

ERRATUM

Kučera (2017) published the syntaxonomic evaluation of *Pinus cembra* communities of the Tatras (Western Carpathians). Unfortunately, most of the new syntaxa descriptions were accompanied by improper formulas.

Recent effective syntaxonomic nomenclatural rules (Weber *et al.* 2000) require the indication of a new syntaxon description with *expressis verbis* such as “ass. nova”, “all. nova” etc. At the same time, the practice of the last decades is to follow these *expressis verbis* with Latin words “*hoc loco*” in the place of the new valid publication of a syntaxon.

However, formal syntaxa descriptions of Kučera (2017) contain frequently “*hoco*” instead of the correct expression “*hoc loco*”. This typing error could cause invalid syntaxa publication in the case of possible future changes of *The International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature* (cf. Weber *et al.* 2002). Therefore the corrected list of the new syntaxa descriptions published by Kučera (2017, pp. 403–417) in the chapter “*Description of syntaxa of Arolla pine woodlands of the Western Carpathians*” is given here.

1. *Homogyno alpinae-Pinion cembrae* P. Kučera, **all. nova hoc loco**

Original diagnosis: *Homogyno alpinae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera ass. nova, *Prenanthero purpureae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera ass. nova, *Mylio taylorii-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera ass. nova, *Cembro-Piceetum* Myczkowski et Lesiński 1974

Nomenclatural type: *Homogyno alpinae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera ass. nova, holotypus hoc loco.

Differential taxa: see Kučera (2017), p. 403 below.

1.1. *Homogyno alpinae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera, **ass. nova hoc loco**

Original diagnosis: Vidličková (1989), p. 64–65, tab. 1, rel. 1–16.

Nomenclatural type: Vidličková (1989), p. 64–65, tab. 1, rel. 13, holotypus hoc loco.

1.1.1. *Homogyno alpinae-Pinetum cembrae typicum* P. Kučera, **subass. nova hoc loco**

Original diagnosis: Vidličková (1989), p. 64–65, tab. 1, rel. 1–16.

Nomenclatural type: Vidličková (1989), p. 64–65, tab. 1, rel. 13, holotypus hoc loco.

**1.1.2. *Homogyno alpinae-Pinetum cembrae cladonietosum digitatae*
P. Kučera, subass. nova hoc loco**

Original diagnosis: Valachovič (2014), p. 23–24, tab. 1, rel. 1–5.

Nomenclatural type: Valachovič (2014), p. 23–24, tab. 1, rel. 5, holotypus hoc loco.

1.2. *Prenantho purpureae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera, ass. nova hoc loco

Original diagnosis: see Kučera (2017), Table 2.

Nomenclatural type: Horák (1971), tab. 1., rel. 10, holotypus hoc loco.

1.3. *Mylio taylorii-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera, ass. nova hoc loco

Original diagnosis: Barančok et Varšavová (1995), p. 45–48, tab. 1, rel. 1–4, 6–12, 14, 15, 18–24.

Nomenclatural type: Barančok et Varšavová (1995), p. 45–48, tab. 1, rel. 22, holotypus hoc loco.

**2.2. *Cystopterido montanae-Pinetum cembrae* P. Kučera,
ass. nova hoc loco**

Original diagnosis: Kanka (2008), p. 189–192, tab. 9, rel. 14–18.

Nomenclatural type: Kanka (2008), p. 189, tab. 9, rel. 18, holotypus hoc loco.

REFERENCES

- Barančok, P. and Varšavová, M. (1995): Spread and phytocoenological characteristic of cedar pine-spruce forests of Bielowodská dolina Valley in the Vysoké Tatry Mountains. – *Ekológia (Bratislava)* **14**(Suppl. 2/1995): 35–51.
- Horák, J. (1971): Westliche Tatra – Geobiozönosen der oberer Wald- und Krummholzgrenze. – *Přír. Práce Ústavů Českoslov. Akad. Věd v Brně*. **5**(5): 1–47, fig. tab. suppl.
- Kanka, R. (2008): *Lesy Belianskych Tatier*. – Veda, Bratislava, 250 pp., 1 mp.
- Kučera, P. (2017): Two groups of *Pinus cembra* forest communities in the Tatras. – *Acta Bot. Hung.* **59**(3–4): 389–425. <https://doi.org/10.1556/034.59.2017.3-4.7>
- Myczkowski, S. and Lesiński, J. (1974): Rozsiedlenie rodzimych gatunków drzew tatrzańskich. – *Stud. Ośrodka Dokum. Fizjograf.* **3**: 13–70.
- Valachovič, M. (2014): Limbové lesy v Mengusovskej doline (Vysoké Tatry). – *Nat. Tutela* **18**(1): 21–28.
- Vidličková, L. (1989): *Pino cembrae-Piceetum Myczkowski, Lesiński 1974 na území Krížnej doliny v Západných Tatrách*. – *Biológia (Bratislava)* **44**(1): 61–68.
- Weber, H. E., Moravec, J. and Theurillat, J.-P. (2000): International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature. 3rd ed. – *J. Veg. Sci.* **11**(5): 739–768.