IMMUNOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF BUCKWHEAT PROTEINS, PROLAMINS AND THEIR ALLERGENIC CHARACTER
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Prolamin content of buckwheat flour and processed foods was 24.2–42.1 mg/kg dry material measured by ELISA. According to in vitro results buckwheat is suitable for use in coeliac diet, although it contains some antinutritive materials, protease inhibitors and tannin. The allergenic properties of buckwheat are poorly understood. In our investigation intensity of the 24 kD protein band of buckwheat, of which allergenic activity is known has decreased, and 30–35 kD protein associations have been formed after heat treatment. Immunological reaction of buckwheat proteins were studied with blood specimens of coeliac and healthy persons.
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Currently, the only help for coeliac patients, who do not tolerate wheat gliadins in their food, is a gluten-free diet. The main problem connected with the gluten-free food produced from seeds of maize and rice is the quick staling. This problem could be eliminated by the use of buckwheat seed. The products prepared from it can be kept fresh for a long time.

The buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) belongs botanically to Polygonaceae, it is far from Gramineae family. Buckwheat seed can be applied for human nutrition only after dehulling, as there are toxic substances included in the hull of the seeds. Dehulled seed, flour milled from this seed and grits can be used for the preparation of meals. Protein and carbohydrate contents of buckwheat seed are nearly similar to those of corn and rice. The predominant storage protein of buckwheat seed is 13 S globulin. This protein has a hexameric structure with disulfide-bonded subunits composed of acidic and basic polypeptides. This structure is common for all legume-like storage proteins (Maksimovic et al., 1996). Buckwheat flours are rich in albumin and globulin, but they have a lower content of prolamin and glutenin than wheat flour (Wei et al., 1995). Buckwheat is rich in minerals, micro-elements and vitamins (especially vitamin B₁ and B₂), and it is an important source of vitamin E.

The aim of our immunochmical studies was to study in coeliac and non-coeliac patients whether buckwheat flours, grits and their products can be applied for the
nutrition of patients with wheat flour sensitivity or not. This work is important as gluten-free diets exclude wheat gliadin and other proteins with homologous structure. Glutens content should not exceed the 100 mg gliadin/kg dry material amount in the gluten-free diet. This limit has been proposed by the Codex Alimentarius, in the recommendation of FAO/WHO (1998), it was published as ALINORM 97/26. Appendix V.

1. Materials and methods

1.1. Materials

1.1.1. Samples. Buckwheat seeds, grits, flours, bread, cake (muffin) were gifts from Food Technology Department of Central Food Research Institute (KEKI). Positive sample GK Öthlhom wheat flour was a product of the Cereal Research Institute, Szeged. Rice and glucono-delta-lactone (GDL) were purchased at store.

1.1.2. Anti-gliadin rabbit serum was developed in our laboratory against 30 kD gliadin antigen was bought from Serva Co. Human sera specimen of coeliac (C) and healthy (H) people were derived from the Department of Dermatology of the Semmelweis University Medical School, Budapest.

1.1.3. Chemicals, reagents for ELISA, and SDS-PAGE. All chemicals were of analytical grade. Anti-gliadin-rabbit IgG was developed at KEKI. Anti-gliadin-rabbit-horse-radish-peroxidase (HRP) conjugate were prepared at the Biology Department of KEKI according to Nakane and Kawasi (1974).

Goat anti-human IgG-HRP-conjugate and goat anti-rabbit IgG were purchased from Sigma Co. 3,3', 5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine (TMB) substrate (Sigma Co.) was used. Microwell plates were bought from the Company of Instrument and Plastic Material, Sílysap, Hungary.

1.2. Method

1.2.1. Prolamín/gliadin extraction. Samples (flours and grits, 100 mg) and food were mixed with 1 cm² of 70% (v/v) aqueous ethanol in Eppendorf tube and extracted with vigorous shaking on a flash shaker (IKA-Schüttler MTS 4, 700 r.p.m. for 30 min at 4 °C). Following extraction, the samples were centrifuged (T 24 D, 5000 r.p.m. for 20 min at room temperature), the supernatants were separated and buckwheat samples were concentrated by evaporation of alcohol solution and then used for ELISA.

