



FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR α -ADMISSIBLE MULTIVALUED F -CONTRACTIONS

G. DURMAZ AND I. ALTUN

Received 25 December, 2014

Abstract. In this study, we give some fixed point results for multivalued mappings using Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance on complete metric space. For this, we consider the α -admissibility of multivalued mappings. Our results are real generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi fixed point theorem. We also provide an example showing this fact. Finally, we obtain some ordered fixed point results for multivalued mappings.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54H25; 47H10

Keywords: fixed point, multivalued mapping, α -admissible mapping, F -contraction

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote by $P(X)$, the family of all nonempty subsets of X , $CB(X)$ the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X and $K(X)$ the family of all nonempty compact subsets of X . It is well known that $H : CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by, for every $A, B \in CB(X)$,

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}$$

is a metric on $CB(X)$, which is called the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric induced by d , where $d(x, B) = \inf \{d(x, y) : y \in B\}$. We can find detailed information about the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric in [6, 11]. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ if $x \in Tx$. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. Then, we say that T is called multivalued contraction if there exists $L \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ (see [17]). In 1969, Nadler [17] proved that every multivalued contraction mappings on complete metric spaces has a fixed point.

Inspired by his result, various fixed point theorems concerning multivalued contractions appeared in the last decades. Concerning these, the following theorem was proved by Mizoguchi and Takahashi [15] that is, in fact, a partial answer to a question proposed by Reich [22]:

Theorem 1 ([15]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is a mapping such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq k(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, where a function $k : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} k(t) < 1 \text{ for all } s \geq 0.$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

We can find both a simple proof of Theorem 1 and an example showing that it is real generalization of Nadler's in [24]. We can also find a lot of generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem in the literature [5, 7, 8].

In 2012, Samet et al [23] introduced the concept of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mapping and established various fixed point theorems for such mappings on complete metric spaces (See [1, 12, 16, 18]). Asl et al [4] also defined the notion of α -admissible and α_* -admissible for multivalued mappings as follows: Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping whenever for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$ and T is an α_* -admissible mapping whenever for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$, where $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Tx, b \in Ty\}$. It is clear that α_* -admissible mapping is also α -admissible, but the converse may not be true as shown in Example 15 of [13]. This situation also will be mentioned in Example 1. We say that α has (B) property whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the collection Ψ of nondecreasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, where ψ^n is the n th iterate of ψ . It is clear that for each $\psi \in \Psi$, we have $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then, we say that T is called multivalued α - ψ -contractive whenever

$$\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d((x, y)))$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and T is called multivalued α_* - ψ -contractive whenever

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty)H(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d((x, y))).$$

The results for these type mappings are given by [4, 16] as follows:

Theorem 2 ([16]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, $\psi \in \Psi$ be a strictly increasing map and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an α -admissible and α - ψ -contractive multifunction on X . Suppose that there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is continuous or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point.*

Theorem 3 ([4]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, $\psi \in \Psi$ be a strictly increasing map and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an α_* -admissible and α_* - ψ -contractive multifunction on X . Suppose that there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point.*

Furthermore, some generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi fixed point theorem using mappings of this type are given by Minak and Altun [14] as follows:

Theorem 4 ([14]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an α -admissible multivalued mapping such that*

$$\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty) \leq k(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} k(t) < 1$ for all $s \geq 0$. Suppose that there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is continuous or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point in X .

Theorem 5 ([14]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an α_* -admissible multivalued mapping such that*

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty)H(Tx, Ty) \leq k(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} k(t) < 1$ for all $s \geq 0$. Suppose that there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is continuous or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point in X .

In this paper, by considering the recent technique of Wardowski [25], we give some generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi fixed point theorem. First, we recall the Wardowski's technique. Let $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. For the sake of completeness, we will consider the following conditions:

(F1) F is strictly increasing, i.e., for all $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\alpha < \beta$, $F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$,

(F2) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of positive numbers

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty,$$

(F3) There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$,

(F4) $F(\inf A) = \inf F(A)$ for all $A \subset (0, \infty)$ with $\inf A > 0$.

