

# Is subterranean lifestyle reversible? Independent and recent large-scale dispersal into surface waters by two species of the groundwater amphipod genus *Niphargus*

Denis Copilaş-Ciocianu<sup>1\*</sup>, Cene Fišer<sup>2</sup>, Péter Borza<sup>3</sup> and Adam Petrusek<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Charles University, Faculty of Science, Department of Ecology, Viničná 7, CZ-12844, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>3</sup>Danube Research Institute, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Karolina út 29-31, H-1113 Budapest, Hungary

**Keywords** dispersal, ecological shift, morphology, phylogeography, reversibility, stygobitism

\*Correspondence: Denis Copilaş-Ciocianu, Charles University, Faculty of Science, Department of Ecology, Viničná 7, 12844, Prague, Czech Republic. Fax: +420 - 221 951 673. E-mail: [copilas.denis@gmail.com](mailto:copilas.denis@gmail.com).

## Abstract

Groundwater is an extreme environment due to its absence of light, resource scarcity and highly fragmentary nature. Successful groundwater colonizers underwent major

evolutionary changes and exhibit eye and pigment loss (troglomorphies). Consequently, their chances of dispersal and survival in the well-connected surface waters are greatly decreased, resulting in significant endemism. The West Palaearctic subterranean amphipod genus *Niphargus* comprises hundreds of narrowly endemic and troglomorphic species. Nevertheless, a few are known to occur in surface waters, two of which, *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, have extremely large ranges that even exceed those of many surface-water amphipods. We studied whether this pattern results from a secondary colonization of the relatively well-connected epigean environment, and that this ecological shift promoted the large-scale dispersal of these species. Results showed that despite their ecological and zoogeographic similarities, *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are not closely related and independently colonized surface waters. Their phylogeographic patterns indicate Middle to Late Pleistocene dispersal episodes throughout the Danube lowlands, and relatively modest yet significant genetic differentiation among populations. Clustering based on morphology revealed that the two species are phenotypically closer to each other than they are to most other epigean congeners. We presume that the ecological shift to surface environments was facilitated by their ability to thrive in hypoxic waters where rheophilic competitors from the family Gammaridae cannot survive. In conclusion, our results indicate that adaptation to groundwater is not a one-way evolutionary path and that troglomorphic species can occasionally recolonize and widely disperse in surface waters.

## **1. Introduction**

Groundwater macrofaunal species represent a substantial part of freshwater diversity in Europe (Zagmajster et al., 2014). Among the most remarkable features of groundwater fauna is high endemism (Trontelj et al., 2009; Eme et al., 2017). The key mechanism underlying narrow endemism is weak dispersal, presumably reflecting the physical and

ecological properties of groundwater habitats that are fragmented and poorly connected (Strayer, 1994; Lefébure et al., 2006, 2007; Eme et al., 2013). There are only a few widely distributed groundwater taxa, usually meiofaunal species ( $< 1$  mm), living in better connected environments such as hyporheic alluvial habitats along rivers (Ward and Palmer, 1994). Furthermore, groundwater is a challenging environment due to its permanent darkness and resource scarcity (Gilbert et al., 1994; Hüppop, 2000). Consequently, groundwater species display a suite of convergent adaptations (troglomorphies) such as eye loss, depigmentation, body and appendage elongation, low metabolic rates, and resistance to hypoxia (Malard and Hervant, 1999; Hüppop, 2000). The apparent cost of their specialization is a lower ability to cope with the ecological conditions of photic environments. These are stressful for groundwater inhabitants, due to, e.g., damage from ultraviolet light because of depigmentation (Ginet, 1960; Maguire 1960; Langecker, 2000) or strong interspecific competition from well adapted and more prolific surface-water relatives (Fišer et al., 2007; Sket, 2008; Luštrik et al., 2011). Therefore, subterranean species seem to be restricted to the fragmented subsurface, their dispersal through the better connected surface waters is limited, and species ranges greater than 200 km are exceptional (Trontelj et al., 2009). Thus, these patterns from groundwater are an excellent case illustrating how evolutionary processes can shape macroecological patterns (discussed by Weber et al., 2017).

*Niphargus* is the most diverse genus of freshwater amphipods, comprising over 400 species distributed in West Palaearctic groundwaters (Väinölä et al., 2008; Esmaeili-Rineh et al., 2015; Horton et al., 2017). *Niphargus* species are ecologically diverse, inhabiting almost all types of aquatic subterranean habitats, from deep cave lakes to small pores in the epikarst (Fišer, 2012; Fišer et al., 2006, 2014). Several species are not strictly limited to groundwater and, in addition to permanent subterranean populations they also have stable

populations in surface or ecotonal habitats (Karaman, 1977; Fišer et al., 2006, 2014; Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). The great majority of *Niphargus* species are narrow-range endemics, most being known only from their type localities (Fišer et al., 2008; Eme et al., 2017). Genetic evidence suggests that most of the presumably widespread taxa within *Niphargus* are actually complexes of endemic cryptic species (Lefébure et al., 2006, 2007; Delić et al., 2017).

Two similar species from the middle and lower Danube lowlands (Southeast Europe) remarkably break this general pattern: *N. hrabei* Karaman, 1932 and *N. valachicus* Dobreanu and Manolache, 1933 (Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). They inhabit the muddy, dimly lit and densely vegetated substrate near the shores of slow-flowing or stagnant water bodies and have rarely been reported from groundwater habitats (Mejering et al., 1995; Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a and references therein). This, coupled with the seasonal life-cycle of *N. valachicus* (Copilaş-Ciocianu and Boroş, 2016), implies they are more tied to the surface than to the subterranean environment. The two species have the widest known geographical ranges of any niphargid (>1300 km), rivalling those of many epigean amphipods (Neseman et al., 1995; Borza et al., 2015; Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). They exhibit a shallow genetic divergence among distant populations (Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a) in comparison with other amphipods from the same region (Meleg et al., 2013; Copilaş-Ciocianu and Petrusek 2015, 2017), suggesting relatively efficient dispersal in the recent past, though details about their phylogeographic histories and dispersal mechanism are unknown.

Based on previous phylogenetic studies (e.g. McInerney et al., 2014; Esmaeili-Rineh et al., 2015; Delić et al., 2016), it appears that surface-water affinity in *Niphargus* might not be the ancestral condition, indicating that surface dwelling species could be derived from subterranean ancestors. However, this assumption has neither been postulated

nor tested. The epigean lifestyle of *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* coupled with their troglomorphic phenotypes further point out that they secondarily colonized surface-waters. This secondary transition to ecotonal / epigean habitats might explain the large geographic ranges of both species. Therefore, the first aim of our study was to investigate if indeed surface-water *Niphargus* species are derived from groundwater ancestors and if so, to infer how many surface colonization events have occurred during the evolutionary history of the genus. Second, we examined the phylogeographic consequences of surface colonization by inferring the spatio-temporal dispersal patterns of *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*. Finally, we tested whether the similar ecology of these species is reflected in their morphological similarity.

## **2. Material and methods**

### *2.1. Sampling, laboratory protocols, sequence alignment and assembly of datasets*

Specimens were collected throughout the distribution range of both species between 2009 and 2016 by sweeping a hand net through the dense riparian vegetation of various water bodies. After collection, animals were fixed in 95% ethanol. Depending on sample size, between one and six individuals per sampling locality were molecularly analysed. A total of 19 and 38 localities for *N. hrabei* (54 individuals) and *N. valachicus* (111 individuals), respectively, were investigated (Fig. 1, Table S1).

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Genomic DNA Mini Kit for tissue (Geneaid Biotech Ltd, Taipei). For phylogeographic purposes we used fast evolving mitochondrial and nuclear markers as they can provide phylogenetic resolution at fine spatio-temporal scales. As such, we sequenced a part of the mitochondrial gene for cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and a substantial fraction of the nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS1, 5.8S rRNA and ITS2). These markers proved useful in a preliminary study of the genetic

variation in the two focal species (Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). Amplification of the COI and ITS fragments followed protocols of Copilaş-Ciocianu et al. (2017a) and Flot et al. (2010a), respectively. For phylogenetic purposes we additionally sequenced two parts of the large ribosomal subunit (28S) and the histone H3 gene (H3), following the protocols in Fišer et al. (2013). These nuclear markers are more conserved and provided sufficient resolution for uncovering the nipargid phylogenetic relationships (e.g. Trontelj et al. 2012, Fišer et al., 2013). Details about primers are provided in Table S3. The length of amplified fragments, and numbers of variable and parsimony informative sites are presented in Table S4.

The protein-coding COI and H3 sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013) and checked for possible evidence of pseudogenes (i.e., presence of stop codons or reading frame shifts) by subsequent amino acid translation. The ITS and 28S fragments were aligned with MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the Q-INS-i option (Katoh and Toh, 2008). Indels and regions of questionable homology in the 28S marker were identified and removed with GBLOCKS 0.9 (Talavera and Castresana, 2007). Double peaks in the ITS chromatograms (indicating heterozygosity or multiple gene copies) were coded according to the IUPAC ambiguity codes and haplotypes were phased with SeqPHASE (Flot, 2010) and PHASE (Stephens et al., 2001). Contigs were assembled using DNA Baser 4 (Heracle BioSoft 2013; [www.DnaBaser.com](http://www.DnaBaser.com)).

For the analyses of phylogeography, we complemented the newly obtained dataset of both species (41 and 100 individuals of *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, respectively) with additional COI and ITS data from previous studies (Flot et al., 2014; Copilaş-Ciocianu et al., 2017a) (see Table S1). For phylogenetic analyses, we gathered a large dataset comprising 157 ingroup taxa from 21 previous studies (see Table S2). We used the family

Pseudoniphargidae as an outgroup since it is a sister clade to niphargids (Jurado-Rivera et al., unpublished). The concatenated supermatrix contained 28% missing data.

## 2.2. Phylogeny, topology tests and ancestral state reconstruction

Phylogenetic analyses were carried out to investigate the phylogenetic position of *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* within the genus and to infer whether their presence in surface waters is due to a secondary colonization from subterranean habitats. Potential loss of phylogenetic signal due to substitution saturation at the COI marker was inspected using the test of Xia et al. (2003) implemented in DAMBE 5.3 (Xia and Xie, 2003).

PartitionFinder 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al., 2012) was used to determine the best fitting evolutionary models and partitioning schemes by employing the greedy algorithm and the Bayesian information criterion. Models and partitions are shown in Table S4.

We used Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum-likelihood (ML) approaches to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships within *Niphargus* using the concatenated supermatrix approach in BEAST 1.8.0 (Drummond et al., 2012) and RAxML-HPC 8.2.9 (Stamatakis, 2014). For the BEAST analysis we used the initial alignment from which poorly alignable regions in the 28S marker were removed (see previous section). For RAxML we used an alignment which kept these regions and was produced with SATé 2.2.7 (Liu et al., 2009). Further details on analysis settings and evolutionary models are provided in Supplementary Information.

To test whether the two focal taxa that show many ecological, morphological and biogeographic similarities are sister species that represent a single surface water colonization event, an alternative topology where they were constrained to monophyly was compared with the unconstrained phylogeny by applying the Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH;

Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999) and the approximately unbiased tests (AU; Shimodaira, 2002) implemented in the software Treefinder (Jobb, 2011).

