Accepted Manuscript

Geochemistry of dissolved gases from the Eastern Carpathians - Transylvanian Basin boundary

Francesco Italiano, Boglárka-Mercedesz Kis, Călin Baciu, Artur Ionescu, Szabolcs Harangi, Palcsu László

PII:	\$0009-2541(16)30677-5
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.12.019
Reference:	CHEMGE 18189

To appear in: Chemical Geology

Received date:	28 July 2016
Revised date:	29 November 2016
Accepted date:	15 December 2016



Please cite this article as: Italiano, Francesco, Kis, Boglárka-Mercedesz, Baciu, Călin, Ionescu, Artur, Harangi, Szabolcs, László, Palcsu, Geochemistry of dissolved gases from the Eastern Carpathians - Transylvanian Basin boundary, *Chemical Geology* (2016), doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.12.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Geochemistry of dissolved gases from the Eastern Carpathians – Transylvanian Basin boundary

Francesco Italiano¹, Boglárka-Mercedesz Kis^{2, 3*}, Călin Baciu³, Artur Ionescu³, Szabolcs Harangi², Palcsu László⁴

1-Instituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Via Ugo La Malfa 153, 90146 Palermo, Italy, francesco.italiano@pa.ingv.it

2-MTA-ELTE Volcanology Research Group, Department of Petrology and Geochemistry, Eötvös University Budapest, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány sétány 1/C, Hungary, kisboglarka85@gmail.com, szabolcs.harangi@elte.hu

3-Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fântânele street 30, 400294 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, artur.ionescu@ubbcluj.ro, calin.baciu@ubbcluj.ro

4- Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4026 Debrecen, Bemtér 18/C, Hungary, palcsu.laszlo@atomki.mta.hu

Corresponding Author:

Boglárka-Mercedesz Kis,

MTA-ELTE Volcanology Research Group, Department of Petrology and Geochemistry, Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, Eotvos University Budapest (ELTE) Pazmany setany 1/C, Budapest, Hungary, H-1117 Tel.: +40 745 593991

Email: kisboglarka85@gmail.com

Geochemistry of dissolved gases from the Eastern Carpathians – Transylvanian Basin boundary

Francesco Italiano¹, Boglárka-Mercedesz Kis^{2, 3*}, Călin Baciu³, Artur Ionescu³, Szabolcs Harangi², Palcsu László⁴

1-Instituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Via Ugo La Malfa 153, 90146 Palermo, Italy, francesco.italiano@pa.ingv.it

2-MTA-ELTE Volcanology Research Group, Department of Petrology and Geochemistry, Eötvös University Budapest, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány sétány 1/C, Hungary, kisboglarka85@gmail.com, szabolcs.harangi@elte.hu

3-Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fântânele street 30, 400294 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, artur.ionescu@ubbcluj.ro, calin.baciu@ubbcluj.ro

4- Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4026 Debrecen, Bem tér 18/C, Hungary, palcsu.laszlo@atomki.mta.hu

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

We show the results of a study on the volatiles dissolved in mineral waters discharged over a 200 km-long transect along the Rodna-Bârgău area and Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain (Eastern Carpathians, Romania). All of the collected mineral water samples carry dissolved gas with carbon dioxide content up to 1.99 cm³STP/gH₂O, and helium content up to 2.3×10^{-5} cm³STP/gH₂O. Carbon ($\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ Total Dissolved Inorganic Carbon, ranging from -15.6 to 5.32% vs. VPDB), He systematics (He isotopes in the range of 0.38 - 0.99 Ra, Ra = air-normalized ³He/⁴He ratio) and CO₂/³He ratio spanning over four orders of magnitude from MORB-like values of 2.92×10^{9} to crustal-type values of 3.02×10^{13} , coherently indicate the presence of fluids from different crustal sources (e.g. sediments, hydrocarbon reservoirs) besides minor, but detectable contributions of mantle/magmatic-derived fluids (up to 16.45%). Our investigations show that the wide range of chemical and isotopic composition can be explained in terms of mixings among different gas sources feeding the groundwater and the contemporary occurrence of gaswater interactions like degassing and deposition of carbonates, affecting the circulating waters after their infiltration.

Key-words: Eastern Carpathians, dissolved gases, groundwater, gas-water interactions, helium, ${\rm ^{13}C_{TDIC}}$

1. Introduction

The geochemistry of endogenic volatiles in form of free emissions, diffuse soil degassing and dissolved gases in groundwater, together with the quantification of their release into the atmosphere has become important in the past years. Recent studies show the role of dissolved gases in active tectonic settings, volcanic and non-volcanic areas (Sano et al., 1998, 2004; Hilton et al., 2002; Shaw et al., 2003; Chiodini et al. 2004, 2011; Yang et al., 2005; De Leeuw et al., 2007; Italiano et al. 2009, 2014; Ray et al., 2009; Ohba et al., 2010; Pinti et al., 2011; Barry et al., 2013; Sano and Fischer, 2013; Marrero-Diaz et al. 2015; Inguaggiato et al. 2016; Benavente et al. 2016; Birke et al., 2016;). Venting of highly mineralized (TDS>1000 mg/L) sparkling groundwater is a common feature along the Eastern Carpathians making the area an important water resource for Romania. Groundwater during its subsurface migration interact with a wide range of rocks in different geological settings, including volcanic, sedimentary and metamorphic sequences, and a wide range of volatiles coming from the mantle or crustal products.

A large number of springs and wells are spread over the area where the Neogene to Quaternary magmatism produced the shallow intrusions of Rodna-Bârgău Mountains and the 160-km-long volcanic arc of the Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita Mountains. These mineralized springs also appear in the sedimentary units of the Transylvanian Basin. The last volcanic activity occurred 0.03 My years ago (Harangi et al. 2010), but a series of manifestations remain active, like free CO_2 -emissions, bubbling pools and mineral water springs. The groundwater from this area display different chemical composition, salinity and temperature, and contain high amounts of dissolved CO_2 (Kis and Baciu, 2013).

Most of the available studies deal mainly with the geochemical features of waters (Bányai, 1934; Molnar-Amărăscu and Pricăjan, 1961; Pricăjan, 1972; Crăciun et al. 1989; Chintăuan, 1998; Papp, 2000). Only recently, studies have been performed to constrain the origin of free gases, seeps, mofettes (Althaus et al. 2000; Vaselli et al. 2002; Etiope et al. 2004, 2011; Baciu et al. 2007) besides a few data at the youngest segment of the volcanics (Althaus et al. 2000). These studies represent the present-state of knowledge on the geochemistry of volatiles vented in the area. A systematic investigation on the dissolved gases carried by groundwater in the Eastern Carpathians-Transylvanian Basin area has not been conducted yet. As water acts as a gas carrier throughout the hydrological circuit, the composition of dissolved gases in groundwater may

represent an important source of information from deep structures. The gases dissolved in groundwater potentially provide information on subsurface gas-water interactions between the pristine air-equilibrated water (normally infiltrating as Air Saturated Water-ASW) and deep-seated endogenic gases of variable origin (organic, crustal and/or mantle-derived).

This paper accounts for the geochemistry of dissolved gases in the mineral waters vented along the Eastern Carpathians, Rodna-Bârgău area, marked by shallow volcanic intrusions, and the Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain, at the Transylvanian Basin boundary.

2. Geologic and geodynamic context

The Carpathian Neogene to Quaternary volcanic arc formed along the inner part of the Carpathian orogenic belt, behind the Carpathian accretionary prism. Magmatism was generated by the SW subduction of the Eurasian Plate beneath small continental plates where three, progressively younger, segments were distinguished. The study areas of Rodna-Bârgău and Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita and Perşani Mountains belong to the Central and the Eastern segment respectively, with intermediate calc-alkaline and basaltic magmatic activity (Rădulescu et al., 1973; Szakács and Seghedi, 1995b; Seghedi et al. 1995). The magmatism developed in the Rodna-Bârgău area between 8.3-11.9 My, in the Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita segment around 10 My to 30 ky and in the Persani Mountains between 1.2 My and 600 ky respectively (Pécskay et al., 2006; Harangi et al. 2010). The Rodna-Bârgău area is characterized by intrusive magmatism and lack of volcanic activity (Rădulescu and Dumitrescu, 1982; Ureche, 1999, fig.1). The magmatic products are represented by cone-shaped intrusive bodies composed of andesites, microdiorites, dacites and rhyolites (Băncilă, 1958; Peltz et al. 1971; Rădulescu and Dumitrescu, 1982; Nițoi et al., 2000). The Rodna-Bârgău volcanic intrusions are penetrating Paleogene sedimentary units and metamorphic units of Rodnei Mountains, which consist of crystalline schists, amphibolites and crystalline limestone and dolomites (Mutihac, 1990; Mosonyi, 1998).

The Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita area is the southernmost and the longest (160 km) continuous volcanic chain, subdivided into four distinct geographical segments: Călimani, Gurghiu, North Harghita, South Harghita made of a row of adjacent composite volcanoes and their peripheral volcaniclastic aprons (Szakács and Seghedi, 1995b, Figure 1). Volcanic products are calcalcaline rocks, andesites, dacites and in the southern part of the chain shoshonitic rocks

(Rădulescu et al. 1973; Seghedi et al. 1995; Szakács and Seghedi, 1995b; Seghedi et al. 2004). The southernmost part of the study area is characterized by the basaltic volcanic products of the Perşani Mountains (Seghedi and Szakács, 1994; Panaiotu et al., 2004). Around the volcanic cones volcaniclastic aprons have developed, extending over the Neogene sediments of the Transylvanian Basin (Szakács and Seghedi, 1995a, 1995b). The volcaniclastics cover the Paleogene and Neogene sedimentary deposits of the Transylvanian Basin, made up of alternations of marls, silty clays and sandstones, volcanic tuff and salt deposits with a thickness up to 3 km in the eastern side of the Transylvanian Basin (Paucă, 1967; Krézsek and Filipescu 2005; Krézsek and Bally, 2006).

The proximity of the volcanic range is characterized by persistent free gas emanations, dry CO₂ diffuse degassing, bubbling pools and mofettes, and occurrence of sparkling mineral water (Pricăjan, 1972; Vaselli et al. 2002; Jánosi et al. 2005; Kis and Baciu, 2013). Methane emissions are related to natural gas fields of the Transylvanian Basin. Gas reservoirs are located in dome-shaped anticlines at the level of Middle-Upper Miocene detritic sequences, followed by thick salt horizons. Mud volcanoes and dry methane gas seeps are often associated to gas reservoirs. At the Eastern margin of the basin, small mud volcanoes and dry gas seeps were discovered at Odorheiu Secuiesc, Praid, Corund and Homorod (Baciu et al. 2007; Krézsek et al. 2010; Etiope et al. 2011; Ionescu, 2015), locations that were included in our analysis.

The tectonic structure of the area is marked on the north by a NE-SW oriented fault system along the Someş Valley, next to the metamorphic units of Rodnei Mountains. In the southern part the anticlines of the methane reservoirs, and dome-shaped salt diapire structures of the salt, as well as NW-SE overthrust fold system, characterize the tectonic setting (Săndulescu, 1984; Krézsek et al. 2010).



