Influence of preparation method of sulfated zirconia nanoparticles for levulinic acid esterification

Margarita Popova^a*, Ágnes Szegedi^b, Hristina Lazarova^a, Momtchil Dimitrov^a, Yuri Kalvachev^c, Genoveva Atanasova^d, Alenka Ristić^e, Nicole Wilde^f, Roger Gläser^f

^aInstitute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Science,

1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

^bResearch Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, 1117 Budapest, Magyar tudósok körútja 2, Hungary

^cInstitute of Mineralogy and Crystallography, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

^dInstitute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia,

Bulgaria

^eNational Institute of Chemistry Slovenia, Hajdrihova 19, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia ^f Universität Leipzig, Institute of Chemical Technology, Linnéstr. 3, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

* Corresponding autor: mpopova@orgchm.bas.bg

Abstract

Zirconia nanomaterials were prepared by hydrothermal synthesis with or without template and were modified by post synthesis method with sulfate groups. The materials were thoroughly characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), N₂ physisorption, UV-Vis spectroscopy, TG analysis and XPS spectroscopy. The catalytic performance of nanosized mesoporous ZrO₂ catalysts and their sulfated modifications was studied in levulinic acid (LA) esterification with ethanol. The sulfate group's dispersion was predetermined by the use of template during the mesoporous zirconia synthesis. A relation between sulfate groups leaching and the applied synthesis conditions (with or without template) of the zirconia nanoparticles was found. Sulfated materials showed significantly higher activity compared to non-sulfated ones. Furthermore, it has been found that the presence of template during the mesoporous ZrO₂ nanoparticles preparation influences significantly the zirconia state and catalytic performance in levulinic acid esterification.

Keywords: ethyl levulinate, esterification, nanosized sulfated zirconia, biomass utilization

1. Introduction

Valorization of lignocellulosic biomass by its transformation into valuable chemicals or liquid fuels has attracted great attention in recent years [1-5]. Levulinic acid (LA) is one of the promising platform molecules obtained from lignocellulosic biomass and used for the production of fuel additives, polymers and fine chemicals [6-15]. LA can be further converted into levulinate esters, γ valerolactone, 1,4-pentanediol and 5-nonanone (via pentanoic acid) as well as diphenolic acid as an intermediate for the synthesis of epoxy resins and poly-carbonates [16-19]. Levulinate esters are also useful compounds that can be used as fuel additives, solvents and plasticizers [19-22]. Therefore, they have the potential to reduce the consumption of petroleum-derived fossil fuels. Levulinate esters are produced by esterification of LA with alcohols utilizing mineral acids such as HCl, H₂SO₄ and H₃PO₄.

However, these mineral acids possess several drawbacks, e.g., high toxicity, corrosiveness and a difficult recovery. Therefore, substitution of homogeneous catalysts by heterogeneous analogues that are easily separable and reusable is an important task. Various solid acids have been used for esterification reactions, e.g., zeolites, Wells-Dawson heteropolyacids and sulfated oxides (SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂, SO₄²⁻/Nb₂O₅, SO₄²⁻/TiO₂, SO₄²⁻/SnO₂) [4, 6, 13, 15,19,20]. The activity of sulfated oxides can be improved by optimizing preparation conditions, which can influence the number of acid sites and the dispersion state of the sulfate species. One possible approach to enhance acid sites dispersion is the introduction of mesopores in the metal oxides via micelle templating [23, 24, 26, 27]. The improvement of acid catalyst stability was achieved by incorporation of a moderate amount of Si (up to 30 mol% Si per Zr) into sulfated ZrO₂.¹⁵ The method of the preparation of sulfated zirconia plays a crucial role. The physico-chemical properties of the obtained sulfated zirconia depend on the ZrO₂ synthesis conditions and method for sulfation. Parvulescu et al. [13]

applied two ways for sulfated zirconia catalyst preparation: (i) colloidal sol-gel technique in the presence of H₂SO₄ or CH₃COOH as peptizing agent and (ii) impregnation of Zr(OH)₄. Arata et al. [28] used zirconium sulfate as a Zr precursor in one-step procedure but the sulfate content cannot be controlled. Tichit et al. also reported one-step sol-gel synthesis of sulfated zirconia catalysts by the method in which sulfuric acid was added to the solution of zirconium alkoxide in n-propanol and then was hydrolyzed [29]. Ward and Ko reported a different way to prepare zirconia sulfate aerogels in a one-step procedure using the sol-gel technique and the zirconium alkoxide precursors [30].

