tool at the species level, but it is very efficient for identification at genus level [25].

We analyzed the fungi diversity (Shannon-Wiener and Simpson diversity index) from two different lineages of maize plant cultivated in the same area. L1 is more diverse in morphotypes, more than half (53%) belongs to *Phoma/Epicocum* group. This same group appears in a minor amount L2 (17%). While members of the group *Fusarium* (FGC and GFC) together account for 42% of the total morphotypes in L2 and surprisingly are not present in L1. These differences may be related to the plant genotype and the types of damage caused by diseases present on the leaves, because the two lineages were grown at the same time on the same type of soil and environment.

In this study, the most abundant species was *E. nigrum* (Punith., MC and CM Leach Tulloch 1972), ubiquitous fungus that can present an endophytic lifestyle [26], but is also associated with the primary decomposition of plant tissues [27]. *E. nigrum* has been used as a biological control agent on peaches and nectarines orchards against *Monilinia* spp. [28] and *Pythium cotton* [29].

In addition to *E. nigrum*, two more genera, *Diaporthe/Phomopsis* sp. and *Nigrospora* sp., were found in both L1 and L2. *Nigrospora* genus has not enough sequences deposited in databases like Genbank, where only two species were found with described sequences (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). This fact hinders the consolidation of phylogenetic studies about this genus. Some other groups require multigene analysis in order to clearly distinguish their taxonomic position, such *Diaporthe/Phomopsis* [3]. In this study, those funguses appear as endophytic, colonizing both lineages of maize plants. Other group of fungi requires multigene analysis to clarify their taxonomy, such as *Cladosporium* genus [30], found in L1, and especially for complex species such as *Cladosporium cladosporioides* [12].

Furthermore, we found *P. sorghina*, *B. zeicola*, and AACs in L1. It has been reported that specimens of *P. sorghina* are morphological and phylogenetically diverse and probably represent multiple species [31]. This is also the agent of *Phaeosphaeria* leaf spot in maize, along with *Phaeosphaeria maydis* [32]. Bipolaris spot caused by *B. zeicola* is common in Brazil with low to medium severity. Currently, in some areas of the Midwest and Northeast, an outbreak of considerably severe diseases is taking place in susceptible cultivars [33]. It is

possible to differentiate *Cochliobolus heterostrophus*, *C. carbonum*, *Cochliobolus victoriae*, *Burkholderia sacchari*, and *Bipolaris sorghicola* species by ITS region sequencing to corroborate our data [34].

AAC is a group with few data on morphological and molecular characteristics, which would allow a clear discrimination between taxa [35]. It is not possible to discern members of this group by the analyses of major mitochondrial ribosomal sub-unit, beta-tubulin, actin, calmodulin, chitin synthase, elongation factor alpha, and 1,3,8-trihydroxynaphthalene reductase [36]. Consequently, it is still unclear how this branch should be phylogenetically classified [35]. A. alternata is commonly associated with plants for food production, and may cause fruit deterioration during shipping and storage, for example. This species is responsible for the production of a variety of mycotoxins, like alternariol; alternariol monomethyl ether; altenuene; altertoxins I, II, and III; and L-Tenuazonic acid [10]. Fusarium (anamorfo: Gibberella) genus, despite being extremely widespread in at least 80% of cultivated plants, is associated with at least one disease caused by one of its species [37] and was found only in L2. Asymptomatic maize plants, showing stalk rot, are infected by Fusarium moniliforme and may decrease up to 50% of their photosynthetic capacity. This causes reduction of electron transport components and consequently reduces carbohydrate synthesis, which is possibly caused by toxins produced by fungi [38].

