



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 14 (2013), No 1, pp. 255-263

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2013.546

# Global optimal solutions for noncyclic mappings in $G$ -metric spaces

*Saeed Shabani and Abdolrahman Razani*



## GLOBAL OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS FOR NONCYCLIC MAPPINGS IN $G$ -METRIC SPACES

SAEED SHABANI AND ABDOLRAHMAN RAZANI

*Received 5 June, 2012*

*Abstract.* In this paper, the existence of solutions of some minimization problems for noncyclic mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces is studied. Our results can be considered as an extension of Abkar and Gabeleh's result [*Global Optimal Solutions of Noncyclic Mappings in Metric Spaces*, J. Optim. Theory. Appl. **153** (2011), 298–305] to the case of  $G$ -metric spaces.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 41A65; 46B20; 47H10

*Keywords:*  $G$ -metric space, noncyclic mapping, minimization problem

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2011, Abkar et al. [2] studied the existence of solutions of some specific minimization problems for noncyclic mappings in metric spaces. In 2006, Mustafa et al. [11] introduced the  $G$ -metric spaces as a generalization of the notion of metric spaces. Fixed point results and other results in  $G$ -metric spaces have been proved by a number of authors, see, e.g., [1, 3–5, 12, 14, 15]. In this paper we investigate some minimization problems for noncyclic mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces. This work extends results of Abkar et al. [2] to the case of  $G$ -metric spaces.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $N$  is the set of all natural numbers and  $R$  is the set of all real numbers. Generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by Gabler [8, 9] and by Dhage [6, 7]. Mustafa et al. [11] introduced a more appropriate notion of a generalized metric space as following.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,
- (2)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,

---

The second author was supported in part by Imam Khomeini International University, Grant No.751168-91.

- (3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $y \neq z$ ,
- (4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ ,
- (5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, w, w) + G(w, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ ,

The function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or, a  $G$ -metric on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

*Example 1.* ([11, Example 6.3]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and define the functions  $G_s$  and  $G_m$  with

$$G_s(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$$

$$G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(x, z)\}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$$

Then  $(X, G_s)$  and  $(X, G_m)$  are  $G$ -metric space.

Now, we recall some of the basic concepts for  $G$ -metric spaces from ([11]).

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$ , we say that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$  and write  $x_n \xrightarrow{G} x$  if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ , that is, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_n) = 0$ .
- (3)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x, x_n) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, a  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $G$ -Cauchy for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ , for all  $n, m, l \geq n_0$  that is  $\lim_{n, m, l \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_m, x_l) = 0$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy.
- (2) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq n_0$

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X_1, G_1)$  and  $(X_2, G_2)$  be  $G$ -metric spaces. A function  $f : (X_1, G_1) \rightarrow (X_2, G_2)$  is  $G$ -continuous at a point  $a \in X$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X_1$ ,  $G_1(a, x, y) < \delta$  implies  $G_2(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . A function  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous on  $X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -continuous at all  $a \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $(X_1, G_1)$  and  $(X_2, G_2)$  be  $G$ -metric spaces. A function  $f : (X_1, G_1) \rightarrow (X_2, G_2)$  is  $G$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if and only if whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

**Definition 5.** A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. A  $G$ -Ball with center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$  is

$$B_G(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : G(x_0, y, y) < r\}.$$

**Definition 7.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, then a set  $A \subset X$  is called  $\epsilon$ -net of  $(X, G)$  if given any  $x$  there is at least one point  $a \in A$  such that  $x \in B_G(a, \epsilon)$ . If the  $A$  is finite then  $A$  is called a finite  $\epsilon$ -net of  $(X, G)$ . Note that if  $A$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net then  $X = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_G(a, \epsilon)$ .

**Definition 8.** A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is called  $G$ -totally bounded if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $\epsilon$ -net.

**Definition 9.** A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is called  $G$ -compact space if it is  $G$ -complete and  $G$ -totally bounded.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $(X, G)$  is a  $G$ -compact space.
- (2)  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -sequentially compact, that is, if the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is such that  $\sup\{G(x_n, x_m, x_l) : n, m, l \in N\} < \infty$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  has a  $G$ -convergent subsequence.

