Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae Tomus 35 (3-4), (1980), 399-402.

## ON THE RADICAL CLASSES AND THE TRANSFREE-**IMAGES OF RINGS**

By

## TRAN TRONG HUE and F. SZÁSZ (Budapest)

1. The purpose of this note is to consider the relation between the transfreeimages and the radical classes in category of associative rings. This stays in connection to the solution of problem 8 of [2].

The notion of transfree-images is dual to that of subdirect embedding (cf. [3]). An object A of the category  $\mathscr{C}$  is said to be a transfree-image of the free product  $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i(\varphi_i) \text{ if there exists an epimorphism } \gamma \colon \coprod_{i \in I} A_i(\varphi_i) \to A \text{ such that all maps } \gamma \colon \varrho_i \colon$ 

 $A_i \rightarrow A$ ,  $i \in I$  are normal monomorphisms.

Instead of a transfree-image of the free product  $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i(\varrho_i)$  we speak of a trans-

free-image of the objects  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

A class M of rings is said to be a radical class in sense of Amitsur and Kurosh if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) M is homomorphically closed.

(ii) The sum of all *M*-ideals of a ring A is an *M*-ideal.

(iii) M is closed under extensions, that is if B and  $A/B \in M$  then also  $A \in M$ . The lower radical class defined by the class M is the smallest radical class containing M.

2. Assume that the ring A is a transfree-image of rings  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , by an epimorphism  $\gamma$ . Following the definition all maps  $\gamma: \varrho_i: A_i \rightarrow A, i \in I$  are normal monomorphisms, so they are embeddings and their images are ideals of the ring A. Let M be an arbitrary abstract class of rings. We put

 $T_r(M) = \{A | A \text{ is a transfree-image of some } M\text{-rings}\}.$ 

LEMMA 1. Every nonzero  $T_r(M)$ -ring has a non-zero M-ideal.

This statement follows immediately from the above remark.

DEFINITION. The class M is said to be closed under transfree-images if  $T_r(M) = M.$ 

**THEOREM 1.** For every class M of rings there always exists the smallest class  $\overline{M}$  satisfying:  $\overline{M} \supseteq M$  and  $\overline{M}$  is closed under transfree-images.

**PROOF.** The class of all rings is closed under transfree-images and it contains M. Since the intersection of classes being closed under transfree-images, is again such a class, we have  $\overline{M} = \bigcap (M_y | M_y \supseteq M$  and  $M_y$  is closed under transfree-images).

THEOREM 2. If M is a homomorphically closed class of rings, then also the class  $T_{\bullet}(M)$  is homomorphically closed.

**PROOF.** Let A be a transfree-image of M-rings  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , by an epimorphism  $\gamma$ , and  $\overline{A}$  a homomorphic image of A by a homomorphism f. Then the images  $\overline{A}_i$  of each  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$  are M-ideals in  $\overline{A}$ . Further by the universal property of the free product there exist uniquely determined mappings

$$\bar{\gamma} \colon \prod_{i \in I} \bar{A}_i(\bar{\varrho}_i) \to \bar{A} \text{ and } \varphi \colon \prod_{i \in I} A_i(\varrho_i) \to \prod_{i \in I} \bar{A}_i(\bar{\varrho}_i)$$

such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\overline{A}_{i} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varrho_{i}}} \underbrace{\prod_{i \in I} \overline{A}_{i}(\overline{\varrho_{i}})}_{I \in I} \xrightarrow{A_{i}(\overline{\varrho_{i}})} \underbrace{A_{i} \xrightarrow{\varrho_{i}}}_{f_{i}} \underbrace{\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}(\varrho_{i})}_{I \in I} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i}}_{\overline{A}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varrho_{i}}} \underbrace{\prod_{i \in I} \overline{A}_{i}(\overline{\varrho_{i}})}_{I \in I} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i}}_{\overline{A}_{i}(\overline{\varrho_{i}})}$$

where  $f_i = f \cdot \varrho_i$  and  $k_i$  is an embedding. Clearly, the mappings  $\bar{\gamma}: \bar{\varrho}_i, i \in I$  are normal monomorphisms. We can easily show that the square

is commutative. Hence we have  $\bar{\gamma}\varphi = f \cdot \gamma$ . Since  $f \cdot \gamma$  is an epimorphism, so is  $\bar{\gamma}$ . Thus  $\bar{A} \in T_r(M)$  holds. The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. Every radical class is closed under transfree-images.

PROOF. Let M be a radical class and  $A \in T_r(M)$ . M(A) denotes the sum of all M-ideals of A. Suppose  $M(A) \neq A$ . By Theorem 2  $\frac{A}{M(A)}$  is a nonzero  $T_r(M)$ -ring, and hence by Lemma 1 it contains a non-zero M-ideal  $\frac{A'}{M(A)}$ . By the condition (iii), A' is an M-ideal of A, so  $A' \leq M(A)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A \in M$  holds. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. For every class M of rings the inclusion  $T_r(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$  holds, where  $\mathscr{L}(M)$  is the lower radical class defined by M.

Let us consider the subclass of  $T_r(M)$  defined as

 $T_{r_0}(M) = \left\{ A \middle| \begin{array}{c} A \text{ is a transfree-image of some } M \text{-rings} \\ \text{by an epimorphism which is a surjection} \end{array} \right\}.$ 

LEMMA 2. Assume that M is an abstract class of rings. The ring A belongs to  $T_{r_0}(M)$  if and only if in A there exist M-ideals  $B_i$ ,  $i \in I$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} B_i = A$ . The proof is trivial.

