# ON STRONG SEMISIMPLICITIES 

 OF SEMIGROUPS WITH ZEROby<br>F. SZÁSZ (Budapest)

The fundamental notions of semigroups can be found in the books of A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston [4] and of E. S. LJapin [6]. In what follows, D. Rews' [8] factor semigroups will play an important role. For semigroups various concrete radicals were discussed by J. Bosák [2], A. H. Clifford [3], H. J. Hoehnee [5], J. Luh [7], St. Schwarz [9], H. Seidel [10], L. N. Shevrin [11] and the author [13]. The possibility to investigate general radicals of semigroups with zero has been shown e.g. by author [15], [16] and R. Wiegandi [17].

Following author's [15], a class $\mathscr{R}$ of semigroups $\mathcal{S}$. with zero is called a radical class if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) Every homomorphic image of a semigroup from $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{R}$.
(ii) Every semigroup $S$ contains an ideal $R(S)$ belonging to $\not \mathscr{R}$ such that $R(S)$ contains every other ideal belonging to $\mathfrak{R}$, of $S$.
(iii) We have $R(S \mid R(S))=0$ for the ideal $R(S)$ defined in condition (ii). (Here and in what follows $S / T$ denotes ReEs' factor semigroups.)

This $R(S)$ is said to be the $\mathfrak{R}$-radical of $S$. If $R(S)=S$ holds, then $S$ is called an $\mathscr{R}$-radical semigroup. If $R(S)=0$ holds, then $S$ is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple. An $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple semigroup is said to be strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple, if every homomorphic image of $S$ is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple. The groups with zero obviously are strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple for every general radical $\mathscr{R}$. By author's paper [15] for every ideal $I$ of $S$ and for every radical $\mathscr{R}$ the subsemigroup $R(I)$ is an ideal of $S$.

It is the purpose of this paper to prove that for every radical $R$, for which every $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple semigroup also is strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple the mapping $\varphi: I+R(I)$, is a join-endomorphism of the lattice of all twosided ideals $I$ of the semigroup. The similar ringtheoretical result was previously discussed by author [14]. The dualization of this semigroup-theoretical result, which also generalizes some results of Robert Shulka [12], was investigated by author [16], and the similar ringtheoretical result by S. A. Amitsur [1].

First we verify two preliminary propositions.

Proposition 1. The mapping $\varphi: I \rightarrow R(I)$ is monotone, i.e. $I_{1} \subseteq I_{q}$ implies $R\left(I_{1}\right) \subseteq R\left(I_{2}\right)$ for the ideals $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$.

Proof. Assume $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2}$. Then trivially $R\left(I_{1}\right) \subseteq I_{2}$ holds. Let us consider the first isomorphism theorem (see D. Rees [8]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right) / R\left(I_{2}\right) \cong R\left(I_{1}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cap R\left(I_{2}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the left hand side of (1) we have a twosided ideal of the $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple Rees factor semigroup $I_{2} / R\left(I_{2}\right)$ and therefore, by author's paper [15], this ideal is again $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple. But on the right hand side of (1) one has a homomorphic: image of the $\mathscr{R}$-radical semigroup $R\left(I_{1}\right)$. Thus, by condition (i) on the right, hand side of (1) stays an $\mathfrak{R}$-radical semigroup. These facts imply

$$
R\left(I_{1}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cap R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)=0
$$

consequently $R\left(I_{1}\right)=R\left(I_{1}\right) \cap R\left(I_{2}\right) \subseteq R\left(I_{2}\right)$ which means the desired monot-ony of $\varphi: I \rightarrow R(I)$.

Proposition 2. If $I$ and $S / I$ are $\not \mathscr{R}$-semisimple, then $S$ itself is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple.

The proof is, using the first isomorphism theorem and the definition of $\mathfrak{R}$-semisimplicity, almost trivial.

Remark 3. Hitherto we need not have used our assumption that every $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple semigroup is strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple.

In what follows we use the modularity of the lattice of all ideals of a semigroup. In fact, this lattice is distributive, since it is a sublattice of the Boolean algebra of all subsets of $S$. On the other side the proof of Theorem 4 is similar to author's [14] proof, taking set theoretical unions instead of sums.

Theorem 4. Let $R$ be a radical such that every $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple semigroup is strongly $\mathfrak{R}$-semisimple and $I$ an arbitrary (twosided) ideal of the semigroup $S$. Then the mapping

$$
\varphi: I \rightarrow R(I)
$$

is a join-endomorphism of the lattice of all (twosided) ideals of $S$, i.e. we always. have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)=R\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)=R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(I_{1}\right) \cup \varphi\left(I_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easier to prove, that the right side of (2) is contained on the left hand side of (2), since $I_{j} \subseteq I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ for $j=1$ and 2 by Proposition 1 implies, $R\left(I_{j}\right) \subseteq R\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)$ and therefore

$$
R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right) \subseteq R\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)
$$

indeed. The opposite inclusion will be verified in more steps, namely we shall show that both of $\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)$, and $\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)$ are $\mathfrak{R}$-semisimple Rees factor semigroups.

By $I_{1} \supseteq R\left(I_{1}\right)$ and by the modularity of the lattice of all ideals of $S$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)=R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $I_{1} /\left(I_{1} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of the strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple semigroup $I_{1} / R\left(I_{1}\right)$ which implies the $\mathscr{d}_{R}$-semisimplicity of $I_{1}\left(I_{1} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)\right)$, too. Now by $R\left(I_{1}\right) \subseteq I_{1}$ and by (3) the first isomorphism theorem yields

$$
\left.\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)\right) \cong I_{1}\left(I_{1} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)\right)
$$

thus also $\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right)$ is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple, as it has been pointed out previously.

Similarly $R\left(I_{2}\right) \subseteq I_{2}$ and the modularity of the lattice of all twosided ideals of $S$ imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)=R\left(I_{2}\right) \cup\left(I_{2} \cap R\left(I_{1}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $I_{2}\left(I_{2} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is $\not \subset$-semisimple, since by (4) it is a homomorphic image of the strongly $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple Rees factor semigroup $I_{2} / R\left(I_{2}\right)$ :

By the first isomorphism theorem and by $R\left(I_{2}\right) \subseteq I_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right) \simeq I_{2} /\left(I_{2} \cap\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus the left hand side of (5) is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple.
Now, by Proposition 2 and by the second isomorphism theorem (see D. Rees [8]) it follows that $\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)$ is $\mathscr{R}$-semisimple. But the $\mathfrak{R}$-semisimplicity of $\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) /\left(R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)\right)$ and the first isomorphism theorem imply also the (nontrivial) inclusion:

$$
R\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right) \subseteq R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)
$$

which yields at once also $R\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)=R\left(I_{1}\right) \cup R\left(I_{2}\right)$, indeed.
This completes the proof of Theorem 4.
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