Figure 1 – Geological sketch map of the study area. The numbers of the sampling sites are the same as in the tables. Legend: 1 – Carpathian orogenic belt, 2 – Paleogene sediments of the Transylvanian Basin, 3 – Miocene sediments of the Transylvanian Basin, 4 – Magmatic intrusions, 5 – Volcanic centres, 6 – Proximal volcanic deposits, 7 – Distal volcanic deposits, 8 – Salt deposits, 9 – Faults, 10 – Anticlines, 11 – Hydrocarbon reservoirs, dome structures, 12 – Sampling points, 13 – Rivers, 14 – Localities. (Modified after Răileanu and Rădulescu, 1967; Ianovici et al. 1968; Ticleanu et al. 1980; Peltz et al. 1983)

3. Sampling and analytical methods

Water samples from springs and wells were collected at 34 sites spread over a 200 km-long transect in the boundary area between the Neogene-Quaternary volcanic arc and the sedimentary deposits of the Transylvanian Basin.

The water samples for dissolved gas analyses were stored in 240 cm³ pyrex glass bottles and sealed in the field by silicon/teflon septa using special pliers following the indications and recommendations described in Capasso and Inguaggiato, (1998) and Italiano et al. (2014). All the samples were collected taking care to avoid any air contamination (bottles sealed underwater with no direct contact with air) and kept upside down and with the neck submerged in salty water to avoid helium diffusion through the silicon septum. Chemical and isotopic analyses were performed 2 weeks after sampling, paying attention to minimize the effects on the isotopic composition of helium due to the permeation of atmospheric helium and leakage of dissolved helium through pyrex glass and septum as described in detail in Sano and Fischer, 2013 and Italiano et al., 2014 (Appendix).

Field measurements of temperature, pH, redox-potential (Eh), and electrical conductivity (EC) have been performed by a multi-parameter device (Multi 350i, Weilheim). Contents of dissolved HCO₃ were measured in situ by titration.

The collected samples have been measured at the INGV Palermo geochemical laboratory (Italy), where analyses were carried out following the recommendations and limitations described in Italiano et al. (2014). The dissolved gases were extracted after equilibrium was reached at constant temperature with a host-gas (high-purity argon) injected in the sample bottle (further details found in Italiano et al. 2009, 2013). Chemical analyses were carried out by gas-chromatography (Perkin Elmer Clarus 500, equipped with a double TCD-FID detector) using argon as the carrier gas. Typical uncertainties are within \pm 5% (1 σ).

Out of the 34 samples, 24 were considered for 3 He/ 4 He ratio determinations. Helium isotope analyses were carried out on gas fractions extracted following the same procedure as for the chemical analyses and purified following methods described in the literature (Sano and Wakita 1988; Italiano et al. 2001). The isotopic analysis of the purified helium fraction was performed by a static vacuum mass spectrometer (GVI5400TFT) that allows the simultaneous detection of 3 He and 4 He ion beams, thereby keeping the 3 He/ 4 He error of measurement to very low values. This method has been tested for precision and accuracy in Inguaggiato and Rizzo (2004), yielding satisfactory results when water samples are analyzed within 2-3 weeks. Typical uncertainties in the range of low- 3 He samples are better than \pm 5% (1 σ). Although some He content are lower than in ASW (samples #1, #12, and #15), the measurement can be considered reliable. A fractionation is expected between noble gases with very different diffusivity in waters and the depletion with respect to ASW may indicate that these waters undergone degassing before sampling.

Out of 34 samples, 29 were considered for $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ measurements. Samples for carbon isotopes of dissolved inorganic carbon ($\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$) were measured at the Hertelendi Laboratory of Environmental Studies, Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen. In 500 ml of sample water the pH was increased above 11 by adding concentrated solution of NaOH. Afterwards, BaCl₂ solution was added to the water so that the dissolved carbonate was precipitated in BaCO₃ form. Isotopic analyses were carried out with an automated GASBENCH II sample preparation device attached to a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XP mass spectrometer. Carbon isotopes of the dissolved inorganic carbon are expressed as δ^{13} C values relative to VPDB. The precision of the measurements is better than ±0.1‰ for δ^{13} C.

4. Results

Sample IDs, names, geographical coordinates (in WGS84), altitude and source type (spring or well) are listed in Table 1. The molecular composition of the dissolved gases is reported in Table 2, while the isotopic composition of helium and carbon is listed in Table 3.

The collected samples included springs and wells with outlet temperatures between 10.8 and 24.3°C and pH varying between 6.05 and 7.87. The total dissolved solids ranged from 384 mg/L to 275,000 mg/L, while the HCO₃ content falls between 305-7625 mg/l. Some springs were characterized by travertine deposition due to the high carbonate concentrations.

4.1 – Chemical composition of dissolved gases

The chemical composition of the dissolved gases was calculated taking into account the solubility coefficients (Bunsen coefficients " β ") of each gas species, the volume of the gas extracted (in cm³) and the volume of the extracted water sample (in cm³) as described by Italiano et al. (2009, 2013). The gas-chromatographic analyses (see Table 2) show that CO₂, ranging from 9.06x10⁻³ to 1.99 cm³STP/ g_{H2O} is the most abundant component of the dissolved gases. The other components, with contents lower by one order of magnitude, display N₂ ranging from 2.43x10⁻³ to 1.63x10⁻² cm³STP/g_{H2O}, O₂ between 1.19x10⁻⁵-7.61x10⁻³ cm³STP/g_{H2O}, CH₄ from 1.64x10⁻⁷ to 7.26x10⁻³ cm³STP/g_{H2O}, H₂ between 1.83x10⁻⁷-9.34x10⁻⁴ cm³STP/g_{H2O}, CO between 7.43x10⁻⁹-5.57x10⁻⁷ cm³STP/g_{H2O} and He ranging between 3.08x10⁻⁸ and 2.3x10⁻⁵ cm³STP/g_{H2O}.

Table 3 lists the results of helium isotopic analyses normalized to the atmosphere and expressed as R/Ra values (where R= 3 He/ 4 He sample; Ra =atmospheric 3 He/ 4 He ratio = 1.382×10⁻⁶, Sano et al., 2013). The 4 He/ 20 Ne ratio ranges between 0.3 and 126.2 that, if compared to 0.267 assumed to be the representative ⁴He/²⁰Ne for an Air Saturated Water at 20°C (Holocher et al. 2002), it spans from almost atmospheric (samples #1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 15) to >400 times with respect to the ASW (Sample #33, Table 3). Based on the measured ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios, and assuming all ${}^{20}\text{Ne}$ of atmospheric provenance, the atmospheric He contribution was estimated and subtracted from the measured value. The correction is based on known ⁴He/²⁰Ne values for ASW, assuming a recharge temperature of 10°C. The ³He/⁴He values corrected for air contamination (R/Ra_c) range between 0.15 and 0.96 overlapping the range normally associated to crustal volatiles and giving clues of contribution from magmatic volatiles (Mamyrin and Tolstikhin, 1984). In order to check the reliability of the isotopic data measured in dissolved gases, check-points were introduced with some data from bubbling gases from the same sites already reported in literature (see Table 3 marked with italics, Corund (#11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 this work; #35 Vaselli et al., 2002), Băile Homorod (#20, this work; #36 Vaselli et al., 2002) and Homorod Brasov (#33 this work; #62-63 Baciu et al., 2007; #72 Etiope et al., 2011) sites. Although our data deal with the dissolved gases, the helium isotopic ratios are in good agreement with former results gained for free gases. Due to the large air He contribution to those samples with He/Ne ratios below 0.5 (#1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12. 15), they were not considered in the helium discussion.

The measured carbon isotopic composition of the total dissolved carbon species spans over a $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ range between -15.6 and +5.32‰ VPDB (Table 3).

5. Discussion

Recent papers show the importance of the dissolved gases as indicators of processes occurring in crustal environments, governed by different mechanisms, including chemical and isotopic fractionation, mixings and exchanges between gases moving across different water bodies during its underground circulation, and mixing of fluids of different types (e.g. Chiodini et al. 2004; Ray et al., 2009; Pinti et al., 2011; Barry et al., 2013; Italiano et al. 2014; Marrero-Diaz et al. 2015; Benavente et al. 2016; Birke et al., 2016). Due to the geological variety of the Eastern Carpathians and Transylvanian Basin, wide range of volatiles can be produced which interact with the infiltrating water. The ranges of temperature (from 10.8 to 24.3°C, Tab. 1) and TDS

from 384 to >273,000 mg/L recorded for the mineral waters, implies much variability in the dissolved gas (Tables 2 and 3), due to either the endogenic production of volatiles and the interaction between gas and water which always affect the pristine composition of the gas assemblage, depending on the water/gas mass balance and the time-length of the interactions.

5.1 – Chemistry of dissolved gases

It is well documented that volcanic gases and hydrocarbons (composed mostly by pure methane) are present in form of free gases (seeps and mofettes) in the study area (e.g. Althaus et al. 2000; Vaselli et al. 2002; Etiope et al. 2004; Baciu et al. 2007). The chemical composition of the dissolved gases extracted from the water samples shows the ubiquitous presence of CO₂. Infiltrating air saturated water contain oxygen and nitrogen as the major dissolved gas species with concentrations of 4.8×10^{-3} and 9.6×10^{-3} cm³STP/g_{H2O} at 20°C, respectively. During circulation of water, oxygen content decreases because of bacterial activity and oxidation reactions. Thus the oxygen content and the N₂/O₂ ratios are quite variable in any natural water.



Figure 2 – $CH_4 \times 10^2$ - $CO_2/50$ -ASW (O_2+N_2) triangular diagram. The diagram shows the relative contents of atmospheric-type components (O_2+N_2), CO_2 and CH_4 . The samples distribution highlights mixing of different gas species and the occurrence of gas-water interaction (CO_2 dilution), processes that significantly change the

composition of the pristine gas. Mixings occur between atmospheric and methane and atmospheric, methane and CO_2 gas species. Sample marks: this work, blue filled diamonds.

Figure 2 plots the relative concentrations of the most abundant gas-components, CO_2 , CH_4 and O₂+N₂. Most of the samples plot along an ASW diluted-CO₂ and CH₄ mixing line, while some samples plot on a $O_2+N_2-CH_4 \times 10^2$ mixing line, showing that the dissolved gas is almost in all cases a mixture of these three main components. The samples falling along the CO₂-O₂+N₂ mixing line show an almost constant CO2/ASW ratio of 95 which is about 3000 times the atmospheric ratio, with an increasing CH₄ component (samples from the Eastern Carpathians: #5 Anieș, #7 Sângeorz Băi, #11, 16 Corund, #18 Odorheiu Secuiesc, #20, 23 Băile Homorod, #26 Băile Chirui, and #29 Vlăhita). The mixing line $O_2+N_2-CH_4$ shows that a few samples display low CO₂ contents resulting of a binary mixing between ASW and a methane-rich source. It is reasonable to assume that CH₄ comes from natural gas reservoirs of the Transylvanian Basin boundary (low CO₂/(O2+N₂) ratio on Fig. 3; samples #28 from Vlăhita, #30 from Merești, 32 from Crăciunel, #33 from Homorod Brașov, #34 from Racoș), also confirmed by literature data (Baciu et al. 2007). Figure 2 also highlights the occurrence of gas-water interaction in the form of CO_2 dilution by atmospheric gases (arrows pointing to ASW). The less soluble species (N₂, CH₄) denote concentrations well above the equilibrium with the ASW which testifies that besides mixing phenomena between a shallow (atmospheric-type) and deep (volcanic and/or crustal-type) source, the occurrence of gas-water interaction processes at variable extents (dilution of the primary deep gas by an atmospheric component carried by the groundwater) account for the final composition of the dissolved gas.

