

# The Extracellular A-loop of Dual Oxidases Affects the Specificity of Reactive Oxygen Species Release\*

Received for publication, July 8, 2014, and in revised form, January 11, 2015. Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 13, 2015, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.592717

Takehiko Ueyama<sup>#1</sup>, Megumi Sakuma<sup>#</sup>, Yuzuru Ninoyu<sup>#</sup>, Takeshi Hamada<sup>#</sup>, Corinne Dupuy<sup>§</sup>, Miklós Geiszt<sup>||</sup>, Thomas L. Leto<sup>\*\*</sup>, and Naoaki Saito<sup>#2</sup>

From the <sup>#</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Biosignal Research Center, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan, <sup>§</sup>CNRS UMR8200 Laboratoire Stabilité Génétique et Oncogénèse, Université Paris-Sud, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif 94805, France, <sup>||</sup>Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Semmelweis University, H-1444 Budapest, Hungary, <sup>||</sup>“Lendület” Peroxidase Enzyme Research Group of the Semmelweis University and the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1444 Budapest, Hungary, and <sup>\*\*</sup>Molecular Defenses Section, Laboratory of Host Defenses, NIAID, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland 20852

**Background:** Dual oxidase (Duox)-Duox activator (DuoxA) complexes produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, not O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, suggesting that specialized mechanisms convert O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

**Results:** In comparison with Duox2, Duox1 prevents O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage more stringently.

**Conclusion:** Duox A-loops function in reducing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by promoting the stabilization and maturation of Duox-DuoxA complexes.

**Significance:** The mechanism underlying H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by Duoxes has been clarified.

NADPH oxidase (Nox) family proteins produce superoxide (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) directly by transferring an electron to molecular oxygen. Dual oxidases (Duoxes) also produce an O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> intermediate, although the final species secreted by mature Duoxes is H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that intramolecular O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation or other mechanisms contribute to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> release. We explored the structural determinants affecting reactive oxygen species formation by Duox enzymes. Duox2 showed O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage when mismatched with Duox activator 1 (DuoxA1). Duox2 released O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> even in correctly matched combinations, including Duox2 + DuoxA2 and Duox2 + N-terminally tagged DuoxA2 regardless of the type or number of tags. Conversely, Duox1 did not release O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in any combination. Chimeric Duox2 possessing the A-loop of Duox1 showed no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage; chimeric Duox1 possessing the A-loop of Duox2 released O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Moreover, Duox2 proteins possessing the A-loops of Nox1 or Nox5 co-expressed with DuoxA2 showed enhanced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, and Duox1 proteins possessing the A-loops of Nox1 or Nox5 co-expressed with DuoxA1 acquired O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage. Although we identified Duox1 A-loop residues (His<sup>1071</sup>, His<sup>1072</sup>, and Gly<sup>1074</sup>) important for reducing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, mutations of these residues to those of Duox2 failed to convert Duox1 to an O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-releasing enzyme. Using immunoprecipitation and endoglycosidase H sensitivity assays, we found

that the A-loop of Duoxes binds to DuoxA N termini, creating more stable, mature Duox-DuoxA complexes. In conclusion, the A-loops of both Duoxes support H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production through interaction with corresponding activators, but complex formation between the Duox1 A-loop and DuoxA1 results in tighter control of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> release by the enzyme complex.

Dual oxidases (Duoxes<sup>3</sup>; Duox1 and Duox2) are members of the NADPH oxidase (Nox) family proteins (Nox1–5 and Duoxes) that produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) (1–3). Duox1 (4) and Duox2 (5) are functional only in combination with maturation factors known as Duox activators (DuoxAs; DuoxA1 and DuoxA2) (6). Although DuoxAs were first described as factors required to permit Duoxes to exit the endoplasmic reticulum, it was later reported that Duox and DuoxA proteins form stable heterodimers and co-translocate to the plasma membrane (7). Both Duoxes were first characterized as thyroid oxidases supporting thyroid hormone biosynthesis, although Duox2 is the dominant form, with an expression level five times higher than that of Duox1 in thyroid tissue (8). Moreover, mutations or deficiencies in Duox2 or DuoxA2 have been reported to cause congenital hypothyroidism in mice (9, 10) and humans (8), whereas deficiency in Duox1 has no effect on thyroid hormone levels in *Duox1* knock-out mice (11). Bi-allelic mutations in Duox2 reportedly cause transient congenital hypothyroidism, suggesting that some compensation occurs by Duox1 (12). More recently, a patient with transient congenital hypothyroidism was described; this patient was compound heterozygous for a large deletion comprising *DUOX2*, *DUOXA2*, and *DUOXA1* and a nonfunctional missense muta-


\* This work was supported, in whole or in part, by the Intramural Research Program of the NIAID, National Institutes of Health. This work was also supported in part by a grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, by a grant “Japan-Hungary Research Cooperative Program” from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, by the Uehara Memorial Foundation, and by the Hyogo Science and Technology Association.

<sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence may be addressed: Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Biosignal Research Center, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan. Tel.: 81-78-803-5962; Fax: 81-78-803-5971; E-mail: tueyama@kobe-u.ac.jp.

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence may be addressed: Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Biosignal Research Center, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan. Tel.: 81-78-803-5962; Fax: 81-78-803-5971; E-mail: naosaito@kobe-u.ac.jp.

<sup>3</sup> The abbreviations used are: Duox, Dual oxidase; Nox, NADPH oxidase; DuoxA, Duox activator; O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, superoxide; ROS, reactive oxygen species; PoxH, peroxidase homology; Endo H, endoglycosidase H; TM, transmembrane; pAb, polyclonal antibody; HBSS, Hank’s balanced Salt Solution; aa, amino acids.

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release



**FIGURE 1. Sequence alignment of the three extracellular loops of Duox1 and Duox2.** Schematic illustration of a Duox (A) and amino acid sequence alignment of the three extracellular loops (A, C, and E) of Duox1 and Duox2 (B) (4, 23). *Superscript* and *subscript* numbers represent amino acid sequence numbers. *Underlined residues* denote differences between Duox1 and Duox2.

tion of *DUOXA2* in the other allele, suggesting compensation by the remaining Duox1-DuoxA1 complex or by the mismatched Duox2-DuoxA1 complex (13).

In addition, Duox enzymes have been detected and believed to function on the epithelial cell surfaces of mucosal and exocrine tissues (2, 14–16), including the airways and gastrointestinal tract. In these tissues, Duoxes also function in host defense against a broad spectrum of pathogens (17–19). Nox family proteins produce the primary product superoxide (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) by directly transferring an electron to molecular oxygen (2, 20). Duoxes produce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> as an intermediate product (21), but the final product generated by mature Duoxes is H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that intramolecular O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation or other mechanisms contribute to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> release (2). In tissues with high Duox expression, the enzymes accumulate on the apical plasma membrane, facilitating H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> release from epithelial cell surfaces to support the activities of extracellular hemoperoxidases (22).

In contrast to Nox1–5, Duoxes have an extended N-terminal extracellular domain called the peroxidase homology (PoxH) domain, followed by an additional transmembrane (TM) segment and an intracellular loop containing two calcium-binding EF-hand motifs (4, 23) (Fig. 1A). Thus, Duoxes possess four extracellular regions: the PoxH domain (1–595 amino acids (aa) in human Duox2) and three loops (the A-loop, 1064–1078 aa; C-loop, 1146–1184 aa; E-loop, 1242–1251 aa in human Duox2) (Fig. 1A). The PoxH domain is a candidate for intramolecular O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation, although the isolated PoxH domains of both Duoxes demonstrate no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation activity *in vitro* (24, 25). Thus, the mechanism underlying H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by Duoxes remains poorly understood.

