



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 7 (2006), No 2, pp. 121-139

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2006.155

# Minimal periods of periodic solutions

*Michal Fečkan*



## MINIMAL PERIODS OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

MICHAL FEČKAN

[Received: April 9, 2006]

**ABSTRACT.** We derive minimal periods of non-constant periodic solutions for semilinear damped wave equations on Hilbert spaces. Similar estimates are obtained for symmetric nonconstant periodic solutions of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -symmetric autonomous ordinary differential equations.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34C14, 34C25, 35B10

*Keywords:* minimal periods, weak solutions, symmetries

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we present some estimates, lower bounds, concerning periods of non-trivial periodic orbits for certain differential equations. First, in Section 2, we study damped semilinear wave equations on Hilbert spaces. Here we are inspired by [14], where semilinear parabolic equations are studied. We apply our method to the equation of a damped buckled beam [9] and also to the equation of a buckled elastic panel excited by a fluid flow over its upper surface [3, 10].

Then, in Sections 3 and 4, we investigate  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -symmetric autonomous ordinary differential equations which are generalizations of odd systems and related antiperiodic solutions (see [1]).

We note that recent results on minimal periods are also derived in [5, 12, 13, 15], where discrete, continuous and delay dynamical systems are considered. Minimal periods for ordinary differential equations on one-dimensional lattices are studied in [6, 7]. Finally, we refer the reader to [14, 15] for the history of these topics.

### 2. SEMILINEAR DAMPED WAVE EQUATIONS

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $A$  be an unbounded linear self-adjoint operator on  $H$  with an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors  $\{w_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  on  $H$  and with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$ ,  $Aw_j = \lambda_j w_j$  such that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  (see [16]).

---

Partially supported by Grant VEGA-SAV 2/4135/25.

Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . We put

$$H^\alpha := \left\{ x = \sum_{j \geq 1} x_j w_j \mid \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1) x_j^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$  and corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  defined by the formulae

$$(x, y)_\alpha := \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1) x_j y_j, \quad \|x\|_\alpha := \sqrt{(x, x)_\alpha}$$

for any  $x = \sum_{j \geq 1} x_j w_j$  and  $y = \sum_{j \geq 1} y_j w_j$ . Here, we consider  $\lambda_j^{2\alpha} = (\lambda_j^2)^\alpha = |\lambda_j|^{2\alpha}$ .

Let  $f : H^\alpha \rightarrow H$  be globally Lipschitz continuous, i. e.,  $\exists L > 0$  such that

$$\|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\| \leq L \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha \quad \forall u_{1,2} \in H^\alpha.$$

We consider a damped abstract wave equation

$$\ddot{u} + \delta \dot{u} + Au + f(u) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H) &= \left\{ h \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}, H) : h \text{ is } T\text{-periodic} \right\}, \\ L_{T,0}^2(\mathbb{R}, H) &= \left\{ h \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H) : \int_0^\infty h(t) dt = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define Hilbert spaces  $L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  and  $L_{T,0}^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$ . For  $\alpha > 0$ , the usual integral norm on  $L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,2}$ , while for  $\alpha = 0$ , we take the standard integral norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** By a weak  $T$ -periodic solution of (2.1), we mean any function  $u \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  satisfying the relation

$$\int_0^T \{(u(t), \ddot{v}(t)) - \delta(u(t), \dot{v}(t)) + (u(t), Av(t)) + (f(u(t)), v(t))\} dt = 0$$

for all  $v \in C_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^1)$ , where  $C_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^1)$  is defined as above and  $H^1 = D(A)$ .

First we study the linear equation

$$\ddot{u} + \delta \dot{u} + Au = h \quad (2.2)$$

for  $h \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . For any  $h \in L_{T,0}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ , equation (2.2) has a unique weak solution  $u \in L_{T,0}^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  satisfying the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,2} \leq \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) \|h\|_2,$$

for a function  $\Psi_{\delta,\alpha} \in C([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T)/T^{1-2\alpha} &= c_{\alpha,0}, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T)/T &= c_{\alpha,\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $c_{\alpha,0}$  and  $c_{\alpha,\infty}$  are suitable positive constants.

PROOF. We take  $h(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} h_j(t)w_j$ ,  $u(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} u_j(t)w_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} h_{j,k} e^{2\pi k t i / T}, \quad \bar{h}_{j,k} = h_{j,-k} \\ u_j(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} u_{j,k} e^{2\pi k t i / T}, \quad \bar{u}_{j,k} = u_{j,-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_2^2 &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_j\|_2^2 = 2 \sum_{j,k \geq 1} |h_{j,k}|^2, \\ \|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2 &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \|u_j\|_2^2 (\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1) = 2 \sum_{j,k \geq 1} |u_{j,k}|^2 (\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

So (2.2) gives

$$u_{j,k} = \frac{h_{j,k}}{\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2} + \delta \frac{2\pi k}{T} i}.$$