5.2 – Helium isotopes

It is well known that helium comes from three different and well distinguishable sources (mantle, crust and air) marked by different isotopic ratios. Due to its chemical inertness, helium is a useful tracer of different geological environments and its isotopic ratio can be changed mainly because of physical processes such as mixing or degassing (Mamyrin and Tolstkhin, 1984; Ozima and Podosek, 2004). In continental settings, similar to the Eastern Carpathians–Transylvanian Basin boundary, it has been long established that the presence of mantle helium correlates well with tectonic and magmatic activity (Polyak and Tolstikhin 1985; Italiano et al. 2009; Klemperer et al.

2013; Bräuer et al., 2016), thus we evaluate the isotopic signature of the dissolved helium with the aim to constrain its provenance from volcanic or crustal products.

It was proposed that the genesis of the free gases vented over the area of the southern Harghita Mountains are mainly volcanic (Althaus et al. 2000; Vaselli et al. 2002) while the south-eastern Transylvanian Basin is characterized by crustal-type helium associated to methane reservoirs (Etiope et al. 2004; Baciu et al. 2007). The highest He isotopic ratios of the area have been detected at the proximity of the volcanic arc, at Bálványos, where R/Ra values from 3.04 to 3.19 Ra (Althaus et al. 2000), 4.49, and 4.38 Ra (Vaselli et al. 2002) were measured. The lowest ratios have been detected in bubbling gases from the Transylvanian Basin, at Sărmăşel, with values of 0.02 Ra (Baciu et al. 2007).



Figure 3 – Helium isotopic ratios (R/Ra values) and ⁴He/²⁰Ne relationships. The theoretical lines represent binary mixing trends of atmospheric helium with mantle-originated and crustal helium. The assumed end members for Heisotopic ratios and ⁴He/²⁰Ne ratios are: ATM (1 Ra, He/Ne=0.267 at 20°C, Holocher et al., 2002); Subcontinental European Mantle (6.5 Ra and ⁴He/²⁰Ne ratio = 1,000; Dunai and Baur, 1995); Local Crustal End Member

represented by the lowest value of 0.02 Ra and ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratio = 10,000. Sample marks: this work blue filled diamonds; literature data for comparison: data after Althaus et al. 2000; Vaselli et al. 2002, Frunzeti, 2013 and Baciu et al. 2007 (grey filled dots), red crosses representing the proposed end members. The marked areas represent the ranges commonly accepted for crustal and volcanic gases, including samples with mantle helium content of 1-15% considered as "intermediate volatiles" (Pik and Marty, 2008).

All the measured isotopic ratios of dissolved helium along the study area are well above the crustal range of 0.02 Ra. The highest ratios in the range of 0.94-0.90 Ra_c, (samples #11, 14, 16 from the Corund area, Fig. 1) and 0.82-0.84 Ra_c (samples #17-18 from the Odorheiu Secuiesc) show ³He contribution of volcanic origin, associated to He/Ne ratios above the ATM taken as 0.267 (Air Saturated Water, at 20°C, Holocher et al., 2002). On the contrary, samples showing very low helium abundance raised the possibility of tritiogenic helium input. In the lack of rainwater tritium compositions for the area we could only estimate the tritium contribution from data on spring waters (Corund, Homorod Braşov, Kis, 2013). At these sites the tritium abundance was very low, between 0.020 and 1.106 TU, meaning that the ³He originating from the decay of these should not be significant, and the contribution of tritiogenic helium is not large.

Assuming that the parent mantle ratio was not significantly different from the 6.5 Ra, represented by the Subcontinental European Mantle (Dunai and Baur 1995), the measured ³He/⁴He ratio might be lowered by input of volatiles produced in the crust. The Subcontinental European Mantle has been taken as mantle-type end member, and the value of 0.02 R/Ra (Baciu et al. 2007) as local crustal end member, to compute the theoretical binary mixing lines of figure 3 (${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ vs. ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios; where our samples are plotted together with literature data. The plot shows a very wide range of ³He/⁴He ratios spanning from the range of crustal to volcanictype volatiles. As expected, most of our samples fall close to the atmospheric ratios. Some samples show a ³He enrichment, and fall in the range between crustal and volcanic volatiles, considered as "intermediate" (Pik and Marty, 2008) and some samples (e.g. #19, 31and 33) lay on a possible mixing line between the atmosphere and the crustal end member. The occurrence of mixing process between different water bodies, carrying dissolved gases of different origin, or inside the same water-body between He from different sources (e.g. because of helium migration through faults) are considered as responsible for the recorded values. For instance, the high helium content (>1% by volume) and the ³He/⁴He ratio measured in the hydrocarbon gases of Homorod are clear indications of mixings between a deep volcanic component with shallower crustal volatiles due to the presence of faults, described also by Etiope et al. 2011.

Using the approach based on a ternary mixture (atmospheric, mantle and crust): the measured ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios (calculation that includes atmospheric components, so for

this reason the uncorrected 3 He/ 4 He ratio was used, Sano and Wakita, 1985), and the selected end-members, we estimated the percentage of magmatic helium in our samples. We used the Subcontinental European Mantle as volcanic end-member (6.5 Ra) and 0.267 as representative for 4 He/ 20 Ne ratio for Air Saturated Water (Holocher et al., 2002) (Figure 4). The figure clearly shows the mixing between the atmospheric and radiogenic helium as well as the presence of magmatic helium in proportions between 2-16.45%. The highest contribution of radiogenic He is recorded in samples from the Transylvanian Basin (marked as T.B. #31 and 33). The "most magmatic" are represented by the samples closer to the recent volcanic products (sample # 14, 16, 17, 18).



Figure 4 Ternary plot showing the atmospheric, radiogenic and mantle He contribution. The arrows show atmospheric-radiogenic and radiogenic-magmatic mixing. Symbols as in Figure 3, literature data (black dots) are plotted on the figure for reference (data from Vaselli et al., 2002; Althaus et al., 2000; Baciu et al., 2007)

5.3 – Carbon isotopes

Most of the waters display interactions with a CO_2 -dominated gas that partially dissolves in the water as bicarbonate ion. Gaseous CO_2 isotopically equilibrates with HCO_3 and CO_3 ions the solution and the mole fraction of each species will be a function of the pH. The $\delta^{13}C$ of total dissolved inorganic carbon (TDIC) is known to be a useful geochemical tool for investigating possible rock-water-gas interaction processes when CO_2 is involved as dominant gas species. The

primary natural CO₂-sources, such as mantle-derived, metamorphic and biogenic, have different isotopic signatures which, although partly overlapping because of the isotope fractionation due to the CO₂ reactivity, may provide information on the original signature of the parent gas and the possible fractionation processes responsible for the final carbon isotopic composition. The measured $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ cover a range from -15.6 to +5.32 ‰ vs. VPDB showing the existence of multiple C sources besides processes like isotopic fractionation or degassing.

Since the gas-water interaction processes may occur at variable extents during gas uprising, we estimated the theoretical isotopic composition of the gaseous CO_2 that reacted with the groundwater. The $\delta^{13}C_{CO2aq}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{HCO3}$ can be computed considering the dissolved CO_2 and HCO_3^- mole fraction (χ), calculated considering the HCO3- values and pH, and the isotope enrichment factors (ϵ) for the CO_{2gas} –HCO₃ ($\epsilon\alpha$) and the CO_{2gas} –CO_{2aq} ($\epsilon\beta$) systems, following the equation and methodology given by Deines et al. (1974), Mook et al. (1974), Zhang et al. (1995):

 $\delta^{13}C_{CO2} = \delta^{13}C_{TDIC} - (\epsilon\alpha^*\chi HCO_3 + \epsilon\beta^*\chi CO_2)$

Further details on the methodology of calculation are given in the Appendix.



Figure 5 – CO_2 content vs. calculated $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$. The theoretical isotopic composition of the CO_2 interacting with the groundwater is recalculated following Deines et al., 1974, Mook et al., 1974 and Zhang et al., 1995. The plot depicts a clear direct correlation of CO_2 content and isotopic ratio. The trend contemporary denotes the fractionation with quantitative loss of gaseous CO_2 and its light isotope as well as the occurrence of further fractionation processes. Symbols as in Figure 3.

The recalculated values range between -24.59 to -3.91 ‰ and are plotted on Figure 5. The figure shows a direct correlation between the amount of CO₂ and the estimated isotopic composition. In some samples the high isotopic values (-3.9 to -8%) are in the range proposed for carbon of different sources (δ^{13} C of freshwater carbonates ~ -15 to 2 ‰; marine carbonates δ^{13} C ~ -3 to +2% Hoefs, 2009; magmatic carbon, including MORB and volcanic gases δ^{13} C~ -7.2 to -2%, Giggenbach et al., 1991; Sano and Marty, 1995). These samples have dissolved CO₂ content of about 1000 cm³STP/L and are affected by intense gas separation with gas bubbling, visible on

the field. The shifts towards the higher values of carbon isotopic ratios are likely related to carbon fractionation due the preferential loss of ${}^{12}CO_2$. This phenomena was also detected for spring waters from the Apennines, with the process of ${}^{13}CO_2$ enrichment demonstrated under laboratory conditions (Chiodini et al., 2000, 2011, 2013) and in spring waters from Chile, where the ${}^{13}CO_2$ enrichment was attributed to phase separation and related isotopic fractionation between water and gas (Ray et al., 2009).

In contrast, samples shifted towards depleted ¹³CO₂ values (up to -24.6 %) are characterized by the lack of gas bubbling and travertine deposition, or both bubbling and carbonate precipitation occurring at the same time (eg. #1, 10, 14, 16, 17, 19, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34). Freshwater carbonate deposition is associated with the heavy isotope loss and lower isotopic values of the residual gaseous CO₂ (Hoefs, 2009, Ray et al., 2009). Moreover samples # 19, 30, 31, 32, 33 also show relatively high dissolved CH₄ content with respect to the other sites, with isotopic composition of carbon $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ ranging between -30 and -17 (‰, VPDB) (Ionescu et al., unpublished data), so it is reasonable to assume that organic CO₂, depleted in ¹³C, related to hydrocarbons and organic matter (organic carbon $\delta^{13}C \sim -20$ to -37 %, Hoefs, 2009; Gamo et al., 2010), as well as carbonate precipitation, responsible for the heavy isotope loss, may account for the final isotopic composition of these samples.

$5.4 - CO_2/^3$ He ratios

The $CO_2/{}^{3}$ He corrected ratios, including the air-contaminates samples (#1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15) span over four orders of magnitude (from 2.92×10⁹ to 3.02 x10¹³) covering the range proposed for mantle (2-7×10⁹ for MORB, Marty and Jambon, 1987) and crustal continental fluids (3x10¹³, O'Nions and Oxburgh, 1988). Since He isotope ratios can only be modified by the admixture of He with a different isotopic signature, i.e. from a different reservoir, the coexistence of low 3 He/⁴He ratios (namely high crustal He component) and mantle-like to crustal-like $CO_2/{}^{3}$ He ratios, suggests that the ratio is modified by a mixing of crustal and mantle–derived helium, or, alternatively, the crustal component ratios spans over a wide range due to CO_2 fractionation.