We evaluated if, and how many times, groundwater *Niphargus* species secondarily colonized the epigean / shallow subterranean habitat by mapping species habitat onto a phylogenetic tree. The habitat preferences were inferred from available publications and unpublished field data; we treated them as a binary character, simplified to “subterranean” and “surface”. The state “subterranean” refers to an exclusively stygobiotic lifestyle, while “surface” encompasses a broad array of habitats at the boundary between the surface and subterranean environments, including roots of submerged plants, forest ditches, *Sphagnum* moss, springs, and shallow subterranean habitats (hypotelminorheic). Ancestral states were inferred with likelihood and Bayesian methods using 1000 post burn-in trees from the BEAST analysis to account for phylogenetic uncertainty. Likelihood mapping using Markov k-state 1 parameter model was performed in Mesquite 3.04 (Maddison and Maddison, 2015). The character state at the root was estimated from the model (Mesquite default setting) and not constrained as in the original method of likelihood reconstruction (Schluter et al., 1997). Bayesian mapping was performed using Bayes Traits v. 3 (Meade and Pagel, 2016). Priors for evolutionary rates from “surface” to “subterranean” and from “subterranean” to “surface” were drawn from a uniform distribution between 0 and 100. The selection of the optimal model of the evolutionary rates was made by comparison of Bayes factors (function *stepping stone*). The best performing models allowed for traits to vary their rate of evolution within and between branches (function *covarion*, adds one additional parameter to the model) (Table S5). Among these models, there was no significant difference in marginal likelihoods if the transition rates from “surface” to “subterranean” and *vice versa* are identical or not. For this reason, we selected a simpler model (function *restrict*, one parameter less, i.e., forward evolutionary rate is equal to



backward rate); the final model had two parameters (a single evolutionary rate modified by covarion). We ran 1 010 000 iterations, which were sampled every 1000<sup>th</sup> generation with burn-in of 10 000. The analyses were repeated four times to check for the consistency of the results. Acceptance rate between 20-40% was achieved by an automatic tuning method implemented in Bayes Traits v.3 as a default.

### 2.3. Phylogeographic analyses

The number of haplotypes (H), segregating sites (S), haplotype (Hd) and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) and mean number of pairwise nucleotide differences (K) were calculated for *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* and their intraspecific clades (see Results) with DnaSP 5.1 (Librado and Rozas, 2009) using both the COI and ITS markers.

In order to explore intraspecific patterns of genetic diversity, we constructed haplotype networks and time-calibrated phylogenetic trees. The models and partitions were estimated with PartitionFinder 1.1.1 and are shown in Table S4. Haplotype networks were constructed for both COI and phased ITS sequences with Haploviewer (Salzburger et al., 2011); maximum likelihood trees inferred with MEGA 6 with the models presented in Table S4 were used as input. Unique haplotypes were selected with the online tool FaBox (Villesen, 2007; <http://www.birc.au.dk/software/fabox>) and were used to build time-calibrated COI gene trees for both species using BEAST 1.8.0. The best fitting coalescent (constant size, logistic, expansion and exponential growth) and clock models (strict and relaxed) were selected using the modified Akaike information criterion (AICM) with moment's estimator (Baele et al., 2012) in TRACER 1.6 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The best clock and coalescent models are shown in Table S6. The MCMC chain was run for 20 million generations and sampled every 1000

generations. Effective sample size of parameters was checked using TRACER 1.6; values of at least 200 were considered appropriate. The first 20% of trees were discarded as burn-in and the maximum clade credibility tree was built using TreeAnnotator 1.8.0 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007).

The main goal of the dating analysis was to provide an approximate estimation of the time frame of dispersal (i.e. Pleistocene vs. Pliocene or Miocene) and not to pinpoint specific historical factors responsible for the dispersal events. Because the rates of molecular evolution seem to be time-dependent, intraspecific rates can evolve faster than interspecific ones (Ho et al., 2005, 2011; but see Emerson and Hickerson, 2015). This phenomenon has been observed in malacostracans as well, where the intraspecific clock rates vary from 6.58% Ma<sup>-1</sup> (mantis shrimp *Haptosquilla oulchella*, Crandall et al., 2012) to very fast post-glacial rates of up to 27% Ma<sup>-1</sup> (mysid *Mysis salemaai*, Audzijonyte and Väinölä, 2006). However, because we do not have any strong *a priori* assumption of fast post-glacial rates, we prefer the 6.58% Ma<sup>-1</sup> rate as it is intermediary between the interspecific rate of 2.3% Ma<sup>-1</sup>, commonly used in dating amphipod divergence (e.g. Lefébure et al., 2006; Copilaş-Ciocianu and Petrusek 2015), and the other extreme of very fast intraspecific post-glacial rate of 27% Ma<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the magnitude of divergence and the time scale of our study are also intermediary (see Results).

The following landscape genetic analyses were performed on the COI marker because it had a greater variability than ITS and sufficient sample size. To test for a pattern of isolation by distance (IBD), we performed a Mantel test in the software Alleles In Space (Miller 2005) using pairwise p-distance values as a measure of genetic distance and 1000 replicates. The genetic population structure was examined using an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al., 1992) in ARLEQUIN 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) by grouping the sampling sites according to the main river drainages. Tests were

performed with Tamura-Nei distances and significance was assessed using 10 000 permutations. Population differentiation among drainages was investigated using pairwise fixation indices ( $\Phi_{ST}$ ; Weir and Cockerham, 1984) computed with the Tamura-Nei model in ARLEQUIN. Significance levels were assessed using 10 000 permutations. Correction for multiple testing was performed with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) in the software SGoF+ (Carvajal-Rodriguez and de Uña-Alvarez, 2011). In the case of *N. hrabei*, four individuals were removed from the AMOVA and  $\Phi_{ST}$  analyses as they were the only representatives of their respective drainages.

The historical demographic patterns of both species were explored using three approaches based on the COI data. First, to examine if a demographic expansion took place recently, we employed three neutrality tests: Tajima's *D* (Tajima, 1989) and Fu's *F<sub>s</sub>* (Fu, 1997), implemented in ARLEQUIN, and *R<sub>2</sub>* (Ramos-Onsins and Rozas, 2002) in DnaSP 5.1. Their statistical significance was evaluated using 10 000 simulated samples. As a second test for demographic expansion, we calculated mismatch distributions (Rogers and Harpending, 1992) under a sudden-expansion model in ARLEQUIN with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The validity of this model was assessed using the sum of squared deviations (SSD) and Harpending's raggedness statistic (*H<sub>r</sub>*; Harpending, 1994). Third, Bayesian skyline plot analyses (BSP; Drummond et al., 2005) were employed in BEAST 1.8.0 in order to visualize demographic changes through time. The same clock rate and evolutionary models were used as for the time calibrated phylogenetic analyses (see above). The MCMC chain was run for 50 million generations, sampled every 1000 generations and the first 10% of trees were discarded as burn-in. The analyses were repeated three times in order to ensure convergence on the same result. Effective sample sizes were checked with TRACER 1.6.

To explore the origin and dispersal history of each species while accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty, we used continuous Bayesian phylogeographic diffusion models (Lemey et al., 2010) implemented in BEAST 1.8.0. The analysis was based on the COI marker and we used the same settings as for the time-calibrated phylogenetic analyses. Best fitting diffusion models are presented in Table S7 and further details are provided in the Supplementary Information.

#### 2.4. *Detection of cryptic lineages*

Considering the wide and fragmented range of the studied species, we used the COI and ITS markers to investigate if they are composed of independently evolving cryptic lineages. For the COI dataset (encompassing all individuals of both species) we used a Bayesian implementation of the Poisson tree process on the bPTP server (<http://species.h-its.org/ptp>) (Zhang et al., 2013). The input phylogenetic tree was generated with PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) using the evolutionary models in Table S4. We ran the analysis for 300 000 MCMC iterations with a thinning of 100 and 20% burn-in. Due to the fact that putative heterozygous individuals were present in the ITS dataset (see Results), potential cryptic lineages were investigated using Doyle's concept of species as fields for recombination (FFRs; Doyle 1995), i.e. assuming that species are characterized by mutual allelic exclusivity. For this purpose, we transformed the haplotype network of phased ITS sequences (obtained as indicated above) into a haploweb by connecting the haplotypes which were co-occurring in heterozygous individuals (Flot et al., 2010b). Interconnection among all haplotypes indicates a common gene pool, and therefore conspecificity under the FFR concept, while groups of haplotypes which are not interconnected might indicate cryptic species or cessation of gene-flow among geographically isolated populations.

## 2.5. Morphological analyses

In order to test the hypothesis that similar ecology leads to morphological similarity of the two focal species, we analyzed a dataset of 81 *Niphargus* species, of which 15 live in similar habitats as the two focal ones (Tables S2, S8). We measured between 1 and 10 individuals per species. For the analyses we used only adult males; females were considered only in those species that show no sexual dimorphism and only when males were not available. We analyzed 35 traits describing in detail the body shape and size, appendage length, and spine patterns (Fišer et al., 2009) (Table S8). We calculated mean values for the traits for the species and prior to the analysis transformed the data as follows: 1) the number of spines and the body lengths were log-transformed, and 2) in order to remove the impact of body size, all length-measures were regressed onto body lengths, and standardized residuals were calculated. We inferred morphological similarity from cluster analyses using squared Euclidean distances and Ward's agglomeration method. All analyses were run using SPSS ver. 20 (IBM Corp 2011).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Phylogeny, topology tests and ancestral state reconstruction

The substitution saturation test indicated no significant saturation at the COI marker ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Both ML and BI analyses on the concatenated dataset revealed similar results. The removal or retention of poorly alignable regions in the 28S marker did not influence the overall phylogenetic pattern (Fig. S1). The topology of the phylogeny is largely congruent with the recent phylogenetic reconstructions of the genus by recovering the same major clades (e.g. McInerney et al., 2014; Esmaeili-Rineh et al., 2015; Delić et al., 2016). All the species inhabiting surface-water habitats, including *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, were recovered in the same major clade; however, the two focal species are not

in a sister relationship (Figs 1, S1). The position of *N. valachicus* is recovered at the base of a clade that mostly contains surface-water species, though the support for the clade is not high in the ML analysis. In contrast, *N. hrabei* is clustered together with strong support with two subterranean species, *N. plateaui* and *N. puteanus* (Figs 1, S1). The sister relation of both species (monophyly) was rejected by both the SH and AU tests at  $p = 0.036$  and  $p = 0.023$ , respectively (likelihood unconstrained = -60408.49, AICc = 121554; likelihood constrained = -60430.26, AICc = 121598).

All ancestral state reconstruction methods support the hypothesis that the ancestor of Niphargidae and Pseudoniphargidae was a subterranean species (Fig. 1, Table S9). Both families apparently diversified in the subterranean environment. Both likelihood and Bayesian mapping indicate that the probability of ancestors having lived in a subterranean environment is above 0.95 across all basal splits. Bayesian mapping suggests that the surface and/or shallow subterranean environments were colonized at least four times independently (Fig. 1; nodes 7, 9, 11 and 13), when the probability for a subterranean ancestor abruptly fell below 0.58. However, the likelihood analysis was less conservative and indicated that ecological change took place along terminal branches, i.e. there might have been even more transitions to surface / shallow subterranean environments (Table S9). In the case of *N. hrabei*, the transition occurred along the terminal branch of the tree, while in the case of *N. valachicus*, the transition probably took place in the common ancestor of clade 11. In any case, these results coupled with the topology of the tree indicate that both focal species colonized surface habitats independently from each other.