In the present study nanosized ZrO_2 functionalized by sulfate groups were prepared with or without template hydrothermal method and were studied in levulinic acid esterification with ethanol. The influence of the method of the nanosized ZrO_2 preparation on the catalytic activity towards levulinate esters was discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of nanosized ZrO₂ materials

Nanosized ZrO_2 samples were synthesized using template-assisted or template-free precipitation technique followed by hydrothermal treatment step according to a procedure reported by Hudson at al. with some modifications [23]. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTMABr) was used as a template. The surfactant/zirconia molar ratio was 10 and pH value of the gel was adjusted to 11.5 by adding the 25 % NH₄OH. The mixture was then stirred in a thermostatically controlled waterbath at 40°C for 90 min. Materials were hydrothermally treated at 100 and 140°C for 24 h. The final material was filtered, dried at room temperature and later calcined up to 300°C (15 hours at 300°C). The obtained samples are designated as follows: $ZrO_2(x)T$ where x=100 or 140°C is the temperature of hydrothermal synthesis and T is for samples synthesized in the presence of template.

2.2. Functionalization of nanosized ZrO₂ by SO₄²⁻ groups

Nanosized ZrO_2 samples were mixed with 10% H_2SO_4 solution (40 ml/1 g ZrO_2) at room temperature for 2 h. The suspension was dried at ambient conditions and calcined at 500°C for 3 h.

The samples after sulfation are denoted as $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(x)T$ (x-hydrothermal temperature) with template, and $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(x)$ without template. Bulk ZrO_2 (purchased by Sigma Aldrich) was sulfated and calcined at 500°C for comparison to the sulfated ZrO_2 nanoparticles. The sample was denoted as $SO_4^{2-}/bulkZrO_2$.

2.3. Characterization

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO (HTK) high-resolution diffractometer using Cu K α 1 radiation (1.5406 Å) in the 2 θ range from 5° to 60° (100 s per step 0.016°) for the samples and for the sample holder using a fully opened X'Celerator detector.

The UV–Vis spectra were recorded on a Jasco V-650 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance unit.

Nitrogen physisorption measurements were carried out at -196°C using Tristar 3000 Micromeritics volumetric adsorption analyzer. Before the adsorption analysis samples were outgassed under vacuum for 2 h at 200°C in the port of the adsorption analyzer. The BET specific surface area was calculated from adsorption data in the relative pressure range from 0.05 to 0.21. The total pore volume was estimated on the basis of the amount adsorbed at a relative pressure of 0.96. The pore size distributions (PSDs) were calculated from nitrogen adsorption data using an algorithm based on ideas of Barrett, Joyner, and Halenda (BJH). The mesopore diameters were determined as the maxima on the PSD for given samples.

The composition and the chemical properties of the selected samples were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The measurements were carried out on AXIS Supra electron-spectrometer (Kratos Analitycal Ltd.) using monochromatic AlK α radiation with photon energy of 1486.6 eV. The energy calibration was performed by normalizing the C1s line of adsorbed adventitious hydrocarbons to 284.6 eV. The binding energies (BE) were determined with an accuracy of ± 0.1 eV. The chemical composition of the samples was determined monitoring the areas and binding energies of O1s, Zr3d and S2p photoelectron peaks. Using the commercial data-

processing software of Kratos Analytical Ltd. the concentrations of the different chemical elements (in atomic %) were calculated by normalizing the areas of the photoelectron peaks to their relative sensitivity factors.

