RESOLVABILITY IN C.C.C. GENERIC EXTENSIONS

LAJOS SOUKUP AND ADRIENNE STANLEY

Abstract. Every crowded space X is ω-resolvable in the c.c.c generic extension $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(|X|,2)}$ of the ground model.

We investigate what we can say about λ-resolvability in c.c.c-generic extensions for $\lambda > \omega$?

A topological space is *monotonically* ω_1*-resolvable* if there is a function $f : X \to \omega_1$ such that

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \geq \alpha\} \subset^{dense} X$$

for each $\alpha < \omega_1$.

We show that given a T_1 space X the following statements are equivalent:

(1) X is ω_1-resolvable in some c.c.c-generic extension,
(2) X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable.
(3) X is ω_1-resolvable in the Cohen-generic extension $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$.

We investigate which spaces are monotonically ω_1-resolvable. We show that if a topological space X is c.c.c, and $\omega_1 \leq \Delta(X) \leq |X| < \omega_\omega$, where $\Delta(X) = \min\{|G| : G \neq \emptyset \text{ open}\}$, then X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable.

On the other hand, it is also consistent, modulo the existence of a measurable cardinal, that there is a space Y with $|Y| = \Delta(Y) = \aleph_\omega$ which is not monotonically ω_1-resolvable.

The characterization of ω_1-resolvability in c.c.c generic extension raises the following question: is it true that crowded spaces from the ground model are ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$?

We show that (i) if $V = L$ then every crowded c.c.c. space X is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$, (ii) if there is no weakly inaccessible cardinals, then every crowded space X is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$.

On the other hand, it is also consistent, modulo a measurable cardinals, that there is a crowded space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \omega_1$ such that X remains irresolvable after adding a single Cohen real.

Date: February 2, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A35, 03E35, 54A25.

Key words and phrases. resolvable, monotonically ω_1-resolvable, measurable cardinal.

The second author was supported by Fulbright Scholar Program.

The preparation of this paper was supported by OTKA grant no. K113047.

1. Introduction

Notion of resolvability was introduced and studied first by E. Hewitt, [4], in 1943. A topological space X is *κ-resolvable* if it can be partitioned into κ many dense subspaces. X is *resolvable* iff it is 2-resolvable, and *irresolvable* otherwise. Irresolvable spaces with many interesting extra properties were constructed, but there are no "absolute" examples for crowded irresolvable spaces, because if X is a crowded space, then clearly

$$V^{\mathrm{Fn}(|X|,2)} \models X \text{ is } \omega\text{-resolvable.}$$

In this paper we investigate what we can say about λ-resolvability in c.c.c-generic extensions for $\lambda > \omega$?

To characterize spaces which are ω_1-resolvable in some c.c.c-generic extension we introduce the notion of monotonically κ-resolvable.

Definition 1.1. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A topological space X is *monotonically κ-resolvable*[†] if there is a function $f : X \to \kappa$ such that

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \geq \alpha\} \subset^{dense} X$$

for each $\alpha < \kappa$. We will say that f *witnesses* that X is monotonically κ-resolvable.

Clearly a space X is monotonically κ-resolvable iff X has a partition $\{X_\zeta : \zeta < \kappa\}$ of X such that

$$\operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup\{X_\zeta : \zeta < \xi\}\right) = \emptyset$$

for all $\xi < \kappa$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a T_1 topological space. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) X is ω_1-resolvable in some c.c.c-generic extension,
(2) X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable,
(3) X is ω_1-resolvable in the Cohen generic extension $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$.

Which spaces are monotonically ω_1-resolvable?

Theorem 1.3. *If a topological space X is c.c.c, and $\omega_1 \leq \Delta(X) \leq |X| < \omega_\omega$, then X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable.*

Theorem 1.4. *If κ is a measurable cardinal, then there is a space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \kappa$ which is not monotonically ω_1-resolvable.*

[†]In [13] a "monotonically ω-resolvable" space is called "almost-ω-resolvable". However, in [12] a space X is *almost-κ-resolvable* if it contains a family of κ dense sets with pairwise nowhere dense intersections.

What about spaces of cardinality ω_ω?

