

71

Sonderdruck aus

Mathematische Nachrichten

Band 47 (1970)

Heft 1-6



AKADEMIE-VERLAG • BERLIN

Generalized biideals of rings. II

By FERENC A. SZÁSZ of Budapest

(Eingegangen am 15. 4. 1970)

In this note, which is a continuation of author's paper [12], we discuss the generalized biideals of regular rings [6] and of strongly regular rings [3].

By a ring we mean here an associative ring. The ring A is called regular (respectively strongly regular) if $a \in a A a$ (respectively $a \in a^2 A$) for any element $a \in A$ holds. Furthermore, A is twosided subcommutative, if one has $a A = A a$ for any $a \in A$. (cf. D. Barbilian's book*.)

Following [12], by a generalized biideal B of a ring A we mean an additive subgroup of A^+ satisfying $BAB \subseteq B$. Then for $n \geq 3$ holds $B^n \subseteq B$. In the example $A = \{a\}$ with $a^3 = p a = 0$ (where p is a prime number) the generalized biideal $B = I a$ (I is the ring of rational integers) is cyclic, and shows $B^2 \not\subseteq B$.

If $B^2 \subseteq B$ is satisfied for a generalized biideal B of a ring A , then B is a subring of A , and then B is called a biideal (see [8] and [11]). An important special case of biideal is the quasiideal Q (see [10]), when for the additive subgroup Q of A^+ also $QA \cap AQ \subseteq Q$ holds. For semigroups the biideal is a special case of the (m, n) -ideals (see [7]).

The following four important assertions are well-known:

1) Any principal one-sided ideal of a regular ring is generated by an idempotent element e . — Namely $a = a x a$ implies $(a x)^2 = a x$, $(x a)^2 = x a$, $(a)_r = (a x)_r$ and $(a)_l = (x a)_l$ (see [6]).

2) Any strongly regular ring A has no nonzero nilpotent elements. — Namely $a = a^2 x$ and $a^n = 0$ imply $a = 0$.

3) Any strongly regular ring A is also regular. — Namely $a = a^2 x$ and $y = a - a x a$ imply $y^2 = 0$, consequently $y = 0$.

4) Every idempotent element e of any ring A without nonzero nilpotent elements lies in the center Z of A . — Namely for any $x \in A$ holds

$$(e x - e x e)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (x e - e x e)^2 = 0,$$

which imply $e x = e x e = x e$ (see [1]).

* Grupuri cu Operatori, București (România, 1960)

Now we discuss two preliminary statements:

Proposition 1. Any generalized biideal B of a regular ring A coincides with the intersection of the right ideal $R = B + BA$ and of the left ideal $L = B + AB$, that is $B = D = (B + BA) \cap (B + AB)$, consequently $B^2 \subseteq B$, therefore B is a subring.

Proof. Evidently $a \in aAa$ implies also $B \subseteq BAB$, which by $BAB \subseteq B$ yields $B = BAB$. Furthermore by $B \subseteq BA$, $B \subseteq AB$, $A^2 = A$ and L. G. KOVÁCS [4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= BAB = BA^2B = BA \cdot AB = (B + BA) \cdot (B + AB) \\ &= (B + BA) \cap (B + AB) = B, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $B^2 \subseteq B$.

Example. Let A be the total matrix ring of type 2×2 over a division ring, $e_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $e_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $B = e_{11}Ae_{22} = e_{11}A \cap Ae_{22}$ is a generalized biideal of the regular ring A satisfying $0 = B^2 \neq B$.

Proposition 2. Any generalized biideal B of a strongly regular ring A is a twosided ideal of A .

Proof. By Proposition 1 and well-known assertion 3) holds

$$B = (B + BA) \cap (B + AB),$$

and therefore it is sufficient to verify that every one-sided ideal of A is twosided. But *e. g.* the right ideal R is the union of all principal right ideals $(a)_r$ with $a \in R$, where by the well-known assertions 1), 2) and 4) every $(a)_r$ is generated by a central idempotent element e_a , which implies that $(a)_r$ and R are twosided ideals. The proof is similar for left ideals L .

Theorem 1. The following twelve conditions for a ring A are mutually equivalent:

- (I) A is regular
- (II) $R \cap L = R \cdot L$ for any right ideal R and for any left ideal L of A
- (III) $(a)_r \cap (b)_l = (a)_r \cdot (b)_l$, for any $a, b \in A$
- (IV) $(a)_r \cap (a)_l = (a)_r \cdot (a)_l$, for any $a \in A$
- (V) $(a)_q = (a)_r \cdot (a)_l$, for any $a \in A$, denoting $(a)_q$ the principal quasiideal generated by $a \in A$
- (VI) $(a)_{(1,1)} = (a)_r \cdot (a)_l$, for any $a \in A$, denoting $(a)_{(1,1)}$ the principal biideal, generated by $a \in A$

$$(VII) (a)_{(1,1)} = (a)_r \cdot \text{zed biideal, generated}$$

$$(VIII) \overline{(a)_{(1,1)}} = aAa$$

$$(IX) (a)_{(1,1)} = aAa$$

$$(X) QAQ = Q \text{ for } a$$

$$(XI) \bar{B} \cdot A \cdot \bar{B} = \bar{B}$$

$$(XII) BAB = B \text{ for } a$$

Proof is an immediate consequence of the joint paper [8] and the author's paper [12].

