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It is the best Soviet music": this is how György Ligeti appraised ironically György Kurtág's *Double Concerto* (op. 27 no. 2) written in 1989–1990. Kurtág recalled Ligeti's remark, which represented the typical attitude of the Hungarian musical community towards Soviet music, with a smile. Convinced of their supremacy in modern music as successors to Bartók's inheritance, Hungarian musicians disdained Soviet music, even though they knew relatively little about it. While the music journal *Muzsika*, the most progressive, western-orientated forum of new music in Hungary, dwelt on the first performances of Nono's, Stockhausen's, Boulez's works or events in the life of the Polish avantgarde, it hardly ever mentioned the names of Soviet contemporary composers. It was news of minor importance when Dmitri Kabalevsky or Aram Khachaturian visited Hungary in 1968. When Arvo Pärt's *Symphony* was first performed in Budapest in 1970, a critic discussed at length the right pronunciation of the composer's name written as „Pjart” in the programme booklet, but failed to say anything noteworthy about the piece itself. The same year an interview with Edison Denisov was published there taken over from a western news-agency. Apparently the editors were unable to find Hungarian journalists who would have been willing to make an interview with the composer.

The reception of Shostakovich's music suffered most from the fact that in the Hungarian musical discourse Soviet music was eliminated from the canon of new music. As Kurtág puts it: “at that time Shostakovich was someone to strike against. Nowadays I find many beautiful
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things in his music.” Although Kurtág admitted that he didn’t know Shostakovich’s oeuvre, he
found the *Four Poems of Captain Lebiadkin* (op. 146, 1974) “fascinating”. Hungarian musicians
neglected Shostakovich’s music because it represented for them typical Soviet music, that is, the
music of the oppressors of Hungary. To dislike, Shostakovich symbolized a kind of resistance.
When Shostakovich died, the state music publishing company brought out a book in remember-
ance of the composer which was modelled on a very popular book issued in memory of
Stravinsky four years earlier. As the editor of the second volume had to face the fact that no
Hungarian composer would write about Shostakovich, he had to rest contented with cultural
politicians and ideologists of music.

It was quite embarrassing in this context that György Kurtág decided to write a cycle to
Russian words for soprano and chamber orchestra. It is a well-known fact that Kurtág made up
his mind to undertake intensive Russian studies while the Russian translation of the record
version of his vocal concerto, *The Sayings of Péter Bornemiszsa*, was prepared. Kurtág got acquaint-
ed with the short poems of the Russian translator Rimma Dalos that inspired him so much that
between 1975 and 1986 he composed four cycles after the works of the Russian poetess living in
Hungary. He composed the *Messages of the Late R. V. Troussova* (op. 17) between 1975 and 1980,
*Ommaggio a Luigi Nono* (op. 16) in 1979, *Scenes from a Novel* (op. 19) between 1979 and 1982, and
*Requiem for the Beloved* (op. 26) between 1982 and 1986. Other Russian poets served him as inspira-
tion, too: he was working on his cycle *The Songs of Dispair and Sorrow* (op. 18) between 1980 and
1994 (making use of poems by Lermontov, Blok, Yesenin, Mandelstam, Achmatova), and com-
posed *Four Achmatova-Songs* (op. 41) between 1997 and 2008. There are also some shorter songs,
fragments in Russian, that have not been included in any cycles.

As Stephen Walsh put it, it is very unusual for a Hungarian composer to use Russian
texts in his compositions. According to a Hungarian musicologist Kurtág’s colleagues in Bud-
apest even made jokes about Kurtág’s choice of language, fully in agreement with Ligeti’s previ-
ously mentioned statement (“It is the best Soviet music”). As an ardent admirer of
Dostoevsky’s oeuvre, Kurtág expressed that Russian was a sacred language to him, like Latin to
Stravinsky. In spite of it Stephen Walsh, Dina Lentsner and Julia Galieva-Szokolay noticed
unanimously that the parallel between Stravinsky’s and Kurtág’s use of language was not appro-
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Kurtág never treats words formally, like Stravinsky, but penetrates into the “soul of the Russian tongue” and his music rests on the peculiarities of the language. However, Kurtág’s choice of setting Russian poems to music may also be interpreted differently. As Galieva puts it, it represents Kurtág’s apolitical attitude, which is akin to Achmatova’s approach: by choosing the language of the oppressive power he wants to set a good example and tell that one has to distinguish between the Soviet Union as a political power and the Soviet – in this case: Russian – culture, which is something valuable.