Theorem 1.5. *It is consistent, modulo the existence of a measurable cardinals, that there is a space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \omega_\omega$ which is not monotonically ω_1-resolvable.*

Do we really need to add $|X|$-many Cohen reals to make X resolvable?

Theorem 1.6. *(1) It is consistent, modulo a measurable cardinal, that there is a crowded space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \omega_1$ (so X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable) such that*

$$V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)} \models \text{“}X \text{ is irresolvable.”}$$

(2) If $V = L$, then every crowded space with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \mathrm{cf}(|X|)$ is monotonically ω-resolvable, and so it is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$.
(3) If the cardinality of a crowded c.c.c space X is less than the first weakly inaccessible cardinal, then X is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ §.

The almost resolvability of c.c.c spaces was investigated by Pavlov in [11]: on page 53 Pavlov writes that – mimicked Malykhin's method by using Ulam matrices – he showed that every crowed ccc space of cardinality ω_1 is almost resolvable. In [3, Theorem 2.22] a stronger result was proved: a crowded c.c.c. space is almost resolvable, if its cardinality is less than the first weakly inaccessible cardinal. Theorem 1.6(2) is a further improvement of this result because monotonically ω-resolvability implies almost resolvability.

In [1, 3.12 Problem (2)] the authors ask *if every space with countable cellularity and cardinality less than the first inaccessible non-countable cardinal almost-ω-resolvable?*. As we will see Theorem 1.6 (3) gives a positive answer to a weakening of this question.

2. Characterization of ω_1-resolvability in c.c.c extensions.

Instead of Theorem 1.2 we prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that X is a crowded topological space and κ is an infinite cardinal. If $\kappa = \mathrm{cf}\left(\left[\kappa\right]^\omega, \subset\right)$ then following statements are equivalent.*

(1) X is κ-resolvable in some c.c.c-generic extension,
(2) there is a function $h : X \to \left[\kappa\right]^\omega$ such that $\bigcup h''U = \kappa$ for each non-empty open $U \subset X$.
(3) X is κ-resolvable in the Cohen-generic extension $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\kappa,2)}$.

§ ω_1 is not a misprint here

We say that a function $g : X \to \kappa$ *witnesses* that X is κ-resolvable if

$$\{x \in X : g(x) = \alpha\} \subset^{dense} X$$

for each $\alpha < \kappa$.

Proof. First we show that (1) $\to$ (2). Assume that $\mathbb{P}$ is a c.c.c. poset such that there is a function $g \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ witnessing the κ-resolvability of X.

For each $x \in X$ define

$$h(x) = \{\alpha < \kappa : \exists p^x_\alpha \in \mathbb{P}(p^x_\alpha \Vdash \dot{g}(\check{x}) = \check{\alpha})\}.$$

Since the conditions $\{p^x_\alpha : \alpha \in h(x)\}$ are pairwise incomparable and $\mathbb{P}$ is c.c.c , the set $h(x)$ is countable.

We now show that the function h defined above satisfies (2). Fix $\alpha < \kappa$ and U an open subset of X. We need to show that there exists $x \in U$ such that $\alpha \in h(x)$. Since

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models g^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \subset^{dense} X$$

it follows that there is $x \in U$ such that

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models g(x) = \alpha.$$

Thus, there exists $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$p \Vdash \text{``}\dot{g}(\check{x}) = \check{\alpha}.\text{''}$$

Then $\alpha \in h(x)$.

Next we now show that (2) $\to$ (3). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a cofinal subset of $\left[\kappa\right]^\omega$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$.

Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{A}$, and for each $x \in X$ pick

$$h^*(x) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } h^*(x) \supset \bigcup_{\alpha \in h(x)} A_\alpha.$$

Then for all non-empty open U

$$\{h^*(x) : x \in U\} \text{ is cofinal in } \left[\kappa\right]^\omega. \tag{+}$$

Next we note that forcing with $\mathrm{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$ is the same as forcing with $\mathrm{Fn}(\kappa, \omega)$. Further, $\mathrm{Fn}(\kappa, \omega)$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{P} = \{p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\mathcal{A}, \kappa) \ : \ \forall A \in \mathrm{dom}(p)\ p(A) \in A\}.$$

Indeed, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ fix a bijection $\rho_A : \omega \to A$, and then for $q \in \mathrm{Fn}(\kappa, \omega)$ define $\varphi(q) \in \mathbb{P}$ as follows:

(i) $\mathrm{dom}(\varphi(q)) = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(q)\}$, and
(ii) $\varphi(q)(A_\alpha) = \rho_{A_\alpha}(q(\alpha))$ for $A_\alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(\varphi(q))$.

