



Miskolc Mathematical Notes
Vol. 16 (2015), No 1, pp. 91-99

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2015.1021

The Maschke-type theorem of smash products of generalized quantum commutative algebras over weak Hopf algebras

Quanguo Chen



THE MASCHKE-TYPE THEOREM OF SMASH PRODUCTS OF GENERALIZED QUANTUM COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS OVER WEAK HOPF ALGEBRAS

Q. G. CHEN

Received 19 October, 2013

Abstract. The paper is concerned with the semisimplicity of smash products of generalized quantum commutative algebras in weak Hopf algebra setting. Let H be a weak Hopf algebra over a field k and A any semisimple and generalized quantum commutative weak Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra. It is shown that $A \sharp H$ is semisimple if and only if A is a projective left $A \sharp H$ -module. Applying results to quasitriangular (weak) Hopf algebras is considered.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16W30

Keywords: weak Hopf algebra, smash product, module algebra

1. INTRODUCTION

In [10], Yang and Wang have proved the following statement:

Suppose that H is a finite dimensional quasitriangular Hopf algebra acting on an algebra A and A is quantum commutative. If A is semisimple, then $A \sharp H$ is semisimple if and only if A is a projective left $A \sharp H$ -module.

The statement above is extended to weak Hopf algebras setting by Zhai and Zhang in [11].

Weak Hopf algebras were introduced by Böhm et al. in [1] as an important generalization of ordinary Hopf algebras and groupoid algebras besides quasi-Hopf algebras, multiplier Hopf algebras, Hopf quasigroups, etc ([5, 6, 9]). The axioms are the same as the ones for a Hopf algebra, except that the coproduct of the unit, the product of the counit and the antipode condition are replaced by weaker properties. The initial motivation to study weak Hopf algebras comes from the fact that some classical theory and lots of basic properties of ordinary Hopf algebras have “weak” analogues (see [3, 4, 7, 8]).

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11261063), the Foundation for Excellent Youth Science and Technology Innovation Talents of Xin Jiang Uygur Autonomous Region(No. 2013721043).

- (W5) $h_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) = 1_{(1)}h \otimes 1_{(2)}$, $\varepsilon_s(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes h1_{(2)}$,
(W6) $\varepsilon_t \circ \varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_t$, $\varepsilon_s \circ \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_s$,
(W7) $\varepsilon_t \circ S = \varepsilon_t \circ \varepsilon_s = S \circ \varepsilon_s$, $\varepsilon_s \circ S = \varepsilon_s \circ \varepsilon_t = S \circ \varepsilon_t$,
(W8) $S(hg) = S(g)S(h)$, $S(h_{(2)}) \otimes S(h_{(1)}) = S(h)_{(1)} \otimes S(h)_{(2)}$ and
 $S(1) = 1$, $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$,
(W9) $h_1 \varepsilon_s(g) \otimes h_2 = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} S(\varepsilon_s(g))$, $h_1 \otimes \varepsilon_t(g) h_2 = S(\varepsilon_t(g)) h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$.

2.2. Quasitriangular weak Hopf algebras

Recall from Nikshych et al. in [8] that a *quasitriangular weak Hopf algebra* is a pair (H, R) , where H is a weak Hopf algebra and $R = R^1 \otimes R^2 \in \Delta^{op}(1)(H \otimes H)\Delta(1)$ such that

- (Q1) There exists $\bar{R} \in \Delta(1)(H \otimes_k H)\Delta^{op}(1)$ with $R\bar{R} = \Delta^{op}(1)$ and $\bar{R}R = \Delta(1)$.

- (Q2) For all $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^{op}(h)R = R\Delta(h), \\ (id \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12}, \\ (\Delta \otimes id)R = R_{13}R_{23} \end{cases}$$

where Δ^{op} denotes the comultiplication opposite to Δ , $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$, $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R$, etc., as usual.