Figure 6 shows that on the basis of the wide range in the $CO_2/{}^3$ He c ratio and its relationship with the helium isotopic composition, the origin of CO_2 could be variable. The samples situated in the northern area are falling in the field of crustal volatiles, proven also by the very low proportions

of mantle-derived helium. Considering the geological structure of the northern part of the area, presence of crystalline carbonates and metamorphites, that were crosscut by magmatic intrusions, we might assume that CO_2 comes from the thermal alteration of these carbonates in the deep, due to the heat provided by the magmatics.

The $CO_2/{}^3$ He c ratios calculated for springs and wells located in the southern part of the study area cover a wider range between MORB-like values 2.9×10^9 (#19) and 3.02×10^{13} (#24). These recorded values overlap the $CO_2/{}^3$ He range including magmatic and crustal values, indicating that there is a contribution of mantle-derived fluids, at proportions discussed in the previous section (up to 16.45% magmatic He, Section 5.2, Fig. 4).

Our samples are clearly affected by secondary processes, like degassing and carbonate precipitation (gas-water interaction at different levels), which modify the original chemical and isotopic compositions. Due to the isotopic fractionation it is hard to make a clear distinction between CO_2 of magmatic and crustal origin, however the isotopic data points to these two major sources. Considering the tectonic setting of the study area, it is possible to have a mixing of the two components. The provenance of CO_2 as suggested by the geologic-tectonic setting and confirmed also by the isotopic composition can be either from magmatic degassing related to the proximity of Neogene volcanic structures, and the presence of crustal-derived fluids related to the alteration of deep-seated carbonates, suggested also by previous authors (Vaselli et al. 2002).



Figure 6 - Correlation diagram between the $CO_2/^3$ He c ratios and helium isotopic composition (R/Ra c). The greyshaded areas cover the ranges for typical crustal and magmatic volatiles, after Sherwood-Lollar et al., 1997. The volcanic end-member is represented by the Subcontinental European Mantle (Dunai and Baur, 1995) and literature data involved for comparison by Althaus et al., 2000; Vaselli et al., 2002; Baciu et al., 2007. Symbols as in Figure 4.

6. Conclusions

The geochemical investigations on the gases dissolved in mineral waters circulating over the 200 km-long transect across the Transylvanian basin-Eastern Carpathians boundary allowed us to improve the knowledge on the fluids circulating in the area. The 34 mineral waters we collected show remarkable differences, related to the geotectonic setting of the area and, in turn, to different rock types where the circulating groundwater move across. The chemical composition of the dissolved gases shows that they are always a mixture of ASW with CO₂-dominated volatiles, as well as relevant methane contents. The isotopic composition of helium and carbon allowed to constrain the origin of dissolved volatiles and to show that besides the obvious

atmospheric gas species, both volcanic and crustal gas sources feed the circulating groundwaters. Moreover the final composition (both chemical and isotopic) of the dissolved gases is the consequence of either the mixing of volatiles of different origin and the occurrence in variable extents of secondary processes like degassing and carbonate precipitation.

While mantle-derived helium was already described at the proximity of the volcanoes in free gases, our findings prove that presence of mantle-components, dissolved in the fluids may be present also at \sim 50 km distance away from the volcanic centres, considered the potential source for mantle volatiles.

The results we obtained clearly show that the geotectonic setting, and secondary processes like degassing, carbonate deposition and isotopic fractionation keep the ultimate control on the composition of the dissolved gases, thus indicating that the interpretation of the geochemical features of the volatiles carried by the circulating waters is a powerful tool to model groundwater circulation and to recover information on the origin and behaviour of the volatiles in the shallow crustal levels.

Acknowledgements

The work was financially supported by *POSDRU CUANTUMDOC "DOCTORAL STUDIES FOR EUROPEAN PERFORMANCES IN RESEARCH AND INOVATION" ID79407* project funded by the European Social Found and Romanian Government, the Sectoral Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007-2013, co-financed by the Romanian National Research Council, *Project PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0537*, *INGV* (Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia), Italy, *OTKA (Hungarian National Research Fund) No. K116528 project*, and MTA Postdoctoral Program, Hungary. Fausto Grassa, Mauro Martelli and Andrea Rizzo are acknowledged for their support and useful discussions during the analytical work as well as anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the interpretations of the data and the quality of the manuscript.

References

- Althaus, T., Niedermann, S., Erzinger, J., 2000. Noble gas studies of fluids and gas exhalations in the East Carpathians, Romania. Chemie der Erde. 60, 189-207.
- Bányai, J., 1934. The mineral waters from Szeklerland. Kül.ErdélyiMúzeum, XXXIX, 1-13. In Hungarian.
- Baciu, C., Caracausi, A., Etiope, G., Italiano, F., 2007. Mud volcanoes and methane seeps in Romania: main features and gas flux. Annals of Geophysics. 50, 501–512.
- Băncilă, I., 1958. Geology of Eastern Carpathians. Editura Științifică, București. In Romanian.
- Barry, P.H., Hilton, D.R., Fischer, T.P., de Moor, J.M., Mangasini, F., Ramirez, C., 2013. Helium and carbon isotope systematic of cold "mazuku" CO₂ vents and hydrothermal gases and fluids from Rungwe Volcanic Province, southern Tanzania. Chemical Geology, 339, 141-156.
- Benavente, O., Tassi, F., Reich, M., Aguilera, F., Capecchiacci, F., Gutierez, F., Vaselli, O., Rizzo, A., 2016. Chemical and isotopic features of cold and thermal fluids discharged in the Southern Volcanic Zone between 32.5°S and 36°S: Insights into the physical and chemical processes controlling fluid geochemistry in geothermal systems of Central Chile. Chemical Geology. 420, 97-113.
- Birke, P., Marin, E.P., Pinti, D., Castro, C.M., 2016. Origin and evolution of geothermal fluids from Las Tres Virgenes and Cerro Prieto fields, Mexico, Co-genetic volcanic activity and paleoclimatic constraints. Applied Geochemistry. 65,36-53
- Brauer, K., Geissler, W., Kampf, H., Niedermann, S., Rman N., 2016. Helium and carbon isotope signatures of gas exhalations in the westernmost Pannonian Basin (SE Austria/NE Slovenia): Evidence for active litospheric mantle degassing. Chemical Geology. 422, 60-70.
- Capasso, G., Inguaggiato, S., 1998. A simple method for the determination of dissolved gases in natural waters. An application to thermal waters from Vulcano Island. Applied Geochemistry. 13, 5, 631-642.
- Chintăuan, I., 1998. Bistrița-Năsăud, mineral waters and spas. Muzeul Județean Bistrița-Năsăud, Bistrița. In Romanian.
- Chiodini, G., Frondini, F., Cardellini, C., Parello, f., Peruzzi, L., 2000. Rate of diffuse carbon dioxide Earth degassing estimated from the carbon balance of regional aquifers: The case of central Apennine, Italy. Journal of Geophysical Research. 105, B4, 8423-8434.

- Chiodini, G., Cardellini, C., Amato, A., Boschi, E., Caliro, S., Frondini, F., Ventura, G., 2004. Carbon dioxide Earth degassing and seismogenesis in central and southern Italy. Geophysical Research Letters. 31, L07615, doi:10.1029/004GL019480.
- Chiodini, G., Caliro, S., Cardellini, C., Frondini, F., Inguaggiato, S., Matteucci, F., 2011. Geochemical evidence for and characterization of CO2 rich gas sources on the epicentral area of Abruzzo 2009 earthquakes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 304, 389-398.
- Chiodini, G., Cardellini, C., Caliro, S., Chiarabba, C., Frondini, F., 2013. Advective heat transport associated with regional Earth degassing in central Apennine (Italy). Eath and Planetary Science Letters. 373, 65-74.
- Crăciun, P., Barnes, I., Bandrabur, T., 1989. Stable isotopes in hydrogeothermal structures in Romania. Stud. Teh. Econ. Ser. E 15, 17–39.
- Deines, P., Langmuir, D., Harmon, S.R., 1974. Stable carbon isotope ratios and the existence of a gas phase in the evolution of carbonate ground waters, Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 38, 1147-1164
- De Leeuw, G.A.M., Hilton, D.R., Fischer, T.P., Walker, J.A., 2007. The He-CO2 isotope and relative abundance characteristics of geothermal fluids in El Salvador and Honduras> New constraints on volatile mass balance of the Central Americal Volcanic Arc. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 258, 132-146.
- Dunai, T.J., Baur, H., 1995. Helium, neon and argon systematics of the European subcontinental mantle: Implications for its geochemical evolution. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 59, 2767-2783.
- Etiope, G., Baciu, C., Caracausi, A., Italiano, F., Cosma, C., 2004. Gas flux to the atmosphere from mud volcanoes in eastern Romania. Terra Nova. 16, 179-184.
- Etiope, G., Baciu, C., Schoell, M., 2011. Extreme methane deuterium, nitrogen and helium enrichment in natural gas from Homorod seep (Romania). Chemical Geology. 280, 89–96.
- Frunzeti, N., 2013. Geogenic emissions of greenhouse gases in the Southern part of the Eastern Carpathians, PhD Thesis, Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Cluj-Napoca (In Romanian).
- Gamo, T., Tsunogai, U., Ichibayashi, S., Chiba, H., Obata, H., Oomori, T., Noguchi, T., Baker, E., Doi, T., Maruo, M., Sano, Y., 2010. Microbial carbon isotope fractionation to produce

extraordinarily heavy methane in aging hydrothermal plumes over the southwestern Okinawa Trough. Geochem. J., 44, 477-487.

- Giggenbach, W.F., Sano, Y., Schmincke, H.U., 1991. CO2-rich gases from Lakes Nyos and Monoun, Cameroon; Laacher See, Germany; Dieng, Indonesia, and Mt. Gambier, Australiavariations on common theme, Journal of Volcan. and Geother. Res., 45, 311-323.
- Harangi, Sz., Molnár, M., Vinkler, A.P., Kiss, B., Jull, A.J.T., Leonard, A.G., 2010. Radiocarbon dating of the last volcanic eruption of Ciomadul Volcano, Southeast Carpathians, Eastern-Central Europe. Radiocarbon. 52, 2-3, 1498–1507.
- Hilton, D., Fischer, T.P., Marty, B., 2002. Noble gases and volatile recycling at subduction zones. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 47, 319-370, doi:10.2198/rmg.2002.47.9.
- Holocher, J., Peeters, F., Aeschbach-Hertig, W., Hofer, M., Brennwald, M., Kinzelbach, W., Kipfer, R., 2002. Experimental investigations on the formation of excess air in quasi-saturated porous media. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 66, 4103–4117.
- Hoefs, J., 2009. Stable isotope geochemistry. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, p.285.
- Ianovici, V., Rădulescu, D., Patrulius, D., 1968. Geologic map 1:200 000 teritoriul 4.Vișeu, Institutul Geologic București, In Romanian.
- Ionescu, A., 2015. Geogenic methane in petroliferous and geothermal areas in Romania: Origin and emission to the atmosphere. PhD Thesis, Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Cluj-Napoca.
- Inguaggiato, S., Censi, P., D'Alessandro, W., Zuddas, P., 2016. Geochemical characterization of gases along the dead sea rift: Evidences of mantle-CO₂ degassing. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research. 320, 50-57.
- Inguagguato, S., Rizzo, A., 2004. Dissolved helium isotope ratios in ground-waters: a new technique based on gas-water re-equilibration and its application to Stromboli volcanic system, Appl.Geochem., 19, 665-673.
- Italiano, F., Martinelli, G., Nuccio, PM., 2001. Anomalies of mantle-derived helium during the 1997-1998 seismic swarm of Umbria-Marche, Italy. Geophysical Research Letters. 28, 839-842.
- Italiano, F., Bonfanti, P., Ditta, M., Petrini, R., Slejko, F., 2009. Helium and carbon isotopes is the dissolved gases of Friuli Region (NE Italy): Geochemical evidence of CO₂ production and degassing over a seismically active area. Chemical Geology. 266, 76-85.

