

ON A GENERALIZATION OF NC-MCCOY RINGS

MOHAMMAD VAHDANI MEHRABADI, SHERVIN SAHEBI, AND HAMID H. S. JAVADI

Received 04 November, 2015

Abstract. In the present paper we concentrate on a natural generalization of NC-McCoy rings that is called J-McCoy and investigate their properties. We prove that local rings are J-McCoy. For a ring R, R[[x]] is J-McCoy if and only if R is J-McCoy. Also, for an abelian ring R, we show that R is J-McCoy if and only if eR is J-McCoy, where e is an idempotent element of R. Moreover, we give an example to show that the J-McCoy property does not pass $M_n(R)$, but S(R,n), A(R,n), B(R,n) and T(R,n) are J-McCoy.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10; 34B15

Keywords: McCoy ring, weak McCoy ring, J-McCoy ring, polynomial ring, upper triangular matrix ring

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity. For a ring R, N(R), $M_n(R)$ and e_{ij} denote the set of all nilpotent elements in R, the $n \times n$ matrix ring over R, and the matrix with (i, j)-entry 1 and elsewhere 0, respectively. Rege -Chhawchharia [8] called a noncommutative ring R right McCoy if whenever polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy f(x)g(x) = 0, there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ such that $a_ir = 0$. Left McCoy rings are defined similarly. A number of papers have been written on McCoy property of rings (see, e.g., [1, 4, 6, 7, 9]). The name "McCoy" was chosen because McCoy [6] had noted that every commutative ring satisfies this condition. Victor Camillo, Tai Keun Kwak, and Yang Lee [2] called a ring R right nilpotent coefficient McCoy(simply, right NC-McCoy) if whenever polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy f(x)g(x) = 0, there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ such that $f(x)r \in N(R)[x]$. Left NC-McCoy rings are defined analogously, and a ring R is called NC-McCoy if it is both left and right NC-McCoy. They proved for a reduced

© 2017 Miskolc University Press

This paper is supported by Islamic Azad University Central Tehran Branch (IAUCTB). The authors want to thank the authority of IAUCTB for their support to complete this research.

ring R and $n \ge 2$, $M_n(R)$ is neither right nor left NC-McCoy, but $T_n(R)$ is a NC-McCoy ring for $n \ge 2$. Moreover, it is shown that R is right NC-McCoy if the polynomial ring R[x] is right NC-McCoy and the converse holds if $N(R)[x] \subset N(R[x])$.

Motivated by the above results, we investigate a generalization of the right NC-McCoy rings. The Jacobson radical is an important tool for studying the structure of noncommutative rings, and denoted by J(R). A ring R is said to be right J-McCoy (respectively left J-McCoy) if for each pair of nonzero polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ with f(x)g(x) = 0, then there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ such that $a_i r \in J(R)$ (respectively $rb_j \in J(R)$). A ring R is called J-McCoy if it is both left and right J-McCoy. It is clear that NC-McCoy rings are J-McCoy, but the converse is not always true. If R is J-semisimple (namely, J(R) = 0), then R is right J-McCoy if and only if R is right McCoy. Moreover, for Artinian rings, the concepts of NC-McCoy and J-McCoy rings are the same.

2. Results

Definition 1. A ring *R* is said to be right J-McCoy (respectively left J-McCoy) if for each pair of nonzero polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in$ $R[x] \setminus \{0\}, f(x)g(x) = 0$ implies that there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ with $a_i r \in J(R)$ (respectively $rb_j \in J(R)$). A ring *R* is called J-McCoy if it is both left and right J-McCoy.

It is clear that NC-McCoy rings are J-McCoy, but the converse is not always true by the following example.

Example 1. Let A be the 3 by 3 full matrix ring over the power series ring F[[t]] over a field F. Let

 $B = \{M = (m_{ij}) \in A \mid m_{ij} \in tF[[t]] \text{ for } 1 \le i, j \le 2 \text{ and } m_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i = 3 \text{ or } j = 3\}$ and

$$C = \{M = (m_{ii}) \in A \mid m_{ii} \in F \text{ and } m_{ii} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Let *R* be the subring of *A* generated by *B* and *C*. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that every element of R is of the form $(a + f_1)e_{11} + f_2e_{12} + f_3e_{21} + (a + f_4)e_{22} + ae_{33}$ for some $a \in F$ and $f_i \in tF[[t]]$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4). Consider two polynomials over R, $f(x) = te_{11} + te_{12}x + te_{21}x^2 + te_{22}x^3$ and $g(x) = -t(e_{21} + e_{22}) + t(e_{11} + e_{12})x \in R[x]$. Then f(x)g(x) = 0. If there exists $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $f(x)r \in N(R[x])$, then r = 0. Thus *R* is not right NC-McCoy.