Species from FGC can be characterized by morphological structures and ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA sequencing but sometimes a more accurate identification is needed [39]. Therefore, species determination has been done by multi-gene analysis, considering up to 13 genes discriminating 13 species: Fusarium acacia mearnsii, Fusarium aethiopicum, Fusarium asiaticum, Fusarium austroamericanum, Fusarium boothii, Fusarium brasilicum, Fusarium cortaderia, Fusarium gerlachii, F. graminearum sensu stricto, Fusarium meridionale, Fusarium mesoamericanum, Fusarium ussurianum, and Fusarium vorosii [39]. Members of FGC group cause rotting tip on corn spikes [40]. In addition, F. graminearum and Fusarium culmorum cause stem rot [41]. All FGC species produce trichothecenes type B toxin [39] and zearalonas (F. culmorum and Fusarium cerealis) [42]. Most foliar endophytes species belong to Dothideomycetes and Sordariomycetes classes [43]. This represents over 75% of the endophytes described, spread from Arctic to Tropics. Their abundance varies depending on the latitude [44], genetic diversity, host genotype, and on how they are acquired from the environment and on the ability of their individuals to co-colonize hosts [11]. All genera of fungi reported in this study have been associated with maize. Some in vegetative tissues such as Cochliobolus, Epicoccum, Phoma, and Diaporthe [45] and other in seeds such as Nigrospora sp., besides fungi already mentioned [46]. Alternaria, Cladosporium,

and *Fusarium* are considered soil living fungi, which invade grains at pre- or post-harvest stage and can cause damage in corn before threshing [47]. *Epicoccum* and *Nigrospora* sp. grow up in grains near post-harvest or at storage period, altering grain health. Some problems caused by these fungi are loss of weight/ discoloration/necrosis of grains and mycotoxin production, and they are decisive factors for international trade of corn. The presence of mycotoxins, besides being harmful to human and animal health, leads to significant economic losses for farmers [48].

These groups of fungi may also be found in other hosts as medicinal plants [29], trees (*Acer truncatum*) [25]. These reports corroborate with the pogo stick hypothesis, postulating that host-specific fungal pathogens frequently show the ability to colonize non-host tissue, enabling them to disperse further, in an attempt to find the host on which they are pathogenic [3, 49].

Abundance, diversity, and species composition of endophytes are influenced by microhabitat, microclimatic conditions [50], and dynamics of horizontal and vertical transmission of microorganisms [26]. Horizontal transfer may be detected by studying seedlings cultivated under sterile conditions [51]. Vertical transfer was observed in *Fusarium* spp. colonizing seeds of cowpea [52]. In addition, host genotype may influence biodiversity of endophytic fungi and can affect genetic variation of these endophytes [53].

Some endophytes are generalists, being able to infect a wide range of hosts, while others are specialists, limited to one or a few hosts [5]. Some species have been described as either non-harmful exclusive endophytes, or phytopathogenic. However, studies suggest that a significant number of fungi may represent multiple ecological roles. Fungal pathogens may inhabit different hosts at different stages of life, as distinct modes of interaction [54]. Endophytes can take place when leaves reach senescence [55]. For example, Fusarium verticillioides is commonly isolated from maize plants, even in the absence of visible symptoms. In this condition, as latent pathogens (endophyte transitory), it can contribute to mycotoxins accumulation [56]. Plants may develop typical disease symptoms only when they go through biotic and abiotic stress situations [57]. In this same context, Chaetomium globosum is known as endophyte, saprophytic, or pathogen [43]. Therefore, for some species, the distinction between endophytic, phytopathogenic, and opportunistic microorganisms is purely theoretical. There is a slight threshold dividing them, so it is difficult to establish the limits to separate each category [58].

In this study, it is possible, by molecular phylogeny analysis of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA, to identify saprophytic, phytopathogenic, and micotoxic fungal species co-inhabiting leaf tissue of maize plants considering two homozygous genotypes (lineages). It also indicated the necessity to expand studies