1.2.2. Sandwich ELISA for prolamín content (Aubrecht & Tóth, 1995). Wells of microplate were incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-gliadin rabbit IgG antibody diluted 1:200 from stock solution in 0.05 mol Na-carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (PH 9.6) (as a coating buffer). Following washing, the wells were incubated with 0.5% gelatine for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing, samples diluted in 0.1 mol PBST pH 7.4 (1:2, 1:50,
1:200) and gliadin standard in 0.1 mol PBST (3 000 ng, 1 000 ng, 300 ng, 100 ng, 50 ng, 25 ng, 12.5 ng, 6.25 ng, pH 7.4) were incubated in wells for 1 h at 37 °C. After 3 cycles of washing anti-gliadin IgG-HRP-conjugate was added, and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Then the plate was washed and dried. Solution of 42 mmol TMB, containing 0.01% (v/v) H2O2, was added to each well. After 15 min the reaction was stopped by adding 0.07 cm3 of 2 mol sulfuric acid and optical densities in the wells were measured at 450 nm.

1.2.3. Indirect ELISA for immunochemical reaction of human sera. Microtiter plates were incubated overnight at 4 °C with antigens: gliadin 30 kD (3 000 ng, 1 000 ng, 300 ng, 100 ng, 50 ng, 25 ng, 12.5 ng, 6.25 ng) wheat flour GK Öhlalam as a positive sample (1:200) and buckwheat samples (1:3) in coating buffer (pH 9.6). After 3-cycle washing 0.5% gelatine was added and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing, coeliac and healthy human sera were added in 1:20 dilution. After incubation at 37 °C for 1 h the wells were washed, then 0.1 cm3 of horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-human IgG diluted in 0.1 mol PBS (pH 7.4, 1:500) was added to each well. Reagents were then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and the excess of reagents was removed by washing with PBST (3x0.3 cm3/well). TMB substrate was added according to the sandwich ELISA.

1.2.4. SDS-PAGE analysis of buckwheat prolamin and protein. For the analysis of extracted samples (total proteins and prolamins) the modified discontinuous buffer system (Laemmlli, 1970), stacking gel 5% PAA (polyacrylamide) (5%, C 2.70%) separating gel 10% PAA (4 10%, C 2.7) were used. The vertical SDS-PAGE was carried out with the LKB 2050 Midget Electrophoresis Unit. The slabs were stained at room temperature in a solution of 0.2% brilliant Blue R-250 dissolved in 25% (v/v) methanol 1-10% (v/v) acetic acid. The slabs were evaluated by BIOTEC-Fischer laser video densitometer.

1.2.5. A-PAGE (Acid-PAGE). Prolamin and proteins of buckwheat and wheat gliadin were separated by PAGE at pH 3.1 (A-PAGE) according to Laphandra and Kasarda (1985). The lanes on the slab were evaluated by densitometer.

1.2.6. Baking. Bread was made with a special bread-making technology with glucono-delta-lactone (GDL) from 100% buckwheat flour. (The recipe is under patenting process.) Muffin was made of 100% buckwheat flour and pie was made of 100% wheat flour with ingredients: margarine, egg, salt, and NaHCO3 in a usual way.

2. Results

Prolamin content of buckwheat flour and processed food (as presented in Table 1) is under the permitted limit (FAO/WHO, 1998). The prolamin concentrations were 24.2–42.1 mg/kg dry material measured by ELISA with polyclonal rabbit sera. The
wheat gliadin and buckwheat prolam in had a reaction with human sera. The results of immunochromatic reactions can be seen in Table 2.