### 3.2. Phylogeography

The COI marker was represented by 12 and 42 haplotypes in *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, respectively, and the ITS marker by 6 and 23 haplotypes (Table 1). Eight out of the 22

analysed individuals (36%) were heterozygous at the ITS locus in *N. hrabei*, and 26 out of 40 individuals (65%) were heterozygous in *N. valachicus* (Table 1). The geographical distribution of haplotypes is shown in Fig. 2. Haplotype and nucleotide diversity, and mean number of pairwise nucleotide differences were higher in *N. valachicus* than in *N. hrabei* at both markers (Table 1).

The haplotype networks based on COI indicate that both species have a geographically structured genetic variation, most haplotypes apparently being endemic in relatively narrow parts of the species' ranges (Fig 2A, B). This pattern was less pronounced at the ITS marker where a single haplotype was widespread across the entire range in each of the species (nH1 in *H. hrabei* and nV1 in *N. valachicus*). However, groups of locally restricted haplotypes could also be observed (Fig. 2C, D). The intraspecific COI time-calibrated trees (assuming the COI rate of 6.58 Ma<sup>-1</sup>) indicate that *N. hrabei* has a shorter mean coalescence time (103 ka, 95% HPD: 56–152 ka) than *N. valachicus* (353 ka, 95% HPD: 214–504 ka) (Fig. 3A). No strongly supported intraspecific lineages could be observed in *N. hrabei*; however, *N. valachicus* was composed of two distinct clades: clade A distributed in the Pannonian lowlands and clade B distributed in the SE parts of the Pannonian lowlands (where it partly overlaps with clade A), Wallachian Plain, Danube Delta and northern Turkey (Fig 1B).

The IBD test revealed a highly significant relationship between geographic and genetic distances in both species (*N. hrabei*,  $r^2=0.43$ ; *N. valachicus*  $r^2=0.37$ ;  $p<0.0001$  in both species), indicating that dispersal is limited (Fig. S2). The AMOVA analysis indicated that most of the observed variation is explained by differences among drainages (*N. hrabei*: 80.05%,  $\Phi_{CT} = 0.80$ ,  $p=0.007$ ; *N. valachicus*: 58.5%,  $\Phi_{CT} = 0.58$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ) (Table 2). Drainages are listed in Tables S10 and S11. Pairwise  $\Phi_{ST}$  distances indicated a significant genetic differentiation at COI among most of the main drainages, with 90% and 82% of all

pairwise comparisons being statistically significant in *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, respectively (Tables S10 and S11).

The three demographic tests (Tajima's  $D$ , Fu's  $F_s$  and  $R_2$ ) were applied to each species and to each of the two clades of *N. valachicus*. In the case of *N. hrabei*, only Fu's  $F_s$  was statistically negatively significant ( $p = 0.031$ ), however, the other two tests were close to the significance threshold (Tajima's  $D$   $p = 0.056$ ;  $R_2$   $p = 0.083$ ), indicating recent population expansion (Table 1). In *N. valachicus* overall and in its clade A none of the tests were significant, suggesting a stable populations size, but population expansion was indicated in clade B as Fu's  $F_s$  was significantly negative ( $p < 0.0001$ ), while the other two tests were close to statistical significance (Tajima's  $D$   $p = 0.061$ ;  $R_2$   $p = 0.06$ ) (Table 1). The mismatch distribution of haplotype pairwise differences was unimodal in *N. hrabei* and the SSD and Hri tests indicate no significant departure from the assumption of rapid population expansion (Fig. 3B, Table 1). In the case of *N. valachicus*, the overall mismatch distribution was bimodal with the SSD and Hri having no statistically significant values (Fig. 3B, Table 1). Clade A had a multimodal mismatch distribution while clade B was unimodal (Fig. 3B). The SSD and Hri tests were not significant in the former, but the Hri was significant in the latter (Table 1).

Taken together the evidence points to a relatively recent and rapid population expansion in *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* clade B and a stable population size in clade A. The BSP indicates a population growth in *N. hrabei* during the last 50 ka with an increase in the last 10-15 ka (Fig. 3B). In *N. valachicus* a population growth took place ca. 100 ka, followed by a decline during the Last Glacial Maximum (ca. 25 ka) and another growth during the last 5-10 ka (Fig. 3B).

The different Bayesian phylogeographic diffusion models produced congruent results regarding the presumed past dispersal patterns. The analyses suggest the origin of



*N. hrabei*'s dispersal is in the lower Danube lowlands, ca. 90 ka (Wallachian Plain, S Romania). From there, it has subsequently spread to the west and east multiple times along the Danube River and only recently, likely postglacially, arrived to the upper parts of the river basin (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the dispersal of *N. valachicus* apparently started earlier, in the southwest of the Pannonian lowlands in northern Croatia, ca. 350 ka. It spread to the east, along the Sava and Drava rivers, reaching the Danube and the Wallachian Plain somewhere between 100 and 200 ka. It reached northern Turkey and the north of the Pannonian Plain only in the last 100 ka, possibly postglacially (Fig. 4B). Although we acknowledge a substantial uncertainty of the absolute values, the results based on a conservative mutation rate strongly suggest that dispersal of these species is relatively recent (the second half of the Pleistocene) and that *N. valachicus* has occupied the Danube lowlands before *N. hrabei*.

### 3.3. Absence of cryptic lineages

Despite the fact that both species have wide and fragmented ranges, the COI and ITS data do not indicate the existence of reproductively separated lineages. The bPTP analysis based on COI supports the conspecificity of all analysed populations in both species (posterior probability of 0.5 and 0.8 in *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*, respectively) (Fig. S3A). Furthermore, the haploweb analysis based on ITS indicates that many of the analysed individuals share the same haplotype (nH1 in *H. hrabei* and nV1 in *N. valachicus*) and all haplotypes co-occurring in heterozygous individuals are interconnected (Fig. S3B), indicating a common gene pool.

### 3.4. Morphological analyses

The morphological analysis split all 81 analyzed species into two major clusters (Fig. 5). One cluster comprises species living in the stagnant water of permanently flooded parts of karstic massifs while the second includes species found in flowing water, interstitial and surface habitats. The first cluster is further split into three subclusters corresponding to lake, lake giant and daddy-longlegs ecomorphs (Trontelj et al., 2012). The second cluster has a more complex structure and its two principal subclades are split even further. Species occurring in surface habitats were clustered into four separate groups. *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* along with *N. elegans* from northern Italy were clustered together with small bodied, interstitial and epikarst taxa (small pore ecomorph *sensu* Trontelj et al., 2012). Apparently, they are not very similar to the other species occurring in surface habitats that were rather clustered together with cave stream species (cave stream ecomorph *sensu* Trontelj et al., 2012) (Fig. 5).

#### 4. Discussion

Our results indicate that, despite their ecological and morphological similarities, *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are not closely related. Their phylogenetic position and reconstructed ancestral states imply independent colonization of surface waters from subterranean ancestors. Their phylogeographies reveal large-scale dispersal across the Danube lowlands throughout the Pleistocene, and their morphologies are more similar to each other than to most other congeners known to occur in surface-water habitats. These results indicate that the habitat shift from ground- to surface waters enabled the dispersal and range expansion of these species. Below we discuss the possible factors that have facilitated this ecological shift and examine the biogeographical histories of these two species.

##### 4.1. Reversal to surface waters

It appears that the ecological barrier between subsurface and surface is weaker than previously thought for troglomorphic species. Reversal to surface habitats from subterranean ancestors has been proposed so far in typhlochactid scorpions (Prendini et al., 2010) and in phalangopsid crickets (Desutter-Grandcolas, 1997), but both studies relied on morphology only, and did not test this phenomenon on molecular phylogenies. To our knowledge, our study is the first to use a molecular phylogeny to test whether troglomorphic subterranean species can recolonize surface habitats. In the case of *Niphargus*, all of the surface-water species belong to a large, morphologically and ecologically diverse clade.

Although most of the surface-water *Niphargus* species have known populations both in subterranean and surface / ecotonal habitats (Fišer C. et al., 2006; 2010b; 2014; Fišer Ž. et al., 2015), *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are probably the most detached from the subterranean environment as they have much larger ranges and a far greater number of documented occurrences in surface versus groundwater (Copilaș-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). Therefore, it appears that there were at least two independent colonization events of truly surface-waters and multiple shifts to ecotonal habitats from subterranean ancestors during the evolutionary history of *Niphargus*.

According to the molecular dating analysis of McInerney et al. (2014), the clade that contains the surface-water species has radiated during the Late Eocene. This is in accordance with the amber fossil evidence which indicates that some species of *Niphargus* were already living in surface freshwaters during this time period (Coleman and Myers, 2000; Jazdzewski and Kupryjanowicz, 2010). Thus, the evidence indicates that there were recurrent colonization events of surface waters during the evolutionary history of the genus. Moreover, we cannot rule out a scenario that the ancestors of some clades for a

certain period lived and dispersed in ecotonal habitats, leading to a secondary colonization of subterranean waters.

The occurrence of a high number of troglomorphic groundwater species has been long documented at the surface/subsurface boundary (shallow subterranean habitats; Culver and Pipan, 2014). It is generally thought that they are ancestral forms which represent the initial stages of colonization of the deeper subterranean realm (Culver and Pipan, 2009, 2014). However, our results add to the growing body of evidence which indicates that the opposite is also possible. Nevertheless, the factors that promoted the ecological shift to shallow subterranean and eventually surface habitats are unknown. The persistence of troglomorphic species in ecotonal habitats is probably determined by the greater availability of nutritional resources (assumed by Sket, 2008; Culver and Pipan, 2009, 2014; Fišer et al., 2010a), but their presence in epigean habitats can be realized in rare circumstances in which competitors are absent or scarce (Humphreys, 2000; Prendini et al., 2010). Indeed, the distribution of troglomorphic species in surface environments seems to be limited by competition, predation and risk of ultraviolet radiation exposure due to irreversible adaptations such as lack of eyes and pigment (reviewed in Fišer C. et al., 2014; Fišer Ž. et al., 2016).

*N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are bound to the muddy, dimly lit and densely vegetated bottom of stagnant or slow flowing lowland waters (Copilaș-Ciocianu et al., 2017a and references therein). These habitats are characterized by eutrophic conditions, high temperature and frequent hypoxia (Junk et al., 1989; Parr and Mason 2004; Graeber et al., 2013) and are avoided by the presumably ecologically closest competitors, the oxyphilic and mostly rheophilous gammarid amphipods (Mejering, 1991; Mejering et al., 1995; Henry and Danielopol, 1999; Copilaș-Ciocianu et al., 2014; Copilaș-Ciocianu and Boroș, 2016; Borza et al., 2017; Mauchart et al., 2017). Experimental evidence has shown

that nipharids can tolerate hypoxia and other adverse environmental conditions much better than surface-water gammarids (Danielopol et al., 1994; Hervant et al., 1995; Malard and Hervant, 1999; Coppellotti Krupa and Guidolin, 2003; Simčič et al., 2005, 2006; Flot et al., 2014). Therefore, it seems that *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* might have a competitive edge over gammarids in these habitats; however, experimental proof is needed in this particular case.