Next we will show that R is right J-McCoy. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} M_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} N_j x^j$ be nonzero polynomials in R[x] such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Since $M_i = (a_i + f_{i1})e_{11} + f_{i2}e_{12} + f_{i3}e_{21} + (a_i + f_{i4})e_{22} + a_ie_{33}$ for some $a_i \in F$ and $f_{ij} \in tF[[t]]$ (j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), then for $C = te_{11}$ we have $M_i C = (a_i + f_{i1})te_{11} + f_{i3}te_{21} \in J(R)$. Thus R is right J-McCoy ring.

Proposition 1. Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R such that R/I is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. If $I \subseteq J(R)$, then R is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring.

Proof. Suppose that $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Then $(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \bar{a}_i x^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{b}_j x^j) = \bar{0}$ in R/I. Thus there exists $\bar{c} \in R/I$ such that $\bar{a}_i \bar{c} \in J(R/I)$ and so $a_i c \in J(R)$. This means R is right J-McCoy ring.

Corollary 1. Let R be any local ring. Then R is J-McCoy.

The following example shows that, if R is a right J-McCoy ring, then R/J(R) is not necessary right J-McCoy.

Example 2. Let *R* denote the localization of the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers at the prime ideal (3). Consider the quaternions \mathbb{Q} over *R*, that is, a free R-module with basis 1,*i*, *j*,*k* and multiplication satisfying $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, ij = k = -ji. Then \mathbb{Q} is a noncommutative domain, and so $J(\mathbb{Q}) = 3\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}/J(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the 2-by-2 full matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}/(3)$. Thus \mathbb{Q} is a right J-McCoy ring, but $\mathbb{Q}/J(\mathbb{Q})$ is not right J-McCoy.

Proposition 2. Let R_k be a ring, where $k \in I$. Then R_k is right (resp. left) *J-McCoy* for each $k \in I$ if and only if $R = \prod_{k \in I} R_k$ is right (resp. left) *J-McCoy*.

Proof. Let each R_k be a right J-McCoy ring and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0, where $a_i = (a_i^{(k)}), b_j = (b_j^{(k)})$. If there exists $t \in I$ such that $a_i^{(t)} = 0$ for each $0 \le i \le m$, then we have $a_i c = 0 \in J(R)$ where $c = (0, 0, \dots, 1_{R_t}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Now suppose for each $k \in I$, there exists $0 \le i_k \le m$ such that $a_{i_k}^{(k)} \ne 0$. Since $g(x) \ne 0$, there exists $t \in I$ and $0 \le j_t \le n$ such that $b_{j_t}^{(t)} \ne 0$. Consider $f_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i^{(t)} x^i$ and $g_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_j^{(t)} x^j \in R_t[x] \setminus \{0\}$. We have $f_t(x)g_t(x) = 0$. Thus there exists nonzero $c_t \in R_t$ such that $a_i^{(t)}c_t \in J(R_t)$, for each $0 \le i \le m$. Thus, R is right J-McCoy. Conversely, suppose R is right J-McCoy and $t \in I$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^$

Conversely, suppose *R* is right J-vice of and $i \in I$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ be nonzero polynomials in $R_t[x]$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Set

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (0, 0, \dots, 0, a_i, 0, \dots, 0) x^i ,$$

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (0, 0, \dots, 0, b_j, 0, \dots, 0) x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$$

Hence F(x)G(x) = 0 and so there exists $0 \neq c = (c_i)$ such that $(0, 0, \dots, 0, a_i, 0, \dots, 0)c \in J(R) = \prod_{k \in I} J(R_k)$. Therefore, $a_i c_t \in J(R_t)$ and so R_t is right J-McCoy

Corollary 2. Let D be a ring and C a subring of D with $1_D \in C$. Let

 $R(C, D) = \{ (d_1, \dots, d_n, c, c, \dots) \mid d_i \in D, c \in C, n \ge 1 \}$

with addition and multiplication defined component-wise, R(D,C) is a ring. Then D is right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if R(D,C) is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.