A switch in ROS generation from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> occurs when Duox2 is mismatched with DuoxA1 (26). We reported that the mismatched combination of Duox2 + DuoxA1, but not that of Duox1 + DuoxA2, releases O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in addition to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; we called this phenomenon O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage (7). In this study we examined why Duox2, but not Duox1, leaks O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, in addition to exploring the structural features of Duox and DuoxA proteins involved in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> release. We found that the A-loops of Duoxes, particularly Duox1, function in controlling O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by contributing to the stabilization and maturation of Duox-DuoxA complexes.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Materials**—A polyclonal antibody (pAb) against Duoxes, which preferentially detects Duox2 via the first intracellular loop (639–1039 aa of human Duox2) but also detects Duox1, was previously described (15). A pAb against DuoxA1, which recognizes the extracellular N terminus of DuoxA1, was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Unfortunately, no commercial Ab against DuoxA2 for immunoblotting or immunostaining is available. mAbs against HA(TANA2)-conjugated HRP, Alexa Fluor 488, and magnetic agarose were obtained from MBL International Corp. An mAb against FLAG(M2)-conjugated HRP was obtained from Sigma. Endoglycosidase H (Endo H) was obtained from New England Biolabs.

**Cell Culture**—HEK293 cells (ATCC) were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) containing 10% FBS (Nichirei Biosciences), 100 μM nonessential aa (Wako Pure Chemical Industries), and antibiotics at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

**Construction of Plasmids**—We used human Duox2, DuoxA1(α), and DuoxA2 in pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen), which were previously described (7). Human Duox1 cDNA was a kind gift from Dr. Francoise Miot (Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium) (4) and was transferred into pcDNA3.1. Duox1 and Duox2 with a 2×HA tag between Asn-23 and Pro-24 and between Asp-27 and Ala-28, respectively, in the first extracellular region were created in pcDNA3.1 by site-directed mutagenesis using a QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). The chimeric construct Duox(1PoxH-2), possessing the PoxH domain of Duox1 (1–592 aa) and the Nox-like portion of Duox2 (596–1548 aa), and the chimeric construct Duox(2PoxH-1), possessing the PoxH domain of Duox2 (1–594 aa) and the Nox-like portion of Duox1 (592–1551 aa), were created in pcDNA3.1 by PCR and restriction enzyme-based recombination. DuoxA1 and DuoxA2 in both p3×FLAG-CMV-10 (Sigma) and p3×FLAG-CMV-14 (Sigma-Aldrich) vectors were made using PCR and named 3N×FLAG-DuoxA1, 3N×FLAG-DuoxA2, DuoxA1–3C×FLAG, and DuoxA2–3C×FLAG. DuoxA2 with 2×FLAG and DuoxA2 with 1×FLAG at the N terminus were made by QuikChange using 3N×FLAG-DuoxA2 as a template. DuoxA2 with 2×HA at the N terminus was made by QuikChange using DuoxA2 as a template. DuoxA1 with 1×FLAG at the C terminus was made by QuikChange using DuoxA1–3C×FLAG as a template. The chimeric construct DuoxA(1-2), possessing the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1 (1–19 aa) and DuoxA2 (20–320 aa), and the chimeric construct DuoxA(2-1),

possessing the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA2 (1–19 aa) and DuoxA1 (20–343 aa), were created in pcDNA3.1 using PCR. N-terminal deletion (2–17 aa) mutants of DuoxA1 and DuoxA2 were generated using PCR and named DuoxA1(N-del) and DuoxA2(N-del). All other mutants/chimeric constructs of Duox and DuoxA, including Duox2→1(A:8aa), Duox2→1(C:10aa), Duox2→1(E:TY/RF), Duox1→2(A:8aa+L), Duox1→Nox1(A), Duox1→Nox5(A), Duox2→Nox1(A), Duox2→Nox5(A), DuoxA-(1F-2), and DuoxA(2-1S-2), were made by QuikChange. These are named and described in Table 1 and are illustrated in Figs. 3A, 4A, 5A, 7A, 7C, and 8A. All plasmids were sequenced to confirm their identities.

**In Vitro Binding (Pull-down) Assays**—Forward and reverse oligonucleotides for DuoxA N terminus (1–20 aa for DuoxA1 or 1–19 aa for DuoxA2) were annealed and cloned into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pGEX-6P-1. Purified GST and GST-tagged DuoxA N-terminal proteins (DuoxA1(N), GST-MATL-GHTFPFYAGPKPTFP; DuoxA2(N), GST-MTLWNGVLPF-YPQPRHAAG) were obtained as described previously (27). Biotin-labeled Duox A-loop peptides (Duox1(Aloop), biotin-AAHHTGITDTRV; Duox2(Aloop), biotin-ALPPSDIAQTLV) were synthesized by MBL International. GST-tagged DuoxA(N) was mixed with biotin-labeled Duox(Aloop) in 300  $\mu$ l of binding buffer (500 nM each) (27). After rotation for 2 h at 4 °C, 40  $\mu$ l of streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads (Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin; Invitrogen) were added to the solution, and the mixture was agitated for 90 min at 4 °C. The precipitates were washed 3 times using a magnetic rack, and then the material absorbed to the beads was eluted in Laemmli sample buffer; the magnetic beads were then removed using a magnetic rack. The eluents were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using a polyclonal antibody against GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Bound antibodies were detected with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody using the ECL detection system (GE Healthcare).

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting**—Various pairs of 2 $\times$ HA-Duox and FLAG-tagged DuoxA constructs were co-transfected into HEK293 cells plated on 10-cm dishes using FuGENE 6 (Promega). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were lysed in 250  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer with a protease inhibitor mixture (27) by sonication. Total cell lysates were centrifuged at 800  $\times$  g for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatants were incubated with 10  $\mu$ l of magnetic agarose-conjugated HA mAb for 2 h at 4 °C. The precipitates were washed 3 times, and aliquots of the precipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using an HRP-conjugated FLAG mAb and detected using the ECL detection system.

**N-Deglycosylation Analysis**—The deglycosylation assay was performed as previously described (7). Briefly, various pairs of 2 $\times$ HA-Duox and DuoxA constructs were co-transfected into HEK293 cells plated in 10-cm dishes using FuGENE 6. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were lysed in 250  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer with a protease inhibitor mixture. After centrifugation at 12,000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C, equal amounts of proteins were treated with 100 units/50  $\mu$ l of Endo H for 30 min at 37 °C or left untreated and separated by SDS-PAGE. Immunoblotting was performed using an HRP-conjugated HA mAb.

**Confocal Fluorescence Imaging Studies**—A total of  $2.5 \times 10^5$  HEK293 cells were seeded in 35-mm glass-bottomed dishes (MatTek Corp.) 48 h before transfection and transfected using FuGENE 6. Thirty-two hours after transfection, the cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4) without permeabilization and stained using an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated HA mAb (1:500) at room temperature for 2 h for visualization by confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscopy (LSM700; Carl Zeiss AG). All imaging experiments were performed in triplicate and were repeated in at least three independent transfection experiments ( $n \geq 9$ ).

