



## TRACES OF PERMUTING GENERALIZED $N$ -DERIVATIONS OF RINGS

MOHAMMAD ASHRAF, ALMAS KHAN, AND MALIK RASHID JAMAL

*Received 03 November, 2015*

*Abstract.* Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a ring. A permuting  $n$ -additive map  $\Omega : R^n \rightarrow R$  is known to be permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation if there exists a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  such that  $\Omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, x'_i, \dots, x_n) = \Omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)x'_i + x_i \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)$  holds for all  $x_i, x'_i \in R$ . A mapping  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\delta(x) = \Delta(x, x, \dots, x)$  for all  $x \in R$  is said to be the trace of  $\Delta$ . The trace  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$  can be defined in the similar way. The main result of the present paper states that if  $R$  is a  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring which admits a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies  $[[\delta(x), x], x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ . Besides other related results it is also shown that in a  $n!$ -torsion free prime ring if the trace  $\omega$  of a permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation  $\Omega$  is centralizing on  $R$ , then  $\omega$  is commuting on  $R$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16W25; 16U80

*Keywords:* derivation, generalized derivation, permuting  $n$ -derivation, centralizing map, prime ring

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout  $R$  will denote an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . For any  $x, y \in R$ ,  $xy - yx$  denote the commutator  $[x, y]$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be prime (resp. semi-prime) if  $aRb = \{0\}$  implies either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  (resp.  $aRa = \{0\}$  implies  $a = 0$ ). Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer. A map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be centralizing (resp. commuting) on  $R$  if  $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$  (resp.  $[f(x), x] = 0$ ) holds for all  $x \in R$ . An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Following [4], an additive mapping  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized derivation on  $R$  if there exists a derivation  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Suppose  $n$  is a fixed positive integer and  $R^n = R \times R \times \dots \times R$ . A map  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  is said to be permuting if the relation  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \Delta(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$  holds for all  $x_i \in R$  and for every permutation  $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)\}$ . The concept of derivation and symmetric bi-derivation was generalized by Park [7] as follows: a permuting map  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  is said to be a permuting  $n$ -derivation if  $\Delta$  is  $n$ -additive (i.e.; additive

in each coordinate) and  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i x'_i, \dots, x_n) = x_i \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) x'_i$  holds for all  $x_i, x'_i \in R$ . A 1-derivation is a derivation and a 2-derivation is a symmetric bi-derivation while a 3-derivation is known as permuting tri-derivation.

A well known result due to Posner [8] states that a prime ring  $R$  which admits a non-zero centralizing derivation is commutative. In fact, this result initiated the study of centralizing and commuting mappings in rings. Since then, several authors have done a great deal of work concerning commutativity of prime and semi-prime rings admitting different kinds of maps which are centralizing or commuting on some appropriate subsets of  $R$  (see [5,6] and [7] for further references). Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed integer and a map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\delta(x) = \Delta(x, x, \dots, x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  is a permuting map, be the trace of  $\Delta$ . Moreover, it can be easily seen that  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, -x_i, \dots, x_n) = -\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Motivated by the concept of generalized derivation in ring, we introduce the notion of permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation in ring. Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer. A permuting  $n$ -additive map  $\Omega : R^n \rightarrow R$  is known to be permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation if there exists a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  such that  $\Omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i x'_i, \dots, x_n) = \Omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) x'_i + x_i \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)$  holds for all  $x_i, x'_i \in R$ . For an example of permuting generalized

$n$ -derivation, let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$  where  $\mathbb{C}$  is a complex field. Consider permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  as above and define  $\Omega : R^n \rightarrow R$  such that

$$\Omega \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_n & b_n \\ 0 & 0 & c_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \cdots a_n \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 \cdots c_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Omega$  is a permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation on  $R$  associated with a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  on  $R$ .

Let  $\omega : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\omega(x) = \Omega(x, x, \dots, x)$ . Then  $\omega$  is known as the trace of  $\Omega$ . A permuting  $n$ -additive map  $\Lambda : R^n \rightarrow R$  is said to be a permuting left  $n$ -multiplier (resp. permuting right  $n$ -multiplier) if  $\Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i x'_i, \dots, x_n) = \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) x'_i$  (resp.  $\Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i x'_i, \dots, x_n) = x_i \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)$ ) holds for all  $x_i, x'_i \in R$ . If  $\Lambda$  is both permuting left  $n$ -multiplier as well as right  $n$ -multiplier, then  $\Lambda$  is called a permuting  $n$ -multiplier.