Furthermore, it appears that gammarids of the genus *Gammarus* survived Pleistocene glaciation episodes in montane refugia from where only a few species regionally dispersed to lower elevations (Copilaș-Ciocianu and Petrusek 2015, 2017; Copilaș-Ciocianu et al., 2017b). *G. roeselii* and some invasive Ponto-Caspian species, which are common in the Danube lowlands, have dispersed only postglacially or even in historical times from the Balkans or the Black Sea (Barnard and Barnard, 1983; de Vaate et al., 2002; Cristescu et al., 2004; Rewicz et al., 2015). We therefore hypothesise that the colonization of surface waters was possible because of the general and historical scarcity or even absence of gammarid competitors in the habitats in which *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* thrive.

#### 4.2. Morphological evolution

The similarity of species living at the boundary between the surface and subterranean ecosystems was noted earlier and some authors even considered them as members of own subgenus or species group (Karaman 1950; Sket 1958, Straškraba 1972a). Our results imply that this similarity is of convergent origin due to multiple independent colonization events of the epigean / ecotonal environment. However, this ecological shift does not always lead to the same phenotype: secondary colonizers of the surface-subterranean boundary are grouped into two morphological clusters. Interestingly, the two focal species

cluster together with *N. elegans*, another nearly entirely surface-water species with a large range, widespread across the northern Italian lowlands (Karaman 1977). The astonishing similarities in their morphology, ecology, and range-size deserve further attention. A key question arising from this pattern is whether the large range size is a result of an enhanced possibility of passive dispersal connected with transition to better-connected habitats (see below), or whether some morphological traits may be (indirectly) linked with dispersal capacity. Thus, the functional links between morphological similarities, ecological conditions and dispersal ability remain yet to be explored.

#### 4.3. Phylogeography and dispersal

According to our phylogeny, *N. hrabei* is a sister species to *N. plateaui*, a taxon known from western France. Such a vast distance (ca. 1500 km) between these species might indicate a long-range dispersal in the past, or that additional related lineages remain undiscovered or went extinct. On the other hand, *N. valachicus* belongs to a clade of northern Dinaric species which are known to occur in surface-water habitats. This agrees with its SW Pannonian dispersal origin, which is in geographical proximity to its relatives.

If we consider the scenario of relatively conservative mutation rates, coalescence times for both species correspond with periods of warm interglacial stages. Haplotypes of *N. hrabei* coalesce ca. 100 ka (Eemian), roughly corresponding with the Marine Isotopic Stage 5d (ca. 109 ka), while coalescence time for *N. valachicus* is ca. 350 ka, corresponding with the Marine Isotopic Stage 9 (ca. 337 ka) (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), suggesting that their dispersal may have started during these warmer periods. In the case of *N. hrabei*, its initial Eemian expansion would coincide with the expansion of *Fagus sylvatica* in Central and Southern Europe (Magri et al., 2006). The presence of *N. valachicus* in isolated streams along the Black Sea coast is likely explained by the lower

water levels and freshwater conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum (Ryan et al., 1997; Bahr et al., 2006; Georgievski and Stanev, 2006). Our data reveal that *N. valachicus* has reached northern Turkey very recently, possibly during the Holocene, but definitely before the last connection with the saline Mediterranean ca. 7-9 ka (Federov, 1971; Ryan et al., 1997; Badertscher et al., 2011). During this time the shelf of the Black Sea was exposed, forming vast deltaic systems that probably facilitated coastal dispersal (Federov, 1971; Ryan et al., 1997). The presence of this species along the Caspian Sea shores in Iran (Karaman, 1998) might be explained by the frequent Pleistocene connections with the Black Sea which have facilitated biotic interchange (Leonov et al., 2002; Grigorovich et al., 2003; Badertscher et al., 2011). However, it is not yet known if the Iranian populations are indeed conspecific with *N. valachicus*.

Although we urge caution in interpreting absolute dates, these results confidently refute previous hypotheses according to which *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* invaded freshwater from the brackish Paratethys Sea during the Late Miocene (Straškraba 1972b; Sket 1981) and are in accordance with the preliminary data presented in our previous study (Copilaș-Ciocianu et al., 2017a). A faster mutation rate would, of course, indicate an even more recent expansion, and even two to three times slower rate would not push coalescence times further back than the Pleistocene. The Pannonian clade of *N. valachicus* (clade A) seems to have had a stable demographic history throughout the Late Pleistocene as opposed to clade B and *N. hrabei* which exhibit substantial recent demographic growth. The stable demography of clade A is in agreement with the emerging view that the Pannonian Basin functioned as a glacial refugium for a wide array of taxa, ranging from aquatic invertebrates and vertebrates to terrestrial plants and mammals (Neumann et al., 2005; Verovnik et al., 2005; Fussi et al., 2010; Antal et al., 2016; Vörös et al., 2016).

The significant correlation between genetic and geographic distances and genetic differentiation among drainages indicates that despite the wide ranges of the focal *Niphargus* species, their dispersal is limited. This apparent contradiction might be explained by the fact that their dispersal is passive and happened throughout relatively long periods of time (ca. 100 ka in *N. hrabei* and 350 ka in *N. valachicus*), although in some parts of their ranges they have very likely experienced postglacial demographic expansion. This indicates that chances for dispersal are not equal in time and the highest probability for spreading could be restricted to favourable climatic periods or linked to rare long-distance dispersal events. Given that freshwater amphipods are usually poor and passive dispersers, their dispersal among different water bodies could be achieved either by animal vectors – especially waterfowl and aquatic mammals (Peck, 1975; Swanson, 1984; Rachalewski et al., 2013) – or during flooding episodes (e.g. Van Leeuwen et al., 2013). In any case, the large expanses of flat and homogeneous relief with interconnected water bodies of the Danube floodplains seem to have facilitated the range expansion of these species. The same pattern might be true for *N. elegans*, a species which is widespread throughout the floodplains of the Po River in northern Italy (Karaman, 1977) and also exhibits low intraspecific genetic divergence (Fabio Stoch, pers. comm.).

Considering that groundwater habitats are poorly connected in comparison to surface ones, the occurrence of at least two independent large-scale dispersal events of troglomorphic species in surface waters indicates that habitat connectivity might play a greater role in limiting dispersal than species' ecology or biology.

## 5. Conclusion

Our results strongly indicate that more than one invasion of surface waters and even more shifts to the surface/subsurface boundary from subterranean ancestors have occurred



during the evolutionary history of *Niphargus*. Furthermore, we show for the first time that troglomorphic species are capable of relatively rapid and large-scale dispersal in surface waters. This indicates that adaptation to groundwater might not be a one-way evolutionary path and subterranean troglomorphic species can occasionally recolonize and widely disperse in surface waters.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Murat Sezgin, Monika Hess and Wolfram Graf for providing material, Marius G. Berchi for his help during the fieldwork, José A. Jurado-Rivera and Damià Jaume for kindly providing *Pseudoniphargus* sequences, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions. Andrew Meade kindly changed the code in Bayes Traits such that we could reconstruct ancestral states under the *covarion* model. This study was supported by the Charles University Grant Agency, project no. 1398214, and Slovenian Research Agency, program P1-0184.

## References

- Antal, L., László, B., Kotlík, P., Mozsár, A., Czeglédi, I., Oldal, M., Kemenesi, G., Jakab, F., Nagy, S.A., 2016. Phylogenetic evidence for a new species of *Barbus* in the Danube River basin. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 96, 187–194. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2015.11.023
- Audzijonyte, A., Väinölä, R., 2006. Phylogeographic analyses of a circumarctic coastal and a boreal lacustrine mysid crustacean, and evidence of fast postglacial mtDNA rates. *Mol. Ecol.* 15, 3287–3301. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02998.x
- Baele, G., Lemey, P., Bedford, T., Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Alekseyenko, A.V., 2012. Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model comparison

618 while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 2157–2167.  
 619 doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss084  
 620 Badertscher, S., Fleitmann, D., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Göktürk, O.M., Zumbühl, A.,  
 621 Leuenberger, M., Tüysüz, O., 2011. Pleistocene water intrusions from the  
 622 Mediterranean and Caspian seas into the Black Sea. *Nat. Geosci.* 4, 236–239.  
 623 doi:10.1038/ngeo1106  
 624 Bahr, A., Arz, H.W., Lamy, F., Wefer, G., 2006. Late glacial to Holocene  
 625 paleoenvironmental evolution of the Black Sea, reconstructed with stable oxygen  
 626 isotope records obtained on ostracod shells. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 241, 863–875.  
 627 doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.10.036  
 628 Barnard, J.L., Barnard, C.M., 1983. *Freshwater Amphipoda of the World*. Hayfield  
 629 Associates, Mt. Vernon, Virginia.  
 630 Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and  
 631 powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* 57, 289–300.  
 632 Borza, P., Huber, T., Leitner, P., Remund, N., Graf, W., 2017. Current velocity shapes co-  
 633 existence patterns among invasive *Dikerogammarus* species. *Freshwater Biol.* 62,  
 634 317–328. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12869  
 635 Borza, P., Csányi, B., Huber, T., Leitner, P., Paunović, M., Remund, N., Szekeres, J., Graf,  
 636 W., 2015 Longitudinal distributional patterns of Peracarida (Crustacea,  
 637 Malacostraca) in the River Danube. *Fundam. Appl. Limnol.* 187, 113–126. doi:  
 638 10.1127/fal/2015/0769  
 639 Carvajal-Rodriguez, A., de Uña-Alvarez, J., 2011. Assessing significance in high  
 640 throughput experiments by sequential goodness of fit and q-value estimation. *PLoS*  
 641 *ONE*, 6, e24700. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024700

642 Coleman, C.O., Myers, A.A., 2000. New Amphipoda from Baltic amber. Pol. Arch.  
 643 Hydrobiol. 47, 457–464.

644 Coppellotti Krupa, O., Guidolin, L., 2003. Responses of *Niphargus montellianus* and  
 645 *Gammarus balcanicus* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from karst waters to heavy metal  
 646 exposure. J. Phys. IV 107, 323–326. doi: 10.1051/jp4:20030307

647 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Grabowski, M., Pârvulescu, L., Petrusek, A., 2014. Zoogeography  
 648 of epigeal freshwater Amphipoda (Crustacea) in Romania: fragmented distributions  
 649 and wide altitudinal variability. Zootaxa 3893, 243–260. doi:  
 650 10.11646/zootaxa.3893.2.5

651 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Petrusek, A., 2015. The southwestern Carpathians as an ancient  
 652 centre of diversity of freshwater gammarid amphipods: insights from the *Gammarus*  
 653 *fossarum* species complex. Mol. Ecol. 24, 3980–3992. doi: 10.1111/mec.13286

654 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Boroș, B.V., 2016. Contrasting life history strategies in a  
 655 phylogenetically diverse community of freshwater amphipods (Crustacea:  
 656 Malacostraca). Zoology 119, 21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.zool.2015.11.001

657 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Petrusek, A., 2017. Phylogeography of a freshwater crustacean  
 658 species complex reflects a long-gone archipelago. J. Biogeogr. 44, 421–432.  
 659 doi:10.1111/jbi.12853

660 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Fišer, C., Borza, P., Balázs, G., Angyal, D., Petrusek, A., 2017a.  
 661 Low intraspecific genetic divergence and weak niche differentiation despite wide  
 662 ranges and extensive sympatry in two epigeal *Niphargus* species (Crustacea:  
 663 Amphipoda). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. doi: 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlw031

664 Copilaș-Ciocianu, D., Rutová, T., Pařil, P., Petrusek, A., 2017b. Epigeal gammarids  
 665 survived millions of years of severe climatic fluctuations in high latitude refugia

666 throughout the Western Carpathians. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 112, 218–229. doi:  
667 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.04.027

668 Crandall, E.D., Sbrocco, E.J., DeBoer, T.S., Barber, P.H., Carpenter, K.E., 2012.  
669 Expansion dating: calibrating molecular clocks in marine species from expansions  
670 onto the Sunda Shelf following the Last Glacial Maximum. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29,  
671 707–719. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr227

672 Cristescu, M.E.A., Witt, J.D.S., Grigorovich, I.A., Hebert, P.D.N., MacIsaac, H.J., 2004.  
673 Dispersal of the Ponto-Caspian amphipod *Echinogammarus ischnus*: invasion waves  
674 from the Pleistocene to the present. *Heredity* 92, 197–203. doi:  
675 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800395

676 Culver, D.C., Pipan, T., 2009. Superficial subterranean habitats – gateway to the  
677 subterranean realm? *Cave Karst Sci.* 35, 5–12.