**ROS Production Assay**—HEK293 cells were seeded in 6-well dishes at  $2.5 \times 10^5$  cells/well 48 h before transfection. HEK293 cells were transfected using FuGENE 6 in complexes with various combinations of plasmids. The cells were fed 6 h post-transfection with complete medium and harvested using 0.02% EDTA solution (Nacalai Tesque). Thirty-two hours after transfection,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS(-); Wako Pure Chemical Industries) were used for ROS assays with 0.2  $\mu$ M ionomycin (Sigma) + 2 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Chemiluminescence methods were used in the presence of luminol + HRP (Sigma) for gross ROS detection (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and other non-identified ROS), superoxide dismutase-inhibitable Diogenes reagent (National Diagnostics) for O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> detection, or Amplex Red (Invitrogen) + HRP for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> detection for 10 min using a luminometer (Mithras LB940; Berthold Detection Systems GmbH) (28) as previously described (7, 29). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production from  $5 \times 10^5$  cells in 100  $\mu$ l of HBSS(-) stimulated by 0.2  $\mu$ M ionomycin + 2 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup> was also measured based on the assay of cytochrome *c* (100  $\mu$ M, Sigma) reduction with a molar extinction coefficient of 21 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> at 550 nm using a monochromator (Multiskan GO; Thermo Fisher Scientific) as previously described (7, 30). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production was inhibited by the addition of 10 units/ml superoxide dismutase (Sigma) in the assay solution. Comparable expression of proteins was confirmed by immunoblotting using total lysates from the same number of cells. Mean oxidase activities were calculated from at least three independent transfection experiments.

**Statistical Analysis**—All data are presented as the means  $\pm$  S.E. of mean. For comparisons of more than two groups, one-way analysis of variance was performed. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc.);  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

**Tags at the N terminus of DuoxA2 Enhance O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Leakage from Matched Duox2-DuoxA2 Complexes**—We previously demonstrated that co-expression of the mismatched Duox2 and DuoxA1, but not of Duox1 and DuoxA2, causes O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage into the extracellular milieu (7). In this study we found that co-expression of matched Duox2 and DuoxA2, but not of Duox1 and DuoxA1, caused a small amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release ( $5.4 \pm 0.6\%$ ) detected by Diogenes; this is consistent with the result of a previous report (31). To elucidate why only Duox2- and not Duox1-based combinations cause extracellular O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, we created a series of N-terminally FLAG-tagged DuoxA1 and DuoxA2 constructs (Table 1). Interestingly, although C-terminally 3 $\times$ FLAG-tagged DuoxA2 co-expressed with Duox2 did

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release

**TABLE 1**

**Summary of the Duox and DuoxA expression constructs used**


PoxH, peroxidase homology; F, first half; S, second half; N, N terminus; C, C terminus; A, A-loop; C, C-loop; E, E-loop; FLAG-tagged constructs are in p3×FLAG-CMV vector and all other constructs in pcDNA3.1.

Name of protein	Structures of expressed protein
<b>Duox</b> Duox1 and Duox2 2×HA-Duox1 2×HA-Duox2	Wild type Duox1 and Duox2 2 × HA tag between Asn-23 and Pro-24 of extracellular PoxH domain 2 × HA tag between Asp-27 and Ala-28 of extracellular PoxH domain
<b>Duox PoxH-domain chimera</b> Duox(1PoxH-2) Duox(2PoxH-1)	Chimera of PoxH domain of Duox1 (1–592 aa) and Nox-like portion of Duox2 (596–1548 aa) Chimera of PH domain of Duox2 (1–594 aa) and Nox-like portion of Duox1 (592–1551 aa)
<b>DuoxA</b> DuoxA1 and DuoxA2 3N×FLAG-DuoxA1 DuoxA1–3C×FLAG DuoxA1–1C×FLAG DuoxA1(N-del) 3N×FLAG-DuoxA2 2N×FLAG-DuoxA2 1N×FLAG-DuoxA2 2N×HA-DuoxA2 DuoxA2–3C×FLAG DuoxA2(N-del)	Wild type DuoxA1 and DuoxA2 3xFLAG tag at the extracellular N terminus 3xFLAG tag at the intracellular C terminus 1xFLAG tag at the extracellular C-terminus The extracellular N terminus (2–17 aa) deletion 3xFLAG tag at the extracellular N terminus 2 × FLAG tag at the extracellular N terminus 1xFLAG tag at the extracellular N terminus 2 × HA tag at the extracellular N terminus 3xFLAG tag at the intracellular C terminus The extracellular N terminus (2–17 aa) deletion
<b>DuoxA N-terminal chimera</b> DuoxA(1–2) DuoxA(2–1) DuoxA(1F-2) DuoxA(2–1S-2)	Chimera of extracellular N terminus of DuoxA1 (1–19 aa) and DuoxA2 (20–320 aa) Chimera of extracellular N terminus of DuoxA2 (1–19 aa) and DuoxA1 (20–343 aa) Chimera of DuoxA1 (1–8 aa; first half of 19aa) and DuoxA2 (9–320 aa) Chimera of DuoxA2 (1–11 aa), DuoxA1 (12–19 aa; second half of 19aa), and DuoxA2 (20–320 aa)
<b>A-loop</b> Duox2→1(A:5aa) Duox2→1(A:8aa) Duox1→2(A:F4aa) Duox1→2(A:S4aa) Duox1→2(A:8aa) Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) Duox1→2(A:8aa+GL) Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+L/A Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+PP/HH Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+PP/HP Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+PP/PH Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+D/G Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+A/T Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)+Q/D Duox1→2(A:PPD)	5aa in A-loop of Duox2 is replaced by corresponding 5aa in A-loop of Duox1 8aa in A-loop of Duox2 is replaced by corresponding 8aa in A-loop of Duox1 4aa (first half of 8aa) in A-loop of Duox1 is replaced by corresponding 4aa in A-loop of Duox2 4aa (second half of 8aa) in A-loop of Duox1 is replaced by corresponding 4aa in A-loop of Duox2 8aa in A-loop of Duox1 replaced by corresponding 8aa in A-loop of Duox2 8aa + Arg in A-loop of Duox1 replaced by corresponding residues (8aa + Leu) in A-loop of Duox2 8aa + Ala and Arg in A-loop of Duox1 replaced by corresponding residues (8aa + Gly and Leu) in A-loop of Duox2 Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Leu to Ala Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Pro-Pro to His-His Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Pro-Pro to His-Pro Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Pro-Pro to Pro-His Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Asp to Gly Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Ala to Thr Duox1→2 (A:8aa + L) with reversed mutation (Duox2 to Duox1), Gln to Asp 3aa residues in A-loop of Duox1 are replaced by corresponding Pro,Pro and Asp residues in A-loop of Duox2
<b>Duox-Nox(A-loop) chimera</b> Duox1→Nox1(A) Duox1→Nox5(A) Duox2→Nox1(A) Duox2→Nox5(A)	7aa in A-loop of Duox1 replaced by corresponding residues in A-loop of Nox1 7aa in A-loop of Duox1 replaced by corresponding residues in A-loop of Nox5 7aa in A-loop of Duox2 replaced by corresponding residues in A-loop of Nox1 7aa in A-loop of Duox2 replaced by corresponding residues in A-loop of Nox5
<b>C-loop</b> Duox2→1(C:10aa)	10aa (IVLAINVVNK) in C-loop of Duox2 replaced by corresponding 10 aa in C-loop of Duox1 (LIVSLGLHDE)
<b>E-loop</b> Duox2→1(E:TY/RF)	Thr and Tyr in E-loop of Duox2 replaced by corresponding Arg and Phe in E-loop of Duox1