The Hungarian musicologist István Balázs departed from the political nature of the choice of language, too. He emphasized that Kurtág kept himself consciously aloof from public life. There is no politics in his music, yet his works “are saturated with political content without being directly involved in politics”. First, his works represent Eastern-Europe’s message to the world, second they are written in the language of Lenin and the ‘Great October’, third they express the loneliness of a private individual (as in a socialist country people can only be free in private life), and fourth, Kurtág’s oeuvre can be compared to Luigi Nono’s music, but while Nono fights for the social breakthrough in a capitalist society, Kurtág is confronted with the reality of everyday socialism.

The reference to Luigi Nono is not surprising: the communist composer was well-known and backed officially in Hungary. Many Hungarian composers knew him personally. György Kurtág dedicated to him his cycle for chorus \textit{(Omaggio a Luigi Nono)}, because – as he remembered – the Italian composer had advised him to write choral music. This dedication is all the more startling as \textit{Omaggio}, which is based on Russian poems, has no connection with Nono’s oeuvre. There is always something astonishing about Kurtág’s dedications. \textit{Messages of the Late R. V. Troussova}, which must have been conceived as a representative chef d’oeuvre, was dedicated to the relatively unknown Hungarian composer György Kósa who completed his highly acclaimed \textit{Todesfuge} to Paul Celan’s poem in 1976. Kurtág, who planned to compose a \textit{Todesfuge} himself, was delighted with the old master’s piece and apart from the dedication he used the horn in the piece in much the same way as Kósa did in his cantata: as a crucial instrument that symbolizes the loneliness of the individual.

The other specialty of Kurtág’s dedication to Luigi Nono is that the movements of the piece are provided with separate dedications. For example, the fourth movement is dedicated to Sofia Gubaidulina. A similar dedication to Alfred Schnittke can be found in the \textit{Scenes from a
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Novel which, as a whole, was dedicated to the first performer of Kurtág’s Russian compositions, the soprano Adrienne Csengery. The third of the Achmatova Songs was inspired by Edison Denisov’s death in 1996. Kurtág later mentioned that his interest in Soviet avantgarde music was aroused by Denisov’s Lamentations from 1966. Moreover, Kurtág wrote homages to Schnittke and Denisov in his series Games, too. Considering the fact that these Soviet composers were almost completely unknown in Hungary at that time, it is justified to ask where Kurtág gathered information about their music. What is more: his dedication to Gubaidulina – „Sonje Gubaidulinoi” – suggests a close, almost friendly relationship. The international reputation of the three composers – similar to Kurtág’s career – started at the beginning of the 1980s.

Kurtág had naturally tried to get to the international music scene earlier, in the 1960s, but his endeavours proved to be a complete failure. His work The Sayings of Péter Bornemisza was absolutely neglected in Darmstadt in 1969. It is small wonder: Kurtág’s vocal concerto stood strongly in the Hungarian tradition. The piece with a duration of more than 40 minutes written for soprano and piano to 16th-century Hungarian philosophical literature couldn’t be understood in the Darmstadtian avantgarde context. The failure was a trauma for Kurtág: he was unable to compose for several years. Only the experimental nature of his Games helped him to get over the crisis and search for new ways, which led him in the end to his Russian compositions.

Kurtág took revenge for the lack of success in Darmstadt with his Troussova-cycle which was commissioned by Pierre Boulez and the Ensemble Intercontemporain. Kurtág, the “conscious strategist”, as Rachel Beckles Willson likes to call him, put on the clothes of a Soviet avantgarde composer, well aware of the fact that a Soviet avantgarde composer would excite more interest in the world than a Hungarian one. As Galieva-Szokolay puts it: “the musical realization of Russian material has become, over the years, an indispensable component of Kurtág’s self-fashioning.” Nonetheless, by using the Hungarian cimbalom Kurtág also writes his own nationality into the compositions, though he doesn’t use the instrument in the traditional manner.