Let (H, R) be a quasitriangular weak Hopf algebra. Then the following six identities hold:

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon_s \otimes id)(R) = \Delta(1), & (id \otimes \varepsilon_s)(R) = (S \otimes id)\Delta^{op}(1), \\ (\varepsilon_t \otimes id)(R) = \Delta^{op}(1), & (id \otimes \varepsilon_t)(R) = (S \otimes id)\Delta(1), \\ (S \otimes id)(R) = (id \otimes S^{-1})(R) = \bar{R}, & (S \otimes S)(R) = R. \end{cases}$$

2.3. Weak module algebras

Let H be a weak Hopf algebra. An algebra A is called a *left weak H -module algebra*, if A is a left H -module via $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$ such that, for any $a, b \in A$ and $h \in H$,

$$h \cdot (ab) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot b), \quad h \cdot 1_A = \varepsilon_t(h) \cdot 1_A.$$

Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a weak left H -module algebra. Recall from Nikshych in [8] that a *weak smash product* $A \sharp H$ of A and H is defined on a k -vector space $A \otimes_{H_t} H$, where H is a left H_t -module via its multiplication and A is a right H_t -module via

$$a \cdot x = S^{-1}(x) \cdot a = a(x \cdot 1_A), \quad a \in A, \quad x \in H_t.$$

Its multiplication is given by the following formula:

$$(a \sharp h)(b \sharp g) = a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \sharp h_{(2)} g,$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in H$. Then $A \sharp H$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_A \sharp 1_H$.

2.4. Weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebras

A k -algebra A is called a *weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra*, if A satisfies the following conditions:

(WQ1) A is a weak left H -module algebra,

(WQ2) A is a right H^{op} -comodule algebra, i.e., the comodule structure map

$$\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H \text{ satisfies}$$

$$a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} = 1_{(1)} \cdot a_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(2)} a_{[1]}, \quad \rho(ab) = a_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes b_{[1]} a_{[1]}, \quad \rho(1) = (id \otimes \varepsilon_t) \rho(1),$$

where $\rho(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}$ denotes the coaction.

(WQ3) (WQ1) and (WQ2) satisfy the Yetter-Drinfeld condition

$$(h_{(2)} \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot a)_{[1]} h_{(1)} = h_{(1)} \cdot a_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)} a_{[1]},$$

for all $h \in H, a \in A$.

Remark 1. The Yetter-Drinfeld condition is equivalent to

$$\rho(h \cdot a) = h_{(2)} \cdot a_{[0]} \otimes h_{(3)} a_{[1]} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}). \quad (2.1)$$

Example 1. Let H be a weak Hopf algebra. $\{1_{(1)} h S(1_{(2)}) | h \in H\}$ is a weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra with the action and coaction given as follows:

$$g \cdot (1_{(1)} h S(1_{(2)})) = g_{(1)} h S(g_{(2)}), \quad \rho(1_{(1)} h S(1_{(2)})) = 1_{(1)} h_{(2)} S(1_{(2)}) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)}).$$

Example 2. Let (H, R) be a quasitriangular weak Hopf algebra. Given any left weak H -module algebra A , one can define a right H^{op} -coaction on A as follows:

$$\rho(a) = R^2 \cdot a \otimes R^1.$$

With the above coaction, it is easily checked that A is a weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we assume that H is a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S , and A a weak left H -module algebra, and $A \sharp H$ the weak smash product algebra.

Definition 1. Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra. A is called a *generalized quantum commutative algebras*, if A satisfies, for all $a, b \in H$

$$ab = b_{[0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a).$$

Lemma 1. *For any weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld H -module algebra A . Then, for all $a \in A$,*

$$1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{1} \otimes 1_{[1](2)} = 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(1)} 1_{[1]} \otimes 1_{(2)} \quad (3.1)$$

$$a_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon_t(a_{[1]}) = a 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$a_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon_s(a_{[1]}) = 1_{[0]}a \otimes S(1_{[1]}). \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 2. *For any generalized quantum commutative algebra A . Then, for all $a, b \in A$,*

$$ab = (S(a_{[1]}) \cdot b)a_{[0]} \iff ab = b_{[0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For all $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S(a_{[1]}) \cdot b)a_{[0]} &= a_{[0][0]}(a_{[0][1]}S(a_{[1]}) \cdot b) \\ &= a_{[0]}(\varepsilon_t(a_{[1]}) \cdot b) \\ &= a1_{[0]}(1_{[1]} \cdot b) = ab. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, For all $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{[0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a) &= (S(b_{[0][1]})b_{[1]} \cdot a)b_{[0][0]} \\ &= (S(b_{1})b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)b_{[0]} \\ &= (S(1_{[1]}) \cdot a)1_{[0]}b = ab. \end{aligned}$$