Hence

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j,k \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} |h_{j,k}|^2.$$

We evaluate

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha} + 1}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2} + \frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}}.$$

Let us put  $|\lambda_0| = \max\{|\lambda_i| \mid \lambda_i \leq 0\}$ . Next, if  $\lambda_j - \frac{\delta^2}{2} \geq \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}$ , then

$$\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2} \geq \delta^2 \left(\lambda_j - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right).$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \frac{\lambda_0^{2\alpha} T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}$$

for  $\lambda_j \leq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}$$

for  $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}$ , and

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \frac{\lambda_i^{2\alpha}}{\delta^2 \left(\lambda_i - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right)^2} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha}}{\delta^2 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)}$$

for  $\lambda_j \geq \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}$ . Summarising, we get

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(T),$$

where

$$\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) := \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0^{2\alpha} T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}, \left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}, \frac{\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha}}{\delta^2 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)} \right\}.$$

We see that  $\Psi_{\delta,\alpha}$  defined by the equality

$$\Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) := \sqrt{\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) + \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}}$$

satisfies the conditions of this lemma. So we obtain

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j,k \geq 1} \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}^2(T) |h_{j,k}|^2 = \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}^2(T) \|h\|_2^2.$$

Consequently, we arrive at the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,2} \leq \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) \|h\|_2.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we return to (2.1) by splitting any  $u \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  as

$$u = u_1 + u_0$$

for  $u_1 := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) dt$  and  $u_0 = u - u_1 \in L^2_{T,0}(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$ . Hence (2.1) has the form

$$\ddot{u}_0 + \delta \dot{u}_0 + Au_0 + f(u_1 + u_0) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(u_1 + u_0(t)) dt = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$Au_1 + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(u_1 + u_0(t)) dt = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

We note that the linear operator  $P : L^2_T(\mathbb{R}, H) \rightarrow L^2_T(\mathbb{R}, H)$  given by

$$Pu := u - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) dt$$

is orthogonal and the Nemytskii operator  $N : L^2_T(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha) \rightarrow L^2_T(\mathbb{R}, H)$  given by the equality

$$N(u)(t) := f(u(t))$$

is globally Lipschitz continuous with a constant  $L$ . Then (2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0\|_{\alpha,2} &\leq \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) \|PN(u_1 + u_0)\|_2 \\ &= \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) \|P[N(u_1 + u_0) - N(u_1)]\|_2 \\ &\leq \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) L \|u_0\|_{\alpha,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if

$$\Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) L < 1, \quad (2.6)$$

then  $u_0 = 0$  and (2.5) becomes

$$Au_1 + f(u_1) = 0.$$

Summarising, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and (2.6) holds, then any  $T$ -periodic weak solution of (2.1) is constant.*

Function  $\Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T)$  is depending also on  $\lambda_0$ . To avoid this, for  $\lambda_j \leq 0$  we compute

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2} \leq \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4\pi^2}\right)^{2-2\alpha} \alpha^{2\alpha} T^{4(1-\alpha)}.$$

Then  $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(T)$  is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T) := \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4\pi^2}\right)^{2-2\alpha} \alpha^{2\alpha} T^{4(1-\alpha)}, \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 \delta^2}, \frac{\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\alpha}}{\delta^2 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2}\right)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T) := \sqrt{\tilde{\Phi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T) + \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2\delta^2}}.$$

So (2.3) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{\Psi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T)/T^{1-2\alpha} &= \tilde{c}_{\alpha,0}, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Psi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T)/T^{2(1-\alpha)} &= \tilde{c}_{\alpha,\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for suitable positive constants  $\tilde{c}_{\alpha,0}$  and  $\tilde{c}_{\alpha,\infty}$ . Thus we have the following

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and the inequality*

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\delta,\alpha}(T)L < 1$$

*holds, then any  $T$ -periodic weak solution of (2.1) is constant.*

*Remark 2.5.* Semilinear parabolic differential equations on Hilbert spaces are studied in [7, 12, 14].

*Remark 2.6.* We see that  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi_{\delta,1/2}(T) \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{\Psi}_{\delta,1/2}(T) \neq 0$ . So for  $\alpha = 1/2$  we do not get a result on the minimal periods. But we think that it is not a handicap for our above estimates. Indeed, for  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\lambda_j \geq 0$ , we consider the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{x+1}{\left(x - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}}, \quad (2.8)$$

which has a global maximum over  $[0, \infty)$ :

$$\frac{4\pi^2 k^2 + T^2 + \sqrt{16\pi^4 k^4 + 4(2 + \delta^2)\pi^2 k^2 T^2 + T^4}}{8\delta^2 \pi^2 k^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

We can check that (2.9) is decreasing with respect to  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So for  $\lambda_j \geq 0$ , we get

$$\frac{\lambda_j + 1}{\left(\lambda_j - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}} \leq \frac{4\pi^2 + T^2 + \sqrt{16\pi^4 + 4(2 + \delta^2)\pi^2 T^2 + T^4}}{8\delta^2 \pi^2}.$$

Consequently, when  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\lambda_j \geq 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the best estimate for  $\Psi_{\delta,1/2}(T)$  seems to be

$$\Psi_{\delta,1/2}(T) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^2 + T^2 + \sqrt{16\pi^4 + 4(2 + \delta^2)\pi^2 T^2 + T^4}}{8\delta^2 \pi^2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Clearly, (2.10) is nonzero at  $T = 0$ .

