

Research



Cite this article: Silva GG, Green AJ, Weber V, Hoffmann P, Lovas-Kiss Á, Stenert C, Maltchik L. 2018 Whole angiosperms *Wolffia columbiana* disperse by gut passage through wildfowl in South America. *Biol. Lett.* **14**: 20180703.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0703>

Received: 4 October 2018

Accepted: 16 November 2018

Subject Areas:

plant science, ecology

Keywords:

Anatidae, avian vectors, duckweed, endozoochory, plant dispersal, vegetative propagule

Author for correspondence:

G. G. Silva

e-mail: giliandrog@gmail.com

[†]These authors contributed equally to this study.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at <https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4318607>.

Community ecology

Whole angiosperms *Wolffia columbiana* disperse by gut passage through wildfowl in South America

G. G. Silva^{1,†}, A. J. Green^{2,†}, V. Weber¹, P. Hoffmann¹, Á. Lovas-Kiss³, C. Stenert¹ and L. Maltchik¹

¹Laboratory of Ecology and Conservation of Aquatic Ecosystems, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos – UNISINOS, 950 Unisinos Avenue, São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil

²Department of Wetland Ecology, Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), C/Américo Vespucio 26, 41092 Sevilla, Spain

³Department of Tisza Research, MTA Centre for Ecological Research-DRI, Bem sq. 18/C. H-4026, Debrecen, Hungary

GGS, 0000-0001-5903-4043; AJG, 0000-0002-1268-4951; VW, 0000-0003-4554-6413; PH, 0000-0002-6705-1916; AL-K, 0000-0002-8811-1623; CS, 0000-0002-9095-2018; LM, 0000-0002-5321-7524

For the first time to our knowledge, we demonstrate that whole angiosperm individuals can survive gut passage through birds, and that this occurs in the field. Floating plants of the genus *Wolffia* are the smallest of all flowering plants. Fresh droppings of white-faced whistling duck *Dendrocygna viduata* ($n = 49$) and coscoroba swan *Coscoroba coscoroba* ($n = 22$) were collected from Brazilian wetlands. Intact *Wolffia columbiana* were recovered from 16% of *D. viduata* and 32% of *Coscoroba* samples (total = 164 plantlets). The viability of plants was tested, and asexual reproduction was confirmed. *Wolffia columbiana* is an expanding alien in Europe. Avian endozoochory of asexual angiosperm propagules may be an important, overlooked dispersal means for aquatic plants, and may contribute to the invasive character of alien species.

1. Introduction

The dispersal of viable plant units is recognized as a vital ecosystem service provided by birds, but the great majority of the literature focuses on the dispersal of seeds by frugivorous birds [1]. It is widely assumed that only plants with a fleshy fruit are adapted for endozoochory, i.e. dispersal through the gut passage of animals [2]. However, studies of waterbirds as plant vectors bring into question this assumption, and show that endozoochory by non-frugivorous birds is important. Wildfowl (Anseriformes: ducks, geese, swans and screamers) disperse seeds of many angiosperms lacking a fleshy fruit [3], are excellent vectors for long-distance dispersal [4] and have been recently shown to disperse viable moss fragments and fern spores in their guts [5,6]. Here we demonstrate they can disperse entire angiosperms by endozoochory.

The floating, rootless plants of the genus *Wolffia* (Araceae, Lemnoideae) are the world's smallest flowering plants [7]. Like their relatives the duckweeds *Lemna*, they are widely assumed to disperse via waterbirds, but by epizoochory (i.e. attaching on the outside). Darwin [8] observed that when a duck emerges from a pond, whole *Lemna* plantlets can adhere to its feathers, and there is experimental evidence to support this [9]. Even before Darwin [8], Weddell [10] described *Wolffia brasiliensis* from plants he found on the feathers of Brazilian screamer *Anhima cornuta*. *Wolffia columbiana* has a similar native range to *W. brasiliensis* in freshwater wetlands across temperate and tropical regions from Argentina to Canada [7]. It is also alien and spreading in Europe, where it threatens native *W. arrhiza* [11].