Motivated by the results due to Posner [8], Vukman obtained some results concerning the trace of symmetric bi-derivation in prime ring (see [9, 10]). Ashraf [1] proved similar results for semi-prime ring. In the year 2009, Park [7] introduced the concept of symmetric permuting  $n$ -derivation and obtained some results related to

the commuting traces of permuting  $n$ -derivations in rings. Further, the first author together with Jamal and Parveen [2, 3] obtained commutativity of rings admitting  $n$ -derivations whose traces satisfy certain polynomial conditions.

The main objective of this paper is to find the analogous results for permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation in the setting of prime and semi-prime rings. In fact, our theorems present a wide generalization of the results obtained in [1], Theorem 2.1, [7], Theorem 2.3, [7], Theorem 2.5, [9], Theorem 1, [9], Theorem 2, [10], Theorem 2 etc.

## 2. RESULTS

We begin with the following known results which are frequently used in our discussion.

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 2.4 in [7]). *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $R$  be a  $n!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in R$  satisfy  $\lambda y_1 + \lambda^2 y_2 + \dots + \lambda^n y_n = 0$  (or  $\in Z(R)$ ) for  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $y_i = 0$  (or  $y_i \in Z(R)$ ) for all  $i$ .*

**Lemma 2** (Theorem 2.3 in [7]). *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a non-commutative  $n!$ -torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  such that the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  is commuting on  $R$ . Then we have  $\Delta = 0$ .*

**Lemma 3** (Theorem 2.6 in [7]). *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a  $n!$ -torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a non-zero permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$  such that the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  is centralizing on  $R$  then  $R$  is commutative.*

As stated in the beginning, there has been a great deal of work concerning centralizing and commuting mappings. The following result shows that if the trace  $\delta$  of a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  is centralizing on  $R$  then it is commuting on  $R$ . In fact, we prove rather a more general result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring admitting a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies  $[[\delta(x), x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* From our hypothesis we have

$$[[\delta(x), x], x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \tag{2.1}$$

An easy computation shows that the traces  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies the following relations

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} h_r(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

where  $h_r(x, y) = \Delta(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{(n-r)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{r\text{-times}})$ .

Consider a positive integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x + ky$  in equation (2.1), we obtain

$$kQ_1(x, y) + k^2Q_2(x, y) + \dots + k^{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R,$$

where  $Q_i(x, y)$  denotes the sum of the terms in which  $y$  appears  $i$  times. By (2.1) and Lemma 1, we have for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$[[\delta(x), x], y] + [[\delta(x), y], x] + n[[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $xy$  in (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[\delta(x), x], xy] + [[\delta(x), xy], x] + n[[\Delta(x, x, \dots, xy), x], x] \\ &= [[\delta(x), x], xy] + [[\delta(x), xy], x] + n[[x\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x] \\ &\quad + n[[\delta(x)y, x], x] \\ &= [[\delta(x), x], xy] + [x\delta(x), y], x] + [[\delta(x), x]y, x] \\ &\quad + n[x[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x] + n[\delta(x)[y, x], x] + n[[\delta(x), x]y, x] \\ &= [[\delta(x), x], x]y + x[[\delta(x), x], y] + x[[\delta(x), y], x] + [x, x][\delta(x), y] \\ &\quad + [\delta(x), x][y, x] + [[\delta(x), x], x]y + nx[[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x] \\ &\quad + n\delta(x)[[y, x], x] + n[\delta(x), x][y, x] + n[\delta(x), x][y, x] + n[[\delta(x), x], x]y. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2) we find that

$$(2n + 1)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + n\delta(x)[[y, x], x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  in (2.2), one can get

$$(2n + 1)[y, x][\delta(x), x] + n[[y, x], x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.4)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$  in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2n + 1)[\delta(x), x][yz, x] + n\delta(x)[[yz, x], x] \\ &= (2n + 1)\{[\delta(x), x][y, x]z + [\delta(x), x]y[z, x]\} + n\delta(x)y[[z, x], x] \\ &\quad + n\delta(x)[y, x][z, x] + n\delta(x)[y, x][z, x] + n\delta(x)[[y, x], x]z. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2.3)

$$(2n + 1)[\delta(x), x]y[z, x] + n\delta(x)y[[z, x], x] + 2n\delta(x)[y, x][z, x] = 0.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $\delta(x)$  in the above relation we find that