Next, assuming  $\lambda_j > 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we cannot improve much the above estimates. Now we can take

$$(x, y)_\alpha := \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j^{2\alpha} x_j y_j$$

for  $x = \sum_{j \geq 1} x_j w_j$  and  $y = \sum_{j \geq 1} y_j w_j$ . Then instead of the function (2.8), we consider the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{x}{\left(x - \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}\right)^2 + \delta^2 \frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Making the above analysis for (2.11), we obtain

$$\Psi_{\delta,1/2}(T) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi + \sqrt{4\pi^2 + \delta^2 T^2}}{4\delta^2 \pi}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly (2.12) is again nonzero at  $T = 0$ . But (2.12) is simpler than (2.10). Then the lower bound estimate is

$$\frac{2\pi + \sqrt{4\pi^2 + \delta^2 T^2}}{4\delta^2 \pi} \geq L^{-2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Analysing (2.13), we see that if  $L \geq \delta$ , then (2.13) holds for any  $T > 0$ , while for  $\delta > L$ , relation (2.13) yields

$$T \geq L^{-2} 4\pi \sqrt{\delta^2 - L^2}.$$

Consequently, we are able to estimate  $T$  from below only for small  $L > 0$  with respect to  $\delta$ .

Finally, the following semilinear parabolic equation is studied in [14]

$$\dot{u} + Au + f(u) = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $A, f$  satisfy our assumptions with  $\lambda_j > 0 \forall j \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2} + \lambda_j^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_j^{2\alpha}}{\frac{4\pi^2}{T^2} + \lambda_j^2}.$$

Analysing the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{x^\alpha}{\frac{4\pi^2}{T^2} + x}$$

on  $[0, \infty)$ , we get its maximum  $\alpha^\alpha (1 - \alpha)^{1-\alpha} (2\pi)^{2(\alpha-1)} T^{2(1-\alpha)}$ . So the minimal period estimate for (2.14) is

$$T \geq K_\alpha L^{-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \quad (2.15)$$

for any  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and

$$K_\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}}}.$$

Inequality (2.15) is consistent with a similar one in [14], but here we allow  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . We note that  $K_0 = 2\pi$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} K_\alpha = \infty$ .



*Remark 2.7.* Theorem 2.4 could help when the shifts

$$A \longleftrightarrow A - \lambda I, \quad f \longleftrightarrow f + \lambda I$$

can improve an estimate of the Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

We also note that it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that  $\dot{u} \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$  and

$$\|\dot{u}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|h\|_2$$

in Lemma 2.2. Then of course any  $T$ -periodic weak solution  $u$  of (2.1) satisfies  $\dot{u} \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ . Hence we get

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,2} + \|\dot{u}\|_2 \leq \left( \Psi_{\delta,\alpha}(T) + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \|h\|_2. \quad (2.16)$$

*Example 2.8.* Let us consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u} + \delta\dot{u} - u'' + \sin(u - v) &= 0, \\ \ddot{v} + \delta\dot{v} - v'' + \sin(u + v) &= 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = v(0, \cdot) = u(1, \cdot) = v(1, \cdot) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Now  $H = L^2(0, 1)^2$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and

$$A(u, v) = -(u''(x), v''(x)), \quad f(u, v) = (\sin(u - v), \sin(u + v)).$$

We see that  $\lambda_j > 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $L = 2$ . So we can choose a better function  $\Psi_{\delta,0}(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi\delta}$  than in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Then for (2.17), the minimal period estimate is  $T \geq \pi\delta$ .

Now we present the following simple result which seems to be known but we prove it here for the reader's convenience.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let us suppose that*

- (1)  $f : H^\alpha \rightarrow H$  is locally Lipschitz continuous, i. e.  $\forall k > 0 \exists L_k > 0$  such that

$$\|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\| \leq L_k \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha$$

for all  $u_{1,2} \in H^\alpha$ ,  $\|u_{1,2}\|_\alpha \leq k$ .

- (2)  $\exists F \in C^1(H^\alpha, \mathbb{R}) : (f(v), w) = DF(v)w \forall v, w \in H^\alpha$ .