Waterbirds can disperse plants to new habitats they cannot reach by other means [4]. The distribution of *Wolffia* in their native and introduced ranges




Figure 1. (a) Wetland where faeces of *D. viduata* with *W. columbiana* were collected. (b) Intact plantlets obtained from faeces, showing a healthy appearance (bright green colour and integral structure). (c) Seven plantlets observed after 7 experimental days, confirming asexual reproduction. (d) Plants that died during the experiment lost their colour.

[11,12] indicates they are effective dispersers, even though epizoochory events may be rare and constrained by desiccation of plants on plumage [13]. It has become clear that endozoochory is more frequent than epizoochory for seed dispersal by waterbirds [4,14]. Wildfowl actively feed on Lemnoideae and disperse viable *Lemna* seeds by endozoochory [4,6,15], although asexual *Lemna* somehow also disperse readily [9]. This raises the question whether endozoochory of vegetative propagules, such as *Wolffia* or *Lemna* plantlets, occurs in nature.

2. Material and methods

Fresh droppings of *Dendrocygna viduata* ($n = 49$) and *Coscoroba coscoroba* ($n = 22$) were collected between August 2017 and July 2018 (electronic supplementary material, appendix S1) in five temporary wetlands of Santa Vitória do Palmar, in southern Brazil (figure 1a; electronic supplementary material, appendices S2 and S3). These wetlands are situated among ricefields and cattle-grazed grasslands. They contain numerous species of emergent and floating aquatic plants [16]. *Wolffia columbiana* is common and widespread in permanent and temporary ponds, lakes and water courses. Droppings were collected from grass close to the shoreline, were not in contact with soil or water and were immediately inspected for contamination. We observed *D. viduata* and *C. coscoroba* resting close to the droppings, and given their numbers, each sample is likely to be from a different individual. *Coscoroba* droppings had a distinctive colour, size and texture different from those of any other waterbird in the area. *Dendrocygna* droppings were collected from monospecific groups.

Samples were stored in separate tubes. In the laboratory, 34 *Dendrocygna* droppings and all *Coscoroba* droppings were frozen until inspection. Fifteen droppings of *D. viduata* were kept at 4°C in the fridge until a viability experiment. All samples were carefully examined under a stereomicroscope initially, to confirm the absence of any plant propagules adhered to the exterior. Frozen faeces were then defrosted in water and examined under the stereomicroscope to separate whole plants. The 15 unfrozen droppings were processed similarly later (just before the viability experiment). Only intact *Wolffia* plants resembling live plants (i.e. with a bright green colour and integral structure, figure 1b) were counted and removed from the samples. Fragments were also observed in some samples, but were not quantified.

Intact *W. columbiana* plants removed from three fresh *D. viduata* droppings were counted and placed in five Petri dishes. The dropping with more plants (A) was separated into three dishes (A1, A2 and A3) to facilitate the counting of new plants produced by asexual reproduction. Plants from the other two droppings (B, C) were placed in separate dishes. All dishes were filled with filtered water from the wetland where droppings were collected. The dishes were placed in a growth chamber (12 h dark at 16°C ± 2°C, 12 h light at 26°C ± 2°C). The number of living and dead individuals was counted after 7 and 14 days. An increase in the number of plants was considered to demonstrate asexual reproduction, confirming viability.

3. Results

A total of 164 intact *W. columbiana* were observed in faecal samples (figure 1; electronic supplementary material,

Table 1. Asexual reproduction of *W. columbiana* recovered from three *D. viduata* droppings, showing changes in the cumulative number of live and dead plants after 7 and 14 days.

sample ID	initial number apparently alive	day 7				day 14			
		new plants	dead plants	live plants	total	new plants	dead plants	live plants	total
A1	5	5	—	10	10	10	1	14	15
A2	5	7	3	9	12	8	3	10	13
A3	5	—	3	2	5	3	3	5	8
B	2	2	1	3	4	5	2	5	7
C	1	—	1	—	1	—	1	—	1
total	18	14	8	24	32	26	10	34	44

appendix S1). Whole plants were observed in seven *Coscoroba* droppings (86 plants, frequency of occurrence = 31.8%, 4–24 plants per sample), and eight *D. viduata* droppings (78 plants, frequency = 16.3%, 1–31 plants per sample).

Intact *W. columbiana* were removed from three of 15 unfrozen *D. viduata* samples, and placed in Petri dishes. After 14 days, we detected vegetative reproduction in four of five dishes (with plants from two droppings). The number of living plantlets increased by 89% (figure 1 and table 1), with variation among droppings A = 93.3%, B = 150% and C = 0%.