**Theorem 1** ([12], Theorem 2.1). Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which satisfies the following condition, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \leq k \max\{G(x, y, z), G(x, T(x), T(x)), G(y, T(y), T(y)), G(z, T(z), T(z)), G(x, T(y), T(y)), G(y, T(z), T(z)), G(z, T(x), T(x))\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $k \in [0, 1/2)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ) and  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Definition 10.** Let  $A, B, C$  be subsets of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ . A mapping  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  is called relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \leq G(x, y, z), \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in A \times B \times C.$$

**Definition 11.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $A, B, C \subset X$ , then

$$dist(A, B, C) = \inf\{G(a, b, c) : a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}.$$

*Example 2.* Let  $R$  be equipped with the usual metric, and  $A = [-1, 0]$  and  $B = N_o$  and  $C = N_e$  where  $N_o$  and  $N_e$  are the set of odd natural numbers and even natural numbers, respectively. Let  $G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |x - z|, |y - z|\}$ , then  $dist(A, B, C) = 2$ .

**Definition 12.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $A, B, C \subset X$ ,  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  is said noncyclic mapping, if

$$T(A) \subset A, \quad T(B) \subset B, \quad T(C) \subset C.$$

We consider the following minimization problem: Find

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{a \in A} \{G(a, T(a), T(a))\}, \quad \min_{b \in B} \{G(b, T(b), T(b))\}, \\ & \min_{b \in B} \{G(c, T(c), T(c))\}, \quad \min_{(a,b,c) \in A \times B \times C} \{G(a, b, c)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We say that  $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in A \times B \times C$  is a solution of above problem, if

$$Tx^* = x^*, \quad Ty^* = y^*, \quad Tz^* = z^*,$$

and

$$G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \text{dist}(A, B, C).$$

**Definition 13.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $A, B, C \subset X$ , we set

$$A_0 = \{a \in A : G(a, b, c) = \text{dist}(A, B, C), \text{ for some } b \in B, c \in C\}$$

$$B_0 = \{b \in B : G(a, b, c) = \text{dist}(A, B, C), \text{ for some } a \in A, c \in C\}$$

$$C_0 = \{c \in C : G(a, b, c) = \text{dist}(A, B, C), \text{ for some } a \in A, b \in B\}$$

**Definition 14.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $A, B, C$  be nonempty subsets of  $X$ , with  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$ . We say that  $A, B, C$  have  $P$ -property iff

$$\begin{cases} G(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \text{dist}(A, B, C) \\ G(x_2, y_2, z_2) = \text{dist}(A, B, C) \\ G(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \text{dist}(A, B, C) \end{cases}$$

then

$$G(x_1, x_2, x_3) = G(y_1, y_2, y_3) = G(z_1, z_2, z_3),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A_0$  and  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in B_0$  and  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in C_0$ .

The above definition were found in the case of metric space in ([13]).

*Example 3.* Let  $A, B, C$  be nonempty subsets of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{dist}(A, B, C) = 0$ , then  $A, B, C$  have  $P$ -property.

**Definition 15.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping.  $T$  is called expansive if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \geq G(x, y, z).$$

**Definition 16.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping.  $T$  is said to be asymptotically regular iff  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(T^n x, T^{n+1} x, T^{n+1} x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

3. MAIN RESULTS

We start this section with the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be nonempty and closed subsets of a  $G$ -complete space  $(X, G)$  such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A, B, C$  satisfies the  $P$ -property. Let  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  be a noncyclic mapping. Suppose that*

- (1)  $T|_A$  be a mapping which satisfies in (2.1).
- (2)  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive.

Then the minimization problem (2.2) has a solution.

*Proof.* If  $x \in A_0$ , then there exist  $y \in B$  and  $z \in C$  such that  $G(x, y, z) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$ . Since  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive then

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \leq G(x, y, z) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$$

Hence  $Tx \in A_0$ .

Let  $x_0 \in A_0$  by Theorem 1 if  $x_n = T^n(x_0)$  then  $x_n \xrightarrow{G} x^*$  where  $x^*$  is unique fixed point of  $T$  in  $A$ . Since  $x_0 \in A_0$  there exist  $y_0 \in B$  and  $z_0 \in C$  such that  $G(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$ . Since  $x_1 = Tx_0 \in A_0$ , there exist  $y_1 \in B$  and  $z_1 \in C$  such that  $G(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$ . Using this process, we have a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $B$  and  $\{z_n\}$  in  $C$  such that

$$G(x_n, y_n, z_n) = \text{dist}(A, B, C) \quad \forall n \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

Since  $A, B, C$  have the  $P$ -property, we have for all  $m, n, l \in N \cup \{0\}$

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_l) = G(y_n, y_m, y_l) = G(z_n, z_m, z_l).$$