not enhance O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, N-terminally tagged DuoxA2 dramatically enhanced the amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release regardless of the number or type of epitope tags (Fig. 2A; 3N×FLAG, 291.2 ± 36.5%; 2N×FLAG, 387.4 ± 9.1%; 1N×FLAG, 543.9 ± 66.9%; 2N×HA, 465.4 ± 67.8%). Duox1 exhibited no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage when co-expressed in any combination regardless of whether DuoxA was untagged, N-terminally 3×FLAG-tagged, or C-terminally 3×FLAG-tagged (Fig. 2A; data not shown). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by Duox2 with DuoxA1 or various types of N-terminally tagged DuoxA2 was confirmed by examining the effect of superoxide dismutase and/or through cytochrome *c* reduction assays (Fig. 2, A and B). The luminol + HRP assays were used to detect gross ROS production (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and other non-identified ROS) and to measure the ROS production capabilities in each Duox-DuoxA pair. Immunoblotting was performed to confirm comparable expression of constructs used (Fig. 2C). The results

of these assays suggested that altered interactions between Duox2 and the N-terminal regions of its maturation factor, DuoxA2, cause increased O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage from this enzyme complex; the same was not observed in case of Duox1.

*The N-terminal Extracellular Region of DuoxA1 Plays a Pivotal Role in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Release*—DuoxA proteins have four TM segments, and their N-terminal regions are positioned on the extracytoplasmic membrane surface (6). When transported to the plasma membrane in complexes with Duoxes, the N termini of DuoxA proteins are detectable on the cell surface (7). To confirm the importance of the N-terminal extracellular regions of DuoxAs for O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage, we made two chimeric mutants of DuoxA1 and DuoxA2: DuoxA(1-2), in which the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA2 was substituted with that of DuoxA1, and DuoxA(2-1), in which the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1 was substituted with that of DuoxA2 (Fig.



**FIGURE 2. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage from Duox2 paired with N-terminally tagged DuoxA2.** *A*, Various Duox and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and reactive oxygen species were measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively. Duox2 + various types of N-terminally, but not C-terminally, tagged DuoxA2, show enhanced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. The superoxide dismutase (SOD) treatment was performed to validate the O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production for those samples where marked O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production was observed. *B*, various Duox and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells, and O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was measured by cytochrome *c* reduction assay. NADPH oxidase 1-based O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production was used as a positive control. All the samples were subjected to the superoxide dismutase treatment, except for Duox2-DuoxA2. *C*, immunoblotting detects expression levels of Duox2 and Duox1 in various pairs as well as the expression of FLAG- and HA-tagged DuoxAs.


3A). When co-expressed with DuoxA(2-1), Duox2 exhibited no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 3B); in contrast, when co-expressed with DuoxA(1-2), Duox2 showed markedly enhanced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 3B). To define the specific aa sequence in the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA(1-2) that influences O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, we made two additional chimeric mutants of DuoxA(1-2): DuoxA(1F-2), in which only the first half of the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA2 was substituted with that of DuoxA1, and DuoxA(2-1S-2), in which only the second half of the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA2 was substituted with that of DuoxA1 (Fig. 3A). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release from Duox2 with DuoxA(1F-2) or DuoxA(2-1S-2) was similar, at about one-third that from Duox2 + DuoxA(1-2) (Fig. 3B). Duox1 with DuoxA(2-1) or DuoxA(1-2) showed no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 3B). Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 3B). DuoxA1 pAb detected only WT DuoxA1 and not DuoxA1 chimeras. These results suggest that the entire N-terminal, extracellular region of DuoxA1 has a strong influence on O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release when expressed in combination with Duox2.

**The Extracellular Region(s) after the First TM Segment of Duox2 Is Key to O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Release**—To explore the regions of Duox involved in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, we made two chimeric Duox mutants: Duox(2PoxH-1), in which PoxH domain of Duox1 was substituted with that of Duox2, and Duox(1PoxH-2), in which the PoxH domain of Duox2 was substituted with that of Duox1 (Fig. 4A). Three combinations, Duox2-DuoxA1, Duox(1PoxH-2)-DuoxA1, and Duox(1PoxH-2)-DuoxA(1-2) (highlighted by two-way red arrows in Fig. 4B), showed O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release. All these combinations contained the common Duox2 portion starting

with the first TM segment and the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1. Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that the extracellular region(s) after the first TM segment of Duox2 and the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1 affect O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage.


**The A-loops of Duoxes, but Not the C- or E-loops, Affect O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Release**—To identify the extracellular region after the first TM segment of Duox2 involved in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release in cooperation with the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1, we focused on three extracellular regions of Duoxes, A-, C-, and E-loop (Fig. 1A). Because Duoxes, like all Nox enzymes, donate electrons to molecular oxygen in the extracytoplasmic compartment, we did not examine the roles of the intracellular EF-hand motifs or the B- or D-loops in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release. These loops were defined in reference to previous papers (4, 23) and using websites that predict the secondary structures of membrane proteins (SOSUI WWW Server; TMHMM Server). We hypothesized that if a particular extracellular loop of Duox2 was involved in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, then chimeric mutants in which this loop of Duox2 is replaced with that of Duox1 would exhibit reduced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage. To explore this hypothesis, we first made two chimeric mutants of Duox2 possessing the A-loop sequences of Duox1: Duox2→1(A:5aa) and Duox2→1(A:8aa) (Fig. 5A). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by Duox2→1(A:5aa)-DuoxA1 and Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA1 was dramatically reduced relative to the Duox2-DuoxA1 complex (2.27 ± 0.81% and 0.83 ± 0.38%, respectively; Fig. 5B). To confirm these results, we made three reverted chimeric mutants of Duox1 possessing some A-loop sequence

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release




**FIGURE 3.** O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage from Duox2 paired with DuoxAs with the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1. **A**, illustration showing DuoxA1, DuoxA2, and four chimeric DuoxA proteins: DuoxA(1-2), DuoxA(2-1), DuoxA(1F-2), and DuoxA(2-1S-2). **B**, various Duox and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and reactive oxygen species were measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively. Duox2 + DuoxAs with the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1 show O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production in the following order: DuoxA(1-2) > DuoxA(1F-2) = DuoxA(2-1S-2). Immunoblotting detected the expression of Duoxes and DuoxAs. A pAb against Duoxes preferentially reacts with Duox2 via its first intracellular loop but also faintly detects Duox1 (the last two lanes). A pAb for DuoxA1, which reacts with its extracellular N terminus, only detects wild-type DuoxA1.

from Duox2: Duox1→2(A:F4aa), Duox1→2(A:S4aa), and Duox1→2(A:8aa) (Fig. 5A). Although Duox1→2(A:F4aa) and Duox1→2(A:S4aa) showed no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, Duox1→2(A:8aa) co-expressed with DuoxA1 did show O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (67.4 ± 8.9%) but to a lesser extent than that by Duox2-DuoxA1 (Fig. 5B). Duox1→2(A:8aa) maintained capabilities to secrete H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which were detected by Amplex Red + HRP, as in the case of Duox1 and Duox2 (Fig. 5B). We then made two additional Duox1 mutants: Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) and Duox1→2(A:8aa+GL) (Table 1). In Duox1→2(A:8aa+GL), the entire A-loop aa sequence of Duox1 was replaced with that of Duox2. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release from Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1 (146.9 ± 16.7%) and Duox1→2(A:8aa+GL)-DuoxA1 (101.4 ± 19.3%) matched that from Duox2-DuoxA1 (Fig. 5C); therefore, Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) was used for further studies.