- Italiano, F., Sasmaz, A., Yuce, G., Okan, O., 2013. Thermal fluids along the East Anatolian Fault Zone (EAFZ): geochemical features and relationships with the tectonic setting. Chemical Geology. 339, 103-114, doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.07.027.
- Italiano, F., Yuce, G., Uysal, I.T., Gasparon, M., Morelli, G., 2014. Insights into mantle-type volatiles contribution from dissolved gases in artesian waters of the Great Artesian Basin, Australia. Chemical Geology. 378-379, 75-88.
- Jánosi, Cs., Péter, É., Herczeg, Á., Potozky, L., Köllő, M., Kolumbán, G., Unger, Z., Somlósi, L., Jánosi, K., 2005. Spas of Szeklerland. BKL, Szombathely, p.179. In Hungarian.
- Kis, BM., 2013. Hydrogeochemistry of mineral waters from the Eastern Carpathians-Transylvanian Basin boundary, PhD Thesis, Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Cluj-Napoca.
- Kis, BM., Baciu, C., 2013. A hydrochemical approach to the mineral waters from the Eastern Carpathians. Ecoterra. 35, 8-14.
- Klemperer, S., Kennedy, M., Sastry, S., Makovsky, Y., Harinarayana, T., Leech, M., 2013. Mantle fluids in the Karakorum fault: Helium isotope evidence, Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 366, 59-70.
- Krézsek, Cs., Filipescu, S., 2005. Middle to late Miocene sequence stratigraphy of the Transylvanian Basin (Romania). Tectonophysics. 410, 431–463.
- Krézsek, Cs., Bally, AW., 2006. The Transylvanian Basin (Romania) and its relation to the Carpathian fold and thrust belt: Insights in gravitational salt tectonics. Marine and Petroleum Geology. 23, 405–442.
- Krézsek, Cs., Filipescu, S., Silye L., Maţenco, L., Doust, H., 2010. Miocene facies associations and sedimentary evoltion of the Southern Transylvanian Basi (Romania): Implications for hydrocarbon exploration. Marine and Petroleum Geology. 27, 191-214.
- Mamyrin, BA., Tolstikhin, IN., 1984. Helium Isotope in Nature. Developments in Geochemistry, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Marrero-Diaz, R., Lopez D., Perez, N., Custodio E., Hirochika S., Melian, G., Padron, E., Hernandez, P., Calvo, D., Barrancos, J., Padilla, G., Sortino, F., 2015. Carbon dioxide and helium dissolved gases in groundwater at central Tenerife Island, Canary Islands: chemical and isotopic characterization. Bull. Volcanol, 77, 86, doi:10.1007/s00445-015-0969-0.
- Marty, B., Jambon, A., 1987. C/³He in volatile fluxes from the solid Earth: Implications for carbon geodynamics, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 83, 16–26.

- Molnar-Amărăscu, R., Pricăjan, A., 1961. Geological and hydrogeological conditions of the mineral waters from the Eastern Carpathians. In. Apele şi nămolurile terapeutice din R.P.R., Inst. Balneol.-Fizioter., Bucureşti, 543–701. In Romanian.
- Mook, W.G., Bommerson, J.C., Staverman, W.H., 1974. Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 22, 169-176.
- Mosonyi, E., 1998. Studiul geologic-structural al metamorfitelor de peversantulsudic al Masivului Rodna, PhD Thesis, 232.
- Mutihac, V., 1990. Structurageologică a teritoriuluiRomâniei, Ed. Tech., București, 419.
- Niţoi, E., Munteanu, M., Marincea, Ş., 2000. The enclaves in the East Carpathian Neogene Intrusions (Romania); Their significance for the genesis of the calc-alkaline magmas. An. Inst. Geol. Rom., 72, 67-76.
- Ohba, T., Sawa, T., Taira, N., Yang, T.F., Lee, H.F., Lan, T.F., Ohwada, M., Morikawa, N., Kazahaya, K., 2010. Magmatic fluids on Tatun volcanic group, Taiwan. Appl.Geochem., 25, 513-523.
- Ozima, M., Podosek, FA., 2004. Noble gas geochemistry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 302.
- Panaiotu, CG., Pécskay, Z., Hambach, U., Seghedi, I., Panatoiu, CE., Tetsumaru, I., Orleanu, M Szakács, A., 2004. Short-lived quaternary volcanism in the Perşani Mountains (Romania) revealed by combined K-Ar and paleomagnetic data. Geologica Carpathica. 55, 333-339.
- Paucă, M., 1967. Contributions to the genesis of miocene saline deposits from Romania.D.S.Inst. Geol. Geofiz. 53 (2), 159–184. In Romanian.
- Papp, DC., 2000. Deuterium and salinity of the present free waters from the Rodna-Bargau Mountains, Romania: an assessment for their origin and underground dynamics. Journal of Geochemical Exploration. 69-70, 429-433.
- Pécskay, Z., Lexa, J., Szakács, A., Seghedi, I., Balogh, K., Konecny, V., Zelenka, T., Kovacs, M., Póka, T., Fülöp, A., Márton, E., Cvethovic, V., 2006. Geochronology of Neogene magmatism in the Carpathian arc and Intra-Carpathian area. Geologica Carpathica, 57(6), 511–530.
- Peltz, S., Vasiliu, C., Udrescu, C., Bratosin, I., 1971. Petrology of the magmatites from the Neogene subvolcanic zone of the Eastern Carpathians. An.I.G.G.XXXIX 13-18.

- Peltz, S., Popescu, I., Ştefănescu, M., Patrulius, D., Seghedi, I., Ticleanu, N., Mihăilă, N., Peltz
 M., Ştefănescu, M., Popescu, A., 1983. Geologic Map 1:50000 Băile Chirui, Inst.de
 Geol.Geofiz., București.
- Pik, R., Marty, B., 2008. Helium isotopic signature of modern and fossil fluids associated with the Corinth rift fault zone (Greece): Implication for fault connectivity in the lower crust. Chem. Geol. 266, 67–75.
- Pinti, D.L., Beland-Otis, C., Tremblay, A., Castro, M.C., Hall, C.M., Marcil, J.S., Lavoie, J.Y., Lapointe, R., 2011. Fossil brines preserved in the St-Lawrence Lowlands Quebec, Canada as revealed by their chemistry and noble gas isotopes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 75, 4228-4243.
- Polyak, BG., Tolstikhin, IN., 1985. Isotopic composition of the Earth's helium and the problem of tectogenesis. Chemical Geology, 52, 9-33.
- Pricăjan, A., 1972. The mineral and thermal waters from Romania, Ed.Tech., București. In Romanian.
- Rădulescu, D., Peltz, S., Popescu, A., 1973. Lower compartment of the structure of the Călimani, Gurghiu and Harghita Mountains: the volcano-sedimentary formation, Ann.Inst.Geol, XLI, 15-26.
- Rădulescu, D., Dumitrescu, R., 1982. Endogene petrology of Romania. Universitatea din București, Facultatea de Geologie și Geografie, București.
- Răileanu, G., Rădulescu, D., 1967. Geological Map 1:200 000 11. Bistrița Area, Geological Institute, București. In Romanian.
- Ray, M.C., Hilton, D.R., Munoz, J., Fischer, T.P., Shaw, A.M., 2009. The effects of volatile recycling, degassing and crustal contamination on helium and carbon geochemistry of hydrothermal fluids from the Southern Volcanic Zone of Chile. Chemi. Geol. 266, 38-49.
- Sano, Y., Fischer, T.P., 2013. The analysis and interpretation of noble gases in modern hydrothermal systems. In: Burnard P. (eds.), The Noble Gases as Geochemical Tracers. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 249-317.
- Sano, Y., Marty, B., 1995. Origin of carbon in fumarolic gas from island arcs. Chem. Geol. 119, 265-274.
- Sano, Y., Marty, B., Burnard, P., 2013. Noble gases in the Atmosphere, In: Burnard P. (eds.), The Noble Gases as Geochemical Tracers, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 249-317.

- Sano, Y., Takahata, N., Igasashi, G., Koizumo, N., Sturchio, N.C., 1998. Helium degassing related to the Kobe earthquake. Chem. Geol. 150, 171-179.
- Sano, Y., Kosigu, T., Takahata, N., Yokochi, R., 2004. Helium isotopes in Pacific Waters from Adjacent Region of Honshu, Japan. Journal of Oceanography, 60, 652-630.
- Sano, Y., Wakita, H., 1985. Geographical distribution of 3He4He ratios in Japan: Implications for arc-tectonisc and incipient magmatism, Journal of Geophysical Research, 90, 8729-8741.
- Săndulescu. M., 1984. Geotectonica României. Editura Technică, București, p.366, In Romanian
- Seghedi, I., Szakács, A., Mason, P.R.D., 1995. Petrogenesis and magmatic evolution in the East Carpathian Neogene volcanic arc (Romania). Acta Vulcanologica. 7,2, 135-143.
- Seghedi, I., Downes, H., Szakács, A., Mason, PRD., Theilwall, MF., Rosu, E., Pécskay, Z., Márton, E., Panaiotu C., 2004. Neogene-Quaternary magmatism and geodynamics in the Carpathian-Pannonian region: a synthesis. Lithos. 72, 117–146.
- Seghedi, I., Szakács, A., 1994. Upper Pliocene to Quaternary basaltic volcanism in the Perşani Mountains. Rom. J. Petrology. 76, 101-107.
- Shaw, A.M., Hilton, D.R., Fischer, T.P., Walker, J.A., Alvarado, E.G., 2003. Contrasting He-C relationships in Nicaraga and Costa Rica: insights into C cycling through subduction zones. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 214, 499-513
- Sherwood-Lollar, B., Ballentine, C., O'Nions, R., 1995. The fate of mantle-derived carbon in a continental sedimentary basin: Integration of C/He relationships and stable isotope signatures. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 61, 11, 2295-2307.
- Szakács, A., Seghedi, I., 1995a. Genetic types of volcaniclastics in the Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain (Eastern Carpathians). Romanian Journal of Stratigraphy.76(7), 157– 159.
- Szakács, A., Seghedi, I., 1995b. The Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain, East Carpathians, Romania: volcanological features. ActaVulcanologica. 7(2), 145–153.
- Ticleanu, N., Peltz, S., Popescu, A., Gheorghian, M., Andreescu, I., 1980. Geological map 1:50000 Odorhei, Geological Institute, București. In Romanian.
- Ureche, I., 1999. Petrology of the Neogene magmatites from Bârgău Mountains, PhD Thesis, Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Biology and Geology, Cluj-Napoca. In Romanian.
- Vaselli, O., Minissale, A., Tassi, F., Magro, G., Seghedi, I., Ioane, D., Szakács, A., 2002. A geochemical traverse across the Eastern Carpathians (Romania): constraints on the origin and evolution of the mineral waters and gas discharge. Chem. Geo. 182, 637–654.