This implies that  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are  $G$ -Cauchy sequences, and there exist  $y^* \in B$  and  $z^* \in C$  such that  $y_n \xrightarrow{G} y^*$  and  $z_n \xrightarrow{G} z^*$ . Thus

$$G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, y_n, z_n) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$$

Since

$$G(T(x^*), T(y^*), T(z^*)) \leq G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$$

Therefore by the  $P$ -property, we have

$$G(x^*, T(x^*), T(x^*)) = G(y^*, T(y^*), T(y^*)) = G(z^*, T(z^*), T(z^*))$$

Thus  $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in A \cup B \cup C$  is a solution of the minimization problem (2.2).  $\square$

*Example 4.* Let  $R$  be equipped with the usual metric, and  $G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |x - z|, |y - z|\}$ . Let  $A = [-2, 0]$  and  $B = \{1\}$  and  $C = [2, 3]$ . It is obvious that

$A_0 = \{0\}, B_0 = \{1\}, C_0 = \{2\}$ . Define  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  with

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4} & x \in A \\ 1 & x \in B \\ \frac{x+2}{2} & x \in C \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that all the conditions of Theorem 2 hold. Therefore, the minimization problem (2.2) has a solution  $(x^*, y^*, z^*) = (0, 1, 2)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A, B, C$  be nonempty subsets of a  $G$ -complete space  $(X, G)$  such that  $A$  is  $G$ -compact and  $B$  and  $C$  are  $G$ -closed. Let  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A, B, C$  satisfy the  $P$ -property. Let  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  be a noncyclic mapping. Then the minimization problem (2.2) has a solution provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive.
- (2)  $T|_A$  is a  $G$ -expansive.
- (3)  $T|_B$  and  $T|_C$  be mappings which satisfy in (2.1).

*Proof.* If  $x \in A_0$ , and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, (n \in N \cup \{0\})$ . By argument similar in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain that  $T(A_0) \subset A_0$  and there exist  $y_n$  in  $B$  and  $z_n$  in  $C$  such that

$$G(x_n, y_n, z_n) = \text{dist}(A, B, C) \quad \forall n \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

Since  $A$  is  $G$ -compact, by Proposition 4 there exist a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of the  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{G} x^* \in A$ . Since  $A, B, C$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,

$$G(x_{n_k}, x_{n_s}, x_{n_l}) = G(y_{n_k}, y_{n_s}, y_{n_l}) = G(z_{n_k}, z_{n_s}, z_{n_l}), \quad (k, s, l \in N).$$

This implies that  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are  $G$ -Cauchy sequences and there exist  $y^* \in B$  and  $z^* \in C$  such that  $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{G} y^*$  and  $z_{n_k} \xrightarrow{G} z^*$ . Thus

$$G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$$

Now we prove that  $x^*, y^*, z^* \in F(T)$ . Since  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive,

$$G(T^2(x^*), T^2(y^*), T^2(z^*)) = G(T(x^*), T(y^*), T(z^*)) = \text{dist}(A, B, C).$$

Since  $A, B, C$  satisfy the  $P$ -property, we have

$$G(x^*, T(x^*), T(x^*)) = G(y^*, T(y^*), T(y^*)) = G(z^*, T(z^*), T(z^*)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(T(x^*), T^2(x^*), T^2(x^*)) &= G(T(y^*), T^2(y^*), T^2(y^*)) \\ &= G(T(z^*), T^2(z^*), T^2(z^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $Ty^* \neq T^2y^*$ , since  $T|_B$  satisfies in (2.1),

$$G(T(y^*), T(T(y^*)), T(T(y^*))) \leq kG(y^*, T(y^*), T(y^*))$$

Thus since  $T|_A$  is a  $G$ -expansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(T(y^*), T^2(y^*), T^2(y^*)) &= G(T(y^*), T(T(y^*)), T(T(y^*))) \\ &\leq kG(y^*, T(y^*), T(y^*)) \\ &= kG(x^*, T(x^*), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq kG(T(x^*), T^2(x^*), T^2(x^*)) \\ &= kG(T(y^*), T^2(y^*), T^2(y^*)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contraction. Therefore  $Ty^* = T^2y^*$ . A similar argument implies that  $Tz^* = T^2z^*$ . Thus  $x^* = T(x^*)$  and  $y^* = T(y^*)$  and  $z^* = T(z^*)$ .  $\square$