about endophytic microbiota associated with this culture. It is essential to better understand the dynamics and risks associated with changes in saprophytic condition that can enable a fungus manifestation as phytopathological, a phenomenon usually triggered by environmental fluctuations.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Bono, J., Rodrigues, A., Mauad, M., Albuquerque, J., Yamamoto, C., Chermouth, K., Freitas, M.: Modo de aplicação de fertilizantes nitrogenados na qualidade fisiológica de sementes de milho [Application of nitrogen fertilizers and physiological quality of corn seeds]. Rev Agrarian 1, 91–102 (2008).
- Juniper, B. E.: The leaf from the inside and the outside: A microbe's perspective. In Andrews, J. H, Hirano S. S. (eds): Microbial Ecology of Leaves. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. 21–42.
- Gomes, R. R., Glienke, C., Videira, S. I. R., Lombard, L., Groenewald, J. Z., Crous, P. W.: Diaporthe: A genus of endophytic, saprobic and plant pathogenic fungi. Persoonia 31, 1–41 (2013).
- Promputtha, I., Lumyong, S., Dhanasekaran, V., Mckenzie, E. H. C., Hyde, K. D., Jeewon, R.: A phylogenetic evaluation of whether endophytes become saprotrophs at host senescence. Microb Ecol 53, 579–590 (2007).
- Zabalgogeazcoa, I.: Fungal endophytes and their interaction with plant pathogens. Span J Agric Res 6, 138–146 (2008).
- 6. Hyde, K. D., Soytong, K.: The fungal endophyte dilemma? Fungal Divers **33**, 63–173 (2008).
- 7. Shurtleff, M. C.: Compendium of Corn Diseases. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, USA, 1980.
- 8. Reis, E. M., Casa, R. T., Bresolim, A. C. R.: Manual de Diagnose e Controle de Doenças de Milho [Diagnosis Manual and Corn Diseases Control]. Graphel, Lages, 2004.
- Tanaka, M. A. S., Maeda, J. A., Ihde, A., Plazas, Z.: Fungi associated to corn seeds under storage conditions. Sci Agri 58, 501–508 (2001).
- Scott, P. M.: Analysis of agricultural commodities and foods for Alternaria mycotoxins. J AOAC Int 84, 1809–1817 (2001).
- Herre, E. A., Knowlton, N., Mueller, U. G., Rehner, S. A.: The evolution of mutualisms: Exploring the paths between conflict and cooperation. Trends Ecol Evolut 14, 49–53 (1999).
- Bensch, K., Groenewald, J. Z., Dijksterhuis, J., Starink-Willemse, M., Andersen, B., Summerell, B. A., Shin, H.-D., Dugan, F. M., Schroers, H.-J., Braun, U., Crous, P. W.: Species and ecological diversity within the *Cladosporium cladosporioides* complex (Davidiellaceae Capnodiales). Stud Mycol 67, 1–94 (2010).