- Yang, T.F., Lan, T.F., Lee H.F, Fu, Ch.Ch., Chuang, P.C., Lo, Ch., Chen, Ch.H., Chen, C.T.A., Lee C.S., 2005. Gas composition and helium isotopic ratios on fluid samples around Kueishantao NE offshore Taiwan and its tectonic implications. Geochemical Journal. 39, 469-480.
- Zhang, J., Quay, PD., Wilbur, DO., 1995. Carbon isotope fractionation during gas-water exchange and dissolution of CO₂. Geoch. et Cosm. Acta. 59, 107–114.

APPENDIX

The $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ represents the average of the isotopic composition of the dissolved carbon species and can be expressed as the isotopic balance of dissolved carbon species weighted on the respective inorganic carbon contents as equation 1.

$$\delta^{13}C_{\text{TDIC}} = (\ \delta^{13}C_{\text{CO2 aq}} * \chi \text{CO}_{2\text{aq}} + \delta^{13}C_{\text{HCO3}} * \chi \text{HCO}_3)/\text{M}$$
(1)

where χ is the molar fraction and M is the total mass of dissolved carbon.

 $CO_2(aq)$ and HCO_3^- are predominant due to the pH range (6.05-7.87) of the sampled waters, therefore the observed $\delta^{13}C$ values for dissolved inorganic carbon ($\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$) are a function of the mole fraction of HCO_3^- .

According to Deines et al. (1974), Mook et al. (1974) and Zhang et al. (1995), the $\delta^{13}C_{CO2aq}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{HCO3}$ can be computed considering the isotope enrichment factors (ϵ) for the CO_{2gas} - HCO₃ (ϵ_{α}) and the CO_{2gas} - CO_{2aq} (ϵ_{β}) systems. The isotopic ratio of the gaseous CO₂ can be recalculated following equation 2

$$\delta^{13}C_{CO2} = \delta^{13}C_{TDIC} - [\epsilon_{\alpha} * \chi HCO_3 + \epsilon_{\beta} * \chi CO_2] \qquad (2)$$

The following table lists the parameters and the results of the calculations:

No.	Sample name	Sample name	рН	εα	εβ	χ HCO ₃	χ CO2	Total carbon mmoles/l	δ ¹³ C _{TDIC} ‰VPDB	calculated δ ¹³ C _{CO2} ‰VPDB
1	Valea Vinului	Izvorul Pipirigi	6.53	9.41	-0.83	0.99	0.01	52	-4.34	-13.69
2	Rodna	Izvorul Doani	6.16	9.40	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	19	2.66	-6.79
3	Anies	Izvorul Hojda	6.31	9.18	-0.83	1.03	-0.03	27	nd	nd
4	Anies	Izvorul Travertin	6.65	9.27	-0.83	1.00	0.00	72	5.29	-3.95
5	Anies	Izvorul Anieș	6.64	9.30	-0.83	1.00	0.00	72	5.17	-4.10
6	Sangeorz Bai	Izvorul 9	6.63	8.95	-0.83	1.00	0.00	81	2.69	-6.22
7	Sangeorz Bai	Izvorul 7	6.58	8.87	-0.83	1.00	0.00	62	4.08	-4.74
8	Lunca Ilvei	Izvorul Ciriloi	6.42	9.48	-0.83	0.99	0.01	32	nd	nd
9	Lunca Ilvei	Izvorul Bolovan	6.51	9.54	-0.83	0.99	0.01	61	nd	nd
10	Parva	Izvorul Carpatia	6.38	9.52	-0.83	0.97	0.03	30	-3.16	-12.34
11	Corund	P12 (Bugyogo)	6.88	9.52	-0.83	1.00	0.00	79	-0.01	-9.51
12	Corund	P9 (Fantana Sarata)	6.28	9.07	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	71	-1.08	-10.30

13	Corund	P7 (Dealul Melcului)	6.58	9.15	-0.83	1.00	0.00	126	2.15	-6.96
14	Corund	P5 (Izvorul Cseredombi)	6.24	9.22	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	9	-6.34	-15.66
15	Corund	P6 (Izvorul Pamu)	6.46	8.75	-0.84	0.99	0.01	16	2.32	-6.35
16	Corund	P1 (Izvorul Dióvápai)	6.08	9.06	-0.83	1.00	0.00	8	-5.51	-14.61
17	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Szejke Izvorul Szejke	6.31	9.07	-0.83	1.03	-0.03	16	-5.4	-14.73
18	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Szejke Well	6.06	8.81	-0.84	1.00	0.00	120	nd	nd
19	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Kápolnásfürdő	7.87	8.99	-0.83	1.00	0.00	9	-10.19	-19.18
20	Homorod	Izvorul Kálmán	6.57	9.28	-0.83	1.00	0.00	18	5.32	-3.92
21	Homorod	Izvorul Fenyős	6.43	9.22	-0.83	0.99	0.01	24	3.8	-5.30
22	Homorod	Izvorul Köpüs	6.27	9.06	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	20	-0.22	-9.41
23	Homorod	Izvorul Lobogó	6.18	9.06	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	21	1.04	-8.08
24	Lueta	Izvorul Délű	6.49	9.29	-0.83	0.99	0.01	37	2.28	-6.94
25	Lueta	Izvorul Nádasszéki	6.25	9.36	-0.83	1.01	-0.01	20	1.81	-7.66
26	Chirui	Lobogó well	6.26	8.82	-0.84	1.01	-0.01	23	nd	nd
27	Chirui	Festő Spring	6.11	9.43	-0.83	1.00	0.00	16	1.19	-8.29
28	Vlăhița	Vlăhița thermal spring	6.79	8.01	-0.84	1.00	0.00	4	-0.82	-8.81
29	Vlăhița	Angol spring	6.07	8.80	-0.84	1.00	0.00	13	0.4	-8.43
30	Merești	Salty fountain	6.09	9.19	-0.83	1.00	0.00	8	-13.98	-23.21
31	Mărtiniș	Salty fountain	6.05	8.96	-0.83	1.00	0.00	5	-15.6	-24.59
32	Crăciunel	Spring	7.38	8.82	-0.84	1.00	0.00	11	-5.74	-14.55
33	Homorod Braşov	Well	7.8	8.93	-0.83	1.00	0.00	75	-7.41	-16.34
34	Racoş	Salty fountain	6.52	8.71	-0.84	0.99	0.01	18	-9.08	-17.73

N 0.	Site	Sample name	Typ e	Latitude	Longitud e	H m	T° C °C	рН	Eh mv	EC ms/c m	HC O ₃ mg/l	TDS mg/l
1	Valea Vinului	Pipirigi spring	b	47°27'18. 9"	24°48'51. 3"	60 3	11. 9	6.5 3	15. 8	4.95	2562	4558
2	Rodna	Doani spring	b	47°25'21. 7"	24°47'07. 9"	51 9	12	6.1 6	36. 8	2.08	1159	1809
3	Anies	Hojda spring	nb	47°25'07. 2"	24°46'31. 2"	50 6	13. 9	6.3 1	27. 7	2.63	1586	2364
4	Anies	Travertine spring	b	47°24'58. 8"	24°46'20. 3"	50 4	13. 1	6.6 5	9.2	6.88	4392	7273
5	Anies	Anies spring	b	47°24'58. 2"	24°46'20. 8"	49 2	12. 8	6.6 4	9.8	6.94	4392	7295
6	Sangeo rz Bai	9 spring	nb	47°22'35. 6"	24°39'42. 8"	45 2	15. 9	6.6 3	10. 8	11.1	4941	1010 4
7	Sangeo rz Bai	7 spring	nb	47°22'27. 4"	24°39'22. 6"	46 0	16. 6	6.5 8	6.8	6.5	3782	6479
8	Lunca Ilvei	Ciriloi spring	b	47°21'46. 44"	25°00'36. 3"	77 9	11. 3	6.4 2	22. 2	7.31	1952	5724
9	Lunca Ilvei	Bolovan spring	b	47°21'27. 42"	25°01'01. 98"	74 9	10. 8	6.5 1	17. 2	11.1	3721	9438
10	Parva	Carpatia spring	b	47°23'57. 36"	24°32'38. 52"	52 5	11	6.3 8	24. 1	5.73	1769	4484
11	Corund	Bugyogó spring	b	46°29'55. 3"	25°10'00"	53 5	11	6.8 8	-3.5	204	4819	1841 42
12	Corund	Salty Fountain	b	46°29'52. 7"	25°09'56. 1"	52 3	14. 8	6.2 8	29. 9	206	4392	1971 55
13	Corund	Csigadombi spring	b	46°29'27. 8"	25°10'25. 0"	57 0	14. 1	6.5 8	13. 6	207	7625	1902 67
14	Corund	Cseredombi	b	46°29'34. 30"	25°10'38. 00"	60 4	13. 5	6.2 4	31. 2	2.78	732	2713
15	Corund	Pamu spring	b	46°29'29. 4"	25°10'43. 5"	62 1	17. 6	6.4 6	20. 2	218	952	2062 49
16	Corund	Dióvápai spring	nb	46°28'6.0 0''	25°12'0.0 0"	61 6	14. 9	6.0 8	38. 6	0.6	3660	622
17	Odorhe iu Secuies c	Szejke spring	nb	46°20'02. 07"	25°16'18. 06"	52 4	14. 8	6.3 1	28. 5	3.3	976	2652
18	Odorhe iu Secuies c	Szejke Well	nb	46°20'2.4 "	25°16'16. 74"	53 3	17. 1	6.0 6	42. 4	210	7320	2044 14
19	Odorhe iu Secuies c	Kápolnásfürdő	nb	46°17'22. 08"	25°17'33. 66"	50 3	15. 5	7.8 7	- 58. 2	13.2	549	7034
20	Homor od	Kálmán spring	b	46°20'40. 50"	25°27'53. 20"	69 9	13	6.5 7	12. 9	2.38	1098	1886
21	Homor od	Fenyős spring	b	46°20'42. 10"	25°27'54. 90"	70 4	13. 5	6.4 3	22. 1	2.84	1464	2423
22	Homor od	Köpüs spring	b	46°21'01. 00"	25°28'24. 90"	72 9	14. 9	6.2 7	29. 7	2.02	1220	1924

