

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 16 (2015), No. 2, pp. 781–791

# GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ACTING AS HOMOMORPHISM OR ANTI-HOMOMORPHISM WITH CENTRAL VALUES IN SEMIPRIME RINGS

### B. DHARA, S. KAR, AND K. G. PRADHAN

Received 21 January, 2015

Abstract. Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z(R). A mapping  $F : R \to R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d : R \to R$  such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . In the present paper, our main object is to study the situations: (1)  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , (2)  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , (3)  $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$ , (4)  $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$ ; for all x, y in some suitable subset of R.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25;; 16R50; 16N60

Keywords: semiprime ring, derivation, generalized derivation

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Let *R* be an associative ring with center Z(R). For  $x, y \in R$ , [x, y] denotes the commutator element xy - yx. We use the notation to define the Engel type polynomial  $[x, y]_{n+1} = [[x, y]_n, y]$  instead of [x, y, y, ..., y] for  $n \ge 1$  and  $[x, y]_1 = [x, y]$ . Recall that a ring *R* is called prime if for any  $a, b \in R$ , aRb = (0) implies that either a = 0 or b = 0 and is called semiprime if for any  $a \in R$ , aRa = (0) implies a = 0. An additive mapping  $F : R \to R$  is called a generalized derivation of *R* if there exists a derivation  $d : R \to R$  such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) holds for any  $x, y \in R$ . If d = 0, then *F* is said to be a left centralizer map of *R*. For any subset *S* of *R*,  $r_R(S)$  denotes the right annihilator of *S* in *R*, that is,  $r_R(S) = \{x \in R | Sx = 0\}$ . If  $r_R(S) = l_R(S)$ , then  $r_R(S)$  is called an annihilator ideal of *R* and is written as  $ann_R(S)$ .

Let *S* be a nonempty subset of a ring *R*. The mapping  $F : R \to R$  is said to be a homomorphism (anti-homomorphism) acting on *S* if F(xy) = F(x)F(y) holds for all  $x, y \in S$  (respectively F(xy) = F(y)F(x) holds for all  $x, y \in S$ ).

© 2015 Miskolc University Press

This research is supported by a grant from National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India. Grant No. is NBHM/R.P. 26/ 2012/Fresh/1745 dated 15.11.12.

A series of papers in literature studied the homomorphism or anti-homomorphism of some specific type of additive mappings in prime and semiprime rings under certain conditions (see [1-4, 7, 10-12, 14, 15]).

In [4], Bell and Kappe showed that if a derivation d of a prime ring R can act as homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on a nonzero right ideal of R, then d = 0 on R. Then Ali, Rehman and Ali in [2] proved a similar result to Lie ideal case. They proved that if R is a 2-torsion free prime ring, L a nonzero Lie ideal of R such that  $u^2 \in L$  for all  $u \in L$  and d acts as a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on L, then either d = 0 or  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .

On the other hand, the authors developed above results, replacing the derivation d with a generalized derivation F of R. In this view, Rehman [14] proved the following:

Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R. Suppose  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is a nonzero generalized derivation with d.

(i) If F acts as a homomorphism on I and if  $d \neq 0$ , then R is commutative.

(*ii*) If *F* acts as an anti-homomorphism on *I* and if  $d \neq 0$ , then *R* is commutative. Recently, in [3] Ali and Huang studied the case when a generalized Jordan ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation *F* acts as homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on a square closed Lie ideal *U* in prime ring *R*.

It is natural to investigate the above situations in semiprime rings. Recently, in [7] the first author of this article has studied the situations, when a generalized derivation F of a semiprime ring R acts as homomorphism or anti-homomorphism in a nonzero left ideal of R.

From above results, it is natural to consider the situations, when the generalized derivations *F* satisfies the identities: (1)  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , (2)  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , (3)  $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$ , (4)  $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$ ; for all *x*, *y* in some suitable subset of *R*.