So we finish the proof. \square

Lemma 3. *For any left weak H -module algebra A . Then M is a left $A \sharp H$ -module if and only if M is both a left H -module and a left A -module and satisfies the following compatible condition*

$$h \cdot (a \cdot m) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a) \cdot (h_{(2)} \cdot m),$$

for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Lemma 4. *Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a generalized quantum commutative algebra. Then, for all $a, b \in A$,*

$$a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes b_{[1]}a_{[1]} = b_{[0]}(b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[1]}b_{1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. For all $a, b \in A$, apply ρ to the identity $ab = b_{[0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(b_{[0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a)) &= b_{[0][0]}(b_{[1]} \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (b_{[1]} \cdot a)_{[1]}b_{[0][1]} \\ &= b_{[0]}(b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[1]}b_{1} \\ &= \rho(ab). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 5. *Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a generalized quantum commutative algebra. If M is a left $A \sharp H$ -module, then M is an A -bimodule with the right module action of A on M as follows*

$$\leftarrow: M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes a \mapsto m \leftarrow a = a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot m),$$

and for all $h \in H$,

$$h \cdot (m \leftarrow a) = (h_{(1)} \cdot m) \leftarrow (h_{(2)} \cdot a).$$

Proof. First, we shall check that M is a right A -module. In fact, for all $m \in M$ and $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(m \leftarrow a) \leftarrow b &= (a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot m)) \leftarrow b \\
&= b_{[0]} \cdot (b_{[1]} \cdot (a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot m))) \\
&= b_{[0]} \cdot ((b_{1} \cdot a_{[0]}) \cdot (b_{[1](2)} a_{[1]} \cdot m)) \\
&= (b_{[0]}(b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[0]}) \cdot ((b_{[1](2)} \cdot a)_{[1]} b_{1} \cdot m) \\
&= a_{[0]} b_{[0]} \cdot (b_{[1]} a_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= (ab)_{[0]} ((ab)_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= m \leftarrow ab
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m \leftarrow 1 &= 1_{[0]} \cdot (1_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= 1_{[0]}(1_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \cdot (1_{(2)} 1_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= 1_{[0]}(S(1_{(1)}) \cdot 1_A) \cdot (1_{(2)} \varepsilon_t(1_{[1]}) \cdot m) \\
&= (1_{[0]}(\varepsilon_t(1_{[1]}) S(1_{(1)}) \cdot 1_A)) \cdot (1_{(2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (1_{[0]}(1_{[1]} S(1_{(1)}) \cdot 1_A)) \cdot (1_{(2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (S(1_{(1)}) \cdot 1_A) \cdot (1_{(2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (1_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \cdot (1_{(2)} \cdot m) = m.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall check M is an A -bimodule, i.e., $(a \cdot m) \leftarrow b = a \cdot (m \leftarrow b)$. As a matter of fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \cdot m) \leftarrow b &= b_{[0]} \cdot (b_{[1]} \cdot (a \cdot m)) \\
&= b_{[0]} \cdot ((b_{1} \cdot a) \cdot (b_{[1](2)} \cdot m)) \\
&= (b_{[0]}(b_{1} \cdot a)) \cdot (b_{[1](2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (b_{[0][0]}(b_{[0][1]} \cdot a)) \cdot (b_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= ab_{[0]} \cdot (b_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= a \cdot (m \leftarrow b).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for all $a \in A, m \in M$ and $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_{(1)} \cdot m) \leftarrow (h_{(2)} \cdot a) &= (h_{(2)} \cdot a)_{[0]} ((h_{(2)} \cdot a)_{[1]} h_{(1)} \cdot m) \\
&= (h_{(1)} \cdot a_{[0]}) (h_{(2)} a_{[1]} \cdot m) \\
&= h \cdot (a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot m)) = h \cdot (m \leftarrow a).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 6. For all left $A\sharp H$ -module M , we have

$${}_{A\sharp H}\text{Hom}(A, M) \cong M^H, F : f \mapsto f(1_A),$$

where $M^H = \{m \in M \mid h \cdot m = \varepsilon_t(h) \cdot m, \quad \forall h \in H\}$ and A is left $A\sharp H$ -module via

$$(a\sharp h) \cdot b = a(h \cdot b).$$

Proof. For given $0 \neq m \in M^H$, we define a map via

$$f : a \mapsto (a\sharp 1_H) \cdot m.$$

Throughout standard computation, we can show that $f \in {}_{A\sharp H}\text{Hom}(A, M)$. Based on this, we can check that F is bijective in a straightforward way. \square

Lemma 7. Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a generalized quantum commutative algebra. Then, for all left $A\sharp H$ -modules M and N .

- (1) $\text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A) \in {}_{A\sharp H}M$,
- (2) $\text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)^H = {}_{A\sharp H}\text{Hom}(M, N)$,

where $\text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)$ denotes the space of the right A -module homomorphisms.

Proof. (1) Let $M, N \in {}_{A\sharp H}M$. Then M, N are both A -bimodules from Lemma 5. For all $a\sharp h \in A\sharp H$, $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A) \in {}_{A\sharp H}M$, define action of $A\sharp H$ on $\text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A) \in {}_{A\sharp H}M$ by

$$((a\sharp h) \cdot f)(m) = a \cdot (h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m)),$$

for all $m \in M$. We shall check $(a\sharp h) \cdot f \in \text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)$. For all $c \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a\sharp h) \cdot f)(m \leftarrow c) &= a \cdot (h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot (m \leftarrow c))) \\ &= a \cdot ((h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m)) \leftarrow h_{(2)}S(h_{(3)}) \cdot c) \\ &= a \cdot ((1_{(1)}h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m)) \leftarrow 1_{(2)} \cdot c) \\ &= a \cdot ((h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m)) \leftarrow c) \\ &= (a \cdot (h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m))) \leftarrow c \\ &= ((a\sharp h) \cdot f)(m) \leftarrow c. \end{aligned}$$

It is checked directly that $\text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A) \in {}_{A\sharp H}M$ is a left $A\sharp H$ -module.

(2) For all $f \in {}_{A\sharp H}\text{Hom}(M, N)$, then f is both a left H -module morphism and a left A -module morphism between M and N . We shall check that $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)^H$. For all $m \in M$ and $a \in A$, since

$$f(m \leftarrow a) = f(a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot m)) = a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]} \cdot f(m)) = f(m) \leftarrow m,$$

we conclude that f is a right A -linear. Also, for any $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$(h \cdot f)(m) = h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m) = h_{(1)}S(h_{(2)}) \cdot f(m) = \varepsilon_t(h) \cdot f(m),$$

i.e., $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)^H$. Conversely, First, we can define the left H_S -action on M by restricting the $A\sharp H$ -action on $M : x \cdot m = m \leftarrow (h \cdot 1_A)$, for all $m \in M, h \in H_S$.

Using Remark 1 and Lemma 3, it is easy to see that right A -module homomorphisms are morphisms of left H_S -modules. For all $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M_A, N_A)^H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot f(m) &= h_{(1)}\varepsilon_s(h_{(2)}) \cdot f(m) \\
&= h_{(1)} \cdot f(\varepsilon_s(h_{(2)}) \cdot m) \\
&= (h_{(1)} \cdot f)(h_{(2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (\varepsilon_t(h_{(1)}) \cdot f)(h_{(2)} \cdot m) \\
&= (\varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \cdot f)(1_{(2)}h \cdot m) \\
&= (1_{(1)} \cdot f)(1_{(2)}h \cdot m) \\
&= 1_{(1)} \cdot f(S(1_{(2)})1_{(3)}h \cdot m) \\
&= 1_{(1)}\varepsilon_s(1_{(2)}) \cdot f(h \cdot m) = f(h \cdot m),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., f is a left H -module map. Now, we shall check that f is a left A -module map. Indeed, for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(a \cdot m) &= f(a1_{[0]} \cdot (1_{[1]} \cdot m)) \\
&= f(a_{[0]} \cdot (\varepsilon_t(a_{[1]}) \cdot m)) \\
&= f(a_{[0]} \cdot (a_{[1]1}S(a_{[1]2}) \cdot m)) \\
&= f(a_{[0][0]} \cdot (a_{[0][1]}S(a_{[1]}) \cdot m)) \\
&= f((S(a_{[1]}) \cdot m) \leftarrow a_{[0]}) \\
&= (S(a_{[1]}) \cdot f(m)) \leftarrow a_{[0]} = a \cdot f(m).
\end{aligned}$$

So we get that $f \in {}_{A\#H}\text{Hom}(M, N)$. \square

Now, we can present the main result in this section.

Theorem 1. *Let H be a weak Hopf algebra and A a generalized quantum commutative. If A is semisimple, then $A\#H$ is semisimple if and only if A is a projective left $A\#H$ -module algebra.*

Proof. Assume A is a projective left $A\#H$ -module, then the functor ${}_{A\#H}\text{Hom}(A, -)$ is exact. For any left $A\#H$ -module M , it is viewed as a right A -module via “ \leftarrow ” in Lemma 5. Since A is semisimple, M is projective as a right A -module. Hence the functor $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ is exact. Further, the composition functor ${}_{A\#H}\text{Hom}(A, \text{Hom}_A(M, -))$ is also exact. From Lemma 6 and 7, we get

$${}_{A\#H}\text{Hom}(A, \text{Hom}_A(M, N)) \cong {}_{A\#H}\text{Hom}(M, N),$$

for any left $A\#H$ -module M and N . Then M is a projective left $A\#H$ -module, hence $A\#H$ is semisimple. The converse is obvious. \square

Next, we shall apply Theorem 1 to Example 1. Given a quasitriangular weak Hopf algebra (H, R) and weak H -module algebra A , A is a weak quantum Yetter-Drinfeld

H -module algebra with the coaction defined in Example 1. Then the generalized quantum commutative condition in Definition 1 takes the following form

$$ab = (R^2 \cdot b)(R^1 \cdot a).$$

With the assumption above and by Theorem 1, we have the main result of Zhai and Zhang in [11].

Corollary 1. *Let (H, R) be a quasitriangular weak Hopf algebra and A a quantum commutative. If A is semisimple, then $A \sharp H$ is semisimple if and only if A is a projective left $A \sharp H$ -module algebra.*

Remark 2. If $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, weak Hopf algebras are just Hopf algebras. Corollary 1 recovers to the results of Yang and Wang in [10].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments on this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Böhm, F. Nill, and K. Szlachányi, “Weak Hopf algebras i: integral theory and C^* -structure,” *J. Alg.*, vol. 221, pp. 385–438, 1999.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, and Y. Zhang, “Quantum Yang-Baxter module algebras,” *K-Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 231–255, 1994.
- [3] P. Etingof and D. Nikshych, “Dynamical quantum groups at roots of 1,” *Duke J. Math.*, vol. 108, pp. 135–168, 2001.
- [4] P. Etingof and O. Schiffmann, “Lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations,” *Lect. Notes Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 290, pp. 89–129, 2001.
- [5] Z. M. Jiao and Y. L. Wang, “The smash coproduct for Hopf quasigroups,” *Int. Electron. J. Alg.*, vol. 12, pp. 94–102, 2012.
- [6] J. Klim and S. Majid, “Hopf quasigroups and the algebraic 7-sphere,” *J. Alg.*, vol. 323, pp. 3067–3110, 2010.
- [7] D. Nikshych, “A duality theorem for quantum groupoids,” *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 267, pp. 237–243, 2000.
- [8] D. Nikshych, V. Turaev, and L. Vainerman, “Quantum groupoids and invariants of knots and 3-manifolds,” *Topol. Appl.*, vol. 127, pp. 91–123, 2003.
- [9] A. Van Daele, “Multiplier Hopf algebras,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 342, pp. 917–932, 1994.
- [10] S. L. Yang and Z. X. Wang, “The semisimplicity of smash products of quantum commutative algebras,” *Comm. Alg.*, vol. 27, pp. 1165–1170, 1999.
- [11] W. J. Zhai and L. Y. Zhang, “The Maschke’s theorem for smash products of quasitriangular weak Hopf algebras,” *Abh. Math. Sem. Hambg.*, vol. 81, pp. 35–44, 2011.

Author’s address

Q. G. Chen

Quan-guo Chen, Yili Normal University, Department of Mathematics, 835000 Yining, China

E-mail address: cqg211@163.com