Table 1

23	Homor od	Lobogó spring	b	46°21'01. 30"	25°28'24. 00"	71 1	14. 9	6.1 8	35. 6	2.68	1281	2257
24	Lueta	Délű spring	b	46°18'45. 42"	25°32'21. 42"	74 6	12. 9	6.4 9	17. 7	4.21	2257	4013
25	Lueta	Nádasszéki spring	b	46°17'37. 32"	25°31'40. 98"	68 7	12. 3	6.2 5	31. 2	4.51	2244	3239
26	Chirui	Lobogó well	b	46°18'10. 02"	25°35'12. 18"	79 2	17	6.2 6	31. 2	2.13	1403	2029
27	Chirui	Festő Spring	nb	46°19'00. 90"	25°35'49. 56"	79 8	11. 7	6.1 1	38. 6	1.34	976	1326
28	Vlăhița	Vlăhița thermal spring	b	46°20'15. 90"	25°32'49. 20"	83 1	24. 3	6.7 9	1.7	0.45	366	385
29	Vlăhița	Angol spring	b	46°18'35. 90"	25°30'22. 50"	73 3	17. 2	6.0 7	41. 6	2.67	793	2123
30	Mereșt i	Salty fountain	nb	46°14'21. 2"	25°27'36. 0"	58 0	13. 8	6.0 9	40	243	488	2739 68
31	Mărtini Ş	Salty fountain	nb	46°14'03. 0"	25°23'13. 4"	49 8	15. 8	6.0 5	43. 2	243	305	2750 46
32	Crăciu nel	Spring	nb	46°10'17. 9"	25°26'27. 3"	55 6	17	7.3 8	- 31. 2	8.3	793	5772
33	Homor od Bra ș ov	Well	nb	46°01'57. 0"	25°17'34. 8"	44 8	16	7.8	- 55. 1	23	4575	1798 8
34	Racoș	Salty fountain	nb	46°02'24. 78"	25°24'45. 96"	47 2	18	6.5 2	17. 3	243	1098	2743 62

Table 1 – List of the sampled waters including location names, altitude and field data. Coordinates in WGS84, H=elevation, temperature, pH, Eh, , EC, TDS and HCO₃ values. B and NB in the Type column stand for bubbling and non bubbling site respectively.

o. Since Simple halle $$	Ν	Site	Samula nama	He	Ne	H ₂	O ₂	N ₂	CO	CH ₄	CO ₂
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.	Site	Sample name		•		cm ³ ST	P/g_{H2O}	•	•	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	Valea	Dipirigi spring	3.55x1	9.01	2.53	2.93x1	7.99	4.79	3.91	1.21
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	Vinului	r ipirigi spring	0-8	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	0-3	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	1.21
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Dodna	Dooni anring	5.81	1.31x1	3.10	2.21	1.07	1.07	2.72	1.65
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Koulla	Doalit spring	x10 ⁻⁸	0-7	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	1.05
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Aniaa	Heide annin a	1, 41	1- 41	5.99	3.23	8.97	111	3.22	9.39
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3	Anieş	Hojda spring	bai	bai	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	bai	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻¹
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	4	Aming	Troventin on vin a	4.47	1.83	h.d1	1.77	1.25	hdl	1.91	9.22
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	4	Ameş	Traverun spring	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁸	bui	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	bui	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻¹
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	Anias	Anios spring	hdl	hdl	3.62	7.32	1.63	2.49	2.74	1 17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	Ameş	Ameş spring	bui	bui	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	1.17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6	Sângeorz Băi	0 spring	1.21	3.02	5.55	2.26	7.47	5.27	1.38	1.02
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0	Sangeorz Bar	9 spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	1.02
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7	Sân an an- Dăi	7	1, 41	1- 41	1- 41	4.69	1.02	3.55	4.11	1.02
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	/	Sangeorz Bai	/ spring	bai	bal	bal	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	1.02
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0	T	0.11	1.11	1, 11	1.65	2.98	9.13	3.02	8.82	1 10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	8	Lunca IIvei	Ciriloi spring	bal	bal	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁶	1.19
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0	Lunco Ilvei	Dologon anning	4.77	1.53	h.11	4.88	1.29	2.52	1.70	1.62
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9	Lunca fivei	Bolovan spring	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	bai	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁶	1.03
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10	Domio	Competie emine	8.73	2.55	h.d1	7.61	1.59	1.07	1.58	1.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10	Falva	Carpatia spring	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	bui	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	1.99
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11	Comund	Puquo gá apring	1.25	1.48	4.58	6.73	4.05	2.49	1.01	2.66
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11	Coruna	Bugyogo spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12	Corund	Salty Fountain	3.08	7.87	5.14	1.86	4.12	4.19	4.13	5.64
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12	Coruna	Sarry Pountain	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	13	Corund	Csigadombi	bdl	bdl	1.30	2.60	6.10	4.27	1.64	1 16
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15	Coruna	spring	bui	bui	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	1.10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14	Corund	Cseredombi	3.70	2.29	bdl	8.13	1.13	2.90	2.80	1 1 2
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14	Coruna	spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	bui	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻³	1.10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15	Comund	Domu opring	3.44	1.16	9.34	5.50	4.32	5.57	4.42	1.95
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15	Coruna	Fainu spring	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	1.65
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	16	Comund	Diávánai anring	2.09	1.35	3.60	4.46	1.26	7.01	2.58	9.70
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10	Coruna	Diovapai spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17	Odorheiu		4.02	1.20	h.d1	1.35	9.09	3.80	1.78	7.95
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1/	Secuiesc	Szejke spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	bal	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻¹
18 Securiesc SZEjke well $x10^{-8}$ $x10^{-8}$ $x10^{-5}$ $x10^{-4}$ $x10^{-3}$ $x10^{-7}$ $x10^{-4}$ Odorbain 2.22 1.16 1.10 5.40 7.45 7.26 0.06	10	Odorheiu	S-siles Well	8.53	5.66	3.82	2.56	2.91	2.38	4.80	1 10
Oderhein 222 116 110 540 745 726 000	18	Secuiesc	Szejke well	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁴	1.19
10 Ouomeiu Vápolnásfürdő 5.52 1.10 5.41 1.19 5.49 7.45 7.26 9.06	10	Odorheiu	Vánolnásförd″	3.32	1.16	h.11	1.19	5.49	7.45	7.26	9.06
17 Securesc Rapomasturuo $x10^{-6}$ $x10^{-7}$ Dut $x10^{-5}$ $x10^{-3}$ $x10^{-9}$ $x10^{-3}$ $x10^{-3}$	19	Secuiesc	Kapomasturdo	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻⁷	Uai	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁹	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³
20 Băile Kálmán spring 1.11 9.06 1.61 2.52 1.13 5.24 3.15 1.09	20	Băile	Kálmán spring	1.11	9.06	1.61	2.52	1.13	5.24	3.15	1.09

Table 2.

	Homorod		x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	
21	Băile	Eanyőa anrina	hdl	h.11	1.55	2.79	9.88	8.07	2.62	1 1 1
21	Homorod	renyos spring	bui	bui	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	1.11
22	Băile	Vänäs sasia s	111	1, 11	4.50	3.60	9.40	9.81	1.05	8.44
22	Homorod	Kopus spring	bai	bal	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻¹
22	Băile	I chocá anninc	b 41	h.11	5.01	3.97	9.19	6.11	3.92	1 20
23	Homorod	Lobogo spring	bai	bui	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	1.29
24	Luota	Dálői spring	1.09	1.35	8.53	1.86	9.00	3.53	8.71	1.53
24	Lucia	Deloi spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	1.55
25	Luota	Nádasszéki	bdl	bdl	1.20	4.50	1.07E-	8.28	3.88	1 20
23	Lucia	spring	bui	bui	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	02	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	1.20
26	Chirui	Loboró well	bdl	bdl	1.85	4.30	9.99	8.59	1.12	9.04
20	Cilifui	Lobogo wen	bui	bui	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻¹
27	Chirui	Festő Spring	6.84	1.45	5.73	4.06	1.07	5.49	3.26	1 1 3
21		resto spring	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	1.15
28	Vlăhita	Vlăhița thermal	1.44	2.52	5.15	2.83	1.37	2.74	7.44	3.85
20	v lallışa	spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻²
20	Vlăhita	Angol spring	1.83	9.43	8.32	8.04	1.08	1.27	3.15	1.04
2)	v lallışa	Aligor spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁴	1.04
30	Marasti	Salty fountain	8.49	9.68	5.55	8.02	3.00	1.80	2.20	1.06
50	wierești	Salty Iountain	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻¹
31	Mărtinis	Salty fountain	1.69	1.15	1.22	3.63	2.48	2.24	3.62	8.28
51	wiartiniş	Salty Iountain	x10 ⁻⁶	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²
32	Crăciunel	Spring	1.95	2.11	6.44	2.90	1.31	3.04	1.35	3.08
52	Cracitation	Spring	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻²	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻²
33	Homorod	Well	2.30	1.82	1.83	5.12	1.21	bdl	1.05	5.14
55	Brașov	wen	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻²	bui	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻²
34	Racoșul de	Salty fountain	7.24	1.18	2.80	5.37	2.43	bdl	7.40	2.41
	Jos		x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷	x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻⁴	x10 ⁻³		x10 ⁻⁵	x10 ⁻²
		ASW	4.85	1.68		4.80	9.60		1.00	2.40
		110 11	x10 ⁻⁸	x10 ⁻⁷		x10 ⁻³	x10 ⁻³		x10 ⁻⁹	x10 ⁻⁴

Table 2 – Chemical composition of the dissolved gases. Reactive species (H_2 and CO) are not discussed in the text but listed to give comprehensive information on the chemical composition of the dissolved gas species. ASW (air Saturated Water) composition is reported as reference bdl = below detection limits; nd = not determined

