Efficient Perturbation Method for Computing Two-Port Parameter Changes Due to Foreign Objects for WPT Systems

József Pávó\(^1\), Zsolt Badics\(^2\), Sándor Bilicz\(^1\), and Szabolcs Gyimóthy\(^1\)

\(^1\)Department of Broadband Infocommunications and Electromagnetic Theory, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1111 Budapest, Hungary
\(^2\)Tensor Research, LLC, Andover, MA 01810 USA

The change in the two-port parameters of a wireless power transfer (WPT) chain is evaluated when an object (foreign object) with given material parameters is placed in the vicinity of the WPT transmitter and receiver. An integral formula for the change of the two-port parameters due to the presence of a foreign object has been derived by using the generalized theory of reciprocity. It is emphasized that—instead of taking the differences of the two-port parameters calculated with and without the presence of the foreign object—the presented method provides the change of the two-port parameters directly from the calculated electromagnetic field at the location of the foreign object. As a result, the relatively small parameter changes can be predicted with good accuracy. Based on the application of the Born approximation, the possibility of computing an approximation of the changes of the two-port parameters is explored.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Accurate and fast prediction of the interaction between a wireless power transfer (WPT) system and a foreign object is frequently required during the design and operation of such devices. Usually, the voltages and currents at the two poles of the transmitters and receivers are measured and these data are used by the control system of the WPT chain to detect the foreign object [1], [2]. As the changes of the two-port values are very small compared with their nominal values, usually these changes are measured directly. The calculation method presented in this paper computes the changes of the two-port characteristics compared with their nominal values directly, consequently providing a numerically robust and accurate method to predict the data used for the control of the WPT system.

First, an integral formula for the impedance changes based on the general theory of reciprocity [3] is derived. In this paper, we present the derivation for two-port impedance characteristics but one can obtain analogous expressions for any other two-port characteristics similar to the impedance-change derivation. A similar idea has been pursued for eddy-current nondestructive evaluation and full-wave WPT problems in [4] and [5], which has also introduced an efficient method, the so-called perturbation method, in order to provide a fast and an accurate way of computing the field perturbation due to the foreign object. The perturbation method has been generalized further for a wide range of low-frequency applications in [6]. Although utilizing the perturbation method provides extensive run-time improvement compared with the total field computation, it would be desirable to come up with further speed improvement when computing impedance changes for the WPT control loops even if we sacrificed some accuracy.

Thus, based on the use of the Born approximation [7], the possibility of evaluating the impedance changes requiring only the calculation of the electromagnetic field without the presence of the foreign object is explored. A simple example is studied to investigate the range of the applicability of the Born approximation numerically using the finite element method (FEM). Finally, using a fast calculation method based on integral equations [8], the changes of the impedance characteristics of a WPT chain is evaluated while a foreign object (a dielectric sphere) is moved between the transmitter and receiver.

II. IMPEDANCE-CHANGE FORMULA BY RECIPROCITY

A. Configurations

Let us consider the two WPT configurations (Configurations A and B) shown in Fig. 1. Subscripts \(a\) and \(b\) denote the electric, \(\vec{E}\), and magnetic, \(\vec{H}\), field components and material parameters (permeability \(\mu\), permittivity \(\varepsilon\), and conductivity \(\sigma\)) associated with the Configurations A and B, respectively.
The time variation of the electromagnetic field is considered to be the real part of $e^{j\omega t}$ where $\omega$ denotes the angular frequency of the excitation and $t$ stands for the time.

In both the configurations, the WPT chain is embedded in linear material. The outer boundary, $\Gamma_0$, of the investigated volume, $\Omega_0$, is far from the WPT system and it extends well into the free space. The metallic wires of the WPT coils are excluded from the volume, $\Omega_0$, and the surface of the wires are denoted by $\Gamma_c$.

The WPT chain is terminated by two poles of which the currents and voltages are denoted by $I_{1a}$ and $I_{2a}$, and $V_{1a}$ and $V_{2a}$ (in Configuration A) or $I_{1b}$ and $I_{2b}$, and $V_{1b}$ and $V_{2b}$ (in Configuration B). The small volumes of the ports, $\Omega_{p1}$ and $\Omega_{p2}$, are between the poles of ports 1 and 2, respectively.

The two configurations are almost identical, except: 1) the material properties of the two configurations in volume $\Omega_f$ are different; 2) the feeding currents of the terminals $I_{1a}$ and $I_{2a}$, $I_{1b}$ and $I_{2b}$ and the terminal voltages $V_{1a}$, $V_{2a}$, $V_{1b}$, and $V_{2b}$ are different.

**B. Computation of Impedance Changes**

The Maxwell equations associated with Configurations A and B are

$$\nabla \times \vec{H}_a = j\omega \vec{E}_a, \quad \nabla \times \vec{E}_a = -j\omega \mu_a \vec{H}_a \quad (1)
$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H}_b = j\omega \vec{E}_b, \quad \nabla \times \vec{E}_b = -j\omega \mu_b \vec{H}_b \quad (2)
$$

where $\vec{\varepsilon}_a = \varepsilon_a - j\sigma_a/\omega$ and $\vec{\varepsilon}_b = \varepsilon_b - j\sigma_b/\omega$ are the complex permittivities. $J^a_1$ and $J^b_1$ are the current densities in $\Omega_{p1}$ and $\Omega_{p2}$ representing the port currents at the different configurations.