We denote by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_* , the set of all functions F satisfying (F1)-(F3) and (F1)-(F4), respectively. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_* \subset \mathcal{F}$. Some examples of the functions belonging \mathcal{F}_* are $F_1(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$, $F_2(\alpha) = \alpha + \ln \alpha$, $F_3(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ and $F_4(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha^2 + \alpha)$. If we define $F_5(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$ for $\alpha \leq 1$ and $F_5(\alpha) = 2\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1$, then $F_5 \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_*$. If F satisfies (F1), then it satisfies (F4) if and only if it is right continuous.

By considering the class \mathcal{F} , Wardowski [25] introduced the concept of F -contraction, which is more general than ordinary contraction, as follows: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map. If there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$, then T is called an F -contraction. As a real generalization of Banach contraction principle, Wardowski proved that every F -contraction on complete metric space has a unique fixed point. (See [25] for more detailed information about F -contractions).

By combining the ideas of Wardowski's and Nadler's, Altun et al [3] introduced the concept of multivalued F -contractions and obtained a fixed point result for these type mappings on complete metric spaces. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. Then T is said to be a multivalued F -contraction if there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\tau + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $H(Tx, Ty) > 0$.

Theorem 6 ([3]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a multivalued F -contraction. Then, T has a fixed point in X .*

Note that Tx is compact for all $x \in X$ in Theorem 6. By adding the condition (F4) on \mathcal{F} , the compactness condition of Tx can be weakened. There are some detailed information about this situation in [2].

Theorem 7 ([3]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued F -contraction with $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$, then T has a fixed point in X .*

On the other hand, taking τ as a function of $d(x, y)$ Olgun et al. [20] proved the following theorem, which is a generalization of Mizoguchi-Takahashi fixed point theorem for multivalued contractive mappings. These results are also nonlinear case of Theorem 7 (resp. Theorem 6).

Theorem 8 ([20]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ (resp. $K(X)$). If there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$ (resp. $F \in \mathcal{F}$) and $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tau(t) > 0, \text{ for all } s \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

satisfying

$$\tau(d(x, y)) + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $H(Tx, Ty) > 0$. Then, T has a fixed point in X .

The aim of this paper is to present some new fixed point results for multivalued F -contractions, by considering the α -admissibility and α_* -admissibility of a multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces.

2. THE RESULTS

Before we give our main results, we recall the following: Let X and Y be two metric spaces. Then, a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow P(Y)$ is said to be upper semicontinuous (lower semicontinuous) if the inverse image of closed sets (open sets) is closed (open). A multivalued mapping is continuous if it is upper as well as lower semicontinuous. T is a closed multivalued mapping if the graph $GrT = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Tx\}$ is a closed subset of $X \times Y$. If T is closed multivalued mapping, then it has closed values. If T is upper semicontinuous and closed values, then it is closed multivalued mapping (see Proposition 2.17 of [10]). A closed multivalued mapping may not be upper semicontinuous. For example, let $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow P([0, \infty))$ be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [0, x] \cup \{\frac{1}{x}\} & , x > 0 \\ \{0\} & , x = 0 \end{cases} ,$$

then T is closed multivalued mapping, but not upper semicontinuous since $T^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^+) = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \cup \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not closed, where \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of positive integers. On the other hand, an upper semi continuous mapping may not be closed multivalued mapping unless it is closed values. For example, let $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$ be defined by $Tx = [0, 1)$, then T is upper semicontinuous, but not closed multivalued mapping. We can find more important properties of multivalued mappings (even when X and Y are two topological spaces) in [10, 11].

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two mappings. Define a set

$$T_\alpha = \{(x, y) : \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ and } H(Tx, Ty) > 0\} \subset X \times X.$$

Given $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we say that T is a multivalued (α, F) -contraction if there exists a function $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\tau(d(x, y)) + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $(x, y) \in T_\alpha$. In this case, the function τ is called the contractive factor of T .