The thermogravimetric measurements were performed with DTA-TG analyzer SETSYS2400, SETARAM under the following conditions: temperature range 25-1000°C, static air atmosphere, heating rate of 5 °C/min.

FT-IR experiments were performed with Nicolet Compact 640 spectrometer by the self-supported wafer technique with pyridine (Py) (7 mbar) as probe molecule. Self-supported pellets (10x20 mm) were pressed from the samples, placed into the IR cell, heated up to 300°C in high vacuum (10⁻⁶ mbar) with a rate of 10°C/min and dehydrated for 1h. Following 30 min contact with Py at 100°C the sample was evacuated subsequently at 100, 200, 300°C for 30 min. After each evacuation step a spectrum was recorded at IR beam temperature with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The spectra were normalized to 20 mg/cm² weight of the wafers for comparison.

2.3. Catalytic activity measurements

Prior to the catalytic experiments samples were pretreated ex-situ for 1 hour at 140° C. A twonecked round-bottom flask (V = 100 ml) equipped with a septum for sampling and a reflux condenser was used to perform the esterification reaction batchwise with magnetic stirring (300 rpm). In a typical experiment, the reactor was charged with 2 g LA and 0.050 g catalyst (2.5 wt. % catalyst/LA) while the LA/ethanol weight ratio was maintained 1:5. The reactor was placed in an oil bath and heated to the desired reaction temperature (70°C) for 8 h. Samples were taken every hour from the reaction mixture and analyzed using HP-GC with a WCOT FUSED SILICA 25m x 0.25mm COATING CP-SIL 43CB column.



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of zirconia samples - (1) $ZrO_2(140)T$, (2) $ZrO_2(100)T$, (3) $ZrO_2(140)$, (4) $ZrO_2(100)$ (A) and their sulfated analogs thermally treated at 500°C (B).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physico-chemical properties

XRD patterns of the studied catalysts are shown in Fig. 1. ZrO₂ supports (Fig. 1A) represent reflections typical mainly of tetragonal ZrO₂ phase (space group P42/nmc). Less intensive reflections of monoclinic phase (P21/c) are also present. The average crystallite size of the samples (calculated by Scherrer equation) is about 5-7 nm, except for ZrO₂(100)T with very small crystallites of 1-2 nm particles. The presence of template and 100°C of hydrothermal synthesis lead to the formation of smaller ZrO₂ nanoparticles. It seems, that the higher temperature (140°C) favors the crystallization process regardless of the presence/absence of template and ZrO₂ particles with 5-7 nm size are formed. By sulfation procedure and thermal treatment at 500°C, formation of highly crystalline zirconium sulfate and its hydrated form, ZrSO₄·4H₂O can be observed (Fig. 1B).



Fig. 2 N₂ physisorption isotherms and pore size distribution curves of zirconia samples and their sulfated analogs.

The textural parameters from nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of the parent and sulfated zirconia samples are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The isotherms are of IV type (Fig.2), characteristic of mesoporous materials, and similar to those usually found in inorganic oxides synthesized by the hard-templating route [24-26]. By using the template, samples with higher surface area and pore volume can be prepared. It can be observed that with the increase of the temperature of hydrothermal treatment the surface area and pore volume decreased. Sulfation procedure followed by thermal treatment at 500°C resulted in a change of textural properties of all the samples. Specific surface area as well as pore volume decreased after the sulfation procedure for all samples. However, the decrease of surface area is less pronounced for samples prepared after hydrothermal treatment at 100°C.

TEM images of $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)$ (Fig.3, A-B) and $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T$ (Fig.3 C-D) samples after sulfation show the formation of zirconium sulfate with bigger particle size because of



Fig. 3 TEM images of ZrO₂(100)T and ZrO₂(100) samples.