Then any  $T$ -periodic weak solution  $u \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  of (2.1) is constant for all  $T > 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma := \|u\|_{\alpha,\infty} + 1$  where  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,\infty}$  is the usual sup-norm on  $L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$ . Assumption (1) gives

$$\|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\| \leq L_\Gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha, \quad \forall u_{1,2} \in H^\alpha, \quad \|u_{1,2}\|_\alpha \leq \Gamma. \quad (2.18)$$

So we get

$$\|f(u(t))\| \leq L_\Gamma \|u(t)\|_\alpha + \|f(0)\| \leq \Gamma L_\Gamma + \|f(0)\|,$$

which implies  $f(u) \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H)$ . Then the argument of Remark 2.7 shows that  $\dot{u} \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ . Moreover, (2.18) yields

$$\|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\|_2 \leq L_\Gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha,2} \\ \forall u_{1,2} \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha), \quad \|u_{1,2}\|_{\alpha,\infty} \leq \Gamma. \quad (2.19)$$

Next using the notation of the proof of Lemma 2.2, from (2.1) we get the system

$$\ddot{u}_j + \delta \dot{u}_j + \lambda_j u_j + (f(u), w_j) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(t) w_j \right\|_\alpha \leq \|u(t)\|_\alpha \leq \|u\|_{\alpha,\infty} \leq \Gamma, \quad (2.20)$$

we obtain that  $f(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j w_j) \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta \|\dot{u}_j\|_2^2 &= - \int_0^T \left( f(u(t)), \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{u}_j(t) w_j \right) dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j(t) w_j\right), \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{u}_j(t) w_j \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j(t) w_j\right) - f(u(t)), \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{u}_j(t) w_j \right) dt \\ &\leq \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j w_j\right) - f(u) \right)_2 \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \|\dot{u}_j\|_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.19) and (2.20) give

$$\delta^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|\dot{u}_j\|_2^2 \leq \left| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j w_j\right) - f(u) \right|_2^2 \leq L_\Gamma^2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n u_j w_j - u \right|_{\alpha,2}^2. \quad (2.21)$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^n u_j w_j \rightarrow u$  in  $L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n \dot{u}_j w_j \rightarrow \dot{u}$  in  $L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , relation (2.21) implies that

$$\|\dot{u}\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\dot{u}_j\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Hence,  $\dot{u} = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Example 2.10.* A standard p.d.e. which fits into the framework of Theorem 2.9 is an equation of a damped buckled beam [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u} + \delta \dot{u} + u'''' + \left[ \varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2 \left( \int_0^1 u'^2(\xi, \cdot) d\xi \right) \right] u'' &= 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u(1, \cdot) = u''(0, \cdot) = u''(1, \cdot) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\varkappa_1$  and  $\varkappa_2$  are constants. Now we take  $H = L^2(0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha = 1/2$ , so  $H^{1/2} = H_0^2(0, 1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= u''''(x), \quad f(u) = \left[ \varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2 \left( \int_0^1 u'^2(\xi, \cdot) d\xi \right) \right] u'', \\ F(u) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \varkappa_1 u'^2(\xi) d\xi + \varkappa_2 \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_0^1 u'^2(\xi) d\xi \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 2.11.* We finish this section with another partial differential equation different from (2.17) and (2.22) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u} + \delta \dot{u} + u'''' + \left[ \varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2 \left( \int_0^1 u'^2(\xi, \cdot) d\xi \right) \right] u'' + \eta u' &= 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u(1, \cdot) = u''(0, \cdot) = u''(1, \cdot) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$  are constants. Problem (2.23) models the oscillation of a buckled elastic panel excited by a fluid flow over its upper surface [3, 10]. Now we again take  $H = L^2(0, 1)$  with the usual integral norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $H^{1/2} = H_0^2(0, 1)$  and  $\|u\|_{1/2} = \|u''\|$ . Then any weak  $T$ -periodic solution  $u \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2})$  of (2.23) satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 + \eta (u', \dot{u})_2 &= 0, \\ \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 &= \|u''\|_2^2 - \varkappa_1 \|u'\|_2^2 + \varkappa_2 \int_0^T \|u'(\cdot, t)\|^4 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Since

$$\|u'\|_2^4 \leq T \int_0^T \|u'(\cdot, t)\|^4 dt, \quad \pi \|u'\|_2 \leq \|u''\|_2,$$

from (2.24) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^2 \|u'\|_2^2 + \frac{\varkappa_2}{T} \|u'\|_2^4 &\leq \|u''\|_2^2 + \varkappa_2 \int_0^T \|u'(\cdot, t)\|^4 dt \\ &= \varkappa_1 \|u'\|_2^2 + \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 \leq \varkappa_1 \|u'\|_2^2 + \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} \|u'\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Hence

$$\|u'\|_2^2 \leq \frac{T}{\alpha_2} \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} - \pi^2 \right) = TK_1.$$

So we get

**Theorem 2.12.** *If  $\eta^2 \leq \delta^2(\pi^2 - \alpha_1)$ , then the only periodic weak solution  $u \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2})$  of (2.23) is the zero one,  $u = 0$ .*