Theorem 9. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be an α -admissible and multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ . Suppose that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tau(t) > 0, \text{ for all } s \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

and there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is closed multivalued mapping or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Suppose that T has no fixed point. Then for all $x \in X$, $d(x, Tx) > 0$. Let x_0 and x_1 be as mentioned in the hypothesis, then $H(Tx_0, Tx_1) > 0$ (otherwise

$d(x_1, Tx_1) = 0$, this is a contradiction). Therefore $(x_0, x_1) \in T_\alpha$, thus we can use the condition (2.1) for x_0 and x_1 . Then considering (F1) we have

$$F(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \leq F(H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \tau(d(x_1, x_0)). \quad (2.3)$$

Since Tx_1 is compact, there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, Tx_1)$. From (2.3),

$$F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \tau(d(x_1, x_0)).$$

Also, since T is an α -admissible mapping $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$. Again, since $x_2 \in Tx_1$, then $H(Tx_1, Tx_2) > 0$. Therefore, $(x_1, x_2) \in T_\alpha$, so we can use (2.1) for x_1 and x_2 . Then

$$F(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \leq F(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \leq F(d(x_2, x_1)) - \tau(d(x_2, x_1)).$$

Since Tx_2 is compact, there exists $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that $d(x_2, x_3) = d(x_2, Tx_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \leq F(d(x_2, x_1)) - \tau(d(x_2, x_1)).$$

By induction, we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in T_\alpha$ and

$$F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) - \tau(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $a_n > 0$ and from (2.4) $\{a_n\}$ is decreasing and hence convergent. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. From (2.2) there exists $\gamma > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tau(a_n) > \gamma$ for all $n > n_0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_n) &\leq F(a_{n-1}) - \tau(a_{n-1}) \\ &\leq F(a_{n-2}) - \tau(a_{n-1}) - \tau(a_{n-2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq F(a_0) - \tau(a_{n-1}) - \tau(a_{n-2}) - \cdots - \tau(a_0) \\ &\leq F(a_0) - \tau(a_{n-1}) - \tau(a_{n-2}) - \cdots - \tau(a_{n_0}) \\ &= F(a_0) - [\tau(a_{n-1}) + \tau(a_{n-2}) + \cdots + \tau(a_{n_0})] \\ &\leq F(a_0) - (n - n_0)\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $n > n_0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty$ and by (F2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Now from (F3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^k F(a_n) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.5) we get for all $n > n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^k F(a_n) - a_n^k F(a_0) &\leq a_n^k [F(a_0) - (n - n_0)\gamma] - a_n^k F(a_0) \\ &= -a_n^k (n - n_0)\gamma \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (2.6), we get from the above inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^k = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $na_n^k \leq 1$ for all $n \geq n_1$. Consequently, we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}} \text{ for all } n \geq n_1.$$

Now, let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m > n \geq n_1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &< \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} d(x_{i+1}, x_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ is convergent, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0$ for all $m > n$.

Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) is a complete metric space, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.

If T is closed multivalued mapping, then since $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow (z, z)$, we have $z \in Tz$, which is a contradiction.

Now assume that α has (B) property. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$ and $d(z, Tz) > 0$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{n+1}, Tz) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, for all $n \geq n_0$

$$H(Tx_n, Tz) > 0,$$

thus $(x_n, z) \in T_\alpha$ for all $n \geq n_0$. From (2.1) and (F1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(x_{n+1}, Tz)) &\leq F(H(Tx_n, Tz)) \\ &\leq F(d(x_n, z)) - \tau(d(x_n, z)) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tz) \leq d(x_n, z)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Passing to limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d(z, Tz) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, T has a fixed point in X . □

Remark 1. Example 1 in [2] shows that we can not take $CB(X)$ instead of $K(X)$ in Theorem 9. However, we can take $CB(X)$ instead of $K(X)$ by adding the condition (F4) on F .