*Example 5.* Let  $X = R^3$  and

$$G((x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2), (x_3, y_3, z_3)) = \max\{G_m(x_1, x_2, x_3), G_m(y_1, y_2, y_3), G_m(z_1, z_2, z_3)\},$$

where  $G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |x - z|, |y - z|\}$ . Let  $A = \{(x, 0, 0) : -1 \leq x \leq 0\}$  and  $B = \{(0, y, 0) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$  and  $C = \{(0, 0, z) : -1 \leq z \leq 1\}$ . It is obvious that  $A_0 = B_0 = C_0 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  and  $dist(A, B, C) = 0$ , therefore  $A, B, C$  have the  $P$ -property. Define  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  with

$$T(x, 0, 0) = (-x, 0, 0), \quad T(0, y, 0) = (0, \frac{y}{4}, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad T(0, 0, z) = (0, 0, \frac{z}{4}).$$

It is easy to check that all the conditions of Theorem 3 hold. Therefore the minimization problem (2.2) has a solution  $x^* = y^* = z^* = (0, 0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be nonempty subsets of a  $G$ -complete space  $(X, G)$  such that  $A$  is  $G$ -compact and  $B$  and  $C$  are  $G$ -closed. Let  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A, B, C$  satisfy the  $P$ -property. Let  $T : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  be a noncyclic mapping. Then the minimization problem (2.2) has a solution provided that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive.
- (2)  $T|_A$  is  $G$ -continuous and asymptotically regular.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}_G, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{x_{n_k}\}_G, \{y_{n_k}\}, \{z_{n_k}\}, x^*, y^*$  and  $z^*$  be as in Theorem 3. We have  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{G} x^* \in A, y_{n_k} \rightarrow y^* \in B, z_{n_k} \xrightarrow{G} z^* \in C$  and  $G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = dist(A, B, C)$ . From Proposition 3, since  $T|_A$  is  $G$ -continuous, we have

$$x_{n_k+1} = T(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{G} T(x^*).$$

Also by the asymptotic regularity of  $T|_A$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(x^*, T(x^*), T(x^*)) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{n_k}, T(x_{n_k}), T(x_{n_k})) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(T^{n_k}(x_0), T^{n_k+1}(x_0), T^{n_k+1}(x_0)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $T(x^*) = x^*$ . Since  $T$  is relatively  $G$ -nonexpansive, we have

$$G(T(x^*), T(y^*), T(z^*)) \leq G(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \text{dist}(A, B, C)$$

Therefore by the  $P$ -property, we have

$$G(x^*, T(x^*), T(x^*)) = G(y^*, T(y^*), T(y^*)) = G(z^*, T(z^*), T(z^*))$$

Hence  $T(y^*) = y^*$  and  $T(z^*) = z^*$ . □

**QUESTION:** In 2011, Karapinar [10] obtain some common fixed point results in partial metric spaces. Can one study the minimization problem (2.2) for two mappings in partial metric spaces?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, "Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 215, no. 1, pp. 262–269, 2009.
- [2] A. Abkar and M. Gabeleh, "Global optimal solutions of noncyclic mappings in metric spaces," *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 298–305, 2012.
- [3] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and Z. Mustafa, "On common fixed points in  $G$ -metric spaces using (E.A) property," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 2012, 2012.
- [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and W. Shatanawi, "Tripled common fixed point results for generalized contractions in ordered generalized metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2012, 2012.
- [5] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and W. Shatanawi, "Tripled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces," *J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 2012, p. 10, 2012.
- [6] B. C. Dhage, "Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point," *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 329–336, 1992.
- [7] B. C. Dhage, "Generalized metric spaces and topological structure I," *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi, Ser. Nouă, Mat.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 3–24, 2000.
- [8] S. Gähler, "2-metrische räume und ihre topologische struktur," *Math. Nachr.*, vol. 26, pp. 115–148, 1963.
- [9] S. Gähler, "Zur geometrie 2-metrischer räume," *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, vol. 11, pp. 665–667, 1966.
- [10] E. Karapinar, "A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces," *Math. Notes, Miskolc*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 185–191, 2011.
- [11] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 286–297, 2006.
- [12] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete  $G$ -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2009, p. 10, 2009.
- [13] V. S. Raj, "A best proximity point theorem for weakly contractive non-self-mappings," *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods*, vol. 74, no. 14, pp. 4804–4808, 2011.
- [14] R. Saadati, S. M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces," *Math. Comput. Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 5-6, pp. 797–801, 2010.
- [15] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and W. Shatanawi, "Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in  $G$ -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2012, 2012.

*Authors' addresses***Saeed Shabani**

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

*E-mail address:* s.shabani@srbiau.ac.ir, shabani60@gmail.com

**Abdolrahman Razani**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, P.O.Box 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran.

*E-mail address:* razani@ikiu.ac.ir