Fig. 4 TG/DTG curves of the sulfated zirconia samples prepared at different hydrothermal temperatures with or without template compared to commercial bulk sulfated zirconia.



Fig. 5 Zr 3d, O 1s and S 2p XPS spectra of SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂ samples - (1) SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(140)(500), (2) SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(100)T(500), (3) SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(140)T(500).

agglomeration process. The preservation of mesoporosity is better expressed in the case of SO_4^{2-} /ZrO₂(100)T (C-D).

TG/DTG plots of sulfated ZrO_2 nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 4 and the calculated weight loss due to sulfate group decomposition is presented in Table 2. The weight loss registered above 600°C is due to the decomposition of SO_4^{2-} groups. All samples show similar weight loss (Table 2) regardless of the temperature of their hydrothermal synthesis and the presence of template during the synthesis procedure. The calculated amount of sulfate groups is about 50-54 wt. %. Two additional temperature steps below 600°C were registered for SO_4^{2-} /bulkZrO₂. They are associated with SO_4^{2-} groups weakly bonded to the bulk ZrO₂.

The nature of the surface environment within the SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2 samples was explored by XPS (Fig.5, Table 3). Zirconium 3d spectra (Fig. 5A) of the samples consist of the doublet $3d_{5/2}$, $3d_{3/2}$ spin–orbit splitting. The spectrum of the $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T(500)$ sample shows an additional peak with low intensity at 182.6 eV. According to Ardizzone [27], the Zr region of the samples after sulfation



Fig. 6 FT-IR spectra of adsorbed pyridine on the sulfated zirconia materials. Self-supported pellets were pretreated at 350°C in vacuum and contacted with Py (7 mbar) for 30 min. Spectra were collected after Py desorption at 100, 200, 300°C for 30 min, shown from top to bottom for each sample.

procedure had 3d5/2 component at 182.8 eV (monoclinic phase) and in the region 183.3–186 eV (tetragonal phase) corresponding to Zr (IV) connected to electron-attractive groups [31-33]. After the sulfation the presence of sulfur into two components at 169 and 170 eV was detected, corresponding to deprotonated sulfated species and protonated ones, respectively. Mainly deprotonated sulfate species connected to the bidentate complex formation are registered in our samples. The asymmetric peak in the O 1s region for all samples (Fig. 5) shows the presence of one main component, which is attributed to oxygen in sulfates (532.4 eV) and a low intensive peak, registered at higher BE (534 eV) and it is due to surface OH groups.

The components of the spectra determined by deconvolution are presented in Table 3. The data in Tables 2 and 3 show that only about one third of the bulk sulfur content (16.9-18.2 wt.%) can be found on the surface of the samples (5.3-5.6). Most of the sulfate groups in the catalysts with lower surface area are inaccessible for the reactant, whereas the sulfate groups on the samples with higher surface area can be found in the mesopores and can act as Brönsted acid centers essential for esterification reaction.

FT-IR spectra of adsorbed pyridine are presented in Fig. 6. FT-IR spectra of sulfated samples contain bands characteristic of both Lewis and Brönsted acid sites (Fig. 6). The protonated Py molecules coordinated to the conjugated base of the solid Brönsted acid (Py-B) exhibit bands at 1537 cm⁻¹ and 1640 cm⁻¹. The Brönsted acidity of the sulfated ZrO₂ samples prepared at 100°C is higher than that of prepared at 140°C. FT-IR data of Py desorbed at higher temperature is characteristic for the strength of acid sites of the samples. Integrated intensity data for Py desorbed at 100, 200 and 300°C can be found in Table 4. It can be seen that the area of Brönsted acid band and the calculated B/L ratio are higher for SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(100)T and SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(100). The calculated of B/L ratio increase at higher temperature but this effect is less pronounced for the SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(100)T and SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂(100) samples, indicating the presence of high concentration of strong Brönsted acid sites (Table 4).