**FIGURE 4.** O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage from pairs of Duoxes with the Nox-like portion of DuoxA1. **A**, illustration showing Duox1, Duox2, and two chimeric Duox proteins: Duox(1PoxH-2) and Duox(2PoxH-1). **B**, various Duox and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and total reactive oxygen species were measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively. Pairs (red arrows) with the Duox2 portion after the first transmembrane segment (termed the Nox-like portion) + DuoxA with the N-terminal extracellular region of DuoxA1 show O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. Immunoblotting detects the expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs. A pAb against Duoxes faintly detected Duox2 chimeras in the two right-hand lanes. A pAb for DuoxA1 detects only wild-type DuoxA1.

To examine which aa residues in the A-loop of Duox1 are critical for the reduction of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, we made various mutants in which one or two aa residues in the A-loop of Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) were reverted to those of Duox1. Changing Pro<sup>1068</sup>-Pro<sup>1069</sup> into His-His (PP/HH) and Asp<sup>1071</sup> into Gly (D/G) almost completely abolished O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (ROS production detected by luminol + HRP was 57.4 ± 8.3% and 54.9 ± 6.9%, respectively). In contrast, changing Leu<sup>1067</sup> into Ala (L/A), Ala<sup>1073</sup> into Thr (A/T), and Gln<sup>1074</sup> into Asp (Q/D) caused moderate effects. Replacement of either Pro residue with His was sufficient to abolish O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by Duox1→2(A:




**FIGURE 5. The A-loop of Duox is associated with O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage.** *A*, alignment of the A-loops of Duox1 and Duox2. The upper (blue) and lower (red) illustrations indicate the changes in aa sequence (number and residue) of the A-loop from Duox2 to Duox1 (*Duox2*→1(*A*)) and those from Duox1 to Duox2 (*Duox1*→2(*A*)), respectively. *B*, various pairs, including Duox2→1(A:5aa) or Duox2→1(A:8aa) + DuoxA, Duox1→2(A:F4aa), Duox1→2(A:S4aa), or Duox1→2(A:8aa) + DuoxA, were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, reactive oxygen species, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes, luminol + HRP, and Amplex Red + HRP, respectively. Neither Duox2→1(A:5aa) nor Duox2→1(A:8aa) shows significant O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. In contrast, Duox1→2(A:8aa), but not Duox1→2(A:F4aa) or Duox1→2(A:S4aa), gained the ability to produce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, which is abolished by the addition of superoxide dismutase. Immunoblotting detects comparable expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs. *C*, various pairs of Duox1→2(A) + DuoxA were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes. Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) + DuoxA1 shows the highest O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. PP/HH or D/G mutations abolish O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production by Duox1→2(A:8aa+L). Duox1→2(A:PPD) + DuoxA1 shows no ability to produce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Immunoblotting detects expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs.



**FIGURE 6. Kinetics of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and ROS production.** *A*, various pairs, including HA-Duox2-DuoxA2, HA-Duox2-DuoxA2(N-del), HA-Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA2, HA-Duox1-DuoxA1, HA-Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA1, HA-Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1, and HA-Duox2-DuoxA1, were transfected into HEK293 cells. Representative ( $n \geq 3$ ) kinetics of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and reactive oxygen species production measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively, are shown. *B*, immunoblotting detects comparable expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs.

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release




**FIGURE 7. The C- and E-loop of Duox are not associated with O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage.** *A*, alignment of the C-loops of Duox1 and Duox2 and illustrations of chimeric Duox2 mutants indicating the residue and number of amino acids changes in the C-loop from Duox2 to Duox1 (Duox2→1(C)). *B*, Duox2→1(C:10aa) and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and ROS were measured by chemiluminescence assays using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively. The Duox2→1(C:10aa) + DuoxA pairs show no decreased O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. Immunoblotting (from the same membrane and image) detects comparable expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs. *C*, alignment of the E-loops of Duox1 and Duox2 and illustrations of chimeric Duox2 mutants indicating the residues change in the E-loops from Duox2 to Duox1 (Duox2→1(E)). *D*, Duox2→1(E:TY/RF) and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and ROS were measured by chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively. The Duox2→1(E:TY/RF) + DuoxA pairs show no decreased O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. Immunoblotting detects comparable expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs.

8aa+L); this was confirmed using PP/HP and PP/PH mutations in Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) (data not shown). In addition, although the expression levels of Duox1→2(A:PPD), in which three critical residues (HH+G) in the A-loop of Duox1 were exchanged for those of Duox2, were apparently low (ROS production detected by luminol + HRP was 36.8 ± 10.8%), it showed no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 5C). Taken together, these results suggest that these specific residues alone do not account for the function of the Duox A-loop in preventing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release. Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 5, B and C).

Next, we examined the kinetics of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and ROS production (detected by Diogenes and luminol + HRP, respectively) from various Duox + DuoxA pairs: Duox2-DuoxA2, Duox2-DuoxA2(N-del), Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA2, Duox1-DuoxA1, Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA1, Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1, and Duox2-DuoxA1. Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1 and Duox2-DuoxA1 showed very similar kinetic curves in terms of both O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and ROS production (Fig. 6A). Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA1

showed a kinetic curve of ROS production similar to that of Duox1-DuoxA1 (Fig. 6A). Duox2-DuoxA2(N-del) showed an increase in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release and a decrease in ROS production compared with Duox2-DuoxA2 (Fig. 6A). Duox2→1(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA2 did not show any O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 6A). In the Duox1-DuoxA1(N-del) pair, ROS production was severely impaired (<10% that of Duox1-DuoxA1), and no apparent plasma membrane targeting/localization of Duox1 or O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release was observed (data not shown). Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 6B).


Finally, we assessed the involvement of the C- and E-loops in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release using chimeric mutants in which their sequences in Duox2 were replaced with those of Duox1 (Fig. 7, A and C). O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release was not reduced in either the C-loop mutant, Duox2→1(C:10aa), or the E-loop mutant, Duox2→1(E:TY/RF) (Fig. 7, B and D). Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 7, B and D). Taken together, we conclude that the A-loop, but not the C- or E-loop, of Duox2 is involved in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release.



**FIGURE 8. The A-loops of both Duox1 and Duox2 prevent O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage.** The illustrations indicate changes in the core aa sequences of the A-loops (7 aa) from Duoxes to Nox (1 or 5). *Green* and *purple* colors indicate the changes from Duox2 to Nox1 or Nox5 (Duox2→Nox(A)) and those from Duox1 to Nox1 or Nox5 (Duox1→Nox(A)), respectively. Various pairs were transfected into HEK293 cells. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was measured by a chemiluminescence assay using Diogenes. Immunoblotting detects comparable expression levels of various Duox and DuoxA pairs.