Theorem 10. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an α -admissible and multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ . Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$,*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tau(t) > 0, \text{ for all } s \geq 0$$

and there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is closed multi-valued mapping or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point.

Proof. We begin as in the proof of Theorem 9. Considering the condition (F4), we can write

$$F(d(x_1, Tx_1)) = \inf_{y \in Tx_1} F(d(x_1, y)).$$

Thus from

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(x_1, Tx_1)) &\leq F(H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \tau(d(x_1, x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{y \in Tx_1} F(d(x_1, y)) &\leq F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \tau(d(x_1, x_0)) \\ &< F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \frac{\tau(d(x_1, x_0))}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_0)) - \frac{\tau(d(x_1, x_0))}{2}.$$

The rest of the proof can be completed as in the proof of Theorem 9. \square

Remark 2. If we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ in Theorem 10, we obtain Theorem 8.

Remark 3. By taking $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ and $F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$ in Theorem 10, we obtain the famous Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem with $k(t) = \exp(-\tau(t))$.

Now, we give an example showing that T is α -admissible and multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ , but not multivalued F -contraction. Therefore, Theorem 9 (resp. Theorem 10) can be applied to this example, but Theorem 8 can not. Also, We show that Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 can not be applied to this example.

Example 1. Consider the complete metric space (X, d) where $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is given by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad x = y \\ x + y & , \quad x \neq y \end{cases}.$$

Define $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{x\} & , \quad x \in \{0, 1\} \\ \{0, x-1\} & , \quad x \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3 & , \quad \text{otherwise} \\ 0 & , \quad (x, y) \in \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\} \end{cases}.$$

Then it is clear that T is an α -admissible.

Now, we claim that T is a multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor $\tau(t) = 1$ and $F(\alpha) = \alpha + \ln \alpha$. To see this have to show that

$$1 + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

for all $(x, y) \in T_\alpha$ or equivalently

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y)} \leq e^{-1} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $(x, y) \in T_\alpha$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ and } H(Tx, Ty) > 1\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x, y) \notin \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\} \text{ and } x \neq y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume $x > y$ for all $(x, y) \in T_\alpha$ in the following cases:

Case 1. Let $y = 0$ and $x \geq 2$. Then $H(Tx, Ty) = x - 1$ and $d(x, y) = x$, and so we have

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y)} \leq \frac{x-1}{x} e^{-1} \leq e^{-1}.$$

Case 2. Let $y = 1$ and $x = 2$. Then $H(Tx, Ty) = 1$ and $d(x, y) = 3$, and so we have

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y)} \leq \frac{1}{3} e^{-2} \leq e^{-1}.$$

Case 3. Let $y = 1$ and $x > 2$. Then $H(Tx, Ty) = x$ and $d(x, y) = x + 1$, and so we have

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y)} \leq \frac{x}{x+1} e^{-1} \leq e^{-1}.$$

Case 4. Let $x > y \geq 2$ Then $H(Tx, Ty) = x + y - 2$ and $d(x, y) = x + y$, and so we have

$$\frac{H(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} e^{H(Tx, Ty) - d(x, y)} = \frac{x+y-2}{x+y} e^{-2} \leq e^{-2} \leq e^{-1}.$$

This shows that T is an multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ .

For $x_0 = 1$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0 = \{1\}$, we have $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(1, 1) = 3 \geq 1$.

Finally, since τ_d is discrete topology, T is upper semi continuous and hence closed multivalued mapping. By Theorem 9 (or Theorem 10), T has a fixed point in X .

On the other hand, since $H(T0, T1) = 1 = d(0, 1)$, then for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying inequality (1.2), we have

$$\tau(d(0, 1)) + F(H(T0, T1)) > F(d(0, 1)).$$

Therefore, Theorem 8 can not be applied to this example. Accordingly, T is not multivalued F -contraction and multivalued contraction.

Note that α has not (B) property. Indeed, considering the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0, \dots\}$ in X , then $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in N$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$, but $\alpha(x_1, 0) = \alpha(1, 0) = 0 \not\geq 1$.