It is well-known that human sera (normal sera) contain circulating antibody against gliadin in a lower level. Healthy people have a lower immunochromatic reaction with wheat gliadin than coeliac patients. Higher values of patients 3 (C) and 5 (C) are caused by diet abuse according to KARPATI (1998). Both investigated sera (coeliac and healthy) gave reaction with prolamin of buckwheat, but the absorbance values were low.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Prolamin content (mg/kg dry material)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckwheat flour “Bio”</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckwheat bread with GDL</td>
<td>38.0 ± 2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckwheat bread mix with GDL</td>
<td>42.1 ± 3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GK Orthom wheat flour as a positive sample</td>
<td>5094 ± 18.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice flour as a negative sample</td>
<td>31.0 ± 4.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The baked products were made of 100% buckwheat.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy (H) and coeliac (C) sera</th>
<th>Absorbance of immunochromatic reaction with buckwheat flour</th>
<th>Absorbance of immunochromatic reaction with wheat flour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (C)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (C)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (C)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (C)</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (C)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (H)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (H)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (H)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (H)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (H)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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nd: no detection

In our SDS-PAGE investigation ethanol soluble buckwheat prolamin fraction was a 12 kD protein, which consisted of three protein subfractions (AUBRECHT & KARPÁTI, 1995; AUBRECHT et al., 1998). They were studied by acid-PAGE. The separated subfractions of 12 kD protein, compared with wheat gliadin can be seen in Fig. 1. The three subfractions are marked in the electropherogram (1,2,3↓↓↓). This investigation has proved that buckwheat was gluten-free because the molecular weight distribution of the three subfractions differed from that of wheat. The acid-PAGE is special for wheat gliadin and it did not give the same result with buckwheat prolamins.

The other question in our investigation was that whether the 24 kD protein caused allergenic reaction in human diet (BIACS & AUBRECHT, 1998). The pattern of whole buckwheat protein from different origin, the wheat and oat samples can be seen in Fig. 2. The 24 kD protein band is predominant, intensive protein on the slab. The 24 kD buckwheat protein was shown by immunoblotting analysis to be the most frequently recognised allergen, binding to IgE antibodies from the patients’ sera (KONDO et al., 1996) with RAST (Radio Allergosorbent Test). After baking muffins from buckwheat, intensity the predominant protein band has decreased and 30 kD and 35 kD bands have risen on slab in Fig. 2. The allergenic activity of the latest bands have not yet been known until now.

![Fig. 1.](image1)

![Fig. 2.](image2)
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3. Discussion

It has been proved that buckwheat and wheat prolamins differ. The in vitro results mentioned above suggested that buckwheat can be used in gluten-free diet. Although buckwheat seed is a source of well-balanced protein (POMERANZ & ROBINS, 1972), seeds have a high level of endogenous antinutrients, protease inhibitor and tamin persisting after cooking (IKEDA et al., 1991).

According to the findings of FRANCISCHI and co-workers (1994) the effect of buckwheat flour ingested by coeliac patients was evaluated by indirect immunofluorescence technique. Serum samples were collected 30 days after the flour ingestion. The assay has revealed that buckwheat flour is not present in the coeliac
patients. It was observed that anti-prolamln buckwheat antibodies have not been formed during a 30-day assay period. In spite of promising immunological results the allergenic properties have to be studied. Kondo and co-workers (1996) investigated the allergen in buckwheat antigen using the immunoblot method, radioallergosorbent test (RAST) and RAST inhibition assay. Results indicated that the 24 kD buckwheat protein was the major allergen among buckwheat antigens. Buckwheat and rice are frequent foods in Japan and they cause food allergy in some cases (Yano et al., 1989). Our present result suggested that the quantity of allergen could be decreased under baking conditions, however, it has not yet been proved whether muffin (cake made of 100% buckwheat flour, under the given technology) after digestion will contain reactive groups of 24 kD allergen protein or not. As a result of degradation of 30 kD protein in gut, heat resistant allergen peptides can form and might cause allergenic reaction, too. We are planning to continue our research work to know more about allergenic activity after digestion of the major fractions (24 kD, 30 kD) in bakery products.
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