Fig. 7 Catalytic activity of sulfated zirconia catalysts in levulinic acid esterification with ethanol. Reaction conditions: reaction temperature - 70°C; 2 g LA and 0.050 g catalyst (2.5 wt. % catalyst/LA); LA/ethanol weight ratio - 1:5.

3.2. Catalytic activity for LA esterification with ethanol

The catalytic activity of the sulfated and none sulfated mesoporous ZrO₂ nanoparticles was studied in esterification of levulinic acid with ethanol. The only registered products are ethyl levulinate and water. Non-sulfated ZrO₂ samples show very low catalytic activity (around 1.5 - 2 % after 5 h of reaction) (not shown). The sulfation treatment of the Zr-containing samples leads to a significant increase in the catalytic activity (Fig. 7). The highest catalytic activity was observed for sulfated ZrO₂ sample, obtained by hydrothermal synthesis at 100°C with template. The result could be attributed to the higher concentration of strong acid sites (Table 3) and the higher surface area (Table 2) of this sample assuring the high zirconia dispersion even at high calcination temperature (500°C) after sulfation procedure. The obtained sulfated ZrO₂ samples show much higher catalytic activity than the sulfated bulk ZrO₂ sample. The hydrothermal synthesis at a lower temperature (100°C) in the presence of template favors the formation of smaller ZrO₂ particles with size of 1-2 nm, which has additional positive effect on the dispersion of sulfate groups on the mesoporous ZrO₂. Despite the equal particles size (5-6 nm) of sulfated ZrO₂ nanoparticles, synthesized at 140°C with or without template, the SO_4^2 -/ZrO₂(140)T shows higher catalytic activity because of its higher concentration of Brönsted acid sites and higher surface area compared to its analog, synthesized without template. It seems that both particle size and surface area have significant effect on the formation of acid sites and therefore on their activity in levulinic acid esterification.

With the $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T$ catalyst more than 80 % of LA conversion can be achieved, a higher value than the one obtained by similar type of catalysts in the open literature [6, 15, 34, 35]. The SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2 sample [6] shows 9 % conversion of LA to ethyl levulinate at the same reaction conditions (70°C, 8 h reaction time, ethanol/LA molar ratio of 5:1, 2.5 wt.% of catalyst). The sulfated Si doped ZrO₂ sample shows much higher catalytic activity even at the applied reaction conditions (T= 70°C, LA:EtOH = 1:10, 10.0 wt.% of catalyst), where much higher amount of catalyst was applied. The higher catalytic activity of our $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T$ sample can be explained by the high surface area, which can assure good accessibility of reactant molecules to the active

sites. The main problem of the sulfated zirconia samples is their reusability, which is of key importance for practical applications. A negligible activity decrease was registered after 3 reaction cycles on the $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T$ sample – 86 % after 8 h reaction time with fresh catalyst, compared to 81 % after 5 h reaction time in 3 reaction cycles. The less pronounced leaching of sulfate groups registered on the $SO_4^{2-}/ZrO_2(100)T$ sample after the catalytic experiment, registered by TG analysis (Table 2), compared to the other studied samples could be a possible reason for its stable catalytic activity. The use of template for the synthesis of mesoporous ZrO_2 nanoparticles favors the formation of active sites assuring the excellent catalytic performance of the catalysts in the studied reaction.

4. Conclusions

Zirconia nanomaterials were prepared by hydrothermal method at two reaction temperatures (100 and 140°C) with or without template assistance and were modified by post synthesis method with sulfate groups. The synthesis temperature and the presence of template are of great importance for the preparation of nanosised ZrO₂ with high surface area and better catalytic performance in esterification of levulinic acid with ethanol. Sulfated ZrO₂ catalyst obtained by template-assisted hydrothermal synthesis at 100°C followed by sulfation and calcination at 500°C showed the highest catalytic activity among all investigated catalyst amounting to 86 % of ethyl levulinate after 8 h of reaction time. The obtained nanosized ZrO₂ materials are promising catalysts for the heterogeneous esterification of levulinic acid.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the COST action FP 1306, ДКОСТ 01/21 and by the Bulgarian-Hungarian Inter-Academic Exchange Agreement is greatly acknowledged.