*The A-loops of Both Duox1 and Duox2 Function in Reducing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Leakage*—To further investigate the mechanism underlying the reduction of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by the Duox A-loop, we made chimeric Duox proteins possessing the A-loops of Nox1 or Nox5 (23, 32) (Fig. 8A). Duox2 possessing the A-loop of Nox1 or Nox5 showed markedly enhanced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release in matched combination with DuoxA2 in comparison with the native Duox2-DuoxA2 complex (Fig. 8B), suggesting that the A-loop of Duox2 also functions in the reduction of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release. Furthermore, Duox1 possessing the A-loop of Nox1 or Nox5 exhibited O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release even when matched with DuoxA1 (Fig. 8B). Comparable expression of constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 8B). Taken together, these observations imply that the A-loops of both Duox1 and Duox2 function in reducing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, although it appears that the A-loop of Duox1 is more effective in reducing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release.

*Stable and Mature Duox-DuoxA Complex Formation Is Required for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Production*—To examine the hypothesis that the A-loops of Duoxes have the intrinsic ability to convert O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, we synthesized oligopeptides of the A-loops of Duox1 and Duox2. We performed the following experiments: 1) adding A-loop peptides into xanthine oxidase reactions generating O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to detect its conversion and 2) adding A-loop peptides into Diogenes-based O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> assays in heterologous Duox-reconstituted cell systems. However, these experiments failed to reduce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>



**FIGURE 9. Direct binding of the Duox A-loop to the DuoxA N terminus.** Purified GST-tagged DuoxA N terminus was mixed with synthesized biotin-labeled Duox A-loop in binding buffer. *IP*, immunoprecipitation. Then, streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads were added 2 h later. The material adsorbed to the beads was eluted in Laemmli sample buffer. The eluents were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting (*WB*) using a polyclonal antibody against GST. The strongest interaction was observed between Duox1(Aloop) and DuoxA1(N) relative to Duox2(Aloop) + DuoxA2(N), Duox1(Aloop) + DuoxA2(N), and Duox2(Aloop) + DuoxA1(N) ( $n \geq 3$ ).


production (data not shown), suggesting that the A-loops of Duoxes have no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation activity.

Next, we investigated possible direct interaction between the biotin-labeled A-loop peptides of Duoxes and the GST-tagged N-terminal extracellular sequences of the DuoxAs by pulldown assays using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads. We detected the strongest interaction between Duox1(Aloop) and DuoxA1(N) among Duox1(Aloop)-DuoxA1(N), Duox2(Aloop)-DuoxA2(N), Duox1(Aloop)-DuoxA2(N), and Duox2(Aloop)-DuoxA1(N) pairs (Fig. 9).

We then focused on the interaction between full-length Duoxes and DuoxAs at the cellular level because our previous paper established that Duox maturation, reflected in *N*-glycosyl modifications and stable interactions with DuoxAs, affects the type of ROS produced in that fully processed and stable complexes do not leak O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (7). The relationship between O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release and Duox binding to DuoxAs was examined by immunoprecipitation assays using lysates from HEK293 cells transfected with various Duox and DuoxA combinations. The order of the strength of Duox2 binding to FLAG-tagged DuoxAs was 3N×FLAG-DuoxA2 < DuoxA1-3C×FLAG < DuoxA2-3C×FLAG (Fig. 10A). This order inversely correlated with the amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by the Duox2 complex: 3N×FLAG-DuoxA2 > DuoxA1-3C×FLAG > DuoxA2-3C×FLAG (Fig. 10A). The order of the strength of DuoxA1 binding to HA-tagged Duoxes was HA-Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) < HA-Duox2 < HA-Duox1 = HA-Duox2→1(A:8aa) (Fig. 10B). This order also inversely correlated with the amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release: HA-Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) > HA-Duox2 > HA-Duox1 = HA-Duox2→1(A:8aa) (Fig. 10B), suggesting that stable interactions between Duoxes and DuoxAs prevent O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release. Comparable plasma membrane targeting/localization of these seven pairs was statistically confirmed by the nonpermeable immunostaining of HA-tagged Duoxes using an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated HA mAb (data not shown).

To explore the relationship between Duox maturation (Golgi apparatus-based glycosyl modifications) and O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release, Endo H treatments were performed. Duox2-DuoxA1 complexes that showed O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release was sensitive to Endo H treatment (Fig. 10C,

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release



**FIGURE 10. The A-loop of Duox is associated with binding to DuoxA, O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage, and Endo H-resistant N-glycosyl modification.** A and B, HA-tagged Duox2 + various types of FLAG-tagged DuoxA (A) or various types of HA-Duox + DuoxA1-1CxFLAG (B) were transfected into HEK293 cell. Forty-eight hours after transfection lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) by a magnetic agarose-conjugated HA mAb followed by immunoblotting (WB) using an HRP-conjugated FLAG mAb. Representative data ( $n \geq 3$ ) show good correlation between a weak interaction between Duox and DuoxA and high O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production. C, various HA-tagged Duox and DuoxA pairs were transfected into HEK293 cell. Forty-eight hours after transfection lysates were treated with Endo H, and immunoblotting was performed using an HRP-conjugated HA mAb. Representative data ( $n \geq 3$ ) show the presence of Endo H-sensitive bands in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> producing pairs (HA-Duox2 + DuoxA1 and HA-Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) + DuoxA1).

left). Interestingly, although the Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1 complex showed glycosyl modifications of Duox1→2(A:8aa+L) similar to that of Duox1 in the Duox1-DuoxA1 complex, the Duox1→2(A:8aa+L)-DuoxA1 complex that exhibited O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release was also susceptible to Endo H treatment (Fig. 10C, right). Conversely, Duox2→1(A:8aa)-DuoxA1, which produced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> but no O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, was resistant to Endo H treatment (Fig. 10C, right). Taken together, these results suggest that the A-loop of Duox1 functions to promote the formation of stable and mature Duox1-DuoxA1 complexes, preventing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release.

### DISCUSSION

Duox enzymes serve as dedicated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generators at the plasma membrane, where they support the activities of extracellular hemoperoxidases (22). We (7) and another group (26) previously reported a switch in the type of ROS released, from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, with co-expression of the mismatched Duox2 and DuoxA1 pair, but not with Duox1 and DuoxA2 (7). These findings suggest that DuoxA proteins contribute to Duox maturation

and subcellular targeting; they also function as a part of the ROS-generating complex. Subsequently, Hoste *et al.* (31) showed that the N terminus of DuoxA2 acts as an important determinant of the type of ROS produced by Duox2 by comparing native DuoxA2 with N-terminally truncated and chimeric versions of DuoxA2 and DuoxA1. Consistent with our results, they showed that 1) the addition of tags of various types and lengths to the N-terminal of DuoxA2 increased the amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage by Duox2 (rhodopsin (19 aa) and FLAG (8 aa) tags (31); FLAG (22 aa in 3N×FLAG, 15 aa in 2N×FLAG, and 8 aa in 1N×FLAG), and 2N×HA (20 aa) tags (this study)) and 2) a small amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> is released by the native Duox2-DuoxA2 complex but not by the Duox1-DuoxA1 or Duox1-DuoxA2 complexes (31).