Note that Theorem 2.12 is consistent with Proposition 2.1 of [10]. Now, let

$$\eta^2 > \delta^2(\pi^2 - \alpha_1). \quad (2.26)$$

Then (2.25) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u''\|_2^2 &\leq \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} \right) \|u'\|_2^2 \leq T \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} \right) K_1 = TK_2, \\ \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} \|u'\|_2^2 \leq T \frac{\eta^2}{\delta^2} K_1 = TK_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u''\|_2^2 \leq TK_2, \quad \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 \leq TK_3. \quad (2.27)$$

Since  $u \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H_0^2(0, 1))$  and  $\dot{u} \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(0, 1))$ , Theorem 4 from [4, p. 288] gives  $u \in C_T^0(\mathbb{R}, H_0^1(0, 1))$  along with

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u'(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{T} \|u'\|_2^2 + \|u''\|_2^2 + \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 \leq K_1 + TK_2 + TK_3 = K_4. \quad (2.28)$$

Let us put

$$X := \left\{ u \mid u \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H_0^2(0, 1)), \dot{u} \in L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(0, 1)) \right\}.$$

Then  $X$  is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|u\|_X := \|u''\|_2 + \|\dot{u}\|_2.$$

Again from Theorem 4 of [4, p. 288], we have  $X \subset C_T^0(\mathbb{R}, H_0^1(0, 1))$  along with

$$\max_{\mathbb{R}} \|v'(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2 T} + 1 \right) \|v''\|_2^2 + \|\dot{v}\|_2^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2 T} + 1 \right) \|v\|_X^2 \quad (2.29)$$

for any  $v \in X$ .

We put

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= u''''(x) + \alpha_1 u'', \quad f(u) = \alpha_2 g(u) + \eta Bu, \\ g(u) &:= -\|u'\|_2^2 u'', \quad Bu := u'. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $v, w \in X$  satisfying (2.27), we derive

$$\|Bv - Bw\|_2 = \|v' - w'\|_2 \leq \|v'' - w''\|_2 / \pi \leq \|v - w\|_X / \pi,$$

and by (2.28) and (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g(v) - g(w)\|_2 &= \|\|v'\|^2 v'' - \|w'\|^2 w''\|_2 \\
&\leq \|\|v'\|^2(v'' - w'')\|_2 + \|w''(\|v'\|^2 - \|w'\|^2)\|_2 \\
&= \left( \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 v'^2(\xi, t) d\xi \right]^2 (v''(x, t) - w''(x, t))^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \left( \int_0^T \int_0^1 w''^2(x, t) \left[ \int_0^1 (v'^2(\xi, t) - w'^2(\xi, t)) d\xi \right]^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq K_4 \|v'' - w''\|_2 \\
&\quad + \left( \int_0^T \int_0^1 w''^2(x, t) \int_0^1 (v'(\xi, t) + w'(\xi, t))^2 d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \int_0^1 (v'(\xi, t) - w'(\xi, t))^2 d\xi dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq K_4 |v - w|_X \\
&\quad + 2\sqrt{K_4 \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2} + T \right)} |v - w|_X \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^1 w''^2(x, t) dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left( K_4 + 2\sqrt{K_2 K_4 \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2} + T \right)} \right) |v - w|_X = K_5 |v - w|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

So  $f : X \rightarrow L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$  has the Lipschitz constant

$$L = \kappa_2 K_5 + \frac{\eta}{\pi}$$

on the subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset X$  of all  $u \in X$  satisfying (2.27).

It is clear that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed and convex subset of  $X$ . Then it is well-known (see Lemma 3.5 in [2]) that there exists a retraction  $R : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \subset X$  with a global Lipschitz constant 1. Using  $R$ , we modify  $f$  outside of  $\mathcal{K}$  as follows

$$\tilde{f}(u) := f(Ru).$$

Clearly  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow L_T^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$  is a globally Lipschitzian continuous with the constant  $L$ . According to the above construction, each  $T$ -periodic weak solution  $u \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2})$  of (2.23) is also a  $T$ -periodic weak solution of the modified one with  $\tilde{f}$  in place of  $f$ . Furthermore, now  $\lambda_j = -j^2\pi^2(\kappa_1 - j^2\pi^2)$  and let us assume for simplicity that  $\kappa_1 < \pi^2$  (see (2.26)). Then we can apply Remarks 2.6 and 2.7 (see

(2.12) and (2.16)). So if  $u$  is nonstationary, then

$$\left(\kappa_2 K_5 + \frac{\eta}{\pi}\right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi + \sqrt{4\pi^2 + \delta^2 T^2}}{4\delta^2 \pi}} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \geq 1. \quad (2.30)$$

Clearly, relation (2.30) makes sense if

$$\left(\kappa_2 \left(K_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{K_1 K_2}\right) + \frac{\eta}{\pi}\right) \frac{2}{\delta} < 1. \quad (2.31)$$