4. Discussion

Our study provides field evidence that vegetative angiosperm propagules can be dispersed by avian endozoochory. Whole *Wolffia* plants were dispersed over an unknown distance between aquatic feeding sites and terrestrial loafing sites. Previously, asexual angiosperm propagules have only been reported from external parts of waterbirds [4,8,9]. Zoochory of asexual propagules allows dispersal outside the period of seed production and availability, e.g. facilitating the colonization of temporary wetlands after heavy rainfall.

Dendrocygna viduata is widespread in Central and South America, with an estimated population of one million [17]. Individuals fly an average of up to 4 km daily between different wetlands [18], making it an ideal plant vector. *Coscoroba coscoroba* is restricted to southern South America, with a population of 10 000–25 000 [17]. It is partially migrant, with movements of up to 1700 km [19]. Hence, endozoochory of *W. columbiana* by *D. viduata* may be a more frequent process, although *C. coscoroba* may be important for long-distance dispersal.

The high abundance and frequency of intact *W. columbiana* in faeces, and their high viability, suggest this floating plant has a high capacity to survive gut passage. Endozoochory may be more important for *W. columbiana* than epizoochory. The average retention time for wildfowl faeces is several hours [4], suggesting that wildfowl regularly disperse *Wolffia* over several kilometres during their daily movements [18]. Despite anecdotal support for epizoochory, it is unclear that floating plants both remain attached to birds

and resist desiccation during extended flights. There is no risk of desiccation during endozoochory, which may provide a longer maximum retention time.

The particularly small size and simple morphology of *Wolffia* may promote endozoochory. Seeds with a smaller size and round shape are more likely to survive gut passage [4]. More research is needed to establish which angiosperm taxa can survive gut passage as whole plants or as viable fragments (as recently shown for bryophytes [5]). Experimental evidence suggests fragments of the invasive amphibious *Crassula helmsii* may disperse inside wildfowl guts [20]. Given that production of asexual vegetative propagules and an ability to grow from fragments is widespread in plants [21], dispersal of such vegetative propagules (e.g. fragments of grasses or pondweeds, or whole floating plants) by endozoochory may be an important and overlooked process. Clonality is more common in plants that establish readily outside of their native range [21], and the ability to disperse as vegetative propagules by endozoochory may increase their invasiveness. Greater resistance to desiccation has been suggested as the key to the effective dispersal of plantlets or stem fragments on the outside of animals or on boats or fishing gear [13]. Perhaps the invasive character of some species (e.g. *W. columbiana* or *Lemna minuta*) is more related to greater resistance to gut passage.

Ethics. This work was authorized by the Brazilian agency SISBIO (no. 59225-1).

Data accessibility. Datasets supporting this article have been uploaded as electronic supplementary material.

Authors' contributions. G.G.S., A.J.G., V.W., P.H. and A.L.-K. designed this study. G.G.S. and A.J.G. led the writing and the others authors contributed equally with the text. G.G.S., V.W. and P.H. collected and analysed the data. C.S. and L.M. helped in all steps and acted as coordinators. All authors read and approved the final manuscript and agree to be accountable for all the content here presented.

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interests.

Funding. G.G.S. thanks CAPES for a doctoral scholarship. L.M. and C.S. hold Research Productivity Grants from CNPq. This research was supported by funds from UNISINOS (grant no. 02.00.023/00-0) and CNPq (grant no. 52370695-2). A.J.G. was supported by Spanish National Plan project CGL2016-76067-P (AEI/FEDER, EU).

Acknowledgements. We thank F.C.S. and J.M. for helping during fieldwork.