In this study we expanded upon these observations on ROS generation by Duoxes by identifying novel structural determinants within the Nox-like extracellular portion of Duoxes, termed the A-loop, that appears to function in preventing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage by supporting the stabilization and maturation of the

<b>Duox1</b>	human	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> ITD TTRV
	cow	<b>AFA AHHKG</b> IAD TTRV
	pig	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> IMD TTRV
	dog	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> IMD TTRV
	rat	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> ISD TTRV
	mouse	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> ISD TTRV
frog	<b>AFA AHHTG</b> ISE VTMP	
<b>Duox2</b>	human	<b>GFA LPP</b> SDIAQ TTLV
	cow	<b>AFA SPP</b> SGIAQ TTFV
	pig	<b>AFV SPP</b> SGIAE TTFV
	dog	<b>AFA SPP</b> SGIAE TTFV
	rat	<b>GFA SPP</b> TDIAQ TTYV
	mouse	<b>GFA SPP</b> SDIEE TTYV
	chicken	<b>AFA SPS</b> TGIAQ TTFV
frog	<b>GFA SPS</b> SGIAD ATFI	
<b>Duox</b>	<i>Drosophila</i>	<b>SFM AEHT</b> DLRH IMGV
	zebrafish	<b>YGL QA</b> HSSGIP EISM
	sea urchins	<b>SVE REF</b> AGLPR IAGF
	<i>C. elegans</i>	<b>RYM AEN</b> RLRR VMGA

FIGURE 11. Alignment of the A-loops of Duox in many species. Chicken, *Drosophila*, zebrafish, sea urchins, and *Caenorhabditis elegans* each have only one Duox. Blue letters in Duox1 and Duox and red letters in Duox2 indicate highly conserved residues between species.

Duox-DuoxA complex. Although the A-loop of Duox1 is more effective at preventing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage than that of Duox2, the A-loops of both the Duox isozymes function in preventing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release (Fig. 8). A recent study (33) showed that Nox4 has a unique extracellular E-loop, longer than that in other Nox family proteins, which was proposed to hinder O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release and facilitate its conversion to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Interestingly, this study highlighted the importance of His<sup>222</sup> of Nox4, proposing that this conserved residue serves as a source of protons for O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation. However, we found that neither the E-loop nor the C-loop aa sequences of either Duox influenced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by Duox-DuoxA complexes. The PoxH domain of Duoxes is a candidate for intramolecular O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> dismutation, although the isolated domains of both Duox isozymes did not demonstrate superoxide dismutase or peroxidase activity *in vitro* (24, 25). In this study we observed reduced O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by Duox(1PoxH-2)-DuoxA1 than by Duox2-DuoxA1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that the PoxH domain of Duox1 could reduce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage by supporting its conversion to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Three residues in the A-loop of Duox1 (HH and G) critical for the reduction of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage are highly conserved in Duox1 in many species (Fig. 11), and the corresponding PP residues in the A-loop of Duox2 are also well conserved in many species. The A-loop sequences of human Duox1 and Duox2 have different predicted secondary structures as analyzed by several structural modeling algorithms (cfPred: Chou-Fasman Protein Secondary Structure Predictor), suggesting that the A-loops of Duox1 and Duox2 interact differently with other structures involved in the conversion of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (*i.e.* the DuoxA N termini or Duox PoxH domains). These structural differences may explain the observed differences in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage, complex stability, and maturation. The A-loops of Nox1 and Nox5, which produce O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, also lack HH residues and are even more divergent, consistent with their effects in inducing or enhancing O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> release by chimeric Duox1 or Duox2 proteins, respectively (Fig. 8). Moreover, the compound heterozy-

gous mutation of Duox2(L1067S) in the A-loop combined with other Duox2 mutations was identified in patients with transient congenital hypothyroidism (12), further supporting the importance of the A-loop structure to Duox function.

We found direct binding of the A-loops of Duoxes to the N termini of DuoxAs *in vitro* (Fig. 9). In addition, the strength of the interaction between the Duox and DuoxA pairs at the cellular level inversely correlated with the amount of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> released—weaker interactions correlated with greater O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage (Fig. 10, A and B). Furthermore, the A-loop of Duox1 induced complete Endo H-resistant glycosyl modifications of Duoxes (Fig. 10C). To determine whether glycosyl modifications of Duoxes affect ROS production and O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage, we created glycosylation-defective mutants of untagged and HA-tagged human Duox1 and Duox2 in which all five putative glycosylation sites (4) (Asn-94, -342, -354, -461, and -534 in Duox1; Asn-100, -348, -382, -455, and -537 in Duox2) were replaced by Gln. In Duox-reconstituted HEK293 cell systems, these mutants showed severely impaired glycosylation (almost no band shift in Duoxes upon immunoblotting), plasma membrane targeting/localization of the Duox-DuoxA complex, and ROS production or O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage detected by luminol + HRP or Diogenes (data not shown). Taken together, these results suggest that 1) binding of the A-loop of Duox1 to the N terminus of DuoxA1 contributes to the enhanced interaction between Duox1 and DuoxA1 observed at the cellular level, thereby inducing more stable, mature Duox-DuoxA complexes than those involving Duox2, and 2) glycosyl modifications of Duoxes are essential for the targeting/localization of the Duox-DuoxA complex to the plasma membrane, increasing the stability of the Duox-DuoxA complex and developing capabilities for ROS production (including O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage) on the plasma membrane. The formation of more stable Duox-DuoxA heterodimers or structural changes associated with maturation, which are probably acquired at the final destination, the apical cell surface, may create an environment that more efficiently supports ROS conversion and prevents O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> leakage.

In conclusion, in this study we demonstrated that the A-loop of Duoxes is an important structural determinant that interacts with the N termini of DuoxAs and facilitates the conversion of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, likely through mechanisms promoting the stabilization and maturation of Duox-DuoxA complexes. Further studies are required to unveil the detailed mechanisms underlying the intramolecular conversion of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by the Duox-DuoxA complex.

## REFERENCES

- Quinn, M. T., Ammons, M. C., and Deleo, F. R. (2006) The expanding role of NADPH oxidases in health and disease: no longer just agents of death and destruction. *Clin. Sci.* **111**, 1–20
- Leto, T. L., Morand, S., Hurt, D., and Ueyama, T. (2009) Targeting and regulation of reactive oxygen species generation by Nox family NADPH oxidases. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.* **11**, 2607–2619
- Matsushima, S., Tsutsui, H., and Sadoshima, J. (2014) Physiological and pathological functions of NADPH oxidases during myocardial ischemia-reperfusion. *Trends Cardiovasc. Med.* **24**, 202–205
- De Deken, X., Wang, D., Many, M. C., Costagliola, S., Libert, F., Vassart, G., Dumont, J. E., and Miot, F. (2000) Cloning of two human thyroid cDNAs encoding new members of the NADPH oxidase family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 23227–23233