Theorem 1. The class of right (resp. left) J-McCoy rings is closed under direct limits with injective maps.

Proof. Let $D = \{R_i, \alpha_{ij}\}$ be direct system of right J-McCoy rings R_i , for $i \in I$ and ring homomorphisms $\alpha_{ij} : R_i \longrightarrow R_j$ for each $i \leq j$ satisfying $\alpha_{ij}(1) = 1$, where I is a directed partially ordered set. Set $R = \lim_{i \to i} R_i$ be a direct limit of D with $L_i : R_i \longrightarrow R$ and $L_j \alpha_{ij} = L_i$ where every L_i is injective. We will show that R is an right J-McCoy ring. Take $a, b \in R$. Then $a = L_i(a_i), b = L_j(b_j)$ for some $i, j \in I$ and there is $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k, j \leq k$. Define

$$a + b = L_k(\alpha_{ik}(a_i) + \alpha_{ik}(b_i))$$
 and $ab = L_k(\alpha_{ik}(a_i)\alpha_{ik}(b_i))$

where $\alpha_{ik}(a_i)$ and $\alpha_{jk}(b_j)$ are in R_k . Then R forms a ring with $0 = L_i(0)$ and $1 = L_i(1)$. Now let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x]$ be nonzero polynomials such that f(x)g(x) = 0. There is $k \in I$ such that $f(x), g(x) \in R_k[x]$. Hence we get f(x)g(x) = 0 in $R_k[x]$. Since R_k is right J-McCoy, there exist $0 \neq c_k$ in R_k such that $a_i c_k \in J(R_k)$. Put $c = L_k(c_k)$. Then $a_i c \in \lim_{k \to \infty} J(R_k) = J(R)$ with a nonzero c in R. Thus R is right J-McCoy ring.

Theorem 2. For a ring R, R[[x]] is right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if R is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.

Proof. Let *R* be a right J-McCoy ring. Since $R \cong \frac{R[[x]]}{\langle x \rangle}$ and $\langle x \rangle \subset J(R[[x]])$, then by Proposition 1, R[[x]] is right J-McCoy. Conversely, assume that R[[x]] is right J-McCoy. Let $f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i y^i$ and $g(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j y^j$ be nonzero plynomials $\in R[y]$, such that f(y)g(y) = 0. Since R[[x]] is right J-McCoy and $R \subseteq R[[x]]$, then there exists $0 \neq c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x + ... \in R[[x]]$ such that $a_i c(x) \in J(R[[x]])$ and so $a_i c_i \in J(R[[x]]) \cap R \subseteq J(R)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Since c(x) is nonzero, there exists $c_l \neq 0$ such that $a_i c_l \in J(R)$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ and so R is J-McCoy.

Theorem 3. For a ring R, if R[x] is right (resp. left) J-McCoy, then R is right (resp. left) J-McCoy. The converse holds if $J(R)[x] \subseteq J(R[x])$.

Proof. Suppose that R[x] is right J-McCoy. Let $f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i y^i$ and $g(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j y^j$ be nonzero plynomials in R[y], such that f(y)g(y) = 0. Since R[x] is right J-McCoy and $R \subseteq R[x]$, then there exists $0 \neq c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + ... + c_k x^k \in R[x]$ such that $a_i c(x) \in J(R[x])$ and so $a_i c_i \in J(R[x]) \cap R \subseteq J(R)$ for all i = 0, 1, ..., n. Since c(x) is nonzero, there exists $c_l \neq 0$ such that $a_i c_l \in J(R)$ for

 $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and so R is J-McCoy. Conversely, suppose that R is right J-McCoy and f(y)g(y) = 0 for nonzero polynomials $f(y) = f_0 + f_1y + \dots + f_my^m$ and $g(y) = g_0 + g_1y + \dots + g_ny^n$ in (R[x])[y]. Take the positive integer k with $k = \sum_{i=0}^{m} degf_i + \sum_{j=0}^{n} degg_j$ where the degree of the zero polynomial is taken to be zero. Then $f(x^k)$ and $g(x^k)$ are nonzero polynomials in R[x] and $f(x^k)g(x^k) = 0$, since the set of coefficients of the f_i 's and g_j 's coincide with the set of coefficients of $f(x^k)$ and $g(x^k)$. Since R is right J-McCoy, there exists a nonzero element $c \in R$ such that $a_i c \in J(R)$, for any coefficient a_i of $f_i(x)$. So $f_i c \in J(R)[x] \subseteq J(R[x])$. Thus R[x] is right J-McCoy.