## TRANSFREE-IMAGES OF RINGS

**THEOREM 4.** The class M of rings is a radical class if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(A) M is homomorphically closed.(B) M is closed under transfree-images.

(C) M is closed under extensions.

PROOF. Theorem 3 and the definition of radical yield the necessity.

For the sufficiency we only must show that condition (B) implies condition (ii). If condition (B) is valid, then  $M \subseteq T_{r_0}(M) \subseteq T_r(M) = M$ . By Lemma 2 it is clear that condition (ii) is satisfied.

Next, with the help of transfree-images we shall get a new construction which does give the lower radical. In order to do this we consider the following operator W acting on classes of rings by

$$W(M) = \left\{ A \left| \frac{A}{B} \in M \text{ for some } M \text{-ideal } B \text{ of } A \right\} \right\}.$$

LEMMA 3. If M is a homomorphically closed class then W(M) is homomorphically closed, too.

**PROOF.** Let A be in W(M) and B any proper ideal of A. By the definition of W(M) there exists an ideal C of A such that C and A/C both belong to M. Since the class M is homomorphically closed so we have

$$\frac{B+C}{B} \cong \frac{C}{B\cap C} \in M, \quad \frac{A}{B} \Big| \frac{B+C}{B} \cong \frac{A}{B+C} \in M.$$

Thus A/B belongs to W(M) and so W(M) is homomorphically closed. The lemma is proved.

Now, let M be any class of rings. Define  $K_1(M)$  to be the homomorphic closure of M. For every ordinal  $\alpha > 1$ , put

$$K_{\alpha}(M) = \begin{cases} T_r(K_{\alpha-1}(M)) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is not a limit ordinal} \\ W(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M)) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$$

Finally define  $K(M) = \bigcup K_{\alpha}(M)$ , where the union is taken over all ordinals  $\alpha$ . Clearly, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ordinals with  $\beta \leq \alpha$  then  $K_{\alpha}(M) \subseteq K_{\alpha}(M)$ .

LEMMA 4. For every ordinal  $\alpha \ge 1$ , K(M) is homomorphically closed. Hence K(M) is a homomorphically closed class.

**PROOF.**  $K_1(M)$  is homomorphically closed. Let  $\alpha > 1$  be an ordinal and suppose  $K_{\beta}(M)$  is homomorphically closed for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .

If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal, then by Theorem 2 and the induction hypothesis,  $K_{\alpha}(M) = T_{\alpha}(K_{\alpha-1}(M))$  is homomorphically closed.

Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal. Clearly, by the induction hypothesis, the class  $\bigcup K_{\beta}(M)$  is homomorphically closed. So by Lemma 3, the class  $K_{\beta}(M) =$  $B < \alpha$  $= W(\bigcup K_{\beta}(M))$  is also homomorphically closed. Thus by transfinite induction  $K_{\alpha}(M)$  is homomorphically closed for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . It follows immediately that K(M) is homomorphically closed.

THEOREM 5.  $K(M) = \mathscr{L}(M)$ .

PROOF. We use Theorem 4 to show that K(M) is a radical class. By Lemma 4, the class K(M) satisfies condition (A). Suppose that a ring A is a transfreeimage of K(M)-rings  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then for  $i \in I$  there exists an ordinal  $\alpha_i$  such that  $A_i \in K_{\alpha_i}(M)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal greater than all  $\alpha_i$ -s,  $i \in I$ . Hence every ring  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$  belongs to  $K_{\alpha}(M)$ . So we have

$$A \in T_r(K_{\alpha}(M)) = K_{\alpha+1}(M) \subseteq K(M).$$

Thus, condition (B) is satisfied.

Now, let A have an ideal B such that both B and A/B are in K(M). Then, there exist ordinals  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that  $B \in K_{\alpha_1}(M)$ ,  $A/B \in K_{\alpha_2}(M)$ . We take a limit ordinal  $\alpha$  greater than the ordinals  $\alpha_i$ , i=1, 2. Then both B and A/B belong to the class  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M)$ . So we have

$$A \in W(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M)) = K_{\alpha}(M) \subseteq K(M).$$

Hence condition (C) is valid. Thus K(M) is a radical class.

By the minimality of  $\mathscr{L}$  among radical classes containing M, it is enough to show  $K(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$ . This is accomplished by proving  $K_{\alpha}(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$  for every ordinal.

Clearly,  $K_1(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal exceeding one, and assume  $K_{\beta}(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$  for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ . Suppose  $A \in K_{\alpha}(M)$ .

If  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal, then we have

$$A \in K_{\alpha}(M) = T_{r}(K_{\alpha-1}(M)) \subseteq T_{r}(\mathscr{L}(M)) = \mathscr{L}(M).$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal, then by the definition we have

$$A \in K_{\alpha}(M) = W(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M)).$$

Therefore there exists an ideal B in A such that both B and A/B belong to  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M)$ . By the induction hypothesis, it is clear that  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_{\beta}(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(M)$ . From this  $A \in \mathscr{L}(M)$  follows by condition (C) of Theorem 4, and the theorem is proved.

## References

[1] N. J. DIVINSKY, Rings and radicals (London, 1965).

[2] F. Szász, Radikale der Ringe, Akadémiai Kiadó (Budapest, 1975).

[3] F. SZÁSZ, R. WIEGANDT, On the dualization of subdirect embeddings, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 20 (1969), 289–302.

[4] М. Ш. Цаленко, Е. Г. Шульгейфер, Основы теории категорий (Москва, 1974).

(Received October 19, 1978)

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES 1053 BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13—15.