Theorem 2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (I) A is strongly regular
- (II) A is a twosided ideal and A is a twosided ideal
- (III) A is a subcon
- (IV) $B^2 = B$ for any
- (V) $(\bar{B})^2 = \bar{B}$ for any
- (VI) $Q^2 = Q$ for any
- (VII) $RL = R \cap L$
- (VIII) $L \cap R = LR$
- (IX) $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_1 \cdot L_2$, any right ideal
- (X) $L \cap T = LT$, ideal R and a
- (XI) A is regular and
- (XII) A is a regular
- (XIII) $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_1 \cdot L_2$
- (XIV) $R_1 \cap R_2 = R_1 \cdot R_2$
- (XV) $L \cap T = LT$
- (XVI) $R \cap T = TR$, of A
- (XVII) $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1 \cdot Q_2$

ular ring A coincides
and of the left ideal
 $+ AB$), consequently

, which by $BAB \subseteq B$
 B , $A^2 = A$ and L. G.

$$BA) \cdot (B + AB)$$

2×2 over a division

biideal of the regular

gly regular ring A is a

tion 3) holds

ne-sided ideal of A is
all principal right ideals
ns 1), 2) and 4) every
which implies that $(a)_r$
left ideals L .

a ring A are mutually

r any left ideal L of A

the principal quasiideal

t $\overline{(a)_{(1,1)}}$, the principal

(VII) $(a)_{(1,1)} = (a)_r \cdot (a)_l$ for any $a \in A$, denoting $(a)_{(1,1)}$ the principal general-
ized biideal, generated by $a \in A$

(VIII) $\overline{(a)_{(1,1)}} = aAa$ for any $a \in A$

(IX) $(a)_{(1,1)} = aAa$ for any $a \in A$

(X) $QAQ = Q$ for any quasiideal Q of A

(XI) $\bar{B} \cdot A \cdot \bar{B} = \bar{B}$ for any biideal \bar{B} of A

(XII) $BAB = B$ for any generalized biideal B of A .

Proof is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, of Theorem 2 of
the joint paper [8] S. LAJOS' and author's, furthermore of results of
author's paper [12].

Theorem 2. *The following twenty-one conditions for a ring A are mutually
equivalent:*

(I) A is strongly regular

(II) A is a twosided regular ring (i. e. regular ring in which all one-sided
ideals are twosided ideals)

(III) A is a subcommutative regular ring

(IV) $B^2 = B$ for any generalized biideal B of A

(V) $(\bar{B})^2 = \bar{B}$ for any biideal \bar{B} of A

(VI) $Q^2 = Q$ for any quasiideal Q of A

(VII) $RL = R \cap L \subseteq LR$ for any left ideal L and any right ideal R of A

(VIII) $L \cap R = LR$ for any left ideal L and any right ideal R of A

(IX) $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_1L_2$ and $R_1 \cap R_2 = R_1R_2$ for any left ideals L_i and
any right ideals R_i of A

(X) $L \cap T = LT$ and $R \cap T = TR$ for any left ideal L for any right
ideal R and any twosided ideal T of A

(XI) A is regular and it is a subdirect sum of division rings

(XII) A is a regular ring without nonzero nilpotent elements

(XIII) $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_1L_2$ for any left ideals L_i of A

(XIV) $R_1 \cap R_2 = R_1R_2$ for any right ideals R_i of A

(XV) $L \cap T = LT$ for any left ideal L and for any twosided ideal T of A

(XVI) $R \cap T = TR$ for any right ideal R and for any twosided ideal T
of A

(XVII) $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1 \cdot Q_2$ for any quasiideals Q_i of A

(XVIII) $\bar{B}_1 \cap \bar{B}_2 = \bar{B}_1 \cdot \bar{B}_2$ for any biideals \bar{B}_i of A

(XIX) $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1 \cdot B_2$ for any generalized biideals B_i of A

(XX) The multiplicative semigroup of A is a semilattice of groups G_i

(XXI) Every principal one-sided ideal is generated by a central idempotent element.

Remark. Combinatorically could be formulated yet other equivalent conditions for A , building intersections and products of (principal) generalized biideals, biideals, quasiideals, right ideals, left ideals and twosided ideals.

Proof of Theorem 2 follows from Proposition 2, from Theorem 3 of the joint paper [8] and from [9] S. LAJOS' and author's and from author's paper [12].

References

- [1] A. FORSYTHE and N. H. MCCOY, On the commutativity of certain rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52**, 523–526 (1946).
- [2] N. JACOBSON, Structure of rings, Providence (1964).
- [3] T. KANDO, Strong regularity in arbitrary rings, Nagoya Math. J. **4**, 51–53 (1952).
- [4] L. G. KOVÁCS, A note on regular rings, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4**, 465–468 (1956).
- [5] J. LUH, A characterization of regular rings; Proc. Japan Acad. **39**, 741–742 (1963).
- [6] J. VON NEUMANN, On regular rings, Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. USA **22**, 707–713 (1936).
- [7] S. LAJOS, Generalized ideals in semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **22**, 217–222 (1961).
- [8] S. LAJOS and F. SZÁSZ, Bi-ideals in associative rings, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged (to appear).
- [9] —, Characterizations of strongly regular rings, II, Proc. Japan Acad. **46**;3, 287–289 (1970).
- [10] O. STEINFELD, Über die Quasiideale von Ringen, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **17**, 170–180 (1956).
- [11] F. SZÁSZ, On minimal biideals of rings, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged (to appear).
- [12] —, Generalized biideals of rings, I, Diese Nachr. **47**, 355–360 (1970).