Recently, Albas [1] studied the above mentioned identities in prime rings. Albas proved the following theorems:

**Theorem A.** Let R be a prime ring with center Z(R) and I be a nonzero ideal of R. If R admits a nonzero generalized derivation F of R, with associated derivation d such that  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  or  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then either R is commutative or  $F = I_{id}$  or  $F = -I_{id}$ , where  $I_{id}$  denotes the identity map of the ring R.

**Theorem B.** Let R be a prime ring with center Z(R) and I be a nonzero ideal of R. If R admits a nonzero generalized derivation F of R, with associated derivation d such that  $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  or  $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then R is commutative.

782

In the present paper our main object is to investigate the situations in semiprime rings.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall use following basic identities which will be used frequently: for  $x, y, z \in R$ ,

[xy,z] = x[y,z] + [x,z]y and [x,yz] = y[x,z] + [x,y]z.

We need the following facts which will be used to prove our theorems:

**Fact-1.** [5, Theorem 3] Let R be a semiprime ring and U a nonzero left ideal of R. If R admits a derivation d which is nonzero on U and  $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in U$ , then R contains a nonzero central ideal.

**Fact-2.** [8, Fact-4]*Let* R *be a semiprime ring,* d *a nonzero derivation of* R *such that* x[[d(x), x], x] = 0 *for all*  $x \in R$ . *Then* d *maps* R *into its center.* 

**Fact-3.** [13, Corollary 2] If R is a semiprime ring and I is an ideal of R, then  $I \cap ann_R(I) = 0$ .

**Fact-4.** [6, Lemma 2] (a) If R is a semiprime ring, the center of a nonzero onesided ideal is contained in the center of R; in particular, any commutative one-sided ideal is contained in the center of R.

(b) If R is a prime ring with a nonzero central ideal, then R must be commutative.

**Fact-5.** [9, Corollary 2.6] Let R be a prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and  $F : R \to R$  a nonzero left centralizer map. (1) If  $F(x)F(y) - F(xy) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then either R is commutative or F(r) = r for all  $r \in R$ . (2) If  $F(x)F(y) + F(xy) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then either R is commutative or F(r) = -r for all  $r \in R$ .

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z(R) and I a nonzero ideal of R. Let  $F : R \to R$  be a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $d : R \to R$ . If  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then one of the following holds:

(1) *R* contains a nonzero central ideal;

(2) d(I) = (0) and F is a left centralizer map on I such that [F(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* By our assumption, we have

$$F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$$
(3.1)

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing y with yz, where  $z \in I$ , we get

$$F(xyz) - F(x)F(yz) \in Z(R)$$
(3.2)

which implies

$$F(xy)z + xyd(z) - F(x)\{F(y)z + yd(z)\} \in Z(R)$$
(3.3)

that is

$$(F(xy) - F(x)F(y))z + (x - F(x))yd(z) \in Z(R).$$
(3.4)

Commuting both sides with z, we get

$$[(F(xy) - F(x)F(y))z + (x - F(x))yd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.5)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . By using (3.1), above relation yields

$$[(x - F(x))yd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.6)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Now we put x = xz, and then obtain that

$$[(xz - F(x)z - xd(z))yd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.7)

which is

$$[(x - F(x))zyd(z), z] - [xd(z)yd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.8)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . In (3.6), replacing y with zy, we get

$$[(x - F(x))zyd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.9)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Using (3.9), (3.8) implies

$$[xd(z)yd(z), z] = 0 (3.10)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Now we put x = d(z)x in (3.10), and then we see that

$$0 = [d(z)xd(z)yd(z), z] = d(z)[xd(z)yd(z), z] + [d(z), z]xd(z)yd(z)$$
(3.11)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . As an application of (3.10), (3.11) reduces to

$$[d(z), z] x d(z) y d(z) = 0$$
(3.12)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Replacing x with xz and y with zy respectively in (3.12), we get

$$[d(z), z]xzd(z)yd(z) = 0$$
(3.13)

and

$$[d(z), z] x d(z) z y d(z) = 0$$
(3.14)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Subtracting one from another yields

$$[d(z), z]x[d(z), z]yd(z) = 0$$
(3.15)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Replacing y with yz in (3.15) and right multiplying (3.15) by z respectively and then subtracting one from another yields

$$[d(z), z]x[d(z), z]y[d(z), z] = 0$$
(3.16)