Despite $\alpha(1, 2) \geq 1$, but $\alpha_*(T1, T2) = 0$, then T is not an α_* -admissible. Thus, Theorems 3 and 5 can not be applied to this example.

Also, since $H(T0, T2) = 1$, $d(0, 2) = 2$ and $\alpha(0, 2) = 3$, then for all $\psi \in \Psi$ and $k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} k(t) < 1$ for all $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\alpha(0, 2)H(T0, T1) = 3 > 2k(d(0, 2)) = k(d(0, 2))d(0, 2),$$

and

$$3 = \alpha(0, 2)H(T0, T1) \not\leq \psi(d(0, 2)) < 2.$$

Thus, Theorems 2 and 4 can not be applied to this example.

Since α_* -admissible mapping is also α -admissible, we can obtain following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be an α_* -admissible and multivalued (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ . Suppose that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tau(t) > 0, \text{ for all } s \geq 0$$

and there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. If T is closed multivalued mapping or α has (B) property, then T has a fixed point.

Recently, there have been so many interesting developments in fixed point theory in metric spaces endowed with a partial order. The first result in this direction for single valued maps was given by Ran and Reurings [21], where they extended the Banach contraction principle in partially ordered sets with some application to a matrix equation. Later, many important results have been obtained for both single and multivalued mappings on metric spaces endowed with a partial order (see for example [14, 19]). By [12], we know that the fixed point results for α -admissible mappings are closely related to fixed point theory on partially ordered metric spaces. Following, we will present a fixed point result for multivalued mappings on metric spaces endowed with a partial order. In 2004, Feng and Liu [9] defined relations between two sets. Let X be a nonempty set and \preceq be a partial order on X . Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of X , the relations between A and B are defined as follows:

- (a) $A \prec_1 B$: if for every $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \preceq b$,
- (b) $A \prec_2 B$: if for every $b \in B$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $a \preceq b$,
- (c) $A \prec B$: if $A \prec_1 B$ and $A \prec_2 B$.

\prec_1 and \prec_2 are different relations between A and B . For example, let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $B = [0, 1]$, \preceq be usual order on X , then $A \prec_1 B$ but $A \not\prec_2 B$; if $A = [0, 1]$, $B = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $A \prec_2 B$ while $A \not\prec_1 B$. \prec_1 , \prec_2 and \prec are reflexive and transitive, but are not antisymmetric. For instance, let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, 3]$, $B = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$, \preceq

be usual order on X , then $A < B$ and $B < A$, but $A \neq B$. Hence, they are not partial orders. Note that if A is a nonempty subset of X with $A <_1 A$, then A is singleton. (see [9]).

Corollary 2. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a metric d in X such that (X, d) is complete metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ (resp. $K(X)$) be a closed multivalued mapping such that*

$$\tau(d(x, y)) + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

for all $(x, y) \in T_{\preceq}$, where $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tau(t) > 0, \text{ for all } s \geq 0$$

and $T_{\preceq} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \text{ and } H(Tx, Ty) > 0\}$. Assume that for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $x \preceq y$, we have $y \preceq z$ for all $z \in Ty$ and there exist $x_0 \in X$, $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\{x_0\} <_1 Tx_0$, then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Define a mapping $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad x \preceq y \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then $T_{\preceq} = T_{\alpha}$. That is, T is (α, F) -contraction with contractive factor τ . Also, since $\{x_0\} <_1 Tx_0$, then there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $x_0 \preceq x_1$ and so $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. Now let $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $x \preceq y$ and so by the hypotheses we have $y \preceq z$ for all $z \in Ty$. Therefore, $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$. This shows that T is α -admissible. Therefore, from Theorem 10 (resp. Theorem 9), T has a fixed point in X . \square