Then φ is clearly an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Fn}(\kappa,\omega)$ and $\mathbb{P}$.

We will proceed using $\mathbb{P}$.

Let G be a $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter, and let $g = \bigcup G$. Then $g \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $g : \mathcal{A} \to \kappa$ such that $g(A) \in A$.

We claim that $f = g \circ h^*$ witnesses that X is κ-resolvable.

Fix $\alpha < \kappa$ and an open $U \subset X$.

Let $q \in \mathbb{P}$ be arbitrary. Then, by (+), there is $x \in U$ such that

$$\{\alpha\} \cup \bigcup dom(q) \subsetneq h^*(x).$$

Then $h^*(x) \notin \mathrm{dom}(q)$, and $\alpha \in h^*(x)$, so

$$p = q \cup \{\langle h^*(x), \alpha\rangle\} \in \mathbb{P}_1,$$

and

$$p \Vdash (g \circ h^*)(\check{x}) = \check{\alpha}.$$

Thus, by genericity, there is $p \in G$ and $x \in U$ such that

$$p \Vdash (g \dot{\circ} h^*(\check{x}) = \check{\alpha}).$$

Hence

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models X \text{ is } \kappa\text{-resolvable}.$$

Finally (3) $\to$ (1) is trivial. $\square$

Problem 2.2. *Can we drop the assumption $\kappa = \mathrm{cf}(\left[\kappa\right]^{\omega}, \subset)$ from Theorem 2.1?*

3. On monotonically ω_1-resolvability of c.c.c spaces

We start with an easy observation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$. If every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is monotonically κ-resolvable, then so is $\overline{\cup\mathcal{B}}$. So every space contains a greatest monotonically κ-resolvable subspace (that subspace can be empty, of course).*

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a topological space. Let Z be a dense subset of X. If Z is monotonically κ-resolvable, then X is also monotonically κ-resolvable.*

Before proving Theorem 1.3 we prove the following "stepping-down" theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *If X is a κ-c.c., monotonically κ^+-resolvable space, then X is monotonically κ-resolvable as well.*

The proof uses ideas from [8].

Proof. Since an open subspace of a κ-c.c., monotonically κ^+-resolvable space is also κ-c.c. and monotonically κ^+-resolvable, by Lemma 3.1 it is enough to show that

($*$) every κ-c.c., monotonically κ^+-resolvable space X has a monotonically κ-resolvable non-empty open subset.

Ulam [14] proved that there is a "matrix"

$$\langle M_{\alpha,\zeta} : \alpha < \kappa^+, \zeta < \kappa \rangle \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$$

such that

(i) $M_{\alpha,\xi} \cap M_{\beta,\xi} = \emptyset$ for $\{\alpha,\beta\} \in [\kappa^+]^2$ and $\xi \in \kappa$,
(ii) $M_{\alpha,\xi} \cap M_{\alpha,\zeta} = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \in \kappa^+$ and $\{\xi,\zeta\} \in [\kappa]^2$,
(iii) and $|M^-_\alpha| \le \kappa$, where $M^-_\alpha = \kappa^+ \setminus \bigcup_{\zeta<\kappa} M_{\alpha,\zeta}$ for $\alpha < \kappa^+$.

Fix a partition $\{Y_\eta : \eta < \kappa^+\}$ witnessing that X is monotonically κ^+-resolvable.

Let

$$Z_{\alpha,\zeta} = \bigcup \{Y_\eta : \eta \in M_{\alpha,\zeta}\}$$

for $\alpha < \kappa^+$ and $\zeta < \kappa$, and let

$$Z_\alpha = \bigcup_{\zeta<\kappa} Z_{\alpha,\zeta}.$$

Since $Z_\alpha = \bigcup\{Y_\eta : \eta \in \kappa^+ \setminus M^-_\alpha\}$, assumption (iii) implies that every Z_α is dense in X.