Analysts of the Russian pieces interpret these compositions as parts of a homogeneous workgroup due to the choice of the language. However, the music and the content make it obvious that the group is by no means homogeneous. Even Rachel Beckles Willson emphasized that the late cycle Songs of Despair and Sorrow finished after 1989 was written in a completely different political context. With this piece Kurtág erected a monument for the victims of communism focusing above all on the artists’ role and responsibility in dictatorship, a subject-

matter he would not have spoken of openly before the political changes in 1989. This may be the reason why he was unable to finish his op. 18 at the time of his other Russian cycles.

But one can notice a difference even between the Troussova-cycle and the two other Russian pieces: *Scenes* and *Omaggio*. This difference throws light on how Kurtág imagined an ideal Soviet avantgarde composition and what kind of musical connotations he connected with the phenomenon “Russianness”. “Russianness” appeared in this context as a symbol for “otherness” as Galieva-Szokolay worded it referring to Richard Taruskin,21 but this “otherness” carries obnoxious features which are at the same time indisputably sincere. The appearance of this “otherness” is always linked to the moments of articulating truth.

Writing about the Troussova-cycle and *Omaggio* István Balázs emphasized that Kurtág tried to avoid the usual “intonation” layers of Soviet-Russian music and didn’t use Russian folk material either.22 The latter observation is, however, not adequate. There is a movement in the Troussova-cycle called *Chastushka* where even the title refers to Russian culture. At the same time it is worth mentioning that this movement is an exception in the whole cycle as the other parts of the composition are more abstract, they don’t play with historical styles or genres as *Chastushka* does. *Chastushka* is the last movement of the second part of the cycle. This second part with the title *A Little Erotic* plays the role of the scherzo in the cycle and is characterised by a kind of playfulness or colourful quality unknown in the rest of the composition. The first movement (*Heat*) presents the individual’s fire in love, the second (*Two interlaced bodies*) is a love scene, and the third – the only movement written for solo voice in the cycle – imitates the squealing of pigs (*Why should I not squeal like a pig…*). These three movements lead us to the trivial *Chastushka* that represents eroticism directly (Example 1). In the two last movements the heroine’s strength of character absolutely moulders: after having lost her instrumental accompaniment, that is her masks, the appearances of her life in the third movement, she begins to speak musically Russian, that is vulgarly but sincerely in the fourth.

Vulgarity or triviality as a means of composition appear frequently in Shostakovich’s music, for example in the *Four Poems of Captain Lebiadkin* that Kurtág called a “fascinating” composition or – to speak about “a little erotic” – in the *Lady Macbeth of the Mtsensk District*. At that time Kurtág could naturally not be familiar with the original version of the opera with the love scene yet. The conscious use of trivial musical elements emerged relatively late in Kurtág’s oeuvre, around 1979 or 1980. It belonged to the latest compositional layer of *Troussova* (*Chastushka* was composed in June 198023) and was worked out to the greatest extent in the two other Russian cycles in which the composer employed them constantly. This triviality or – as a Hungarian
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analyst called it following one of Kurtág's performing instructions – the “stile popolare” mode of writing\(^{24}\) was connected partly with Russian dances and partly with waltzes.

Two waltzes form part of the *Scenes from a Novel*. The first is the first interlude of *Rondo*, the 7th movement (Example 2). In this movement the word “goworila” (I said) is repeated many times, and creates the effect of an endless, motoric round dance, that is a rondo. Under these circumstances the main parts represent the everlasting and constant circulation of life. Only the interludes put the listener off this timelessness: the first interlude, the waltz, recurring on the joint past of the lovers, creates the feeling of real time contrasted with the timelessness of the “goworila”-parts.