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ , which implies  $(I[d(z), z])^3 = (0)$  for all  $z \in I$ . Since *R* is semiprime, it contains no nonzero nilpotent left ideal, implying I[d(z), z] = (0) for all  $z \in I$ . Thus,  $[d(z), z] \in Ann_R(I)$  for all  $z \in I$ . Since *I* is an ideal, we conclude that  $[d(z), z] \in I$  for all  $z \in I$ . This implies that  $[d(z), z] \in I \cap Ann_R(I)$  for all  $z \in I$ . In view of Fact-3, [d(z), z] = 0 for all  $z \in I$ . Further, if *d* is derivation such that  $d(I) \neq (0)$ , then by Fact-1, *R* contains a nonzero central ideal.

Let d(I) = (0). Then F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) = F(x)y for all  $x, y \in I$ , i.e., F is a left centralizer map on I. Then by our hypothesis, we have

$$F(x)(y - F(y)) \in Z(R) \tag{3.17}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing y with yu, where  $u \in I$ , we get

$$F(x)(y - F(y))u \in Z(R)$$
(3.18)

for all  $x, y, u \in I$ . Commuting both sides with v, where  $v \in I$ , we get

$$F(x)(y - F(y))uv - vF(x)(y - F(y))u = 0.$$
(3.19)

By using (3.18), it reduces to

$$vF(x)(y - F(y))u \in Z(R)$$
(3.20)

for all  $u, v, x, y \in I$ . We choose  $x, y \in I$  such that  $a = F(x)(y - F(y)) \neq 0$ . Then from above, we have  $IaI \subseteq Z(R)$ , that is, R contains a central ideal. If this ideal is zero ideal, then

$$I(F(x)(y - F(y))) = (0)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing x with  $xz, z \in I$ , this gives

$$I(F(x)z(y - F(y))) = (0)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Thus  $F(x)z(y - F(y)) \in I \cap ann_R(I) = (0)$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . This gives

$$[F(x), x]z(y - F(y)) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Putting  $y = y^2$  and z = zy respectively and then subtracting one from another, we get

$$[F(x), x]z[F(y), y] = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Since *I* is an ideal of *R*, it follows that  $([F(x), x]I)^2 = (0)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Since semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent ideal, we have [F(x), x]I = (0) for all  $x \in I$ . Thus by Fact-3,  $[F(x), x] \in I \cap ann_R(I) = (0)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thereby, the proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.** Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z(R) and I a nonzero ideal of R. Let  $F : R \to R$  be a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $d : R \to R$ . If  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then one of the following holds:

(1) R contains a nonzero central ideal;

(2) d(I) = (0) and F is a left centralizer map on I such that [F(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* If we replace F with -F and d with -d in Theorem 1, we conclude that  $(-F)(xy) - (-F)(x)(-F)(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , implies [(-d)(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in I$ , that is,  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , implies [d(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in I$ . Hence conclusion follows by Theorem 1.

The following corollary is immediate consequences of the Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 by using Fact-4 and Fact-5.

**Corollary 1.** Let R be a prime ring with center Z(R) and  $F : R \to R$  be a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $d : R \to R$ .

(1) If R satisfies  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , then either R is commutative or F(x) = -x for all  $x \in R$ .