Case 1. There is $\alpha < \kappa^+$ such that for all $\zeta < \kappa$

$$\bigcup_{\zeta\le\xi} Z_{\alpha,\xi} \subset^{dense} Z_\alpha.$$

Then $(Z_{\alpha,\zeta})_{\zeta<\kappa}$ witnesses Z_α is monotonically κ-resolvable and so by corollary 3.2 , X is also monotonically κ-resolvable.

Case 2. For all $\alpha < \kappa^+$ there is $\zeta_\alpha < \kappa$ and there is an non-empty open set $U_\alpha \in \tau_X$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\zeta_\alpha\le\xi} Z_{\alpha,\xi} \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset. \tag{†}$$

Then there is a set $I \in [\kappa^+]^{\kappa^+}$ and there is an ordinal $\zeta < \kappa$ such that $\zeta_\alpha = \zeta$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

Fix an arbitrary $K \in [I]^\kappa$. By (iii) we can find $\rho < \kappa^+$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in K} M^-_\alpha \subset \rho.$$

Let $Z = \bigcup_{\rho<\eta} Y_\eta$. Then $Z \subset^{dense} X$ and $Z \subset Z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in K$.

Claim. *If $L \in \left[K\right]^{\kappa}$ then*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in L} U_\alpha \cap Z = \emptyset.$$

Proof of the Claim. Assume on the contrary that $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in L} U_\alpha \cap Z$. Then $z \in Y_\eta$ for some $\rho < \eta$.

Let $\alpha \in L$. Then $\eta \in \kappa^+ \setminus \rho \subset \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} M_{\alpha,\xi}$. Pick $\xi_\alpha < \kappa$ with $\eta \in M_{\alpha,\xi_\alpha}$. Then $Y_\eta \subset Z_{\alpha,\xi_\alpha}$, so $Z_{\alpha,\xi_\alpha} \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, so $\xi_\alpha < \zeta_\alpha = \zeta$ by (†).

Since $\zeta < \kappa = |L|$, there are $\alpha \neq \beta \in \left[L\right]^2$ such that $\xi_\alpha = \xi_\beta$. Thus $\eta \in M_{\alpha,\xi_\alpha} \cap M_{\beta,\xi_\beta}$ which contradicts (i) because $\xi_\alpha = \xi_\beta$. □

Fix an enumeration $K = \{\chi_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$, and let $V_\zeta = \bigcup_{\zeta<\xi} U_{\chi_\xi}$. Then the sequence $\langle V_\zeta : \zeta < \kappa\rangle$ is decreasing and

$$\bigcap_{\zeta<\kappa} V_\zeta \cap Z = \emptyset$$

by the Claim.

Since X is κ-c.c. there is $\xi < \kappa$ such that $\overline{V_\zeta} = \overline{V_\xi}$ for all $\xi < \zeta < \kappa$.

We can assume that $\xi = 0$. Let

$$T_\zeta = \begin{cases} V_0 \setminus Z & \text{if } \zeta = 0, \\ \\ ((\bigcap_{\xi<\zeta} V_\xi) \setminus V_\zeta) \cap Z & \text{if } \zeta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{\xi<\zeta} T_\zeta \supset V_\xi \cap Z \subset^{dense} V.$$

thus the partition $\{T_\zeta : \zeta < \kappa\}$ witnesses that V is monotonically κ-resolvable. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y \in \tau_X : |Y| = \Delta(Y)\}$.

Then $\bigcup \mathcal{Y}$ is dense in X, and every open subset of every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is also in $\mathcal{Y}$. Thus by lemma 3.1 it is enough to prove that a c.c.c. space Y with $\omega_1 \leq |Y| = \Delta(Y) < \omega_\omega$ is monotonically ω_1-resolvable.