678 Culver, D.C., Pipan T., 2014. Shallow Subterranean Habitats. *Ecology, Evolution, and*  
679 *Conservation*. New York, Oxford University Press.

680 Danielopol, D.L., Creuzé des Châtelliers, M., Mösslacher, F., Pospisil, P., Popa, R., 1994.  
681 Adaptation of crustacea to interstitial habitats: A practical agenda for ecological  
682 studies. In: Gilbert, J., Danielopol, D.L., Stanford, J.A. (Eds.), *Groundwater Ecology*.  
683 Academic Press, New York, pp. 217–243.

684 Delić, T., Trontelj, P., Zakšek, V., Fišer, C., 2016. Biotic and abiotic determinants of  
685 appendage length evolution in a cave amphipod. *J. Zool.* 299, 42–50. doi:  
686 10.1111/jzo.12318

687 Delić, T., Trontelj, P., Rendoš, M., Fišer, C., 2017. The importance of naming cryptic  
688 species and the conservation of endemic subterranean amphipods. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 3391.  
689 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02938-z

690 Desutter-Grandcolas, L., 1997. Studies in cave life evolution: a rationale for future  
 691 theoretical developments using phylogenetic inference. *J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res.* 35,  
 692 23–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.1997.tb00400.x  
 693 Doyle, J.J., 1995. The irrelevance of allele tree topologies for species delimitation, and a  
 694 non-topological alternative. *Syst. Bot.* 20, 574–588. doi: 10.2307/2419811  
 695 Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling  
 696 trees. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7, 214. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-7-214  
 697 Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., Shapiro, B., Pybus, O.G., 2005. Bayesian coalescent  
 698 inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Mol. Bio. Evol.*  
 699 22, 1185–1192. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msi103  
 700 Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A., 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with  
 701 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 1969–1973. doi:  
 702 10.1093/molbev/mss075  
 703 Eme, D., Malard, F., Konecny-Dupré, L., Lefébure, T., Douady, C.J., 2013. Bayesian  
 704 phylogeographic inferences reveal contrasting colonization dynamics among  
 705 European groundwater isopods. *Mol. Ecol.* 22, 5685–5699. doi: 10.1111/mec.12520  
 706 Eme, D., Zagamajster, M., Delić, T., Fišer, C., Flot, J.-F., Konecny-Dupré, L., Pálsson, S.,  
 707 Stoch, F., Zakšek, V., Douady, C.J., Malard, F., 2017. Do cryptic species matter in  
 708 macroecology? Sequencing European groundwater crustaceans yields smaller ranges  
 709 but does not challenge biodiversity determinants. *Ecography*, doi:  
 710 10.1111/ecog.02683.  
 711 Emerson, B.C., Hickerson, M.J., 2015. Lack of support for the time-dependent molecular  
 712 evolution hypothesis. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 702–709. doi: 10.1111/mec.13070  
 713 Esmaeili-Rineh, S., Sari, A., Delić, T., Moškrič, A., Fišer, C., 2015. Molecular phylogeny  
 714 of the subterranean genus *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the Middle East: a

715 comparison with European Niphargids. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 175, 812–826. doi:  
716 10.1111/zoj.12296

717 Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., Quattro, J.M., 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred  
718 from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial  
719 DNA restriction data. Genetics 131, 479–491.

720 Excoffier, L., Lischer, H.E.L., 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to  
721 perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Ecol. Resour.  
722 10, 564–567. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x

723 Federov, P.V., 1971. Postglacial transgression of the Black Sea. Int. Geol. Rev. 14, 160–  
724 164. doi: 10.1080/00206817209475678

725 Fišer, C., 2012. *Niphargus*: a model system for evolution and ecology. In: Culver, D.C.,  
726 White, W.B. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of caves. Academic Press, New York, pp. 555–  
727 564.

728 Fišer, C., Sket, B., Stoch, F., 2006. Distribution of four narrowly endemic *Niphargus*  
729 species (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the western Dinaric region with description of a  
730 new species. Zool. Anz. 245, 77–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jcz.2006.05.003

731 Fišer, C., Keber, R., Kereži, V., Moškrič, A., Palandančić, A., Petkovska, V., Potočnik, H.,  
732 Sket, B., 2007. Coexistence of species of two amphipod genera: *Niphargus timavi*  
733 (*Niphargidae*) and *Gammarus fossarum* (*Gammaridae*). J. Nat. Hist. 41, 2641–2651.  
734 doi: 10.1080/00222930701661225

735 Fišer, C., Sket, B., Trontelj, P., 2008. A phylogenetic perspective on 160 years of troubled  
736 taxonomy of *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Zool. Scr. 37, 665–680. doi:  
737 10.1111/j.1463-6409.2008.00347.x

738 Fišer, C., Trontelj, P., Luštrik, R., Sket, B., 2009. Toward a unified taxonomy of  
 739 *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda): A review of morphological variability. *Zootaxa*  
 740 22, 1–22.

741 Fišer, C., Kovačec, Ž., Pustovrh, M., Trontelj, P., 2010a. The role of predation in the diet  
 742 of *Niphargus* (Amphipoda: Niphargidae). *Speleobiology notes* 2, 4–6. doi:  
 743 10.5563/spbn.v2i0.17

744 Fišer, C., Konec, M., Kobe, Z., Osanič, M., Gruden, P., Potočnik, H., 2010b. Conservation  
 745 problems with hypohelminthophilic *Niphargus* species (Amphipoda: Niphargidae).  
 746 *Aquat. Conserv.* 20, 602–604. doi: 10.1002/aqc.1119

747 Fišer, C., Zagmajster, M., Zakšek, V. 2013., Coevolution of life history traits and  
 748 morphology in female subterranean amphipods. *Oikos* 122, 770–778. doi:  
 749 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20644.x

750 Fišer, C., Pipan, T., Culver, D.C., 2014. The vertical extent of groundwater metazoans: an  
 751 ecological and evolutionary perspective. *BioScience* 64, 971–979. doi:  
 752 10.1093/biosci/biu148

753 Fišer, Ž., Altermatt, F., Zakšek, V., Knapič, T., Fišer, C., 2015. Morphologically cryptic  
 754 amphipod species are “ecological clones” at regional but not at local scale. *PLoS*  
 755 *ONE*, 10, e0134384. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134384

756 Fišer, Ž., Novak, L., Luštrik, R., Fišer, C., 2016. Light triggers habitat choice of eyeless  
 757 subterranean but not of eyed surface amphipods. *Sci. Nat.* 103, 1–12. doi:  
 758 10.1007/s00114-015-1329-9

759 Flot, J.-F., 2010. SeqPHASE: a web tool for interconverting PHASE input/output files and  
 760 FASTA sequence alignments. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 10, 162–166. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-  
 761 0998.2009.02732.x

762 Flot, J.-F., Couloux, A., Tillier, S., 2010b. Haplowebs as a graphical tool for delimiting  
763 species: a revival of Doyle's "field for recombination" approach and its application  
764 to the coral genus *Pocillopora* in Clipperton. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, 372. doi:  
765 10.1186/1471-2148-10-372

766 Flot, J.-F., Wörheide, G., Dattagupta, S., 2010a. Unsuspected diversity of *Niphargus*  
767 amphipods in the chemoautotrophic cave ecosystem of Frasassi, central Italy. BMC  
768 Evol. Biol. 10, 171. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-171

769 Flot, J.-F., Bauermeister, J., Brad, T., Hillebrand-Voiculescu, A., Sarbu, S.M., Dattagupta,  
770 S., 2014. *Niphargus*–*Thiothrix* associations may be widespread in sulphidic  
771 groundwater ecosystems: evidence from southeastern Romania. Mol. Ecol. 23, 1405–  
772 1417. doi: 10.1111/mec.12461

773 Fu, Y.X., 1997. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth,  
774 hitchhiking and background selection. Genetics 147, 915–925.

775 Fussi, B., Lexer, C., Heinze, B., 2010. Phylogeography of *Populus alba* (L.) and *Populus*  
776 *tremula* (L.) in Central Europe: secondary contact and hybridisation during  
777 recolonisation from disconnected refugia. Tree Genet. Genomes 6, 439–450. doi:  
778 10.1007/s11295-009-0262-5

779 Georgievski, G., Stanev, E.V., 2006. Paleo-evolution of the Black Sea watershed: sea level  
780 and water transport through the Bosphorus Straits as an indicator of the Lateglacial–  
781 Holocene transition. Clim. Dynam. 26, 631–644. doi: 10.1007/s00382-006-0123-y

782 Gilbert, J., Danielopol, D.L., Stanford, J.A., 1994. Groundwater Ecology. Academic Press,  
783 New York.

784 Ginet, R., 1960. Écologie, éthologie et biologie de *Niphargus* (Amphipodes Gammaridés  
785 hypogés). Ann. Spéléol. 15, 127–376.



786 Graeber, D., Pusch, M.T., Lorenz, S., Brauns, M., 2013. Cascading effects of flow  
787 reduction on the benthic invertebrate community in a lowland river. *Hydrobiologia*  
788 717, 147–159. doi: 10.1007/s10750-013-1570-1

789 Grigorovich, I.A., Therriault, T.W., MacIsaac, H.C., 2003. History of aquatic invertebrate  
790 invasions in the Caspian Sea. *Biol. Invasions* 5, 103–115. doi:  
791 10.1023/A:1024050824073

792 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., Gascuel, O., 2010.  
793 New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies:  
794 assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* 59, 307–321. doi:  
795 10.1093/sysbio/syq010

796 Harpending, H.C., 1994. Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution  
797 mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. *Hum. Biol.* 66, 591–600.