## Role of Duox A-loops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Release

- Dupuy, C., Ohayon, R., Valent, A., Noël-Hudson, M. S., Dème, D., and Virion, A. (1999) Purification of a novel flavoprotein involved in the thyroid NADPH oxidase. Cloning of the porcine and human cdnas. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 37265–37269
- Grasberger, H., and Refetoff, S. (2006) Identification of the maturation factor for dual oxidase. Evolution of an eukaryotic operon equivalent. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 18269–18272
- Morand, S., Ueyama, T., Tsujibe, S., Saito, N., Korzeniowska, A., and Leto, T. L. (2009) Duox maturation factors form cell surface complexes with Duox affecting the specificity of reactive oxygen species generation. *FASEB J.* **23**, 1205–1218
- Moreno, J. C., Pauws, E., van Kampen, A. H., Jedlicková, M., de Vijlder, J. J., and Ris-Stalpers, C. (2001) Cloning of tissue-specific genes using serial analysis of gene expression and a novel computational subtraction approach. *Genomics* **75**, 70–76
- Johnson, K. R., Marden, C. C., Ward-Bailey, P., Gagnon, L. H., Bronson, R. T., and Donahue, L. R. (2007) Congenital hypothyroidism, dwarfism, and hearing impairment caused by a missense mutation in the mouse dual oxidase 2 gene, Duox2. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **21**, 1593–1602
- Grasberger, H., De Deken, X., Mayo, O. B., Raad, H., Weiss, M., Liao, X. H., and Refetoff, S. (2012) Mice deficient in dual oxidase maturation factors are severely hypothyroid. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **26**, 481–492
- Donkó, A., Ruisanchez, E., Orient, A., Enyedi, B., Kapui, R., Péterfi, Z., de Deken, X., Benyó, Z., and Geiszt, M. (2010) Urothelial cells produce hydrogen peroxide through the activation of Duox1. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **49**, 2040–2048
- Maruo, Y., Takahashi, H., Soeda, I., Nishikura, N., Matsui, K., Ota, Y., Mimura, Y., Mori, A., Sato, H., and Takeuchi, Y. (2008) Transient congenital hypothyroidism caused by biallelic mutations of the dual oxidase 2 gene in Japanese patients detected by a neonatal screening program. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **93**, 4261–4267
- Hulur, I., Hermanns, P., Nestoris, C., Heger, S., Refetoff, S., Pohlenz, J., and Grasberger, H. (2011) A single copy of the recently identified dual oxidase maturation factor (DUOXA) 1 gene produces only mild transient hypothyroidism in a patient with a novel biallelic DUOXA2 mutation and monoallelic DUOXA1 deletion. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **96**, E841–E845
- Geiszt, M., Witta, J., Baffi, J., Lekstrom, K., and Leto, T. L. (2003) Dual oxidases represent novel hydrogen peroxide sources supporting mucosal surface host defense. *FASEB J.* **17**, 1502–1504
- El Hassani, R. A., Benfares, N., Caillou, B., Talbot, M., Sabourin, J. C., Belotte, V., Morand, S., Gnidehou, S., Agnandji, D., Ohayon, R., Kaniewski, J., Noël-Hudson, M. S., Bidart, J. M., Schlumberger, M., Virion, A., and Dupuy, C. (2005) Dual oxidase2 is expressed all along the digestive tract. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* **288**, G933–G942
- van der Vliet, A. (2011) Nox enzymes in allergic airway inflammation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1810**, 1035–1044
- Ha, E. M., Oh, C. T., Bae, Y. S., and Lee, W. J. (2005) A direct role for dual oxidase in *Drosophila* gut immunity. *Science* **310**, 847–850
- Moskwa, P., Lorentzen, D., Excoffon, K. J., Zabner, J., McCray, P. B., Jr., Nauseef, W. M., Dupuy, C., and Bánfi, B. (2007) A novel host defense system of airways is defective in cystic fibrosis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **175**, 174–183
- Grasberger, H., El-Zaatari, M., Dang, D. T., and Merchant, J. L. (2013) Dual oxidases control release of hydrogen peroxide by the gastric epithelium to prevent helicobacter felis infection and inflammation in mice. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 1045–1054
- Sumimoto, H. (2008) Structure, regulation and evolution of Nox-family NADPH oxidases that produce reactive oxygen species. *FEBS J.* **275**, 3249–3277
- Ameziane-El-Hassani, R., Morand, S., Boucher, J. L., Frapart, Y. M., Apostolou, D., Agnandji, D., Gnidehou, S., Ohayon, R., Noël-Hudson, M. S., Francon, J., Lalaoui, K., Virion, A., and Dupuy, C. (2005) Dual oxidase-2 has an intrinsic Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-generating activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 30046–30054
- De Deken, X., Corvilain, B., Dumont, J. E., and Miot, F. (2014) Roles of DUOX-mediated hydrogen peroxide in metabolism, host defense, and signaling. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal* **20**, 2776–2793
- Kawahara, T., Quinn, M. T., and Lambeth, J. D. (2007) Molecular evolution of the reactive oxygen-generating NADPH oxidase (Nox/Duox) family of enzymes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 109
- Meitzler, J. L., and Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. (2009) Caenorhabditis elegans and human dual oxidase 1 (DUOX1) “peroxidase” domains: insights into heme binding and catalytic activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 18634–18643
- Meitzler, J. L., and Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. (2011) Structural stability and heme binding potential of the truncated human dual oxidase 2 (DUOX2) peroxidase domain. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **512**, 197–203
- Zamproni, I., Grasberger, H., Cortinovis, F., Vigone, M. C., Chiumello, G., Mora, S., Onigata, K., Fugazzola, L., Refetoff, S., Persani, L., and Weber, G. (2008) Biallelic inactivation of the dual oxidase maturation factor 2 (DUOXA2) gene as a novel cause of congenital hypothyroidism. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **93**, 605–610
- Ueyama, T., Tatsuno, T., Kawasaki, T., Tsujibe, S., Shirai, Y., Sumimoto, H., Leto, T. L., and Saito, N. (2007) A regulated adaptor function of p40<sup>phox</sup>: distinct p67<sup>phox</sup> membrane targeting by p40<sup>phox</sup> and by p47<sup>phox</sup>. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **18**, 441–454
- Nauseef, W. M. (2014) Detection of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide production by cellular NADPH oxidases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1840**, 757–767
- Ueyama, T., Son, J., Kobayashi, T., Hamada, T., Nakamura, T., Sakaguchi, H., Shirafuji, T., and Saito, N. (2013) Negative charges in the flexible N-terminal domain of rho GDP-dissociation inhibitors (RhoGDIs) regulate the targeting of the RhoGDI-Rac1 complex to membranes. *J. Immunol.* **191**, 2560–2569
- Pick, E. (2014) Cell-free NADPH oxidase activation assays: “in vitro veritas.” *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1124**, 339–403
- Hoste, C., Dumont, J. E., Miot, F., and De Deken, X. (2012) The type of DUOX-dependent ROS production is dictated by defined sequences in DUOXA. *Exp. Cell Res.* **318**, 2353–2364
- Cheng, G., Cao, Z., Xu, X., van Meir, E. G., and Lambeth, J. D. (2001) Homologs of gp91phox: cloning and tissue expression of Nox3, Nox4, and Nox5. *Gene* **269**, 131–140
- Takac, I., Schröder, K., Zhang, L., Lardy, B., Anilkumar, N., Lambeth, J. D., Shah, A. M., Morel, F., and Brandes, R. P. (2011) The E-loop is involved in hydrogen peroxide formation by the NADPH oxidase Nox4. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 13304–13313

## **The Extracellular A-loop of Dual Oxidases Affects the Specificity of Reactive Oxygen Species Release**

Takehiko Ueyama, Megumi Sakuma, Yuzuru Ninoyu, Takeshi Hamada, Corinne Dupuy, Miklós Geiszt, Thomas L. Leto and Naoaki Saito

*J. Biol. Chem.* 2015, 290:6495-6506.

doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.592717 originally published online January 13, 2015

---

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: [10.1074/jbc.M114.592717](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.592717)

Alerts:

- [When this article is cited](#)
- [When a correction for this article is posted](#)

[Click here](#) to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 33 references, 9 of which can be accessed free at <http://www.jbc.org/content/290/10/6495.full.html#ref-list-1>