We do not express  $K_5$  in terms of parameters  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \eta, \delta$  and period  $T$ , since it is an awkward formula. But we note that for  $\eta = \delta \sqrt{\pi^2 - \kappa_1}$  (see (2.26)), we get  $K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = K_4 = K_5 = 0$ , and (2.31) becomes

$$3\pi^2/4 < \kappa_1. \quad (2.32)$$

Hence if (2.32) holds, then there is a unique

$$\eta_0 = \eta(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta) > \delta \sqrt{\pi^2 - \kappa_1}$$

solving equation (see (2.31))

$$\left(\kappa_2 \left(K_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{K_1 K_2}\right) + \frac{\eta_0}{\pi}\right) \frac{2}{\delta} = 1. \quad (2.33)$$

We note that the left-hand side of (2.33) is increasing with respect to  $\eta_0$ . Then by fixing  $\eta$  such that  $\delta \sqrt{\pi^2 - \kappa_1} < \eta < \eta_0$ , there is a unique  $T_0 > 0$  solving the equation (see (2.30))

$$\left(\kappa_2 K_5 + \frac{\eta}{\pi}\right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi + \sqrt{4\pi^2 + \delta^2 T_0^2}}{4\delta^2 \pi}} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) = 1. \quad (2.34)$$

We note that the left-hand side of (2.34) is increasing with respect to  $T_0$ .

Summarising, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.13.** *If  $3\pi^2/4 < \kappa_1 < \pi^2$  and  $\delta \sqrt{\pi^2 - \kappa_1} < \eta < \eta_0$ , then the period  $T$  of any weak nonstationary  $T$ -periodic solution  $u \in L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1/2})$  of (2.23) satisfies inequality  $T \geq T_0$ . Here,  $\eta_0$  and  $T_0$  are the unique positive solutions of (2.33) and (2.34), respectively.*

For instance if  $\kappa_1 = 8$ ,  $\kappa_2 = 5$  and  $\delta = 100$ , then equation (2.33) gives  $\eta_0 = 152.667$ . So we get  $136.733 < \eta < 152.667$ . Taking  $\eta = 138$ , we find from (2.34) that  $T_0 = 0.122$ . Consequently, we obtain  $T \geq 0.122$  in Theorem 2.13.

### 3. NONRESONANT $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -SYMMETRIC AUTONOMOUS ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Let  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an orthonormal matrix, i. e.,  $S^* = S^{-1}$ , such that  $S^p = I$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this section, we deal with the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x} = g(x) \quad (3.1)$$

under the following assumptions:

- (H1)  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, i. e., there is a constant  $L > 0$  such that  $\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\| \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (H2)  $g$  is  $S$ -symmetric, i. e.,  $g(Sx) = Sg(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (H3)  $1 \notin \sigma(S)$ , the spectrum of  $S$ .

We call (3.1) under conditions (H1)-(H3) a nonresonant  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -symmetric autonomous ordinary differential equation.

*Remark 3.1.* Assumptions (H1) and (H2) are reasonable, i. e., there are many  $g$  satisfying both (H1) and (H2). Indeed, let  $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of all globally Lipschitz continuous mappings  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the norm

$$\|h\|_{\text{Lip}} := \inf\{L > 0 \mid L \text{ is the Lipschitz constant of } h\} + \|h(0)\|.$$

Then  $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  becomes a Banach space. Moreover, we define a linear mapping  $\mathcal{S} : \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$(\mathcal{S}h)(x) := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S^{-i} h(S^i x).$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a continuous projection of  $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $\text{Lip}_S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the space of all  $S$ -symmetric elements of  $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore, if  $h$  has a Lipschitz constant  $L > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{S}h$  has also a Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Finally we note

$$a_0 I + a_1 S + \cdots + a_{p-1} S^{p-1} \in \text{Lip}_S(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for any  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ . Hence  $\text{Lip}_S(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $T > 0$ . We call any  $x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.1) and

$$x(t+T) = Sx(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.2)$$

the  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1).

We note that any  $x(t)$  satisfying (3.2) is also  $pT$ -periodic. Moreover, (H2) and (H3) imply  $g(0) = Sg(0)$ , so  $g(0) = 0$ . Thus  $x(t) = 0$  is a trivial  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1) for any  $T > 0$ , which is the only constant function satisfying (3.2).

We put

$$C_{T,S}^r := \{x \in C^r(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid x(t) \text{ satisfies (3.2)}\}$$

with the usual maximum norm  $\|x\|_r$  on the interval  $[0, T]$ . We note that (3.2) implies

$$\|x^{(r)}(t+T)\| = \|Sx^{(r)}(t)\| = \|x^{(r)}(t)\|.$$

So norms  $\|\cdot\|_r$  are well-defined.