Following similar algebra used for the derivation of the generalized concept of reciprocity in [3], we obtain:

$$\int_{\Gamma_c + \Gamma_0} (\vec{E}_a \times \vec{H}_a - \vec{E}_b \times \vec{H}_b) d\vec{\Gamma}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{p1}} (\vec{E}_a \cdot J^a_1 - \vec{E}_b \cdot J^b_1) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_{p2}} (\vec{E}_a \cdot J^b_1 - \vec{E}_b \cdot J^a_1) d\Omega$$

$$- \int_{\Omega_f} j\omega ((\mu_b - \mu_a) \vec{H}_a \cdot \vec{H}_b - (\vec{\varepsilon}_b - \vec{\varepsilon}_a) \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_b) d\Omega. \quad (3)
$$

The left-hand side of (3) is zero because for both configurations, the same boundary conditions setting the ratio of the $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$ apply (on $\Gamma_0$ the radiation condition and on $\Gamma_c$ the impedance-type boundary condition). Consequently, the two terms of the integrand will be equal. The first and the second integrals of the right-hand side can be expressed by the port currents and voltages. We assume that in the volumes, $\Omega_{p1}$ and $\Omega_{p2}$, $\vec{E}$ is homogeneous. Thus, we can write

$$\int_{\Omega_{p1}} \vec{E}_a \cdot J^a_1 d\Omega = I_{1a} \int_{\ell_{p1}} \vec{E}_a d\ell = -I_{1b} V_{1a} \quad (4)
$$

where $\ell_{p1}$ is any line between the two poles of port 1. The remaining parts of the first two integrals of the right-hand side of (3) can be obtained similarly. As a result, we arrive at the following equation:

$$I_{1b} V_{1a} - I_{1a} V_{1b} + I_{2b} V_{2a} - I_{2a} V_{2b}$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega_f} j\omega ((\mu_b - \mu_a) \vec{H}_a \cdot \vec{H}_b - (\vec{\varepsilon}_b - \vec{\varepsilon}_a) \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_b) d\Omega. \quad (5)
$$

The two-port impedance characteristics associated with Configurations A and B can be written as

$$V_{1a} = Z_{11} I_{1a} + Z_{12} I_{2a} \quad (6)$$

$$V_{2a} = Z_{21} I_{1a} + Z_{22} I_{2a} \quad (7)$$

$$V_{1b} = (Z_{11} + \Delta Z_{11}) I_{1b} + (Z_{12} + \Delta Z_{12}) I_{2b} \quad (8)$$

$$V_{2b} = (Z_{21} + \Delta Z_{21}) I_{1b} + (Z_{22} + \Delta Z_{22}) I_{2b} \quad (9)
$$

where $Z_{11}$, $Z_{12}$, $Z_{21}$, and $Z_{22}$ are the impedance parameters of the two-port, describing the WPT chain without the presence of the foreign object. $\Delta Z_{11}$, $\Delta Z_{12}$, $\Delta Z_{21}$, and $\Delta Z_{22}$ are the changes of the corresponding impedance parameters due to the presence of the foreign object. Our goal is to find a robust formula for the computation of these changes. Note that the reciprocity of the arrangement assures that $Z_{12} \equiv Z_{21}$ and $\Delta Z_{12} \equiv \Delta Z_{21}$.

Let us multiply (6)–(9) with $I_{1b}$, $I_{2b}$, $I_{1a}$, and $I_{2a}$, respectively, and plug them into (5)

$$\Delta Z_{11} I_{1a} I_{1b} + \Delta Z_{22} I_{2a} I_{2b} + \Delta Z_{12} (I_{1a} I_{2b} + I_{1b} I_{2a})$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_f} j\omega ((\mu_b - \mu_a) \vec{H}_a \cdot \vec{H}_b - (\vec{\varepsilon}_b - \vec{\varepsilon}_a) \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_b) d\Omega. \quad (10)
$$

The actual $\Delta Z_{11}$, $\Delta Z_{22}$, and $\Delta Z_{12}$ values can be obtained directly by evaluating the right-hand side of (10) for three linearly independent combinations of the currents. Then, this linear system can be solved for the derived impedance changes.

**III. BORN APPROXIMATION**

For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$ and $\mu_a = \mu_b$. Then, based on the first-order Born approximation [7], $\vec{E}_b$ in (10) can be approximated by $\vec{E}_a$ if the permittivity of volume $\Omega_f$ in Configuration B is close to the one in the case of Configuration A and if the same port currents are used for Configurations A and B, i.e., $I_{1a} = I_{1b} = I_1$ and $I_{2a} = I_{2b} = I_2$. For this situation, we have

$$\Delta Z_{11} I_1^2 + \Delta Z_{22} I_2^2 + 2\Delta Z_{12} I_1 I_2 \approx -\int_{\Omega_f} j\omega (\vec{\varepsilon}_b - \vec{\varepsilon}_a) \vec{E}_a^2 d\Omega. \quad (11)
$$

Consequently, we can conclude that—by knowing the electric field, $\vec{E}_a$, in the volume $\Omega_f$ calculated without the presence of the foreign object—one can get an approximation of the impedance changes due to the presence of the foreign object by evaluating the integral (11). This can be done if the conditions necessary for the validity of the first-order Born approximation are satisfied. In the following numerical example, we compare the results based on the Born approximation with the ones obtained from the exact formula (10).