Let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\omega_n = |Y|$. Clearly, Y is monotonically ω_n-resolvable as $|Y| = \Delta(Y) = \omega_n$. Since Y is c.c.c. then Y is ω_{n-1}-c.c.. By theorem 3.3, Y is monotonically ω_{n-1}-resolvable. By continually applying theorem 3.3 we conclude that Y is monotonically ω_1-resolvable. □

Problem 3.4. *Is it true that every crowded c.c.c space with $\Delta(X) \geq \omega_1$ is monotonically ω_1-resolvable?*

4. Spaces which are not monotonically ω_1-resolvable.

If X is a topological space, and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(D)$, write

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} = \{\overline{D} : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a topological space. Assume that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is point-countable for each point-countable family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then X is not contain any monotonically ω_1-resolvable subspace Y.*

Proof. Assume that $\{Y_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1\}$ is a partition of Y. Let $D_\xi = \bigcup\{Y_\zeta : \xi < \zeta\}$ for $\xi < \omega_1$. Then the family $\mathcal{D} = \{D_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ is point-countable. So $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is also point-countable. So D_ξ is not dense in Y for all but countably many ξ. So the partition $\{Y_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1\}$ does not witness that Y is monotonically ω_1-resolvable. $\square$

To prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 we should recall some definitions and results from [6] and [5].

Definition 4.2 ([6, Definition 3.1])**.** Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on κ. Let T be the tree $\kappa^{<\omega}$. A topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined on T by

$$\tau_{\mathcal{F}} = \big\{V \subset T : \forall t \in V \{\alpha \in \kappa : t^\frown\alpha \in V\} \in \mathcal{F}\big\},$$

and the space $\langle T, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle$ is denoted by $X(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a κ-complete non-principal ultrafilter on κ.

The space $X = X(\mathcal{U})$ is monotonically normal by [6, Theorem 3.1].

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ is λ-*descendingly complete* if $\bigcap\{U_\zeta : \zeta < \lambda\} \neq \emptyset$ for each decreasing sequence $\{U_\zeta : \zeta < \lambda\} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

A σ-complete ultrafilter is clearly ω-descendingly-complete. In the proof of [6, Theorem 3.5] the authors prove Lemma 3.6 which claims that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is point-countable for each point-countable family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X(\mathcal{F}))$ provided that $\mathcal{F}$ is a ω-descendingly complete ultrafilter. So $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is point-countable for each point-countable family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, and so X is not monotonically ω_1-resolvable by Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Instead of Theorem 1.5 we prove the following theorem which is a slight improvement of [5, Theorem 5].

Theorem 4.3. *If it is consistent that there is a measurable cardinal, then it is also consistent that there is an ω-resolvable monotonically normal space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \omega_\omega$ such that if a family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is point-countable, then the family $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} = \{\overline{D} : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is also point* *countable. Hence X does not contain any monotonically ω_1-resolvable subspace.*

Proof. In [5, page 665] the authors write that "*starting from one measurable, Woodin (*[15]*) constructed a model in which $\aleph_\omega$ carries an ω_1-descendingly complete uniform ultrafilter. Woodin's model V_1 can be embedded into a bigger ZFC model V_2 so that the pair of models $(V1, V_2)$ with $\kappa = \aleph_\omega$ satisfies the two models situation*", i.e.

(1) $\omega_1^{V_1} = \omega_1^{V_2}$,
(2) there is a countable subset A of ω_ω in V_2 such that no $B \in V_1$ of cardinality $< \omega_\omega$ covers A;
(3) for the filter $\mathcal{G}$ on ω_ω defined in V_2 by $B \in \mathcal{G}$ iff $A - B$ is finite, we have $\mathcal{G} \cap V_1 \in V_1$.

(the "two model situation" is defined in [5, Theorem 4.5]).

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \cap V_1$ and consider the space $X = X(\mathcal{F})$. As it was observed in [6], spaces obtained as $X(\mathcal{H})$ from some filter $\mathcal{H}$ are monotonically normal and ω-resolvable.

In [5, Theorem 4.1] Juhász and Magidor showed that the space $X(\mathcal{F})$ is actually hereditarily ω_1-irresolvable. They proved the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2 from [5]**.** For any $D \subset X(F)$ and $t \in \overline{D}$ there is a finite sequence s of members of A such that $t^\frown s \in D$.