- De Hoog, G. S., Gerrits Van Den Ende, A. H. G.: Molecular diagnostics of clinical strains of filamentous Basidiomycetes. Mycoses 41, 183–189 (1998).
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J.: Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In Innis, M. A., Gelfand, D. H., Sninsky, J. J., White, T. J. (eds): PC Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic, San Diego, 1990, pp. 315–322.
- Menna, P., Hungria, M., Barcellosa, F. G., Bangele, E. V., Hessf, P. N., Martinez-Romero, E.: Molecular phylogeny based on the 16S rRNA gene of elite rhizobial strains used in Brazilian commercial inoculants. Syst Appl Microbiol 29, 315–332 (2006).
- Szilagyi-Zecchin, V. J., Ikeda, A. C., Hungria, M., Adamoski, D., Kava-Cordeiro, V., Glienke, C., Galli-Terasawa, L. V.: Identification and characterization of endophytic bacteria from corn (*Zea mays* L.) roots with biotechnological potential in agriculture. AMB Express 4, 1–9 (2014).
- Ewing, B., Hillier, L., Wendl, M. C., Green, P.: Basecalling of automated sequencer traces using phred I accuracy assessment. Gen Res 8, 175–185 (1998).
- 18. Löytynoja, A., Goldman, D.: webPRANK: A phylogenyaware multiple sequence aligner with interactive alignment browser. BMC Bioinformatics **11**, 579 (2010).
- Zwickl, D. J.: Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. PhD dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, 2006.
- Sukumaran, J., Holder, M. T.: DendroPy: A Python library for phylogenetic computing. Bioinformatics 26, 1569–1571 (2010).
- Shannon, C. E., Weaver, W.: The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urban, 1949.
- 22. Simpson, E. H.: Measurement of species diversity. Nature 163, 688 (1949).
- 23. Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., Ryan, P. D.: Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Paleontol Electron 4, 4–9 (2001).
- Bernardi-Wenzel, J., García, A., Filho-Celso, J. R., Prioli, A. J., Pamphile, J. A.: Evaluation of foliar fungal endophyte diversity and colonization of medicinal plant *Luehea divaricata* (Martius et Zuccarini). Biol Res 43, 375–384 (2010).
- 25. Sun, X., Guo, L. D., Hyde, K. D.: Community composition of endophytic fungi in *Acer truncatum* and their role in decomposition. Fungal Divers **47**, 85–95 (2011).
- Arnold, A. E.: Understanding the diversity of foliar fungal endophytes: Progress challenges and frontiers. Fungal Biol Rev 21, 51–66 (2007).
- Mims, C. W., Richardson, E. A.: Ultrastructure of sporodochium and conidium development in the anamorphic fungus *Epicoccum nigrum*. Can J Bot **83**, 1354–1363 (2005).
- de Cal, M. A., Larena, I., Liñán, M., Torres, R., Lamarca, N., Usall, J., Domenichini, P., Bellini, A., de Eribe, X. O., Melgarejo, P.: Population dynamics of *Epicoccum nigrum* a biocontrol agent against brown rot in stone fruit. J Appl Microbiol **106**, 592–605 (2009).
- Hashem, M., Ali, E. H.: *Epicoccum nigrum* as biocontrol agent of Pythium damping-off and root rot of cotton seedlings. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot 37, 283–297 (2004).
- Schubert, K., Greslebin, A., Groenewald, J. Z., Crous, P. W.: New foliicolous species of *Cladosporium* from South America. Persoonia 22, 111–122 (2009).
- Aveskamp, M. M., De Gruyter, J., Woudenberg, J. H. C., Verkley, G. J. M., Crous, P. W.: Highlights of the Didymellaceae: A polyphasic approach to characterise *Phoma* and related pleosporalean genera. Stud Mycol 65, 1–60 (2010).