If the permittivity differences between the configurations are larger, one may use higher order Born approximations [9]...
to get a good prediction of the impedance parameter changes based on $\vec{E}_a$. As a result, the impedance variation and the $\vec{E}_a$ field can also be linked together for larger permittivity differences.

The impedance variation due to the presence of a conducting and/or magnetic foreign object can also be obtained by the Born approximation in a similar way starting from (10) if the necessary conditions hold.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We investigate a symmetric WPT system with identical transmitter and receiver units, both of them consisting of a helix coil and a matching loop, respectively (see Fig. 2). The parameters of the configuration are summarized in Table I. The base plane of the helix is parallel with the plane of the loop. The power transfer is “corrupted” by a foreign object, which is represented here by a dielectric sphere. Coils, loops, and the sphere are all in a coaxial alignment and surrounded by air.

The coil terminals are open (self-resonating coils), while both loops are interrupted by small gaps (not shown) that are considered as the lumped ports of a two-port. In normal operating conditions, the transmitter port is connected to an ac source and a passive load is connected to the receiver port. Note that the dielectric constant of the sphere, its axial ($z$) position, and the applied frequency are variable parameters.

A. Input Impedance Change of the WPT Transmitter

In the first step, the applicability of the Born approximation is studied using the FEM. The choice of FEM is justified by the fact that the modeling of dielectric objects by FEM is more straightforward than with other methods, e.g., the method of moments (MoM).

For this study, the input impedance of the transmitter is calculated in the absence of the receiver, but impedance is still perturbed by the presence of the dielectric sphere. Computations were carried out for two different permittivity values of the sphere: $\varepsilon_r = 1.5$ and $\varepsilon_r = 6$, and the axial position of the sphere was varied. The applied frequency is 9 MHz.

According to (10), the change of the input impedance due to the sphere can be calculated as

$$\Delta Z = - \int_{\Omega_{sphere}} j \omega \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_r - 1) \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_b d\Omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

whereas the utilization of the Born approximation (11) yields

$$\Delta Z \approx - \int_{\Omega_{sphere}} j \omega \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_r - 1) \vec{E}_a d\Omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Fig. 3 provides a comparison of the integrals (12) and (13) evaluated by the FEM. Only the magnitude of $\Delta Z$ is plotted, because the difference in phase change turns out to be negligible. Obviously, the proposed approximation is acceptable for lower permeability contrasts only.

B. Impedance Changes of the WPT System

In the next step, we compute the perturbation of the two-port impedance parameters of the whole WPT chain (Fig. 2)
due to the presence of a dielectric sphere of low permeability, \( \varepsilon_r = 1.5 \). Owing to this permeability value, one can safely rely on the approximate formula (11). This also allows using more efficient numerical methods, such as MoM [8], because the knowledge of \( \vec{E}_B \) (the field in the presence of the dielectric object) is not needed any longer.

Fig. 4 shows the magnitude of the impedance change versus the position of the sphere as resulted using MoM. The applied frequency is 10 MHz. Note that \( z_{\text{sphere}} = 0.8 \) m corresponds to the midpoint between the transmitter and the receiver, and \( \Delta Z_{11} = \Delta Z_{22} \) holds as it is expected.

The magnitude of the impedance change versus the frequency is plotted in Fig. 5. In this case, the position of the sphere is fixed at \( z_{\text{sphere}} = 0.2 \) m. It can be observed that in OFF-resonance, the parameter \( \Delta Z_{22} \) is hardly affected by the dielectric object being much closer to the transmitter than to the receiver. On the other hand, near the resonance frequency \( (f_0 \approx 10.4 \text{ MHz}) \), where effective power transfer takes place, the receiver “can see” the object on the other side as the higher value of \( \Delta Z_{22} \) shows.

V. CONCLUSION

An integral formula giving the change of the two-port impedance characteristics of a WPT chain is evaluated based on the generalized theory of reciprocity. The main advantage of the derived formula is that the change of the impedance parameters is evaluated directly from the electromagnetic field at the location of the foreign object. Consequently, even very small variations can be obtained with high accuracy. The application of the first-order Born approximation is considered in the proposed calculations. If this can be used, one needs to calculate only the electromagnetic field of the WPT chain without the presence of the foreign object. By a simple numerical example, the range of the applicability of the first-order Born approximation is investigated by the FEM. It was found that one can use this approximation only for small contrasts. In the future, other approximations, e.g., higher order Born approximations, are planned to be considered to extend the range of the applicability of the simple technique.
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