$$(2n + 1)[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)[z, x] + n\delta(x)^2[[z, x], x] + 2n\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][z, x] = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.3) we have  $n\delta(x)^2[[y, x], x] = -(2n + 1)\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][y, x]$ . Now using this relation in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2n + 1)[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)[z, x] - (2n + 1)\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][z, x] \\ &\quad + 2n\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][z, x] \\ &= \{(2n + 1)[\delta(x), x]\delta(x) - \delta(x)[\delta(x), x]\}[z, x]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly using (2.4) one can easily obtain

$$\{(2n + 1)\delta(x)[\delta(x), x] - [\delta(x), x]\delta(x)\}[z, x] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Adding (2.6) and (2.7) we arrive at

$$2n\{[\delta(x), x]\delta(x) + 2n\delta(x)[\delta(x), x]\}[z, x] = 0.$$

Since  $2n$  divides  $(n + 1)!$ , we find that  $R$  is  $2n$ -torsion free and hence for all  $x, z \in R$ ,

$$\{[\delta(x), x]\delta(x) + \delta(x)[\delta(x), x]\}[z, x] = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.8) in (2.6) we obtain  $(2n + 2)[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, z \in R$ . Since  $2(n + 1)$  divides  $(n + 1)!$ , we find that  $R$  is  $2(n + 1)$ -torsion free and hence for all  $x, z \in R$ ,

$$[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)[z, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, z \in R.$$

Substituting  $yz$  for  $z$  we get  $[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)y[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $\delta(x)$  we obtain  $0 = [\delta(x), x]\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x]\delta(x)$ . Semiprimeness of  $R$  yields

$$[\delta(x), x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly application of (2.7) and (2.8) yields that

$$\delta(x)[\delta(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \tag{2.10}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + ky$  in equation (2.10) where  $1 \leq k \leq 2n$  and implementing Lemma 1

$$\delta(x)[\delta(x), y] + n\delta(x)[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x] + n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)[\delta(x), x] = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(x)[\delta(x), yx] + n\delta(x)[y\delta(x) + \Delta(x, x, \dots, y)x, x] \\ &\quad + n\{y\delta(x) + \Delta(x, x, \dots, y)x\}[\delta(x), x] \\ &= \delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] + \delta(x)[\delta(x), y]x + n\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] \\ &\quad + n\delta(x)[y, x]\delta(x) + n\delta(x)[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x]x \\ &\quad + ny\delta(x)[\delta(x), x] + n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)x[\delta(x), x]. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.11) we have

$$-n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)[\delta(x), x]x = \delta(x)[\delta(x), y]x + n\delta(x)[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x]x. \tag{2.12}$$

Using (2.10) and (2.12) in the above relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (n + 1)\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] + n\delta(x)[y, x]\delta(x) + n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)x[\delta(x), x] \\ &\quad - n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)[\delta(x), x]x \\ &= (n + 1)\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] + n\delta(x)[y, x]\delta(x) - n\Delta(x, x, \dots, y)[[\delta(x), x]x]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$(n + 1)\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] + n\delta(x)[y, x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting  $xy$  for  $y$  in (2.13)

$$(n+1)\delta(x)xy[\delta(x), x] + n\delta(x)x[y, x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.14)$$

Left multiply (2.13) by  $x$ , we obtain

$$(n+1)x\delta(x)y[\delta(x), x] + nx\delta(x)[y, x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.15)$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$(n+1)[\delta(x), x]y[\delta(x), x] + n[\delta(x), x][y, x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.16)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$  in (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2n+1)[yz, x][\delta(x), x] + n[[yz, x], x]\delta(x) \\ &= (2n+1)[yz, x][\delta(x), x] + n[y[z, x], x]\delta(x) + n[[y, x]z, x]\delta(x) \\ &= (2n+1)y[z, x][\delta(x), x] + (2n+1)[y, x]z[\delta(x), x] + ny[[z, x], x]\delta(x) \\ &\quad + n[y, x][z, x]\delta(x) + n[y, x][z, x]\delta(x) + n[[y, x], x]z\delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) we get,

$$(2n+1)[y, x]z[\delta(x), x] + 2n[y, x][z, x]\delta(x) + n[[y, x], x]z\delta(x) = 0.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $\delta(x)$  in the above relation we get

$$(2n+1)[\delta(x), x]z[\delta(x), x] + 2n[\delta(x), x][z, x]\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, z \in R. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining equations (2.16) and (2.17) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2n+1)[\delta(x), x]z[\delta(x), x] - 2(n+1)[\delta(x), x]z[\delta(x), x] \\ &= [\delta(x), x]z[\delta(x), x] \text{ for all } x, z \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is semi-prime, we get  $[\delta(x), x] = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring admitting a permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies  $[[\delta(x), x], x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ .

*Proof.* Replace  $x$  by  $x + ky$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$  in the given condition to find that

$$kQ_1(x, y) + k^2Q_2(x, y) + \dots + k^{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x, y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in R,$$

where  $Q_i(x, y)$  denotes the sum of the terms in which  $y$  appears  $i$  times. By Lemma 1, we have for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$[[\delta(x), x], y] + [[\delta(x), y], x] + n[[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x] \in Z(R). \quad (2.18)$$

Again replacing  $y$  by  $xy$  in the above expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x([[\delta(x), x], y] + [[\delta(x), y], x] + n[[\Delta(x, x, \dots, y), x], x]) + (n+2)[[\delta(x), x], x]y \\ + (2n+1)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + n\delta(x)[[y, x], x] \in Z(R). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.18) with the latter relation, we find that

$$(3n + 3)[[\delta(x), x], x][y, x] + (3n + 1)[\delta(x), x][[y, x], x] + n\delta(x)[[[y, x], x], x] = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Further replace  $y$  by  $\delta(x)$  in (2.19) to get

$$(6n + 4)[[\delta(x), x], x][\delta(x), x] = 0.$$

On commuting with  $x$ , we find that

$$(6n + 4)[[\delta(x), x], x]^2 = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Next, on replacing  $y$  by  $[\delta(x), x]$  in (2.19) and using the given condition, we have

$$(3n + 3)[[\delta(x), x], x]^2 = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Now combine (2.20) and (2.21) to get  $2[[\delta(x), x], x]^2 = 0$ .

Since,  $R$  is  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free and also the center of semi-prime ring is free from nilpotent element, we have  $[[\delta(x), x], x] = 0$ . From Theorem 1,  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 2 with Lemma 3, we can prove the following:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring admitting a non-zero permuting  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $\delta$  satisfies  $[[\delta(x), x], x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a non-commutative  $n!$ -torsion free prime ring admitting a permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation  $\Omega$  with associated  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$  is commuting on  $R$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a left  $n$ -multiplier on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Our hypothesis yields that

$$[\omega(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \tag{2.22}$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\omega(x + y) = \omega(x) + \omega(y) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} p_r(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

where  $p_r(x, y) = \Omega(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{(n-r)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{r\text{-times}})$ .

Substituting  $x + \lambda y$ , where  $\lambda$  ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$ ) is a positive integer, in place of  $x$  in the above equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\omega(x + \lambda y), x + \lambda y] \\ &= [\omega(x) + \omega(\lambda y) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} p_r(x, \lambda y), x + \lambda y]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda\{\omega(x), y\} + \binom{n}{1}[p_1(x, y), x] + \lambda^2\binom{n}{1}[p_1(x, y), y] \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{2}[p_2(x, y), x] + \cdots + \lambda^n\{\omega(y), x\} \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{n-1}[p_{n-1}(x, y), x] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Implementing Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\omega(x), y] + \binom{n}{1}[p_1(x, y), x] \\ &= [\omega(x), y] + n[\Omega(x, x, \dots, y), x]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= y[\omega(x), x] + [\omega(x), y]x + n[\Omega(x, x, \dots, y)x + y\Delta(x, x, \dots, x), x] \\ &= [\omega(x), y]x + ny[\delta(x), x] + n[y, x]\delta(x) + n[\Omega(x, x, \dots, y), x]x \\ &= n[y, x]\delta(x) + ny[\delta(x), x]. \end{aligned}$$

Again replacing  $y$  by  $zy$  for any  $z \in R$  we have  $[z, x]y\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Since  $R$  is prime we find that for any  $x \notin Z(R)$ ,  $\delta(x) = 0$ . Now for any  $y \in Z(R)$  and  $x \notin Z(R)$ ,  $x + \lambda y \notin Z(R)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \lambda p_1(x, y) + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} p_{n-1}(x, y) + \lambda^n \delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2 we obtain  $\delta(y) = 0$  for any  $y \in Z(R)$ . Thus,  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Lemma 1 yields that  $\Delta = 0$ . This implies that  $\Omega$  acts as a left  $n$ -multiplier.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be an  $n!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring admitting a permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation  $\Omega$  with associated  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $w$  of  $\Omega$  is centralizing on  $R$ . Then  $w$  is commuting on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* It is given that  $[w(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Using the similar arguments as used in Theorem 2, we obtain

$$[w(x), y] + n[\Omega(x, x, \dots, y), x] \in Z(R) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.23)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$ , we obtain

$$y[w(x), x] + [w(x), y]x + n[\Omega(x, x, \dots, y), x]x + ny[\delta(x), x] + n[y, x]\delta(x) \in Z(R).$$