Recall that a ring R is said to be abelian if every idempotent of it is central.

Proposition 3. Let R be a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring and e be an idempotent element of R. Then eRe is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. The converse holds if R is an abelian ring.

Proof. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} ea_i ex^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} eb_j ex^j \in (eRe)[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Since R is a right J-McCoy ring, there exists $s \in R$ such that $(ea_i e)s \in J(R)$. So $(ea_i e)ese \in eJ(R)e = J(eRe)$. Hence eRe is right J-McCoy. Now, assume that eRe is a right J-McCoy ring. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Clearly, $ef(x)e, eg(x)e \in (eRe)[x]$ and (ef(x)e)(eg(x)e) = 0, since e is a central idempotent element of R. Then there exists $s \in eRe$ such that $(ea_i e)s = (a_i)s \in J(eRe) = eJ(R) \subset J(R)$. Hence, R is right J-McCoy.

The following example shows that, if R is a right J-McCoy ring, then $M_n(R)$ is not necessary right J-McCoy for $n \ge 2$, i.e. the J-McCoy property is not Morita invariant.

Example 3. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers. It's clear that \mathbb{Z} is J-McCoy, but $M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is not right J-McCoy. For

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 \\ x^3 & x^4 & x^5 \\ x^6 & x^7 & x^8 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $M_3(\mathbb{Z})[x]$, we have f(x)g(x) = 0. Assume to the contrary that $M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is right J-McCoy, then there exists $c = (c_{ij}) \in M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(E_{ij}c) \in J(M_3(\mathbb{Z})) = M_3(J(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$ for i, j = 1, 2, 3. This implies c = 0, which is a contradiction.

Let *R* be a ring and σ denote an endomorphism of *R* with $\sigma(1) = 1$. In [3] the authors introduced skew triangular matrix ring as a set of all triangular matrices with addition point-wise and a new multiplication subject to the condition $E_{ij}r = \sigma^{j-i}E_{ij}$. So $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = (c_{ij})$, where $c_{ij} = a_{ij}b_{ij} + a_{i,i+1}\sigma(b_{i+1,j}) + ... + a_{ij}\sigma^{j-i}(b_{jj})$, for each $i \leq j$ and denoted it by $T_n(R, \sigma)$. The subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant mail diagonal is denoted by $S(R, n, \sigma)$; and the subring of the skew

342 MOHAMMAD VAHDANI MEHRABADI, SHERVIN SAHEBI, AND HAMID H. S. JAVADI

triangular matrices with constant diagonals is denoted by $T(R,n,\sigma)$. We can denote $A = (a_{ij}) \in T(R,n,\sigma)$ by $(a_{11},...,a_{1n})$. Then $T(R,n,\sigma)$ is a ring with addition point-wise and multiplication given by $(a_0,...,a_{n-1})(b_0,...,b_{n-1}) = (a_0b_0,a_0*b_1 + a_1*b_0,...,a_0*b_{n-1} + ... + a_{n-1}*b_0)$, with $a_i*b_j = a_i\sigma^i(b_j)$, for each *i* and *j*. Therefore, clearly one can see that $T(R,n,\sigma) \cong R[x;\sigma]/(x^n)$ is the ideal generated by x^n in $R[x;\sigma]$. we consider the following two subrings of $S(R,n,\sigma)$, as follows (see [3]):

$$A(R,n,\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_j E_{i,i+j-1} + \sum_{j=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_{i,i+j-1} E_{i,i+j-1},$$

$$B(R,n,\sigma) = \{A + rE_{1k} \mid A \in A(R,n,\sigma) \text{ and } r \in R\} \quad n = 2k \ge 4$$

In the special case, when $\sigma = id_R$, we use S(R,n), A(R,n), B(R,n) and T(R,n) instead of $S(R,n,\sigma), A(R,n,\sigma), B(R,n,\sigma)$ and $T(R,n,\sigma)$, respectively.