Using this lemma we show that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is point-countable for each point-countable family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, and so X is not monotonically ω_1-resolvable by Lemma 4.1.

Indeed, let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an uncountable family such that $t \in \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \overline{D}$. Then, by [5, Lemma 4.3], for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we can pick a finite sequence s_D of members of A such that $t^\frown s_D \in D$. Since there are only countable many finite sequences of elements of A there is s such that $s_D = s$ for uncountably many $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $t^\frown s$ is in uncountably many elements of $\mathcal{D}$, so $\mathcal{D}$ is not point-countable.

So we proved that no subspace of X is monotonically ω_1-resolvable. $\square$

5. ω-resolvability after adding a single Cohen reals

Before proving Theorem 1.6 we need some preparation.

The notion of almost resolvability was introduced by Bolstein ([2]) in 1973: a topological space is *almost-resolvable* if it is a countable union of sets with empty interiors. The notion of monotonically ω-resolvability was first considered in [13] under the name almost-ω-resolvability.

Clearly almost ω-resolvable (i.e. monotonically ω-resolvable) spaces are almost resolvable.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a crowded topological space.*
(1) If X is monotonically ω-resolvable, then X is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$.
(2) If X is resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$, then X is almost-resolvable.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. (1) Assume that the function $f : X \to \omega$ witnesses the monotonically ω-resolvability of X.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is the V-generic filter in $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega, \omega)$, and $g = \bigcup \mathcal{G}$, then the function $h = g \circ f$ witnesses that X is ω-resolvable.

We need to show that $\{y \in X : (g \circ f)(y) = n\}$ is dense in X

Indeed, let $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega, \omega)$, $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau_X$. Since $f : X \to \omega$ witnesses the monotonically ω-resolvability of X there is $y \in U$ such that

$$f(y) > \max \mathrm{dom}(p).$$

Let

$$q = p \cup \{\langle f(y), n\rangle\}.$$

Then $q \leq p$ and

$$g \Vdash (g \circ f)(y) = n.$$

So we proved that $g \circ f$ witnesses that X is ω-resolvable in the generic extension.

(2) Assume

$$V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)} \models \text{“}X \text{ has a partition } \{D_0, D_1\} \text{ into dense subsets.”}$$

For all $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega, 2)$ and $i < 2$ let

$$D_i^p = \{x \in X : p \Vdash x \in \dot{D}_i\}.$$

Then $X = \bigcup\{D_i^p : p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega, 2), i < 2\}$, and we claim that $\operatorname{int} D_i^p = \emptyset$ for each $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega, 2)$, and $i < 2$.

Indeed, fix p and i and let U be an arbitrary non-empty open subset. Then $p \Vdash U \cap \dot{D}_{1-i} \neq \emptyset$, so there is $q \leq p$ and $y \in U$ such that $q \Vdash y \in \dot{D}_{1-i}$. Then $q \Vdash y \notin \dot{D}_i$, so $p \not\Vdash y \in \dot{D}_i$, and so $y \notin D_i^p$. Thus $U \not\subset D_i^p$. Since U was arbitrary, we proved $\operatorname{int} D_i^p = \emptyset$. □

After this preparation we can prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. (1) Kunen [7] proved that it is consistent, modulo a measurable cardinal, that there is a maximal independent family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ which is also σ-independent.

In [9, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] the authors proved that if there is a maximal independent family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ which is also σ-independent, then there is a Baire space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \omega_1$ such that every open subspace of X is irresolvable, i.e. the space X is *OHI*.

It is well-known that a crowded OHI Baire space X is not almost resolvable: if $X = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n$, then $\operatorname{int} X_n \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \in \omega$.

Indeed, if $\operatorname{int} X_n = \emptyset$, then $X \setminus X_n$ is dense, so $U_n = \operatorname{int}(X \setminus X_n)$ is dense in X because every open subset of X is irresolvable. Thus $\bigcap_{n\in\omega} U_n \neq \emptyset$ because X is Baire. However

$$\bigcap_{n\in\omega} U_n \subset \bigcap_{n\in\omega}(X \setminus X_n) = X \setminus \bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n = \emptyset,$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus X is not almost resolvable, so it is not ω-resolvable in the model $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$ by Lemma 5.1(2).