798 Henry, K.S., Danielopol, D.L., 1999. Oxygen dependent habitat selection in surface and  
799 hyporheic environments by *Gammarus roeseli* Gervais (Crustacea, Amphipoda):  
800 experimental evidence. *Hydrobiologia* 390, 51–60. doi: 10.1023/A:1003541300460

801 Hervant, F., Mathieu, J., Garin, D., Fréminet, A., 1995. Behavioral, ventilatory, and  
802 metabolic responses to severe hypoxia and subsequent recovery of the hypogean  
803 *Niphargus rhenorhodanensis* and the epigean *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea:  
804 Amphipoda). *Physiol. Zool.* 68, 223–244. doi: 10.1086/physzool.68.2.30166501

805 Horton, T., Lowry, J., De Broyer, C., Bellan-Santini, D., Coleman, C.O., Daneliya, M.,  
806 Dauvin, J-C., Fišer, C., Gasca, R., Grabowski, M., Guerra-García, J. M., Hendrycks,  
807 E., Holsinger, J., Hughes, L., Jaume, D., Jazdzewski, K., Just, J., Kamaltynov, R.M.,  
808 Kim, Y.-H., King, R., Krapp-Schickel, T., LeCroy, S., Lörz, A.-N., Senna, A.R.,  
809 Serejo, C., Sket, B., Tandberg, A.H., Thomas, J., Thurston, M., Vader, W., Väinölä,  
810 R., Vonk, R., White, K., Zeidler, W., 2017. World Amphipoda Database. Accessed at

811 <http://www.marinespecies.org/amphipoda/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=545672> on  
812 2017-06-08.

813 Humphreys, W.F., 2000. Background and glossary. In: Wilkens, H., Culver, D.C.,  
814 Humphreys, W.F. (Eds), *Ecosystems of the World*, vol. 30: Subterranean  
815 Ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 3–14.

816 Hüppop, K., 2000. How do cave animals cope with the food scarcity in caves? In: Wilkens,  
817 H., Culver, D.C., Humphreys, W.F. (Eds.), *Ecosystems of the World*, vol. 30:  
818 Subterranean ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 189–210.

819 Ho, S.Y.W., Phillips, M.J., Cooper, A., Drummond, A.J., 2005., Time dependency of  
820 molecular rate estimates and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times.  
821 *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 22, 1561–1568. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msi145

822 Ho, S.Y.W., Lanfear, R., Bromham, L., Phillips, M.J., Soubrier, J., Rodrigo, A.G., Cooper,  
823 A., 2011. Time-dependent rates of molecular evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 3087–3101.  
824 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05178.x

825 Jazdzewski, K., Kupryjanowicz, J., 2010. One more fossil niphargid (Malacostraca:  
826 Amphipoda) from Baltic amber. *J. Crustacean Biol.* 30, 413–416. doi: 10.1651/09-  
827 3259.1

828 Jobb, G., 2011. TREEFINDER Version of March 2011. Munich, Germany. (computer  
829 program). Available at: [www.treefinder.de](http://www.treefinder.de)

830 Junk, W.J., Bayley, P.B., Sparks, R.E., 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-flood- plain  
831 systems. *Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 106, 110–27.

832 Karaman, S., 1950a. *Niphargus ilidzensis* Schäferna i njegovi srodnici u Jugoslaviji. O  
833 nekim amfipodima — izopodima Balkana i o njihovoj sistematici. Poseb. Izd. 163,  
834 51–86.

835 Karaman, G.S., 1977. Contribution to the knowledge of the Amphipoda 78: *Niphargus*  
836 *elegans* Garbini, 1894, in Italy. Crustaceana Suppl. 4, 177–187.

837 Karaman, G.S., 1998. First discovery of the family Niphargidae (Gammaridea) in Iran.  
838 Glas. Od. Prirod. Nauk. – Crnog. Akad. Nauka umet. 12, 9–22.

839 Katoh K., Standley D.M., 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version  
840 7: Improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.doi:  
841 10.1093/molbev/mst010

842 Katoh K., Toh H., 2008. Improved accuracy of multiple ncRNA alignment by  
843 incorporating structural information into a MAFFT-based framework. BMC  
844 Bioinformatics 9, 212. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-212

845 Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S.Y.W. Guindon, S., 2012. PartitionFinder: combined  
846 selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses.  
847 Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 1695–1701. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss020

848 Langecker, T.G., 2000. The effects of continuous darkness on cave ecology and  
849 cavernicolous evolution. In: Wilkens, H., Culver, D.C., Humphreys, W.F. (Eds),  
850 Ecosystems of the World, vol. 30: Subterranean Ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam,  
851 pp. 135–157.

852 van Leeuwen, C.H.A., Huig, N., van der Velde, G., van Alen, T.A., Wagemaker, C.A.M.,  
853 Sherman, C.D.H., Klaassen, M., Figuerola, J., 2013. How did this snail get here?  
854 Several dispersal vectors inferred for an aquatic invasive species. Freshwater Biol.  
855 58, 88–99. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12041

856 Lefébure, T., Douady, C.J., Gouy, M., Trontelj, P., Briolay, J., Gibert, J., 2006.  
857 Phylogeography of a subterranean amphipod reveals cryptic diversity and dynamic  
858 evolution in extreme environments. Mol. Ecol. 15, 1797–1806. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
859 294X.2006.02888.x

860 Lefébure, T., Douady, C.J., Malard, F., Gibert, J., 2007. Testing dispersal and cryptic  
 861 diversity in a widely distributed groundwater amphipod (*Niphargus*  
 862 *rhenorhodanensis*). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 42, 676–686. doi:  
 863 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.08.020  
 864 Lemey, P., Rambaut, A., Welch, J.J., Suchard, M.A., 2010. Phylogeography takes a  
 865 relaxed random walk in continuous space and time. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27, 1877–1885.  
 866 doi: 10.1093/molbev/msq067  
 867 Leonov, Y.G., Lavrushin, Y.A., Antipov, M.P., Sprididonova, Y.A., Kuzmin, Y.V., Jull,  
 868 E.J.T., Burr, S., Jelinowska, A., Chalieu, F., 2002. New age data on sediments of the  
 869 transgressive phase of the Early Khvalyn transgression of the Caspian Sea. Dokl.  
 870 Earth Sci. 386, 748–751.  
 871 Librado, P., Rozas, J., 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA  
 872 polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25, 1451–1452. doi:  
 873 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187  
 874 Lisiecki, L.E., Raymo, M.E., 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed  
 875 benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records. Paleoceanography 20, PA1003. doi: 10.1029/2004PA001071  
 876 Liu, K., Raghavan, S., Nelesen, S., Linder, C.R., Warnow, T., 2009. Rapid and accurate  
 877 large scale coestimation of sequence alignments and phylogenetic trees. Science 324,  
 878 1561–1564. doi: 10.1126/science.1171243  
 879 Luštrik, R., Turjak, M., Kralj-Fišer, S., Fišer, C., 2011. Coexistence of surface and cave  
 880 amphipods in an ecotone environment. Contrib. Zool. 80, 133–141.  
 881 Maddison, W.P., Maddison, D.R., 2015. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary  
 882 analysis. Retrieved from <https://mesquiteproject.wikispaces.com>  
 883 Magri, D., Vendramin, G.G., Comps, B., Dupanloup, I., Geburek, T., Gömöry, D.,  
 884 Latalowa, M., Litt, T., Paule, L., Roure, J.M., Tantau, I., van der Knaap, W.O., Petit,

885 R.J., de Beaulieu, J.L., 2006. A new scenario for the Quaternary history of European  
 886 beech populations: Palaeobotanical evidence and genetic consequences. *New Phytol.*  
 887 171, 199–221. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01740.x  
 888 Maguire, B., 1960. Lethal effect of visible light on cavernicolous ostracods. *Science* 132,  
 889 226–227. doi: 10.1126/science.132.3421.226  
 890 Malard, F., Hervant, F., 1999. Oxygen supply and the adaptations of animals in  
 891 groundwater. *Freshwater Biol.* 41, 1–30. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2427.1999.00379.x  
 892 Mauchart, P., Czirok, A., Horvai, V., Herczeg, R., Móra, A., Csabai, Z., 2017. Effects of  
 893 meso- and microhabitat characteristics on the coexistence of two native gammarid  
 894 species (Crustacea, Gammaridae). *Int. Rev. Hydrobiol.* 102, 38–46. doi:  
 895 10.1002/iroh.201601855  
 896 McInerney, C.I., Maurice, L., Robertson, A.L., Knight, L.R.F.D., Arnscheidt, J., Venditti,  
 897 C., Dooley, J.S.G., Mathers, T., Matthijs, S., Eriksson, K., Proudlove, G.S., Hänfling,  
 898 B., 2014. The Ancient Britons: Groundwater fauna survived extreme climate changes  
 899 over tens of millions of years across NW Europe. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 1153–1166. doi:  
 900 10.1111/mec.12664  
 901 Meade, A., Pagel, M., 2016. Bayes Traits v.3. Retrieved from  
 902 <http://www.evolution.rdg.ac.uk/BayesTraitsV3/BayesTraitsV3.html>  
 903 Meleg, I.N., Zakšek, V., Fišer, C., Kelemen, B.S., Moldovan, O.T., 2013. Can environment  
 904 predict cryptic diversity? The case of *Niphargus* inhabiting western Carpathian  
 905 groundwater. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e76760. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076760  
 906 Meijering, M.P.D., 1991. Lack of oxygen and low pH as limiting factors for *Gammarus* in  
 907 Hessian brooks and rivers. *Hydrobiologia* 223, 159–169. doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-  
 908 3542-9\_15

909 Meijering, M.P.D., Jazdzewski, K., Kohn, J., 1995. Ecotypes of Amphipoda in Central  
 910 European inland waters. *Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol.* 42, 527–536.

911 Miller, M.P., 2005. Alleles In Space (AIS): computer software for the joint analysis of  
 912 interindividual spatial and genetic information. *J. Hered.* 96, 722–724. doi:  
 913 10.1093/jhered/esi119

914 Nesemann, H., Pöckl, M., Wittmann, K.J., 1995. Distribution of epigean Malacostraca in  
 915 the middle and upper Danube (Hungary, Austria, Germany). *Miscnea. Zool. Hung.*  
 916 10, 49–68.

917 Neumann, K., Michaux, J.R., Maak, S., Jansman, H.A.H., Kayser, A., Mundt, G.,  
 918 Gattermann, R., 2005. Genetic spatial structure of European common hamsters  
 919 (*Cricetus cricetus*) – a result of repeated range expansion and demographic  
 920 bottlenecks. *Mol. Ecol.* 14, 1473–1483. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02519.x

921 Parr, L.B., Mason, C.F., 2004. Causes of low oxygen in a lowland, regulated eutrophic  
 922 river in Eastern England. *Sci. Total Environ.* 321, 273–286. doi:  
 923 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.09.004

924 Peck, S.B., 1975. Amphipod dispersal in the fur of aquatic mammals. *Can. Field Nat.* 89,  
 925 181–82.

926 Prendini, L., Francke, O.F., Vignoli, V., 2010. Troglomorphism, trichobothriotaxy and  
 927 typhlochactid phylogeny (Scorpiones, Chactioidea): more evidence that troglobitism  
 928 is not an evolutionary dead-end. *Cladistics* 26, 117–142. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-  
 929 0031.2009.00277.x

930 Rachalewski, M., Banha, F., Grabowski, M., Anastacio, P.M., 2013. Ectozoochory as a  
 931 possible vector enhancing the spread of an alien amphipod *Crangonyx*  
 932 *pseudogracilis*. *Hydrobiologia* 717, 109–117. doi:10.1007/s10750-013-1577-7