Table 3

No.	Site	Sample name	He/Ne	ΔHe/Ne	R/Ra	R/Ra c	³ He c	δ ¹³ C _{TDIC} (‰, VPDB)	δ ¹³ C co ₂ (‰, VPDB)	CO₂/³He c	Reference
1	Valea Vinului	Izvorul Pipirigi	0.39	1.48	0.87	0.59	2.38x10 ⁻¹²	-4.34	-13.69	$4.17 \text{x} 10^{13}$	a
2	Rodna	Izvorul Doani	0.44	1.66	0.83	0.57	2.77 x10 ⁻¹²	2.66	-6.79	$3.58 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
3	Anieș	Izvorul Hojda	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	а
4	Anieș	Izvorul Travertin	2.45	9.18	0.79	0.76	5.04 x10 ⁻¹²	5.29	-3.95	$1.95 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
5	Anieș	Izvorul Anieș	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	5.17	-4.10	nd	а
6	Sângeorz Băi	Izvorul 9	0.40	1.50	0.89	0.68	$1.10 \mathrm{x} 10^{-11}$	2.69	-6.22	8.98 x10 ¹²	а
7	Sângeorz Băi	Izvorul 7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.08	-4.74	nd	а
8	Lunca Ilvei	Izvorul Ciriloi	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	а
9	Lunca Ilvei	Izvorul Bolovan	0.31	1.17	0.95	0.66	2.64 x10 ⁻¹²	nd	nd	$3.74 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
10	Parva	Izvorul Carpatia	0.34	1.28	0.99	0.94	5.67 x10 ⁻¹²	-3.16	-12.34	$1.74 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
11	Corund	P12 (Bugyogo)	0.85	3.17	0.96	0.94	6.0710 ⁻¹¹	-0.01	-9.51	$1.62 \ x10^{12}$	а
12	Corund	P9 (Fantana Sarata)	0.39	1.47	0.99	0.96	$7.17 \ x10^{-12}$	-1.08	-10.30	$1.38 \ x10^{13}$	а
13	Corund	P7 (Dealul Melcului)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.15	-6.96	nd	а
14	Corund	P5 (Izvorul Cseredombi)	1.62	6.06	0.92	0.90	3.8710 ⁻¹¹	-6.34	-15.66	$2.55 \ x10^{12}$	а
15	Corund	P6 (Izvorul Pamu)	0.30	1.11	0.85	0.85	$-1.44 \ x10^{-12}$	2.32	-6.35	-6.91×10^{13}	а
16	Corund	P1 (Izvorul Dióvápai)	1.55	5.80	0.92	0.90	2.6610 ⁻¹¹	-5.51	-14.61	$3.71 \text{ x} 10^{12}$	а
17	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Szejke Izvorul Szejke	3.35	12.56	0.86	0.84	5.8510-11	-5.40	-14.73	$1.69 \text{ x} 10^{12}$	а
18	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Szejke Well	1.51	5.64	0.85	0.82	8.11 x10 ⁻¹²	nd	nd	$1.23 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
19	Odorheiu Secuiesc	Kápolnásfürdő	28.51	106.77	0.68	0.67	1.42×10^{-8}	-10.19	-19.18	2.92 x10 ⁹	а
20	Băile Homorod	Izvorul Kálmán	1.23	4.60	0.66	0.57	$7.94 \ x10^{-12}$	5.32	-3.92	$1.24 \ x10^{13}$	а
21	Băile Homorod	Izvorul Fenyős	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.80	-5.30	nd	a
22	Băile Homorod	Izvorul Köpüs	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	-0.22	-9.41	nd	a
23	Băile Homorod	Izvorul Lobogó	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.04	-8.08	nd	а
24	Lueta	Izvorul Délű	0.81	3.04	0.55	0.33	3.29 x10 ⁻¹²	2.28	-6.94	$3.02 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	a

25	Lueta	Izvorul Nádasszéki	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.81	-7.66	nd	а
26	Băile Chirui	Lobogó well	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	а
27	Băile Chirui	Festő Spring	0.47	1.77	0.91	0.79	6.62 x10 ⁻¹²	1.19	-8.29	$1.49 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
28	Vlăhița	Vlăhița thermal spring	0.57	2.14	0.90	0.80	2.92×10^{-10}	-0.82	-8.81	2.39 x10 ¹¹	а
29	Vlăhița	Angol spring	1.94	7.27	0.44	0.35	8.44 x10 ⁻¹²	0.40	-8.43	$1.17 \text{ x} 10^{13}$	а
30	MereȘti	Salty fountain	0.88	3.28	0.41	0.16	1.6610 ⁻¹¹	-13.98	-23.21	$5.70 \text{ x} 10^{12}$	а
31	Mărtiniș	Salty fountain	14.67	54.95	0.46	0.45	1.1910 ⁻⁹	-15.60	-24.59	$7.80 ext{ x10}^{10}$	а
32	Crăciunel	Spring	0.93	3.47	0.39	0.15	8.5310 ⁻¹¹	-5.74	-14.55	7.71 x10 ¹¹	а
33	Homorod Bra ș ov	Well	126.23	472.79	0.38	0.38	1.89 x10 ⁻⁸	-7.41	-16.34	$4.28 \ x10^9$	а
34	Racoșul de Jos	Salty fountain	0.62	2.31	0.70	0.46	1.72 x10 ⁻¹⁰	-9.08	-17.73	5.15 x10 ¹¹	а
35	Corund	Corund	1.71	6.40	0.87	0.85		-9.18	-9.18	$8.24 \ x10^{12}$	b
36	Băile Homorod	Homorod Maria	8.39	31.42	0.62	0.61		-2.03	-2.03	$4.29 \ x10^{11}$	b
37	Doboseni	Doboseni	8.87	33.22	4.27	4.37		-3.25	-3.25	5.73 x10 ⁹	b
38	Piriul Capelei	Piriul Capelei	25.60	95.88	1.74	1.75		-3.49	-3.49	$8.43 ext{ x10}^{10}$	b
39	Harghita Băi	Harghita Băi	1.41	5.28	3.04	3.52		-4.21	-4.21	$2.82 \text{ x} 10^{11}$	b
40	Jigodin Băi	Jigodin Băi	5.20	19.48	0.45	0.42		-3.07	-3.07	$1.95 \text{ x} 10^{12}$	b
41	Sintimbru Băi	Sintimbru Băi	1.08	4.04	1.26	1.35		-2.14	-2.14	5.45 x10 ¹¹	b
42	Tusnad Nadas	Tusnad Nadas	1.28	4.79	1.66	1.83		-4.42	-4.42	7.19 x10 ¹²	b
43	Lazaresti Nyir	Lazaresti Nyir	7.70	28.84	2.95	3.02		nd	nd	$2.79 \text{ x}10^{10}$	b
44	Sfinta Ana	Sfinta Ana	25.00	93.63	3.16	3.18		nd	nd	$3.20 ext{ x10}^{10}$	b
45	Puturosul	Puturosul	10.11	37.87	2.29	2.32		nd	nd	$7.46 ext{ x10}^{10}$	b
46	Bodoc Perla	Bodoc Perla	0.83	3.11	0.42	0.14		-4.16	-4.16	nd	b
47	Balvanyus	Balvanyus	163.00	610.49	4.48	4.49		nd	nd	$2.52 \text{ x} 10^{10}$	b
48	Slănic	Slănic	39.00	146.07	0.33	0.33		-2.98	-2.98	$7.47 \text{ x} 10^{12}$	b
49	AndreiaȘu	AndrieaȘu	29.80	111.61	0.03	0.02		nd	nd	$6.11 \text{ x} 10^{10}$	b
50	Perla Cașinului	Perla Cașinului	0.79	2.96	1.52	1.79		-2.56	-2.56	$1.75 \text{ x}10^{10}$	b
51	Berca	Berca	32.94	123.37	0.06	0.05		nd	nd	$4.44 \text{ x}10^{10}$	b
52	Covasna	Covasna	3.14	nd	1.15	1.17		nd	nd	nd	с
53	Balvanyo Ş Cave	Balvanyo Ş Cave	47.30	nd	3.10	3.11		nd	nd	nd	с

54	Balvanyo Ş upper pool	Balvanyo ș upper pool	151.00	nd	3.12	3.12	nd	nd	nd	с
55	Balvanyo Ş lower pool	Balvanyo Ş lower pool	712.00	nd	3.19	3.19	nd	nd	nd	с
56	Bixad	Bixad	0.68	nd	1.47	1.78	nd	nd	nd	с
57	Bixad	Bixad	1.30	nd	0.80	0.75	nd	nd	nd	с
58	Tu ș nad Nagy	Tușnad Nagy	6.44	nd	1.20	1.21	nd	nd	nd	с
59	Vâlcele	Vâlcele	35.00	nd	0.60	0.60	nd	nd	nd	с
60	Covasna	Covasna	17.30	nd	1.28	1.28	nd	nd	nd	с
61	BalvanyoŞ	Balvanyoș	1.07	nd	3.04	3.72	nd	nd	nd	с
62	Homorod (polla)	Homorod (polla)	7205.42	nd	0.42	0.42	nd	nd	$7.77 x 10^{6}$	d
63	Homorod (pozzo)	Homorod (pozzo)	13035.63	nd	0.43	0.43	nd	nd	$6.50 \ x10^6$	d
64	Bezna	Bezna	551.01	nd	0.02	0.02	nd	nd	$2.48 \text{ x} 10^{10}$	d
65	Andreiasu	AndreiaȘu	4.37	nd	0.09	0.03	nd	nd	$1.60 \text{ x} 10^{10}$	d
66	Berca 1	Berca 1	2.61	nd	0.13	0.03	nd	nd	4.30 x10 ⁹	d
67	Berca 2	Berca 2	3.20	nd	0.12	0.04	nd	nd	5.87 x10 ⁹	d
68	Berca 3	Berca 3	1.00	nd	0.36	0.13	nd	nd	3.53 x10 ⁹	d
69	Berca 4	Berca 4	0.94	nd	0.38	0.13	nd	nd	8.40 x10 ⁸	d
70	Praid 2	Praid 2	7955.46	nd	0.78	0.78	nd	nd	nd	d
71	Sarmasel	Sarmașel	755.10	nd	0.02	0.02	nd	nd	8.22 x10 ⁹	d
72	Homorod	Homorod Brasov	nd	nd	0.38	nd	nd	nd	nd	е

Table 3 – Isotopic composition of samples.. ³He/⁴He ratios are normalized to the atmosphere and listed as R/Ra values (R=³He/⁴He isotopic ratio of the sample, Ra= atmospheric ³He/⁴He=1.382*10⁻⁶) and corrected for the atmospheric helium contamination (R/Ra_c) considering the ⁴He/²⁰Ne ratio; R/Ra_c = [R/Ra*(*X*-1)]/(*X*-1) where *X* is the ASW-normalized ⁴He/²⁰Ne ratio taken as 0.267 (Holocher et al. 2002). Δ He/Ne = He/Ne_{sample}/He/Ne_{ASW}. $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ expressed in δ ‰ vs. PDB. $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ is recalculated following Deines et al., 1974, Mook et al., 1974 and Zhang et al. 1995. Literature data are listed together calculations carried out within this work. Data sources: a = this work; b = Althaus et al. 2000; c = Vaselli et al. 2002; d = Baciu et al. 2007; e = Etiope et al., 2011. ASW (air Saturated Water) data for comparison marked with italics. bdl = below detection limits; nd = not determined

Geochemistry of dissolved gases from the Eastern Carpathians - Transylvanian Basin boundary

Francesco Italiano¹, Boglárka-Mercedesz Kis^{2,3*}, Călin Baciu³, Artur Ionescu³, Szabolcs Harangi², Palcsu László⁴

We show the results of a study on the volatiles dissolved in mineral waters discharged over a 200 km-long transect along the Rodna-Bârgău area and Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain (Eastern Carpathians, Romania).

Carbon isotopes $(\delta^{13}C_{TDIC})$, He isotopes in the range of 0.38 - 0.99 Ra) and $CO_2^{/3}$ He ratio spanning over four orders of magnitude from MORB-like values to crustal-type values_{AU} coherently indicate the presence of fluids from different crustal sources besides minor, but detectable contributions of mantle/magmatic-derived fluids.

Our investigations show that the wide range of chemical and isotopic composition can be explained in terms of mixings among different gas sources feeding the groundwater and the contemporary occurrence of gas-water interactions like degassing and deposition of carbonates, affecting the circulating waters after their infiltration.

Graphical abstract



Highlights

- We show the results on the volatiles dissolved in mineral waters discharged in Romania
- $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ and He indicate the presence of fluids from different crustal sources besides contributions of mantle-derived fluids
- The compositions are the consequence of mixings and occurrence of secondary processes (degassing and carbonate precipitation)
- Our findings prove that presence of mantle-components may be present also at ~50 km distance away from the volcanic centres