The reverse effect comes about in the 9th movement called *Hurdy-Gurdy Waltz* (Example 3). It is an homage to Alfred Schnittke who is known to have been very fond of the genre waltz, too. Kurtág’s hurdy-gurdy plays almost the same melody when repeated, but there are minor differences in the intervals used which produce a mistuned effect. In the accompaniment mainly the doublebass and the cimbalom play constantly the same chords. The heroine sings here about the “rush hours” of her “soul's tramcar”, that is Kurtág links once again musical timelessness to a text referring to time. It means waltz and time are paired in Kurtág’s compositional concept.


The same gesture can be seen in the 2nd movement of *Omaggio a Luigi Nono* based on some lines of a poem by Achmatova. It is about time again (weeks, months and years) and timelessness appears again. However, in the course of the short text Achmatova describes a radical change in the appearance and the soul of the lovers who must have been separated in the meantime: this change manifests itself in the gradual dispersion of the waltz-rhythm (Example 4).
Kurtág always put his Russian compositions into European context. It is obvious that whenever Kurtág uses the genre of waltz as a symbol of timelessness or time, he refers to the music of Gustav Mahler, one of the most important models of postmodern musical thinking. In the 5th movement of *Scenes from a Novel* Kurtág writes an homage to Mahler: in the middle part of this movement, *Counting-out Rhyme* Kurtág inserts a “kamarinskaya” referring in part to Glinka’s composition often played in Hungary in the 1950s (Example 5).
Here, just as in the earlier mentioned Rondo, Kurtág combines the notion of reality with the Russian dance, that is with the reality of trivial love represented by a vulgar dance, kamarinskaya, which is confronted with the timeless ideals of the search for love in the main parts.

There is, however, one more Russian dance in the 10th movement Tale of Scenes where the ugly reality is confronted again with the heroine’s dreams and ideas. The ugly reality is represented by a “danse russe” (pliasowaya). It is more than telling that Kurtág connects this ugly reality, the symbols of real time, to trivial musical elements which are somehow Russian or Soviet (in the case of the Schnittke-homage), that means his music invites the listener to face up to the political and everyday reality. Nevertheless, Troussova rarely faces up to this reality. She lives in a secluded world and is only concerned about herself, paying no attention to the world around her. On the other hand, Scenes from a Novel and Omaggio a Luigi Nono focus primarily on the confrontation with reality.

There are, of course, musical reasons for the difference between the aims of the pieces as well. The Troussova-cycle was composed with the strong desire to create a work meeting western musical demands. It is a representative composition written for a large ensemble, full of contrapuntal devices, and orchestrated spectacularly. Its complexity doesn’t allow the use of playful solutions or references to music history or musical genres. In the two other pieces „Russianness” is combined with trivial dances symbolizing reality. This reality lacks the quality of the sublime also missing from everyday life. In Kurtág’s opinion sublime can only be found in the world of imagination.

With one exception: as I mentioned before, the 4th movement titled Canon in Omaggio a Luigi Nono contains an homage to Gubaidulina. It is a real canon ascending chromatically. It could be an endless canon, but it is arbitrarily closed after the second repetition. The poem is
about the everlasting mutual dependence of lovers. The movement has the impression of a
turba from Bach’s *Saint Matthew Passion*, and the poem forming part of the cycle “The Messages
of Apostle Paul to me” and written after Corinthians 16:9 has even a biblical reference. The
reference to Bach can be linked to Gubaidulina as well who achieved her first international
success with *Offertorium* based on the famous theme of Bach’s *Musikalisches Opfer*.

This exception derives from the special concept of *Omaggio*. Kurtág lists here the possible
musical forms of an imagined Soviet avantgarde composition referring to the Russian musical
past and present (trivial dance, Gubaidulina, church choruses) as well as to Western experiments
and tradition (Hommage a Tristan, counterpoint, aleatory). *Omaggio* can be interpreted as a study:
it showed Kurtág the path he could follow after the deadlock in composing the Troussova-cycle.
His experiments with *Omaggio* had a liberating effect on *Scenes from a Novel*. It helped the emer-
gence of a new musical ideal built upon references to different styles, genres, allusions and
citations. The imaginary Soviet avantgarde music allowed György Kurtág to become aware of his
postmodern turn.