Remark 4. We can give similar result using $<_2$ instead of $<_1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful advice which led them to present this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. U. Ali and T. Kamran, "On $(\alpha^*-\psi)$ -contractive multivalued mappings," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2013, no. 137, 2013.
- [2] I. Altun, G. Durmaz, G. Minak, and S. Romaguera, "Multivalued almost F -contractions on complete metric spaces," *Filomat*, in press, doi: [10.2298/FIL1602441A](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1602441A).
- [3] I. Altun, G. Minak, and H. Dağ, "Multivalued F -contractions on complete metric space," *J. Non-linear Convex Anal.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 659–666, 2015.
- [4] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2012, p. 6 pages, 2012, doi: [10.1186/1687-1812-2012-212](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-212).
- [5] M. Berinde and V. Berinde, "On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 326, no. 38, pp. 772–782, 2007, doi: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.016).

- [6] V. Berinde and M. Păcurar, “The role of the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric in fixed point theory,” *Creat. Math. Inform.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 35–42, 2013.
- [7] L. B. Ćirić, “Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 71, pp. 2716–2723, 2009, doi: [10.1016/j.na.2009.01.116](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.01.116).
- [8] W.-S. Du, “Some new results and generalizations in metric fixed point theory,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 73, pp. 1439–1446, 2010, doi: [10.1016/j.na.2010.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.05.007).
- [9] Y. Feng and S. Liu, “Fixed point theorems for multi-valued increasing operators in partially ordered spaces,” *Soochow J. Math.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 461–469, 2004.
- [10] S. Hu and N. S. Papageorgiou, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis. Volume I: Theory*, 1st ed. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [11] V. I. Istrăţescu, *Fixed Point Theory: An Introduction*, 1st ed. The Netherlands: Springer, 1981.
- [12] E. Karapınar and B. Samet, “Generalized α - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications,” *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, vol. 2012, no. 793486, p. 17 pages, 2012, doi: [10.1155/2012/793486](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/793486).
- [13] G. Minak, O. Acar, and I. Altun, “Multivalued pseudo-Picard operators and fixed point results,” *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, vol. 2013, no. 827458, 2013, doi: [10.1155/2013/827458](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/827458).
- [14] G. Minak and I. Altun, “Some new generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi type fixed point theorem,” *J. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 2013, no. 493, 2013, doi: [10.1186/1029-242X-2013-493](https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2013-493).
- [15] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, “Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 141, pp. 177–188, 1989, doi: [10.1016/0022-247X\(89\)90214-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(89)90214-X).
- [16] B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, “Some results on fixed points of α - ψ -Ćirić generalized multifunctions,” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 24, p. 10 pages, 2013, doi: [10.1186/1687-1812-2013-24](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-24).
- [17] S. B. Nadler, “Multi-valued contraction mappings,” *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.
- [18] H. Nawab, E. Karapınar, P. Salimi, and F. Akbar, “ α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems,” *J. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 114, p. 11 pages, 2013, doi: [10.1186/1029-242X-2013-114](https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2013-114).
- [19] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-Lopez, “Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations,” *Order*, vol. 22, pp. 223–239, 2005, doi: [10.1007/s11083-005-9018-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-005-9018-5).
- [20] M. Olgun, G. Minak, and I. Altun, “A new approach to Mizoguchi-Takahashi type fixed point theorem,” *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, in press.
- [21] A. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, “A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1435–1443, 2003.
- [22] S. Reich, “Fixed points of contractive functions,” *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 26–42, 1972.
- [23] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, “Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 75, pp. 2154–2165, 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.na.2011.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2011.10.014).
- [24] T. Suzuki, “Mizoguchi-Takahashi’s fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler’s,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 340, pp. 752–755, 2008.
- [25] D. Wardowski, “Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces,” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2012, no. 94, p. 6 pages, 2012.

Authors’ addresses

G. Durmaz

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Çankırı Karatekin University, 18100 Çankırı, Turkey

E-mail address: gncmatematik@hotmail.com

I. Altun

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, 71450 Yahsihan,
Kirikkale, Turkey

E-mail address: ishakaltun@yahoo.com