References

- [1] R. Luque, J. Campelo and J. Clark, Handbook of biofuels production: Processes and technologies, (Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy No. 15, 2013).
- [2] Geilen FMA, Engendahl B, Harwardt A, Marquardt W, Klankermayer J, Leitner W (2010)Angew. Chem Int Ed 49:5510.
- [3] Lin CSK, Pfaltzgraff LA, Herrero-Davila L, Mubofu EB, Abderrahim S, Clark JH, Koutinas
- A, Kopsahelis N, Stamatelatou K, Dickson F, Thankappan S, Mohamed Z, Brocklesby R, Luque R, (2013) Energy Environ Sci 6:426.
- [4] Corma A, Iborra S, Velty A (2007) Chem Rev 107:2411–2502.
- [5] Chheda JN, Huber GW, Dumesic JA (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:7164–7183.
- [6] Fernandes DR, Rocha AS, Mai EF, Mota CJA, Teixeira da Silva V (2012) Appl Catal A 425–426:199–204.
- [7] Clark JH, Budarin V, Dugmore Th, Luque R (2008) Catal Commun 9:1709.
- [8] Chen X-R, Ju Y-H, Mou C-Y (2007) J Phys Chem C 111:18731.
- [9] Peterson AA, Vogel F, Lachance RP, Fröling M, Antal Jr.MJ, Tester JW (2008) Energy Environ Sci 1:32.
- [10] Zakzeski J, Bruijnincx PCA, Jongerius AL, Weckhuysen BM, Chem Rev 110 (2010) 3552– 3599.
- [11] Lange J-P, Price R, Ayoub PM, Louis J, Petrus L, Clarke L, Gosselink H, (2010) Angew Chem Int Ed 49:4479–4483.
- [12] Kuwahara Y, Fujitani T, Yamashita H (2014) Catal Today 237:18.
- [13] Parvulescu V, Coman S, Grange P, Parvulescu VI (1999) Appl. Catal. A 176:27.
- [14] Melero JA, Morales G, Iglesias J, Paniagua M, Hernández B, Penedo S (2013) Appl Catal A466:116.
- [15] Kuwahara Y, Kaburagi W, Nemoto K (2014) Appl Catal A 476:186–196.
- [16] Yadav GD, Yadav AR, (2014) Chemical Eng J 243:556.

- [17] Zhang J, Wu ShB, Li B, Zhang HD (2012) ChemCatChem 4(9):1230.
- [18] Bezergianni S, Dimitriadis A (2013) Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 21:110.
- [19] Patil CR, Niphadkar PS, Bokade VV, Joshi PN (2014) Catal Commun 43:188.
- [20] Pasquale G, Vázquez P, Romanelli G, Baronetti G (2012) Catal Commun 18:115.
- [21] Dutta S, De S, Saha B (2013) Biomass and bioenergy 55:355-369.
- [22] Pileidis FD, Tabassum M, Coutts S, Titirici M-M, Chinese (2014) J Catal 35 (6):929-936.
- [23] Trens Ph, Hudson MJ, Denoyel R (1998) J Mater Chem 8(9):2147.
- [24] Serrano DP, Calleja G, Pizarro P, Gálvez P (2014) International journal of hydrogen energy 39:4812.
- [25] Rumplecker A, Kleitz F, Li W, Salabas EL, Schüth F (2007) Chem Mater 19:485-96.)
- [26] Gu D, Schüth F (2014) Chem Soc Rev 43:313.
- [27] Ardizzone S, Bianchi CL, Grassi E (1998) Coll Surf A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 135:41.
- [28] Arata K, Hino M, Yamagata N (1990) Bull. Chem Soc Jpn 63:244.
- [29] Tichit D, El Alami D, Figueras F (1996) J Catal 163:18.
- [30] Ward DA, Ko EI, (1994) J Catal 150:18.
- [31] Clearfield A, Serrette GPD, Khazi-Syed AH (1994) Catal Today 20:295.
- [32] Ecormier MA, Wilson K, Lee AF (2003) J Catal 215:57.
- [33] Hino M, Kurashige M, Matsuhashi H, Arata K (2006) Thermochimica Acta 441:35.
- [34] Cirujano FG, Corma A, Llabrés i Xamena FX, (2015) Chemical Engineering Science 124(3):52.
- [35] Nandiwale KY, Yadava SK, Bokade VV (2014) Journal of Energy Chemistry 23 (4):535.