First we solve the linear boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = h, \quad x \in C_{T,S}^1, \quad h \in C_{T,S}^0. \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to find its solution

$$x(t) = (S - I)^{-1} \int_0^T h(s) ds + \int_0^t h(s) ds.$$

So we arrive at the following.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $h \in C_{T,S}^0$ , problem (3.3) has a unique solution  $x \in C_{T,S}^1$  with an estimate*

$$\|x\|_0 \leq [\|(I - S)^{-1}\| + 1] \|h\|_0 T.$$

The Banach fixed point theorem together with Lemma 3.3 give

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $[\|(I - S)^{-1}\| + 1] TL < 1$ , then  $x = 0$  is the only  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1).*

When  $S = -I$ , the  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solutions are called  $T$ -antiperiodic ones [1]. Then condition (H3) holds and  $g$  is just an odd mapping. Hence Theorem 3.4 implies

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let (H1) hold and  $g$  be odd. If  $x$  is a nonzero  $T$ -antiperiodic solution of (3.1), i. e.,  $x(t + T) = -x(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T \geq \frac{2}{3L}$ .*

*Example 3.6.* Now let  $n = 2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , and  $Sz = e^{i2\pi/p}z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \geq 3$ . So  $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a rotation by the angle  $2\pi/p$ . Then  $|z - Sz| = 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} |z|$ , and

$$\|(I - S)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{2 \sin(\pi/p)}.$$

Theorem 3.4 implies

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $Sz = e^{i2\pi/p}z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \geq 3$ , be a rotation in the plane by the angle  $2\pi/p$ . If  $x$  is a nonzero  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1) for  $g$  satisfying (H1), (H2), then*

$$T \geq \frac{2L^{-1} \sin(\pi/p)}{1 + 2 \sin(\pi/p)}.$$

Such result as in Theorem 3.7 should hold for a general  $S$ , since from  $S^p = I$  and (H3) it follows that  $\sigma(S)$  consists of the unit roots, i. e.,

$$\sigma(S) \subset \{e^{2\pi k i/p} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Indeed, it is not difficult to show [11] that conditions  $S^* = S^{-1}$ ,  $S^p = I$ ,  $S \neq -I$  and  $1 \notin \sigma(S)$  imply both  $p \geq 3$  and the existence of an orthonormal basis

$$\{e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{22}, \dots, e_{k1}, e_{k2}, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n\}$$



of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that on each invariant subspace  $V_j := [e_{j1}, e_{j2}]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , matrix  $S$ ,  $S : V_j \rightarrow V_j$  acts as a rotation by the angle  $2\pi r_j/p$ ,  $1 \leq r_j < p$ ,  $r_j \neq p/2$ . While  $Se_j = -e_j$ ,  $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ , naturally, only for even  $p$ . We can suppose that

$$\sin(\pi r_1/p) = \min \{ \sin(\pi r_j/p) \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, k \}.$$

Then

$$\|(I - S)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{2 \sin(\pi r_1/p)}.$$

Summarising, we get

**Theorem 3.8.** *When assumptions (H1)-(H3) and  $S \neq -I$  are satisfied, then*

$$T \geq \frac{2L^{-1} \sin(\pi r_1/p)}{1 + 2 \sin(\pi r_1/p)}$$

for any nonzero  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1).

We note that any nonzero  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solution of (3.1) is nonconstant.

*Remark 3.9.* The existence and nonexistence of forced symmetric oscillations like (3.1) are studied in [8].

*Remark 3.10.* We note that if  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a matrix with  $S^p = I$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  for which  $S$  is unitary, i. e.,  $(Su, Sv) = (u, v) \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be any scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we take [11]

$$(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle S^j u, S^j v \rangle.$$

#### 4. RESONANT $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -SYMMETRIC AUTONOMOUS ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

In this section, we proceed to study (3.1) only under assumptions (H1) and (H2), and we call (3.1) a resonant  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -symmetric autonomous ordinary differential equation, since  $1 \in \sigma(S)$ . Then there is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$$

such that  $S : \mathbb{R}^{n_{1,2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{1,2}}$  and  $S/\mathbb{R}^{n_1} = I$ ,  $1 \notin \sigma(\tilde{S})$  for  $\tilde{S} := S/\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ .

We split (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= g_1(x_1 + x_2), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= g_2(x_1 + x_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for  $g_i(x) = P_i g(x)$ , where  $P_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$  are orthogonal projections, and  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$  are globally Lipschitz continuous with a constant

*L.* Assumption (H2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x_1 + x_2) &= g_1(x_1 + \tilde{S}x_2), \\ \tilde{S}g_2(x_1 + x_2) &= g_2(x_1 + \tilde{S}x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g_2(x_1) = 0, \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ . Now  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solutions of (4.1) are given by conditions

$$x_1(t+T) = x_1(t), \quad x_2(t+T) = \tilde{S}x_2(t). \quad (4.2)$$

We see that any constant functions satisfying (4.2) are  $x_1(t) = \text{const}$  and  $x_2(t) = 0$ .