933 Ramos-Onsins, S.E., Rozas, J., 2002. Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against  
 934 population growth. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 19, 2092–2100. doi:  
 935 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004034  
 936 Rewicz, T., Wattier, R., Grabowski, M., Rigaud, T., Bazcela-Spychalska, K., 2015. Out of  
 937 the Black Sea: phylogeography of the invasive killer shrimp *Dikerogammarus*  
 938 *villosus* across Europe. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0118121. doi:  
 939 10.1371/journal.pone.0118121  
 940 Rogers, A.R., Harpending, H., 1992. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of  
 941 pairwise genetic differences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 9, 552–569. doi:  
 942 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040727  
 943 Ryan, W.B.F., Pitman III, W.C., Major, C.O., Shimkus, K., Moskalenko, V., Jones, G.A.,  
 944 Dimitrov, P., Görür, N., Sakiñç, M., Yüce, H., 1997. An abrupt drowning of the  
 945 Black Sea shelf. *Mar. Geol.* 138, 119–126. doi: 10.1016/S0025-3227(97)00007-8  
 946 Salzburger, W., Ewing, G., von Haeseler, A., 2011. The performance of phylogenetic  
 947 algorithms in estimating haplotype genealogies with migration. *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 1952–  
 948 1963. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05066.x  
 949 Schluter, D., Price, T., Mooers, A.O., Ludwig D., 1997. Likelihood of ancestor states in  
 950 adaptive radiation. *Evolution* 51, 1699–1711. doi: 10.2307/2410994  
 951 Shimodaira, H., 2002. An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection. *Syst.*  
 952 *Biol.* 51, 492–508. doi: 10.1080/10635150290069913  
 953 Shimodaira, H., Hasegawa, M., 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with  
 954 applications to phylogenetic inference. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 1114–1116. doi:  
 955 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026201

956 Simčič, T., Brancelj, A., 2006. Effects of pH on electron transport system (ETS) activity  
 957 and oxygen consumption in *Gammarus fossarum*, *Asellus aquaticus* and *Niphargus*  
 958 *sphagnicolus*. Freshwater Biol. 51, 686–694. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01522.x  
 959 Simčič, T., Lukančič, S., Brancelj, A., 2005. Comparative study of electron transport  
 960 system activity and oxygen consumption of amphipods from caves and surface  
 961 habitats. Freshwater Biol. 50, 494–501. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01339.x  
 962 Sket, B., 1958. Prispevek k poznavanju nasih amfipodov Is, II. Biol. Vestn. 6, 67–75.  
 963 Sket, B., 1981. Distribution, ecological character and phylogenetic importance of  
 964 *Niphargus valachicus* (Amphipoda, Gammaridae). Biol. Vestn. 29, 87–103.  
 965 Sket, B., 2008. Can we agree on an ecological classification of subterranean animals? J.  
 966 Nat. Hist. 42, 1549–1563. doi: 10.1080/00222930801995762  
 967 Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis  
 968 of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313. doi:  
 969 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033  
 970 Stephens, M., Smith, N., Donnelly, P., 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype  
 971 reconstruction from population data. Am. J. Hu. Genet. 68, 978–989. doi:  
 972 10.1086/319501  
 973 Straškraba, M., 1972a. Les groupments des especes du genre *Niphargus* (sensu lato). Actes  
 974 du Ier Colloque International sur le genre *Niphargus*. Museo Civico di Storia  
 975 Naturale di Verona Memorie fuori Serie 5, 85–90.  
 976 Straškraba, M., 1972b. L'état actuel de nos connaissances sur le genre *Niphargus* en  
 977 Tchecoslovaquie et dans les pays voisins. Actes du Ier Colloque International sur le  
 978 genre *Niphargus*. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona Memorie fuori Serie 5,  
 979 35–45.



980 Strayer, D.L., 1994. Limits to biological distributions in groundwater. In: Gilbert, J.,  
 981 Danielopol, D.L., Stanford, J.A. (Eds.), *Groundwater Ecology*. Academic Press, New  
 982 York, pp. 287–310.

983 Swanson, G.A., 1984. Dissemination of amphipods by waterfowl. *J. Wildl. Manag.* 48,  
 984 988–991. doi: 10.2307/3801453

985 Tajima, F., 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA  
 986 polymorphism. *Genetics* 123, 585–595.

987 Talavera, G., Castresana, J., 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent  
 988 and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Syst. Biol.* 56,  
 989 564–577. doi: 10.1080/10635150701472164

990 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: Molecular  
 991 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi:  
 992 10.1093/molbev/mst197

993 Trontelj, P., Blejec, A., Fišer, C., 2012. Ecomorphological convergence of cave  
 994 communities. *Evolution* 66, 3852–3865. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01734.x

995 Trontelj, P., Douady, C., Fišer, C., Gibert, J., Gorički, Š., Lefébure, T., Sket, B., Zakšek,  
 996 V., 2009. A molecular test for hidden biodiversity in groundwater: how large are the  
 997 ranges of macro-stygobionts? *Freshwater Biol.* 54, 727–744. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
 998 2427.2007.01877.x

999 de Vaate, A.B., Jazdzewski, K., Ketelaars, H.A.M., Gollasch, S., Van der Velde, G., 2002.  
 1000 Geographical patterns in range extension of Ponto-Caspian macroinvertebrate  
 1001 species in Europe. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 59, 1159–1174. doi: 10.1139/f02-098

1002 Väinölä, R., Witt, J.D.S., Grabowski, M., Bradbury, J.H., Jazdzewski, K., Sket, B., 2008.  
 1003 Global diversity of amphipods (Amphipoda; Crustacea) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia*  
 1004 595, 241–255. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8259-7\_27

1005 Verovnik, R., Sket, B., Trontelj, P., 2005. The colonization of Europe by the freshwater  
 1006 crustacean *Asellus aquaticus* (Crustacea: Isopoda) proceeded from ancient refugia  
 1007 and was directed by habitat connectivity. *Mol. Ecol.* 14, 4355–4369. doi:  
 1008 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02745.x  
 1009 Villesen, P., 2007. FaBox: an online toolbox for fasta sequences. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 7, 965–  
 1010 968. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01821.x  
 1011 Vörös, J., Mikulíček, P., Major, Á., Recuero, E., Arntzen, J.W., 2016. Phylogeographic  
 1012 analysis reveals northerly refugia for the riverine amphibian *Triturus dobrogicus*  
 1013 (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 119, 974–991. doi: 10.1111/bij.12866  
 1014 Ward, J.V., Palmer, M.A., 1994. Distribution patterns of interstitial freshwater meiofauna  
 1015 over a range of spatial scales, with emphasis on alluvial river-aquifer systems  
 1016 *Hydrobiologia* 287, 147–156. doi: 10.1007/BF00006903  
 1017 Weber, M.G., Wagner, C.E., Best, R.J., Harmon, L.J., Matthews, B., 2017. Evolution in a  
 1018 Community Context: On Integrating Ecological Interactions and Macroevolution.  
 1019 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 291–304. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2017.01.003  
 1020 Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. Estimating F-Statistics for the analysis of population-  
 1021 structure. *Evolution* 38, 1358–1370. doi: 10.2307/2408641  
 1022 Zgamaister, M., Eme, D., Fišer, C., Galassi, D., Marmonier, P., Stoch, F., Cornu, J.F.,  
 1023 Malard, F., 2014. Geographic variation in range size and beta diversity of  
 1024 groundwater crustaceans: insights from habitats with low thermal seasonality. *Global*  
 1025 *Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23, 1135–1145. doi: 10.1111/geb.12200  
 1026 Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., 2013. A general species delimitation  
 1027 method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics* 29, 2869–  
 1028 2876. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499  
 1029

1030

1031 **Supporting information**

1032 **Tables S1-S11** Details about samples used in the phylogeographic and phylogenetic  
1033 analyses, GenBank accession numbers, geographic coordinates, PCR primers,  
1034 evolutionary, coalescent and clock models, morphological data, ancestral states, and  
1035 population differentiation based on  $\Phi$  statistics.

1036 **Figures S1-S3** Fully annotated BI and ML phylogenies, isolation by distance plots and  
1037 cryptic lineage delimitation results.

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044 Table 1. Genetic polymorphism (COI and ITS) and historical demographic results (COI)

1045 for the studied species and clades. For the neutrality tests, p-values are provided in

1046 parentheses and significant values are shown in bold.

Species/clade	<i>N</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>Hd</i> (SD)	$\pi$ (SD)	<i>K</i>	<i>D</i> ( <i>p</i> )	<i>F<sub>s</sub></i> ( <i>p</i> )	<i>R<sub>2</sub></i> ( <i>p</i> )	$\tau$	$\theta_0$	$\theta_1$	<i>SSD</i> ( <i>p</i> )	<i>Hri</i> ( <i>p</i> )
<b>COI</b>														
<i>N. hrabei</i>	54	15	12	0.768 (0.046)	0.0028 (0.0004)	1.76	-1.376 (0.056)	-4.160 <b>(0.031)</b>	0.108 (0.083)	0.68	1.23	3451.34	0.003 (0.522)	0.034 (0.275)
<i>N. valachicus</i> overall	111	42	42	0.962 (0.007)	0.0157 (0.0004)	7.13	-0.413 (0.406)	-5.742 (0.117)	0.092 (0.402)	12.26	0.00	16.48	0.009 (0.470)	0.008 (0.719)
<i>N. valachicus</i> A	49	19	14	0.877 (0.030)	0.0079 (0.0006)	3.56	-0.724 (0.252)	3.044 (0.880)	0.107 (0.385)	3.21	1.54	8.32	0.004 (0.766)	0.015 (0.867)
<i>N. valachicus</i> B	62	36	28	0.956 (0.011)	0.0071 (0.0006)	4.42	-1.385 (0.061)	-14.238 <b>(0.000)</b>	0.102 (0.06)	3.00	1.90	3414.97	0.006 (0.078)	0.020 <b>(0.028)</b>
<b>ITS</b>														
<i>N. hrabei</i>	30 (22)*	7	6	0.736 (0.056)	0.00047 (0.00008)	1.26								
<i>N. valachicus</i>	66 (40)*	28	23	0.73 (0.060)	0.00339 (0.00045)	4.96								

1047 *N* – sample size (no. of sequences); *S* – number of variable sites; *H* – number of haplotypes; *Hd* – haplotype1048 diversity;  $\pi$  – nucleotide diversity; *K* – mean number of pairwise nucleotide differences; *D* – Tajima's *D*1049 statistics; *F<sub>s</sub>* – Fu's *F<sub>s</sub>* statistics; *R<sub>2</sub>* – Ramos-Onsins and Rozas's *R<sub>2</sub>* statistics;  $\tau$  – coalescence time in1050 mutational units;  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1$  – effective population size at the start and the end of the expansion; *SSD* – sum of1051 squared deviations; *Hri* – Harpending's raggedness index; \* – parentheses refer to no. of analysed individuals

1052

Table 2. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) in the studied species based on COI with populations grouped according to the major river drainages (listed in Tables S10 and S11). Significant p-values are shown in bold for the fixation indices ( $\Phi$ -statistics).