(2) If R satisfies  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$ , then either R is commutative or F(x) = x for all  $x \in R$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z(R). Let  $F : R \to R$  be a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $d : R \to R$ . If  $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then one of the following holds: (1) R contains a nonzero central ideal; (2) F is a left centralizer map of R such that  $F : R \to Z(R)$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have

$$F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$$
(3.21)

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Putting x = xz, we have

$$F(xzy) - F(y)(F(x)z + xd(z)) \in Z(R)$$
(3.22)

which gives

$$F(x)zy + xd(zy) - F(y)F(x)z - F(y)xd(z) \in Z(R).$$
 (3.23)

Commuting both sides with z, we have

$$[F(x)zy - F(y)F(x)z - F(y)xd(z) + xd(zy), z] = 0$$
(3.24)

that is

$$[F(x)zy,z] - [F(y)F(x),z]z - [F(y)xd(z) - xd(zy),z] = 0$$
(3.25)

for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . From (3.21), we can write that [F(xy) - F(y)F(x), z] = 0 for all  $x, y, z \in R$ , that is, [F(xy), z] = [F(y)F(x), z] for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Thus (3.25) reduces to

$$[F(x)zy,z] - [F(xy),z]z - [F(y)xd(z) - xd(zy),z] = 0$$
(3.26)

for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Putting  $y = z^2$  in (3.26), we have  $[F(x)z^3, z] - [F(x)z^2 + xd(z^2), z]z - [(F(z)z + zd(z))xd(z) - xd(z^3), z] = 0$ (3.27)

786

that is,

$$[F(z)zxd(z) + zd(z)xd(z) - xd(z^{3}) + xd(z^{2})z, z] = 0$$
(3.28)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . Putting x = zx in (3.26), we have

$$[(F(z)x + zd(x))zy, z] - [F(z)xy + zd(xy), z]z - [F(y)zxd(z) - zxd(zy), z] = 0$$
(3.29)

that is,

$$[F(z)xzy,z] - [F(z)xy,z]z - [F(y)zxd(z) - zxd(zy) - zd(x)zy + zd(xy)z,z] = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Assuming y = z, we have

$$[F(z)zxd(z) - zxd(z^{2}) - zd(x)z^{2} + zd(xz)z, z] = 0$$
(3.31)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . Subtracting (3.31) from (3.28), we get

$$[zd(z)xd(z) - xd(z^{3}) + xd(z^{2})z + zxd(z^{2}) + zd(x)z^{2} - zd(xz)z, z] = 0 \quad (3.32)$$

for all 
$$x, z \in R$$
. This reduces to

$$[zd(z)xd(z), z] + [-xd(z^3) + xd(z^2)z + zxd(z^2) - zxd(z)z, z] = 0$$
(3.33)  
for all  $x, z \in R$ . Now putting  $x = zx$  in (3.33), we get

 $[zd(z)zxd(z),z] + z[-xd(z^{3}) + xd(z^{2})z + zxd(z^{2}) - zxd(z)z,z] = 0 \quad (3.34)$ 

for all  $x, z \in R$ . Left multiplying (3.33) by z and then subtracting from (3.34), we get

$$[z[d(z), z]xd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.35)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . Again putting x = xz in above relation, we get

$$[z[d(z), z]xzd(z), z] = 0$$
(3.36)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . Now right multiplying (3.35) by z and then subtracting from (3.36), we obtain

$$[z[d(z), z]x[d(z), z], z] = 0$$
(3.37)

and hence

$$[z[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z], z] = 0$$
(3.38)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . This implies

$$z[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z]z - z^{2}[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z] = 0$$
(3.39)

for all  $x, z \in R$ . In (3.39), replacing x with xz[d(z), z]u, we obtain

$$z[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z]uz[d(z), z]z - z^{2}[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z]uz[d(z), z] = 0 \quad (3.40)$$
  
for all  $x, u, z \in R$ . Using (3.39), (3.40) gives

$$z[d(z), z]xz^{2}[d(z), z]uz[d(z), z] - z[d(z), z]xz[d(z), z]zuz[d(z), z] = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

that is

$$z[d(z), z]x[z[d(z), z], z]uz[d(z), z] = 0$$
(3.42)

for all  $x, u, z \in R$ . This implies [z[d(z), z], z]x[z[d(z), z], z]u[z[d(z), z], z] = 0 for all  $x, u, z \in R$ , which is  $(R[z[d(z), z], z])^3 = (0)$  for all  $z \in R$ . Since *R* is semiprime, we conclude that R[z[d(z), z], z] = (0) for all  $z \in R$ . Hence, z[[d(z), z], z] = 0 for all  $z \in R$ . Then by Fact-2, either d(R) = (0) or  $d(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ . If  $d(R) \neq (0)$ , then the second case implies [d(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in R$ . Hence in view of Fact-1, *R* contains a nonzero central ideal.