Proposition 4. Let R be a ring. Then S is right J-McCoy ring, for $n \ge 2$, where S is one of the rings $T_n(R,\sigma)$, $S(R,n,\sigma)$, $T(R,n,\sigma)$, $A(R,n,\sigma)$ or $B(R,n,\sigma)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = A_0 + A_1x + ... + A_px^p$, $g(x) = B_0 + B_1x + ... + B_qx^q$ be elements of S[x] satisfying f(x)g(x) = 0 where the (1,1) - th entry of A_i is $a_{11}^{(i)}$. Then $A_i E_{1n} = a_{11}^{(i)} E_{1n} \in J(S)$ and the proof is complete.

Let R and S be two rings, and Let M be an (R, S)-bimodule. This means that M is a left R-module and a right S-module such that (rm)s = r(ms) for all $r \in R$, $m \in M$, and $s \in S$. Given such a bimodule M we can form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} : r \in R, m \in M, s \in S \right\}$$

and define a multiplication on T by using formal matrix multiplication:

$$\binom{r \ m}{0 \ s}\binom{r' \ m'}{0 \ s'} = \binom{rr' \ rm' + ms'}{0 \ ss'}.$$

This ring construction is called triangular ring T.

Proposition 5. Let R and S be two rings and T be the triangular ring $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ (where M is an (R, S)-bimodule). Then the rings R and S are right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if T is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.

Proof. Assume that R and S are two right J-McCoy rings. Take $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $T/I \simeq \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$. Let

$$f(x) = {\binom{r_0 \ 0}{0 \ s_0}} + {\binom{r_1 \ 0}{0 \ s_1}} x + \dots + {\binom{r_n \ 0}{0 \ s_n}} x^n,$$
$$g(x) = {\binom{r'_0 \ 0}{0 \ s'_0}} + {\binom{r'_1 \ 0}{0 \ s'_1}} x + \dots + {\binom{r'_m \ 0}{0 \ s'_m}} x^m \in T[x]$$

satisfy f(x)g(x) = 0. Define

$$f_r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + \dots + r_n x^n, g_r(x) = r'_0 + r'_1 x + \dots + r'_m x^m \in R[x]$$

and

$$f_s(x) = s_0 + s_1 x + \dots + s_n x^n, g_s(x) = s'_0 + s'_1 x + \dots + s'_m x^m \in S[x].$$

From f(x)g(x) = 0, we have $f_r(x)g_r(x) = f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$. Since R and S are right J-McCoy rings, then there exists $c \in R$ and $d \in S$ such that $r_i c \in J(R)$ and $s_i d \in J(S)$ for any $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le m$. Hence if we put $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then T/I is right J-McCoy and so T is right J-McCoy by Proposition 1. Conversely, let T be a right J-McCoy ring, $f_r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + \dots + r_n x^n$, $g_r(x) = r'_0 + r'_1 x +$ $\dots + r'_m x^m \in R[x]$, such that $f_r(x)g_r(x) = 0$, and $f_s(x) = s_0 + s_1 x + \dots + s_n x^n$, $g_s(x) = s'_0 + s'_1 x + \dots + s'_m x^m \in S[x]$, such that $f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$. Let

$$f(x) = \binom{r_0 \ 0}{0 \ s_0} + \binom{r_1 \ 0}{0 \ s_1} x + \dots + \binom{r_n \ 0}{0 \ s_n} x^n \text{ and}$$
$$g(x) = \binom{r'_0 \ 0}{0 \ s'_0} + \binom{r'_1 \ 0}{0 \ s'_1} x + \dots + \binom{r'_m \ 0}{0 \ s'_m} x^m \in T[x].$$

Then $f_r(x)g_r(x) = 0$ and $f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$ implies that f(x)g(x) = 0. Since *T* is a right J-McCoy ring then there exists $\begin{pmatrix} c & m \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in T$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} r_i & 0 \\ 0 & s_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & m \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in J(T) = \begin{pmatrix} J(R) & M \\ 0 & J(S) \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $r_i c \in J(R)$ and $s_i d \in J(S)$ for any i, j. This shows that *R* and *S* are right J-McCoy.

Given a ring R and a bimodule $_RM_R$, the trivial extension of R by M is the ring $T(R, M) = R \oplus M$ with the usual addition and the multiplication

$$(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + m_1r_2)$$

This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ and the usual matrix operations are used.

Corollary 3. A ring R is right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if the trivial extension T(R, R) is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring.

Let S denote a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R consisting of central regular elements. Let RS^{-1} be the localization of R at S. Then we have:

Theorem 4. For a ring R, if R is right (resp. left) J-McCoy, then RS^{-1} is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.