Species	Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Percentage of variation	$\Phi$ -statistics	<i>P</i>
<i>N. hrabei</i>	Among drainages	4	31.28	80.05	$\Phi_{ct} = 0.80$	<b>0.007</b>
	Among populations within drainages	10	4.03	11.19	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.56$	<b>0.002</b>
	Within populations	35	3.4	8.75	$\Phi_{st} = 0.91$	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
<i>N. valachicus</i>	Among drainages	12	381.68	58.5	$\Phi_{ct} = 0.58$	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
	Among populations within drainages	25	131.3	33.1	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.79$	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
	Within populations	73	32.75	8.4	$\Phi_{st} = 0.91$	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Bayesian phylogeny of *Niphargus* and ancestral state reconstruction of the species' habitat. Species that occur in surface habitats are highlighted with red, dashed branches. The widely-dispersed focal species, *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are indicated with larger font size. Circles at nodes denote posterior probability for clade support (black  $\geq 0.95$ , grey = 0.90-0.94 and white = 0.70-0.89). The pie charts along the numbered nodes of the tree indicate the probability of subterranean (grey) and surface (red) ecology of the ancestors. The numbers at nodes correspond with those in Table S9. Inset image depicts a male *N. valachicus* (Photograph: Denis Copilaş-Ciocianu).

Fig. 2 Geographical distribution and haplotype networks of COI and ITS in *N. hrabei* (A, C) and *N. valachicus* (B, D). Colours indicate middle (red) and lower (yellow) Danube and Black Sea (green) populations. Distribution of clades A and B of *N. valachicus* are indicated by dotted and dashed lines, respectively. The size of the circles in the haplotype networks is proportional to the observed frequency of the corresponding haplotype. Each segment indicates one substitution. Relevant countries are indicated by corresponding 2-letter ISO codes: AT—Austria, HU—Hungary, HR—Croatia, RO—Romania, RS—Serbia and TR—Turkey.

Fig. 3 Coalescence and demography of *N. hrabei* (upper panel) and *N. valachicus* (lower panel). A) Time-calibrated COI trees. Posterior probability at nodes is indicated by circles (black  $\geq 0.95$ , dark grey = 0.85–0.94, light grey = 0.70–0.84, and white = 0.50–0.69). Blue bars at nodes denote the 95% HPD intervals of clade age. B) Bayesian skyline plots (BSP) and mismatch distribution histograms. Mean populations size through time is shown by

1105 thick black lines and 95% confidence intervals with grey in the BSP. Mismatch  
1106 distributions were also calculated separately for each of the two clades (A and B) of *N.*  
1107 *valachicus*. Continuous lines indicate the observed frequency of pairwise differences and  
1108 dotted lines indicate the expected frequency under a model of sudden demographic  
1109 expansion.

1110

1111 Fig. 4 Dispersal of *N. hrabei* (A) and *N. valachicus* (B) inferred from Bayesian  
1112 phylogeographic diffusion models. The putative origin of dispersal is shown with a dashed,  
1113 white line. Dispersal routes at different time intervals are indicated by different line  
1114 shadings. Country ISO codes are the same as in Fig. 2.

1115

1116 Fig. 5 Clustering of 81 *Niphargus* taxa based on 35 morphological traits. Surface-water  
1117 species are indicated with red font and grey shading. The focal *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus*  
1118 are shown with larger font size. Ecomorph names follow Trontelj et al., (2012).


1119

1120





1121

1122

● PP ≥ 0.95  
 ● PP = 0.90-0.94  
 ○ PP = 0.70-0.89









A


- PP $\geq 0.95$
- PP=0.85-0.94
- PP=0.70-0.84
- PP=0.50-0.69




B



*N. hrabei*


A

*N. valachicus*

B







## Supplementary Information

### **Is subterranean lifestyle reversible? Independent and recent large-scale dispersal into surface waters by two species of the groundwater amphipod genus *Niphargus***

Denis Copilaş-Ciocianu, Cene Fişer, Péter Borza and Adam Petrusek

#### **Supplementary Methods**

##### *Phylogenetic analyses*

Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum-likelihood (ML) approaches were used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships and examine the position of *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* within the genus. Both approaches were applied to the concatenated supermatrix. BI was carried out in BEAST 1.8.0 (Drummond et al. 2012). We employed the evolutionary models in Table S4 for each partition. Random starting topologies were used for each run and speciation was modelled using a Yule prior. As we were not interested in absolute divergence times, we used a relaxed molecular clock with a lognormal distribution and the rate was left at the default value of 1. Clock models and trees were linked across partitions. The MCMC chain was run for 100 million generations with a sampling frequency of 1000 and 30% of the trees were discarded as burn-in. Convergence and effective sample size was assessed using TRACER 1.6 and the maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree was produced with TreeAnnotator 1.8. The alignment used in the BEAST analysis had regions of questionable homology in the 28S marker removed with GBLOCKS 0.9 (Talavera and Castresana, 2007). To evaluate the effect of removal of these regions on the topology of the tree, we used a ML method that simultaneously estimates the sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree in SATé 2.2.7 (Liu et al. 2009), thus retaining the poorly alignable regions. MAFFT 6.7 (Katoh et al. 2005) was used as the initial aligner and OPAL 1.0.3 (Wheeler & Kececioglu 2007) was used to merge the alignment of subproblems into the final alignment. The tree was estimated with RAxML 7.2.6 (Stamatakis 2006) and the GTR+ $\Gamma$  model. The cycle of alignment and tree estimation was iterated ten times. Because SATé does not calculate bootstrap support on RAxML produced trees and does not handle codon partitions, the alignment with the best likelihood was used for the final tree estimation and bootstrapping in RAxML-HPC 8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2014). A thorough ML tree search was performed with the GTR+ $\Gamma$  model assigned to each partition and 1000 fast bootstrap iterations. We conducted two independent runs of both ML and BI. Analyses were carried out on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010).

##### *Bayesian phylogeographical diffusion models*

To explore the origin and dispersal history of each species while accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty, we used Bayesian phylogeographic diffusion models (Lemey et al. 2010) implemented in BEAST 1.8.0. The analysis was based on the COI marker and we used the same settings as for the time-calibrated phylogenetic analyses. The coordinates of each locality were used as a quantitative trait and individuals possessing the same haplotype but collected from different locations were retained because their corresponding coordinates contained spatial information. We compared four diffusion models available in BEAST: a

random walk model following a homogeneous Brownian diffusion (BD), and three relaxed random walk models (RRW) using gamma, lognormal and Cauchy distributions (Lemey et al. 2010). For each spatial diffusion model and species, we ran two independent runs of 30 million generations which were sampled every 1000 steps with 10% burn-in and convergence was evaluated with TRACER. The best-fit diffusion models were selected by calculating Bayes factors based on marginal likelihoods estimated with path and stepping stone sampling (Baele et al. 2012) and are presented in Table S6. The phylogeographic history of each species was visualized in Google Earth Pro 7.1.5 (<https://www.google.com/earth/>) by producing and input Keyhole Markup Language (kml) file with SPREAD 1.0.7 (Bielejec et al. 2011).

### *Analysed morphological traits*

A total of 34 quantitative and one qualitative trait were analysed. Landmarks and variation of these traits were presented in a previous study (Fišer et al. 2009). Many of the measured traits are presumably linked to the species ecology (see Trontelj et al. 2012; Fišer et al. 2015; Copilas-Ciocianu et al. 2017). *Body size* is related to the trophic ecology, but also to the pore size of microhabitats the species lives in (Trontelj et al. 2012). *Body shape* relates to hydrodynamic properties of the body (Dahl 1977) and reproductive biology (Fišer et al. 2013). It can be inferred from coxal plates II and III and bases of pereopods V-VII (all measured as width and length). *Appendage length* is a tradeoff between extra-optic sensory capacity and resilience to water flow (Pipan & Culver 2012; Trontelj et al. 2012, Delić et al. 2016). We measured lengths of antennae I-II and pereopods V-VII. *Shape and size of gnathopods I-II* is likely involved into feeding biology. We measured lengths of carpus and propodus, propodus palm and the length of propodus diagonal (Copilas-Ciocianu et al. 2017). *Uropods I and III* are sexually dimorphic in some species. In these species, males have either elongated inner ramus of uropod I, or distal article of exopodite of uropod III, or both. In addition, some males have a strange, flap-like appendix on a base of uropod I. We measured lengths of both rami of uropod I, and both articles of exopodite of uropod III; the flap-like appendix was treated as present-absent. Spines on dactyls of pereopods III-VII, and spines on urosomites I-II, the function of which is not known, vary in number between one and nine, were counted.

### **References**

- Bielejec F, Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Lemey P (2011) SPREAD: spatial phylogenetic reconstruction of evolutionary dynamics. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 2910–2912.
- Copilas-Ciocianu D, Fišer C, Borza P, Balázs G, Angyal D, Petrusek A (2017) Low intraspecific genetic divergence and weak niche differentiation despite wide ranges and extensive sympatry in two epigeal *Niphargus* species (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, doi: 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlw031
- Dahl E (1977) The amphipod functional model and its bearing upon systematics and phylogeny. *Zoologica Scripta*, 6, 221–228.
- Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29, 1969–1973.
- Fišer C, Zagmajster M, Zakšek V (2013) Coevolution of life history traits and morphology in female subterranean amphipods. *Oikos*, 122, 770–778.

- Fišer C, Trontelj P, Luštrik R, Sket B (2009) Toward a unified taxonomy of *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda): A review of morphological variability. *Zootaxa*, 22, 1–22.
- Fišer C, Luštrik R, Sarbu S, Flot J-F, Trontelj P (2015) Morphological evolution of coexisting amphipod species pairs from sulfidic caves suggests competitive interactions and character displacement, but no environmental filtering and convergence. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0123535.
- Katoh K, Kuma K-I, Toh H, Miyata T (2005) MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33, 511–8.
- Lemey P, Rambaut A, Welch JJ, Suchard MA (2010) Phylogeography takes a relaxed random walk in continuous space and time. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 27, 1877–1885.
- Liu K, Raghavan S, Nelesen S, Linder CR, Warnow T (2009) Rapid and accurate large scale coestimation of sequence alignments and phylogenetic trees. *Science*, 324, 1561–1564.
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), pp 1–8. 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Pipán T, Culver DC (2012) Convergence and divergence in the subterranean realm: a reassessment. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 101, 1–14.
- Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 22, 2688–2690.
- Talavera G, Castresana J (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Systematic Biology*, 56, 564–577.
- Trontelj P, Blejec A, Fišer C (2012) Ecomorphological convergence of cave communities. *Evolution*, 66, 3852–3865.
- Wheeler TJ, Kececioğlu JD (2007) Multiple alignment by aligning alignments. Proceedings of the 15th ISCB Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, *Bioinformatics*, 23, 559–568.




Fig. S1. Maximum-likelihood (left) and Bayesian phylogenies (right) obtained from the concatenated dataset of COI, H3 and 28S sequences. The ML tree was obtained from an alignment produced with SATé and contained poorly alignable regions in the 28S marker, while the alignment for the BI tree had these regions removed and was obtained with MAFFT. Numbers above nodes are bootstrap percentages and posterior probabilities, respectively. Only values above 40% and 0.70 are shown. Surface-water species are shown with red branches. *N. hrabei* and *N. valachicus* are indicated with larger font size.







Fig. S2. Correlation between geographic and genetic (based on COI) distance.




Fig. S3. Cryptic lineage delimitation results based on COI and ITS in *N. hrabei* (above) and *N. valachicus* (below). A) Results from the bPTP analysis based on COI. Clustered red branches indicate putative lineages which correspond to the two focal species, i.e. no independent intraspecific lineages were detected. Numbers above branches indicate the posterior probability for species assignment. B) Haplowebs based on phased ITS sequences. Haplotypes that co-occur in the same individual are connected by thin, dotted lines. All haplotypes are interconnected, indicating a common gene pool.