Let d(R) = (0). Then F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) = F(x)y for all  $x, y \in R$ , i.e., F is a left centralizer map of R. Then by our hypothesis, we have

$$F(x)y - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$$
(3.43)

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Replacing y with yu, where  $u \in R$ , we get

$$F(x)yu - F(y)uF(x) \in Z(R)$$
(3.44)

that is

$$(F(x)y - F(y)F(x))u + F(y)[F(x), u] \in Z(R)$$
(3.45)

for all  $x, y, u \in R$ . Commuting both sides with u, we get

$$[(F(x)y - F(y)F(x))u, u] + [F(y)[F(x), u], u] = 0$$
(3.46)

for all  $x, y, u \in R$ . Since  $F(x)y - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have from (3.46) that

$$[F(y)[F(x),u],u] = 0$$
(3.47)

for all  $x, y, u \in R$ . We put y = yr in above and get

$$[F(y)r[F(x),u],u] = 0$$
(3.48)

for all  $x, y, u, r \in R$ . Now putting y = yu in above, we have

$$[F(y)ur[F(x),u],u] = 0$$
(3.49)

for all  $x, y, u, r \in R$ . Left multiplying (3.48) by u, we get

$$[uF(y)r[F(x),u],u] = 0$$
(3.50)

for all  $x, y, u, r \in R$ . Subtracting (3.50) from (3.49), we obtain that

$$[[F(y), u]r[F(x), u], u] = 0$$
(3.51)

for all  $x, y, u, r \in R$ . In particular, above relation yields

$$[[F(x), u]r[F(x), u], u] = 0$$
(3.52)

that is

$$[F(x), u]r[F(x), u]u - u[F(x), u]r[F(x), u] = 0$$
(3.53)

for all  $x, u, r \in R$ . In (3.53), replacing r with r[F(x), u]v we get

$$[F(x), u]r[F(x), u]v[F(x), u]u - u[F(x), u]r[F(x), u]v[F(x), u] = 0.$$
(3.54)

788

By using (3.53), (3.54) becomes

$$[F(x), u]ru[F(x), u]v[F(x), u] - [F(x), u]r[F(x), u]uv[F(x), u] = 0, \quad (3.55)$$

which is

$$[F(x), u]r[[F(x), u], u]v[F(x), u] = 0$$
(3.56)

for all  $x, r, u, v \in R$ . Replacing v with vu in (3.56) and right multiplying (3.56) by u respectively and then subtracting one from another, we have

$$F(x), u]r[[F(x), u], u]v[[F(x), u], u] = 0.$$
(3.57)

Similarly, just from above relation, we can write

$$[[F(x), u], u]r[[F(x), u], u]v[[F(x), u], u] = 0.$$
(3.58)

Thus  $([[F(x), u], u]R)^3 = (0)$  for all  $x, u \in R$ . Since R is semiprime, R contains no nonzero nilpotent ideals. Hence [[F(x), u], u]R = (0) and so [[F(x), u], u] = 0 for all  $x, u \in R$ . Then by Fact-1, we conclude that either [F(x), u] = 0 for all  $x, u \in R$  or R contains a nonzero central ideal. If [F(x), u] = 0 for all  $x, u \in R$ , then F maps R into its center. Thus we obtain our all conclusions.

**Theorem 4.** Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z(R). Let  $F : R \to R$  be a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $d : R \to R$ . If  $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then one of the following holds: (1) R contains a nonzero central ideal; (2) F is a left centralizer map of R such that  $F : R \to Z(R)$ .