Samples	Crystallite size ^a	\mathbf{S}_{BET}	Pore Volume	PD^b
	(nm)	(m^{2}/g)	(cm^3/g)	(nm)
ZrO ₂ (100)	5	190	0.30	5.4
ZrO ₂ (100)T	1-2	263	0.44	5.2
ZrO ₂ (140)	6-7	150	0.30	5.3
ZrO ₂ (140)T	6-7	196	0.36	5.6

Table 1 Physico-chemical properties of the studied mesoporous ZrO₂ nanoparticles

^a derived from XRD by applying Scherrer equation; ^b average pore diameter determined by BJH

method.

Table 2 Physico-chemical properties of the mesoporous sulfated ZrO₂ nanoparticles

Samples	S_{BET} (m^2/g)	Pore Volume (cm ³ /g)	PD ^a (nm)	SO4 ²⁻ cont. ^b (wt.%)	$\frac{SO4^{2-}}{cont./S_{BE}}$	S cont ^c , (wt.%)	SO4 ²⁻ cont. ^d (wt.%)
SO_4^{2-} /ZrO ₂ (100)	120	0.30	5.3	35.0	0.44	11.7	43.5
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (100)T	252	0.40	5.2	35.8	0.21	12.0	49.5
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (140)	83	0.25	5.3	33.7	0.61	11.2	43.6
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO2(140)T	115	0.30	5.5	36.4	0.47	12.1	48.2
SO ₄ ²⁻ /bulkZrO ₂	5	-	-	33.6	10.1	11.2	24.8

^a average pore diameter determined by BJH method; ^b determined by TG method of the fresh catalysts; ^c recalculated from SO₄²⁻ content determined by TG analysis;^d determined by TG method of the spent catalysts.

Table 3 XPS parameters of the studied SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂ samples

	7		S				Components of Zr3d peak		
Samples	Zr	(-1, 0)	$(\cdot , 0 \rangle$	((0/)	S/Zr	O/Zr	Zr 3d	Zr 3d	Zr 3d
	(at. %)	(at. %)	(at.%)	(wt.%)			(182.6 eV)	(184.2 eV)	(186.3 eV)
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (100)T	8.3	75.1	16.6	5.3	2.0	9.0	15.3	84.7	0
SO ₄ ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (140)T	7.9	74.6	17.5	5.6	2.2	9.4	0	100	0
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO2(140)	7.5	75.2	17.3	5.5	2.3	10.0	0	63.5	36.5

Samples	Py des. temperature/° C	Area of Lewis acid band (1447 cm ⁻¹)	Area of Brönsted acid band (1538 cm ⁻¹)	B/L
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (140)	100	0.023	0.417	18.1
	200	0.010	0.336	33.6
	300	0.009	0.254	28.2
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO2(140)T	100	0.045	0.549	12.2
	200	0.018	0.475	26.4
	300	0.005	0.380	76.0
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (100)	100	0.107	0.604	5.6
	200	0.076	0.509	6.7
	300	0.062	0.402	6.5
SO4 ²⁻ /ZrO ₂ (100)T	100	0.098	0.622	6.4
	200	0.053	0.511	9.6
	300	0.041	0.404	9.9

Table 4 Acidity of sulfated samples determined by FT-IR spectroscopy of adsorbed pyridine