As in Section 2, we further split

$$x_1(t) = u(t) + c, \quad u \in L^2_{T,0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_1}), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1},$$

and decompose (4.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= [g_1(u(t) + c + x_2(t)) - g_1(c)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [g_1(u(s) + c + x_2(s)) - g_1(c)] ds, \\ \dot{x}_2(t) &= g_2(u(t) + c + x_2(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$0 = \int_0^T g_1(u(s) + c + x_2(s)) ds. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} L^2_{T,\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) &:= \left\{ h \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \mid h(t+T) = \tilde{S}h(t) \right\}, \\ W^{1,2}_{T,\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) &:= W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap L^2_{T,\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}). \end{aligned}$$

We need the following result similarly to Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3:

**Lemma 4.1.** (a) For any  $h \in L^2_{T,\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$  there is a unique function  $x_2 \in W^{1,2}_{T,\tilde{S}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$  satisfying the equation  $\dot{x}_2 = h$  and the estimate

$$\|x_2\|_2 \leq (\|\tilde{S} - I\|^{-1} + 1)T \|h\|_2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the usual integral norm in  $L^2((0, T), \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ .

(b) For any  $h \in L^2_{T,0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_1})$  there is a unique  $u \in W^{1,2}_{T,0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n_1})$  satisfying  $\dot{u} = h$  along with the estimate

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \|h\|_2.$$

Then from (4.3) we obtain

$$\|u\|_2^2 + \|x_2\|_2^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{4\pi^2} + (\|\tilde{S} - I\|^{-1} + 1)^2 \right) T^2 L^2 \left( \|u\|_2^2 + \|x_2\|_2^2 \right).$$

So if

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi^2} + (\|\tilde{S} - I\| + 1)^2} TL < 1,$$

then  $u = 0$ ,  $x_2 = 0$ . Using results of Section 3, we arrive at the following

**Theorem 4.2.** *The number/period  $T$  of any nonconstant solution of (4.1) satisfying (4.2) fulfils the inequality*

$$T \geq L^{-1} \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{9\pi^2 + 1}}$$

for  $\tilde{S} = -I$ , and

$$T \geq L^{-1} \frac{2\pi \sin(\pi \tilde{r}_1 / p)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(\pi \tilde{r}_1 / p) + \pi^2 (1 + 2 \sin(\pi \tilde{r}_1 / p))^2}}$$

for  $\tilde{S} \neq -I$ , where  $\tilde{r}_1$  is defined for  $\tilde{S}$  as in Theorem 3.8 in place of  $S$ .

*Remark 4.3.* Theorems 3.5, 3.8, 4.2 and Remark 3.10 completely solve the minimal period problem for  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -symmetric autonomous ordinary differential equations, i. e., lower bounds for  $T$  of  $T$ - $S$ -symmetric solutions are derived for (3.1) satisfying assumptions (H1) and (H2) for a matrix  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $S^p = I$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AIZICOVICI, S., AND PAVEL, N. H.: *Anti-periodic solutions to a class of nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal., **99** (1991), 387–408.
- [2] BROWN, R. F.: *A Topological Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1993.
- [3] DOWELL, E. H.: *Flutter of a buckled plate as an example of chaotic motion of a deterministic autonomous system*, J. Sound Vibration, **85** (1982), 333–344.
- [4] EVANS, L. C.: *Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [5] FEČKAN, M.: *A symmetry theorem for variational problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, **16** (1991), 499–506.
- [6] FEČKAN, M.: *A symmetry theorem for ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, **23** (1994), 1437–1452.
- [7] FEČKAN, M.: *A symmetry theorem for dynamical system*, Nonlinear Analysis, **25** (1995), 591–605.
- [8] FEČKAN, M., MA, R., AND THOMPSON, B.: *Forced symmetric oscillations*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, to appear.
- [9] HOLMES, P., AND MARSDEN, J.: *A partial differential equation with infinitely many periodic orbits: chaotic oscillations of a forced beam*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **76** (1981), 135–165.

- [10] HOLMES, P., AND MARSDEN, J.: *Bifurcation to divergence and flutter in flow-induced oscillations: an infinite dimensional analysis*, Automatica, **14** (1978), 367–384.
- [11] JAMES, G., AND LIEBECK, M.: *Representations and Characters of Finite Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [12] MAWHIN, J., AND WALTER, W.: *A general symmetry principle and some implications* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **186** (1994), 778–798.
- [13] MEDVEĎ, M.: *On minimal periods for functional-differential equations and difference inclusions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **3** (1991), 263–270.
- [14] ROBINSON, J. C., AND VIDAL-LÓPEZ, A.: *Minimal periods of semilinear evolution equations with Lipschitz nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations, **220** (2006), 396–406 .
- [15] RONTÓ, A.: *A note on the periods of periodic solutions of some autonomous functional differential equations*, E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ., Proc. 6th Coll. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ., No. 25 (2000), 1–15.
- [16] SHOWALTER, R. E.: *Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

*Author's address*

**Michal Fečkan:**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKÁ DOLINA, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŠTEFÁNIKOVA 49, 814 73 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

*E-mail address:* Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk