



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 15 (2014), No 2, pp. 439-446

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2014.533

# Nonlocal and integral conditions problems for a multi-term fractional-order differential equation

*A.M.A. El-Sayed and E.O. Bin-Taher*



## NONLOCAL AND INTEGRAL CONDITIONS PROBLEMS FOR A MULTI-TERM FRACTIONAL-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

A. M. A. EL-SAYED AND E. O. BIN-TAHER

*Received 03 May, 2012*

*Abstract.* In this paper we study the existence of solution for a multi-term arbitrary (fractional) order differential equation with some nonlocal and integral conditions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34B10; 26A33

*Keywords:* fractional calculus, nonlocal condition, integral condition, fractional differential equation

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Problems with non-local conditions have been extensively studied by several authors in the last two decades. The reader is referred to [1, 2, 5] and references therein. In this work we study the existence of at least one absolutely continuous solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$  for the nonlocal problem of the arbitrary (fractional) order differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t, D^{\alpha_1}x(t), D^{\alpha_2}x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n}x(t)), \alpha_i \in (0, 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, 1) \quad (1.1)$$

with the nonlocal condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x(\tau_k) = \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j x(\eta_j) \quad (1.2)$$

where  $a_k, b_j > 0$ ,  $\tau_k \in (a, c)$ ,  $\eta_j \in (d, b)$ ,  $0 < a < c \leq d < b < 1$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \neq \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j$  and  $\beta$  is parameter.

As an application, we deduce the existence of solution for the nonlocal problem of the differential equation (1.1) with the nonlocal condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x(\tau_k) = 0, \quad \tau_k \in (a, c) \subset (0, 1), \quad (1.3)$$

and the nonlocal integral conditions

$$\int_a^c x(s) ds = \beta \int_d^b x(s) ds, \quad 0 < a < c \leq d < b < 1, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\int_a^c x(s) ds = 0, \quad (a, c) \subset (0, 1). \quad (1.5)$$

are also considered.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L^1(I)$  denotes the class of Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval  $I = [0, 1]$ , where  $0 \leq a < b < \infty$  and let  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the gamma function. Recall that the operator  $T$  is compact if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into relatively compact ones. The set of all compact operators from the subspace  $U \subset X$  into the Banach space  $X$  is denoted by  $C(U, X)$ .

**Definition 1.** The fractional-order integral of the function  $f \in L_1[a, b]$  of order  $\beta > 0$  is defined by (see [7])

$$I_a^\beta f(t) = \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f(s) ds.$$

**Definition 2.** The Riemann-Liouville fractional-order derivative of  $f(t)$  of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is defined as (see [6] and [7])

$$D_a^\alpha f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(s) ds.$$

The following theorems will be needed.

**Theorem 1** (Schauder fixed point theorem [3]). *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $Q$  be a convex subset of  $E$ , and  $T : Q \rightarrow Q$  is compact, continuous map, Then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $Q$ .*

**Theorem 2** (Kolmogorov compactness criterion [4]). *Let  $\Omega \subseteq L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If*

- (i)  $\Omega$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, 1)$ , and
- (ii)  $u_h \rightarrow u$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $u \in \Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  is relatively compact in  $L^p(0, 1)$ , where

$$u_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} u(s) ds.$$

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Consider firstly the fractional-order functional integral equation

$$y(t) = f(t, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t)), \quad (3.1)$$

**Definition 3.** The function  $y$  is called a solution of the fractional-order functional integral equation (3.1), if  $y \in L_1[0, 1]$  and satisfies (3.1).

Consider the following assumption:

- (i)  $f : [0, 1] \times R_n \rightarrow R$  be a function with the following properties:
  - (a) for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f(t, \cdot)$  is continuous,
  - (b) for each  $x \in R_n$ ,  $f(\cdot, x)$  is measurable,
- (ii) there exist an integral function  $a$ ,  $a \in L_1[0, 1]$  and constants  $q_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$|f(t, x)| \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i |x_i|, \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1], x \in R_n,$$

**Theorem 3.** Let the assumptions (i) and (ii) be satisfied.

$$\text{If } \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_i)} < 1, \quad (3.2)$$

then the fractional-order functional integral equation (3.1) has at least one solution  $y \in L_1[0, 1]$ , where

$$r \leq \frac{\|a\|}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_i)}}$$

*Proof.* Define the operator  $T$  associated with equation (3.1) by

$$Ty(t) = f(t, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t))$$

Let  $B_r = \{y \in L_1(I) : \|y\| < r, r > 0\}$  and let  $y$  be an arbitrary element in  $B_r$ . Then from the assumptions (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ty\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^1 |Ty(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f(t, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t))| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |a(t)| dt + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{-\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_i)} |y(s)| ds dt \\ &\leq \|a\| + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \int_0^1 \int_s^1 \frac{(t-s)^{-\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_i)} dt |y(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|a\| + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \int_0^1 \frac{(t-s)^{1-\alpha_i}}{(1-\alpha_i)\Gamma(1-\alpha_i)} |y(s)| ds \\
&\leq \|a\| + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_i)} |y(s)| ds \\
&\leq \|a\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_i)} \|y\|_{L_1} \leq r,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the operator  $T$  maps  $B_r$  into itself.

Assumption (i) implies that  $T$  is continuous.

Now, we will show that  $T$  is compact, applying Theorem 1. So, let  $\Omega$  be a bounded subset of  $B_r$ . Then  $T(\Omega)$  is bounded in  $L_1[0, 1]$ , i.e. condition (i) of Theorem 2 is satisfied. It remains to show that  $(Ty)_h \rightarrow Ty$  in  $L_1[0, 1]$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly with respect to  $Ty \in T\Omega$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Ty)_h - Ty\| &= \int_0^1 |(Ty)_h(t) - (Ty)(t)| dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} (Ty)(s) ds - (Ty)(t) \right| dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |(Ty)(s) - (Ty)(t)| ds \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f(s, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t)) \\
&\quad - f(t, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t))| ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $y \in \Omega$  implies (by assumption (ii)) that  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} &|f(s, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t)) - \\
&f(t, I^{1-\alpha_1} y(t), \dots, I^{1-\alpha_n} y(t))| ds \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2, we have that  $T(\Omega)$  is relatively compact, that is,  $T$  is a compact operator, then the operator  $T$  has a fixed point  $B_r$ , which proves the existence of a positive solution  $y \in (0, 1)$  of equation (3.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 be satisfied. Then the nonlocal problem (1.1)- (1.2) has at least one solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the nonlocal fractional differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t, D^{\alpha_1}x(t), D^{\alpha_2}x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n}x(t)), \alpha_i \in (0, 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, 1),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x(\tau_k) = \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j x(\eta_j), \quad a_k, b_j > 0, \tau_k \in (0, c), \eta_j \in (d, 1), c \leq d.$$

Let  $y(t) = x'(t)$ , then

$$x(t) = x(0) + Iy(t) \quad (3.3)$$

and  $y$  is the solution of the fractional-order integral equation (3.1).

Let  $t = \tau_k$  in equation (3.3), we get

$$x(\tau_k) = \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds + x(0)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x(\tau_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds + x(0) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k$$

And let  $t = \eta_j$  in equation (3.3), we get

$$x(\eta_j) = \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds + x(0)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^p b_j x(\eta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds + x(0) \sum_{j=1}^p b_j$$

From equation (1.2), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds + x(0) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k = \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds + x(0) \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j$$

Then we get

$$x(0) = A \left( \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds - \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds \right),$$

where  $A = (\beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k)^{-1}$

and

$$x(t) = A \left( \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds - \beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds \right) + \int_0^t y(s) ds \quad (3.4)$$

which, by Theorem 3, has at least one solution  $x \in AC(0, 1)$ .

Now, from equation (3.4), we have

$$x(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x(t) = A \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds - A\beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} x(t) = A \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds - A\beta \sum_{j=1}^p b_j \int_0^{\eta_j} y(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 y(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that equation (3.4) has at least one solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$ .

To complete the proof

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= y(t), \\ D^{\alpha_i} x(t) &= I^{1-\alpha_i} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) = I^{1-\alpha_i} y(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), D^{\alpha_1} x(t), D^{\alpha_2} x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n} x(t)).$$

□

Now letting  $\beta = 0$  in (1.2), we can easily prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let the assumptions (i) - (ii) be satisfied. Then the nonlocal problem*

$$x'(t) = f(t, D^{\alpha_1} x(t), D^{\alpha_2} x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n} x(t)), \alpha_i \in (0, 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, 1),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x(\tau_k) = 0, \tau_k \in (a, c) \subset (0, 1).$$

has at least one solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$  represented by

$$x(t) = A \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \int_0^{\tau_k} y(s) ds - \int_0^t y(s) ds, \text{ where } A = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \right)^{-1}.$$

#### 4. NONLOCAL INTEGRAL CONDITION

Let  $x \in AC[0, 1]$  be the solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1-1.2).

Let  $a_k = \tau_k - \tau_{k-1}$ ,  $t_k \in (\tau_{k-1}, \tau_k)$ ,  $a = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2, \dots < \tau_m = c$  and  $b_j = \eta_j - \eta_{j-1}$ ,  $t_j \in (\eta_{j-1}, \eta_j)$ ,  $d = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2, \dots < \eta_p = b$  then the nonlocal condition (1.2) will be

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}) x(t_k) = \beta \sum_{j=1}^p (\eta_j - \eta_{j-1}) x(t_j).$$

From the continuity of the solution  $x$  of the nonlocal problem (1.1-1.2) we can obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}) x(t_k) = \beta \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^p (\eta_j - \eta_{j-1}) x(t_j).$$

and the nonlocal condition (1.2) is transformed to the integral one

$$\int_a^c x(s) ds = \beta \int_d^b x(s) ds. \quad (4.1)$$

Also from the continuity of the function  $Iy(t)$ , where  $y$  is the solution of the functional integral equation (3.1), we deduce that the solution (3.4) will be

$$x(t) = (\beta(b-d) - (c-a))^{-1} \left( \int_a^c \int_0^s y(\theta) d\theta ds - \beta \int_d^b \int_0^s y(\theta) d\theta ds \right) + \int_0^t y(s) ds.$$

Now, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4 be satisfied. Then there exist at least one solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$  of the nonlocal problem with integral condition,*

$$x'(t) = f(t, D^{\alpha_1} x(t), D^{\alpha_2} x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n} x(t)), \alpha_i \in (0, 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, 1),$$

$$\int_a^c x(s) ds = \beta \int_d^b y(s) ds, 0 \leq a < c \leq d < b \leq 1, \beta(b-d) \neq (c-a).$$

Letting  $\beta = 0$  in (4.1), we can easily prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let the assumptions (i) - (ii) be satisfied. Then the nonlocal problem*

$$x'(t) = f(t, D^{\alpha_1} x(t), D^{\alpha_2} x(t), \dots, D^{\alpha_n} x(t)), \alpha_i \in (0, 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, 1),$$

$$\int_a^c x(s) ds = 0, (a, c) \subset (0, 1),$$

has at least one solution  $x \in AC[0, 1]$  represented by

$$x(t) = \int_0^t y(s) ds - (c-a)^{-1} \int_a^c \int_0^s y(\theta) d\theta ds.$$



## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Boucherif, "First-order differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions," *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 409–414, 2002.
- [2] A. Boucherif, "Semilinear evolution inclusions with nonlocal conditions," *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 1145–1149, 2009.
- [3] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [4] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Fixed point theory*. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 61. Warszawa: Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers), 1982, vol. I.
- [5] A. El-Sayed and S. El-Salam, "On the stability of a fractional-order differential equation with non-local initial condition," *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, vol. 2008, p. 8, 2008.
- [6] I. Podlubny and A. EL-Sayed, *On two definitions of fractional calculus*. Slovak Academy of Science-Institute of Experimental phys., 1996.
- [7] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*, ser. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. San Diego: CA: Academic Press, 1999, vol. 198.

*Authors' addresses***A. M. A. El-Sayed**

Alexandria University, Faculty of Science, Alexandria, Egypt

*E-mail address:* amasayed@hotmail.com

**E. O. Bin-Taher**

Hadhramout Univeristy of Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Hadhramout, Yemen

*E-mail address:* ebt@samsam@yahoo.com