Proof. Suppose that R is right J-McCoy. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i c_i^{-1} x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j d_j^{-1}$

 x^{j} be nonzero elements in $(RS^{-1})[x]$ such that f(x)g(x) = 0. Let $a_{i}c_{i}^{-1} = c^{-1}a'_{i}$ and $b_{j}d_{j}^{-1} = d^{-1}b'_{j}$ with c, d regular elements in R. So f'(x)g'(x) = 0 such that $f'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a'_{i}x^{i}$ and $g'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b'_{j}x^{j} \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Since R is right J-McCoy, there exists $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a'_{i}r \in J(R)$ for each i, equivalently we have $1 - ta'_{i}r$ is left invertible in R for each $t \in R$. So $c^{-1}w^{-1}(1 - tw^{-1}a_{i}c_{i}^{-1}rcw) = c^{-1}w^{-1} - tw^{-1}a_{i}c_{i}^{-1}rcw$

343

 $tw^{-1}a_ic_i^{-1}r$ is left invertible in RS^{-1} , for each $tw^{-1} \in RS^{-1}$ and so $a_ic_i^{-1}rcw \in J(RS^{-1})$. Thus RS^{-1} is right J-McCoy.

Corollary 4. For a ring R, let R[x] be a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. Then $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring.

Proof. Let $\Delta = \{1, x, x^2, ...\}$. Then clearly Δ is multiplicatively closed subset of R[x]. Since $R[x, x^{-1}] = \Delta^{-1}R$, it follows that $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is right J-McCoy.

A ring R is called right (left) quasi-duo if every maximal right (left) ideal of R is two-sided. It is clear that a ring R is right (left) quasi-duo if and only if R/J(R) is right (left) quasi-duo. Also R/J(R) is a reduced ring in case it is right (left) quasi-duo.

Proposition 6. If R is a right (left) quasi-duo ring, then R is right (resp. left) *J*-McCoy, the converse does not hold in general.

Proof. Since *R* is right quasi-duo ring, then R/J(R) is reduced by [7] and so *R* is right J-McCoy by Proposition 1. But there exists a right J-McCoy ring *R* which is not right (left) quasi-duo. For instance, take any right primitive domain *R* that is not division ring (e.g. the free algebra R = Q < x, y >). Then R/J(R) = R is right J-McCoy, but *R* is not right quasi-duo by [5].

REFERENCES

- M. Baser, T. K. Kwak, and Y. Lee, "The McCoy condition on skew polynomial rings." *Comm. Algebra*, pp. 4026–4037, 2009, doi: 10.1080/00927870802545661.
- [2] V. Camillo, T. K. Kwak, and Y. Lee, "On a generalization of McCoy rings." J. Korean Math, pp. 959–972, 2013.
- [3] M. Habibi, A. Moussavi, and S. Mokhtari, "On skew Armendariz of Laurent series type rings." *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 40, pp. 3999–4018, 2012, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2011.600746.
- [4] M. T. Kosan, "Extention of rings having McCoy condition." *Canad. Math. Bull*, vol. 52 (2), pp. 267–272, 2009, doi: 10.4153/CMB-2009-029-5.
- [5] T. Y. Lam and A. S. Dugas, "Quasi-duo rings and stable range descent." J. Algebra, vol. 195, pp. 243–259, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.08.011.
- [6] N. H. McCoy, "Remarks on divisors of zero." American mathematical monthly, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 286–295, 1942, doi: 10.2307/2268119.
- [7] P. P. Nielsen, "Semi-Commutativity and the McCoy condition." J. Algebra, vol. 298, pp. 134–141, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.10.008.
- [8] M. B. Rege and S. Chhawchharia, "Armendariz rings." Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math, vol. 73(A), no. 1, pp. 14–17, 1997, doi: 10.3792/pjaa.73.14.
- [9] Z. Ying, J. Chen, and zhen Lei, "Extensions of McCoy rings." Northeast. Math. J., no. 1, pp. 85–94, 2008.

Authors' addresses

Mohammad Vahdani Mehrabadi

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, 13185/768., Tehran, IRAN.

E-mail address: md_vahdani@yahoo.com

Shervin Sahebi

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, 13185/768., Tehran, IRAN.

E-mail address: sahebi@iauctb.ac.ir

Hamid H. S. Javadi

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shahed University, P. O. Box: 18151-159, Tehran, IRAN.

E-mail address: h.s.javadi@shahed.ac.ir