(2) In [10] the authors proved that if $V = L$, then there are no crowded Baire irresolvable spaces. Hence, by [13], if $V = L$, then every crowded space X is almost-ω-resolvable (i.e. monotonically ω-resolvable).

So these spaces are ω-resolvable in the model $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$ by Lemma 5.1(1). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6(3). Let X be a crowded c.c.c space.

We can assume that $|X| = |\Delta(X)$.

By induction we define a strictly decreasing sequence of cardinals:

$$\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n \ldots$$

as follows.

(i) $\kappa_0 = \Delta(X)$,
(ii) if κ_i is singular, then $\kappa_{i+1} = \operatorname{cf}(\kappa_i)$,
(iii) if $\kappa_i > \omega$ is regular, then $\kappa_i = \lambda^+$ (because $|X|$ is below the first weakly inaccessible cardinal,) and let $\kappa_{i+1} = \lambda$,
(iv) if $\kappa_i = \omega$ or $\kappa_i = \omega_1$, then we stop.

Assume that the construction stopped in the nth step.

Then we can prove, by finite induction, then X is monotonically κ_i-resolvable for all $i \leq n$ by theorem 3.3. Thus X is monotonically ω-resolvable or monotonically ω_1-resolvable, and so either X is ω-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$ by by Lemma 5.1(1), or X is ω_1-resolvable in $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ by Thereon 2.1. $\square$

Problem 5.2 ([13, Questions 5.2.])**.** *Are almost resolvability and almost-ω-resolvability equivalent in the class of irresolvable spaces?*

Problem 5.3. *Is there, in ZFC, a crowded topological space X which is irresolvable in the Cohen generic extension $V^{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega,2)}$.*

References

[1] J. Angoa; M. Ibarra; Angel Tamariz-Mascarúa *On ω -resolvable and almost-ω -resolvable spaces* Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 49 (2008), No. 3, 485–508

[2] Richard Bolstein *Sets of Points of Discontinuity* Proceedings of the American Mathematical society 38.1 (1973), 193-197

[3] A. Dorantes-Aldama, *Baire irresolvable spaces with countable Souslin number*, Topology Appl. 188, (2015) 16–26.

[4] Hewitt, E. *A problem of set theoretic topology*, Duke Math. J. 10 (1943) 309-333.

[5] István Juhász, Menachem Magidor, *On the Maximal Resolvability of Monotonically Normal Spaces* Israel Journal Of Mathematics 192 (2012), 637–666.

[6] Juhász, István; Soukup, Lajos; Szentmiklóssy, Zoltán *Resolvability and monotone normality* Israel Journal of Mathematics 166 (2008), 1–16.

[7] Kunen, Kenneth *Maximal σ-independent families.* Fund. Math. 117 (1983), no. 1, 75–80.

[8] Kenneth Kunen, Karel Prikry, On descendingly incomplete ultrafilters, The journal of symbolic logic volume 36.4 (1971), pp 650–652.

[9] K. Kunen, A. Szymanski, F. Tall *Naire irresolvable spaces and ideal theory* Ann. Math Syleziana 2(14) (1986) 98.107

[10] K. Kunen, F. Tall, *On the consistency of the non-existence of Baire irresolvable spaces*, Manuscript privately circulated, Topology Atlas, http://at.yorku.ca/v/a/a/a/27.htm, 1998.

[11] O. Pavlov, Problems on (ir)resolvability, in: Open Problems in Topology II, Elsevier, 2007, pp. 51–59.

[12] *Recent Progress in General Topology, III* ed. K.P. Hart, Jan van Mill, P Simon Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, pp 903.

[13] A. Tamariz-Mascarúa, H. Villegas-Rodríguez em Spaces of continuous functions, box products and almost-ω-resolvable spaces Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 43,4 (2002)687–705

[14] S. Ulam, Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 16 (1930), pp. 140-150.

[15] W. H. Woodin, *Descendingly complete ultrafilter on $\aleph_\omega$*, Personal communication.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: `soukup@renyi.hu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Northern Iowa
E-mail address: `adrienne.stanley@uni.edu`