*Proof.* If we replace F with -F and d with -d in Theorem 3, we conclude that  $(-F)(xy) - (-F)(y)(-F)(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  implies  $x[(-d)(x), x]_2 = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , that is,  $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , implies  $x[d(x), x]_2 = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Hence the conclusion follows by Theorem 3.

We conclude our paper with the following example which shows that the above theorems do not hold for arbitrary rings.

**Example:** Consider the ring  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ . Obviously, R is not semiprime, because  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0)$ . We define maps  $F, d : R \to R$  by  $F \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $d \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then *F* is a generalized derivation of *R* associated with the derivation *d* of *R*. For I = R, we have that  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . Since  $d(R) \neq (0)$  and *R* contains no nonzero central ideal for  $Z(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ , the semiprimeness hypothesis in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 is not superfluous.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to refere for his/her very careful reading of the paper and providing very helpful suggestions and some misprints.

#### REFERENCES

- E. Albas, "Generalized derivations on ideals of prime rings," *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 3–9, 2013.
- [2] A. Ali, N. Rehman, and S. Ali, "On lie ideals with derivations as homomorphisms and antihomomorphisms," *Acta Math. Hungar*, vol. 101, no. 1-2, pp. 79–82, 2003.
- [3] S. Ali and S. Huang, "On generalized jordan (α, β)-derivations that act as homomorphisms or antihomomorphisms," *Journal of Algebra and Computational Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–19, 2011, doi: 10.5120/2186-2762.
- [4] H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe, "Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions," Acta Math. Hungar, vol. 53, pp. 339–346, 1989, doi: 10.1007/BF01953371.
- [5] H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale-III, "Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings," Canad. Math. Bull., vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 92–101, 1987, doi: 10.4153/CMB-1987-014-x.
- [6] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, "Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings," *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 205–206, 1992, doi: 10.1155/S0161171292000255.
- [7] B. Dhara, "Generalized derivations acting as a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism in semiprime rings," *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, vol. 53, pp. 203–209, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s13366-011-0051-9.
- [8] B. Dhara and S. Ali, "On *n*-centralizing generalized derivations in semiprime rings with applications to *c*\*-algebras," *J. Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. Paper No. 1 250 111, 11 pages, 2012, doi: 10.1142/s0219498812501113.
- [9] B. Dhara, A. Fosner, and S. Mondal, "Left multipliers on lie ideals in prime rings," *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 57–64, 2013.
- [10] B. Dhara, S. Sahebi, and V. Rehmani, "Generalized derivations as a generalization of jordan homomorphisms acting on lie ideals and right ideals," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. to appear in No. 4, 2015.
- [11] V. D. Filippis, "Generalized derivations as jordan homomorphisms on lie ideals and right ideals," *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 1965–1974, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10114-009-7343-0.
- [12] I. Gusic, "A note on generalized derivations of prime rings," *Glasnik Mate.*, vol. 40, no. 60, pp. 47–49, 2005, doi: 10.3336/gm.40.1.05.
- [13] I. N. Herstein, Rings with involution. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press, 1976.
- [14] N. Rehman, "On generalized derivations as homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms," *Glasnik Mate.*, vol. 39, no. 59, pp. 27–30, 2004, doi: 10.3336/gm.39.1.03.
- [15] Y. Wang and H. You, "Derivations as homomorphisms or anti-homomorphisms on lie ideals," *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1149–1152, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s10114-005-0840-x.

### Authors' addresses

#### B. Dhara

Belda College, Department of Mathematics, Paschim Medinipur, Belda, 721424, INDIA *E-mail address:* basu\_dhara@yahoo.com

## S. Kar

Jadavpur University, Department of Mathematics, Kolkata, 700032, INDIA *E-mail address:* karsukhendu@yahoo.co.in

## K. G. Pradhan

Belda College, Department of Mathematics, Paschim Medinipur, Belda 721424, INDIA *E-mail address:* kgp.math@gmail.com