- Amaral, A. L., Carli, M. L., Barbosa Neto, J. F., Dal Soglio, F. K.: *Phoma sorghina* a new pathogen associated with *Phaeosphaeria* leaf spot on maize in Brazil. New Dis Rep 8, 26 (2003).
- Costa, R. V., Casela, C. R., Cota, L. V.: Embrapa Milho e Sorgo Sistemas de Produção 1, Cultivo do Milho – Doenças [Embrapa Maize and Sorghum, Production Systems 1, Growing Corn – Diseases], 6th Edition, 2010. Available from http://www.cnpms. embrapabr/publicacoes/milho_6_ed/doencas.htm. Accessed 15 January 2011.
- 34. Berbee, M. L.: Loculoascomycete origins and evolution of filamentous Ascomycete morphology based on 18S gene sequence data. Mol Biol Evol **13**, 462–470 (1996).
- Andrew, M., Peever, T. L., Pryor, B. M.: An expanded multilocus phylogeny does not resolve morphological species within the small spored *Alternaria* species complex. Mycologia 101, 95–109 (2009).
- Peever, T. L., Su, G., Carpenter-Boggs, L., Timmer, L. W.: Molecular systematics of citrusassociated *Alternaria* species. Mycologia 96, 119–134 (2004).
- 37. Leslie, J. F., Summerell, B. A.: The Fusarium Laboratory Manual. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Iowa, 2006.
- Pinto, L. S. R. C., Azevedo, J. L., Pereira, J. O., Vierira, M. L. C., Labate, C. A.: Symptomless infection of banana and maize by endophytic fungi impairs photosynthetic efficiency. New Phytol 147, 609–615 (2000).
- O'Donnell, K., Ward, T. J., Aberra, D., Kistler, H. C., Aoki, T., Orwig, N., Kimura, M., Bjornstad, A., Klemsda, L. S. S.: Multilocus genotyping and molecular phylogenetics resolve a novel head blight pathogen within the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from Ethiopia. Fungal Gen Biol 45, 1514–1522 (2008).
- Sampietro, D. A., Díaz, C. G., Gonzalez, V., Vattuone, M. A., Ploper, L. D., Catalan, C. A. N., Ward, T. J.: Species diversity and toxigenic potential of *Fusarium graminearum* complex isolates from maize fields in northwest Argentina. Int J Food Microbiol 145, 359– 364 (2011).
- Pronczuk, M., Pronczuk, S., Messyasz, M.: Pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp. contributing to the stalk rot of maize in Poland. Mycotoxin Res 7, 97–101 (1991).
- 42. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic risks to Humans: Some naturally occurring substances: Food items and constituents heterocyclic aromatic amines and mycotoxins. International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, 1993.
- Arnold, A. E., Miadlikowska, J., Higgins, K. L., Sarvate, S. D., Gugger, P., Way, A., Hofstetter, V., Kauff, F., Lutzoni, F.: A phylogenetic estimation of trophic transition networks for ascomycetous fungi: Are lichens cradles of symbiotrophic fungal diversification? Syst Biol 58, 283–297 (2009).
- 44. Arnold, A. E., Lutzoni, F.: Diversity and host range of foliar fungal endophytes: Are tropical leaves biodiversity hotspots? Ecology **88**, 541–549 (2007).
- 45. Pan, J. H., Jones, E. B. G., She, Z. G., Pang, J. Y., Lin, Y. C.: Review of bioactive compounds from fungi in the South China. Sea Bot Mar **51**, 179–190 (2008).
- Niaz, I., Dawar, S.: Detection of seed borne mycoflora in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Pakistan J Bot 41, 443–451 (2009).
- Watson, S. A., Ramstad, P. E.: Corn: Chemistry and Technology. American Association of Cereal Chemist, St. Paul, 1987.
- Chassy, B. M.: Food safety risks and consumer health. New Biotechnol 27, 534–544 (2010).

- Crous, P. W., Groenewald, J. Z.: Hosts, species and genotypes: Opinions versus data. Australas Plant Path 34, 463–470 (2005).
- Arnold, A. E., Herre, E. A.: Canopy cover and leaf age affect colonization by tropical fungal endophytes: Ecological pattern and process in *Theobroma cacao* (Malvaceae). Mycologia 95, 388–398 (2003).
- 51. Arnold, A. E., Engelbrecht, B. M. J.: Fungal endophytes double minimum leaf conductance in seedlings of a tropical tree. J Trop Ecol **23**, 369–372 (2007).
- Rodrigues, A. A. C., Menezes, M.: Identification and pathogenic characterization of endophytic *Fusarium* species from cowpea seeds. Mycopathol 159, 79–85 (2005).
- 53. Ahlholm, J. U., Helander, M., Henriksson, J., Metzler, M., Saikkonen, K.: Environmental conditions and host genotype direct genetic diversity of *Venturia ditricha* a fungal endophyte of birch trees. Evolution **56**, 1566–1573 (2002).
- 54. Agrios, G. N.: Plant Pathology, 5th Edition. Elsevier Academic Press, London, 2005.
- Korkama-Rajala, T., Müeller, M. M., Pennanen, T.: Decomposition and fungi of needle litter from slow- and fast-growing Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) clones. Microbial Ecol 56, 76–89 (2008).
- Bacon, C. W., Hinton, D. M.: Symptomless endophytic colonization of maize by *Fusarium moniliforme*. Can J Bot 74, 1195–1202 (1996).
- Bottalico, A., Logrieco, A., Visconti, A.: *Fusarium* species and their mycotoxins in infected corn in Italy. Mycopathol **107**, 85–92 (1989).
- Azevedo, J. L., Júnior, W. M., Pereira, J. O., Araújo, W. L.: Endophytic microorganisms: A review on insect control and recent advances on tropical plants. Environ Biotechnol 3, 40–65 (2000).