1. Sekercioglu CH. 2006 Increasing awareness of avian ecological function. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **21**, 464–471. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.05.007)
2. Vargas P, Arjona Y, Nogales M, Heleno RH. 2015 Long-distance dispersal to oceanic islands: success of plants with multiple diaspore specializations. *AoB Plants* **7**, 1–9. (doi:10.1093/aobpla/plv073)
3. Soons MB, Brochet AL, Kleyheeg E, Green AJ. 2016 Seed dispersal by dabbling ducks: an overlooked dispersal pathway for a broad spectrum of plant species. *J. Ecol.* **104**, 443–455. (doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12531)
4. Green AJ, Soons M, Brochet AL, Kleyheeg E. 2016 Dispersal of plants by waterbirds. In *Why birds matter: avian ecological function and ecosystem services* (eds ÇH Sekercioglu, DG Wenny, CJ Whelan), pp. 147–195. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
5. Wilkinson DM, Lovas-Kiss A, Callaghan DA, Green AJ. 2017 Endozoochory of large bryophyte fragments by waterbirds. *Cryptogam. Bryol.* **38**, 223–228. (doi:10.7872/cryb/v38.iss2.2017.223)
6. Lovas-Kiss Á, Vizi B, Vincze O, Molnár VA, Green AJ. 2018 Endozoochory of aquatic ferns and angiosperms by mallards in Central Europe. *J. Ecol.* **106**, 1714–1723. (doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12913)
7. Landolt E. 1986 The family of Lemnaceae – a monographic study. Vol. 1. *Veröff. Geobot. Inst. ETH Stiftung Rübel Zürich* **71**, 1–566.
8. Darwin C. 1859 *On the origin of species by means of natural selection*. London, UK: John Murray.
9. Coughlan NE, Kelly TC, Jansen MA. 2017 'Step by step': high frequency short-distance epizoochorous dispersal of aquatic macrophytes. *Biol. Invasions* **19**, 625–634. (doi:10.1007/s10530-016-1293-0)
10. Weddell HA. 1849 Observations sur une espèce nouvelle du genre *Wolffia* (Lemnaceae). *Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. Biol.* **12**, 155–173 (in French).
11. Ardenghi NM, Armstrong WP, Paganelli D. 2017 *Wolffia columbiana* (Araceae, Lemnoideae): first record of the smallest alien flowering plant in southern Europe and Italy. *Bot. Lett.* **164**, 121–127. (doi:10.1080/23818107.2017.1319293)
12. Kline L, McCune B. 1987 Factors influencing the distribution of *Wolffia columbiana* and *Wolffia punctata* (Lemnaceae). *Northwest Sci.* **61**, 41–43.
13. Coughlan NE, Cuthbert RN, Kelly TC, Jansen MA. 2018 Parched plants: survival and viability of invasive aquatic macrophytes following exposure to various desiccation regimes. *Aquat. Bot.* **150**, 9–15. (doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2018.06.001)
14. Reynolds C, Cumming GS. 2016 Seed dispersal by waterbirds in southern Africa: comparing the roles of ectozoochory and endozoochory. *Freshw. Biol.* **61**, 349–361. (doi:10.1111/fwb.12709)
15. Green AJ, Jenkins KM, Bell D, Morris PJ, Kingsford RT. 2008 The potential role of waterbirds in dispersing invertebrates and plants in arid Australia. *Freshw. Biol.* **53**, 380–392. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01901.x)
16. Rolon AS, Maltchik L. 2006 Environmental factors as predictors of aquatic macrophyte richness and composition in wetlands of southern Brazil. *Hydrobiologia* **556**, 221–231. (doi:10.1007/s10750-005-1364-1)
17. Wetlands International. 2018 *Waterbird population estimates*. See wpe.wetlands.org.
18. Don Pablo Research Team. 2012 *Movements and resource utilization of four species of ducks captured in the mid-Parana River Basin, Corrientes Province, Argentina*, p. 115. Cambridge, MD: USGS.
19. Calabuig CP, Green AJ, Menegheti JO, Muriel R, Patiño-Martínez J. 2010 Fenología del coscoroba (*Coscoroba coscoroba*) en el sur de Brasil y sus movimientos hacia Argentina. *Ornitol. Neotrop.* **21**, 555–566.
20. Denys L, Packet J, Jambon W, Scheers K. 2014 Dispersal of the non-native invasive species *Crassula helmsii* (Crassulaceae) may involve seeds and endozoochorous transport by birds. *New J. Bot.* **4**, 104–106. (doi:10.1179/2042349714Y.0000000046)
21. Silvertown J. 2008 The evolutionary maintenance of sexual reproduction: evidence from the ecological distribution of asexual reproduction in clonal plants. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* **169**, 157–168. (doi:10.1086/523357)