Now in view of (2.23), we find that

$$0 = [y, x][w(x), x] + n[y, x][\delta(x), x] + ny[[\delta(x), x], x] + n[y, x][\delta(x), x] + n[[y, x], x]\delta(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.24)$$

Again replace  $y$  by  $w(x)y$  to get

$$0 = w(x)[y, x][w(x), x] + [w(x), x]y[w(x), x] + nw(x)[y, x][\delta(x), x] + n[w(x), x]y[\delta(x), x] + nw(x)y[[\delta(x), x], x] + nw(x)[y, x][\delta(x), x] + n[w(x), x]y[\delta(x), x] + n[w(x), x][y, x]\delta(x) + nw(x)[[y, x], x]\delta(x) + n[w(x), x][y, x]\delta(x) + n[[w(x), x], x]y\delta(x).$$

Using (2.24) and the given condition, we find that

$$[w(x), x]y[w(x), x] + 2n[w(x), x]y[\delta(x), x] + 2n[w(x), x][y, x]\delta(x) = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Further, replacing  $y$  by  $[w(x), x]^2$  in (2.25) and using the given condition, we have

$$[w(x), x]^4 + 2n[w(x), x]^3[\delta(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (2.26)$$

Again, replace  $y$  by  $yz$  in (2.25) and use (2.25), to get

$$2n[w(x), x][y, x]z\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (2.27)$$

Next, we replace  $y$  by  $w(x)$  and  $z$  by  $[w(x), x]$  to find that  $2n[w(x), x]^3\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . On commuting the latter relation with  $x$  and using the given condition, we have

$$2n[w(x), x]^3[\delta(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (2.28)$$

From (2.26) and (2.28), we find that  $[w(x), x]^4 = 0$ . Since the center of a semi-prime ring does not contain any nilpotent element, we get  $[w(x), x] = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a non-commutative  $n!$ -torsion free semi-prime ring admitting a permuting generalized  $n$ -derivation  $\Omega$  with associated  $n$ -derivation  $\Delta$  such that the trace  $w$  of  $\Omega$  is centralizing on  $R$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a left  $n$ -multiplier on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3 and Theorem 2, we get the required result.  $\square$

In conclusion, if we look at Theorem 2 closely, it is tempting to conjecture as follows:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-prime ring with suitable torsion restrictions and  $\Delta$  be a non-zero permuting  $n$ -derivation. Suppose that for some integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_m(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$  where  $\delta_{k+1}(x) = [\delta_k(x), x]$  for  $k > 1$  and  $\delta_1(x) = \delta(x)$  stands for the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then  $[\delta(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are indebted to the referee for his/her useful suggestions and valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ashraf, "On symmetric bi-derivations in rings," *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, vol. 31, no. 1-2, pp. 25–36, 1999.
- [2] M. Ashraf and M. R. Jamal, "Traces of Permuting  $n$ -additive maps and permuting  $n$ - derivations of rings," *Mediterr. J. Math*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 287–297, 2014, doi: [10.1017/S00009-015-0606-3](https://doi.org/10.1017/S00009-015-0606-3).
- [3] M. Ashraf, N. Parveen, and M. R. Jamal, "Traces of permuting  $n$ -derivations and commutativity of rings," *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 38, pp. 321–332, 2014.
- [4] M. Brešar, "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations," *Glasgow Math. J.*, vol. 33, pp. 89–93, 1991, doi: [10.1017/S0017089500008077](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500008077).
- [5] M. Brešar, "Commuting maps: a survey," *Taiwanese J. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 361–397, 2004.
- [6] Q. Deng and H. E. Bell, "On derivations and commutativity in semiprime rings." *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 3705–3713, 1995, doi: [10.1080/00927879508825427](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879508825427).
- [7] K. Park, "On prime and semiprime rings with symmetric  $n$ -derivations," *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 451–458, 2009.
- [8] E. Posner, "Derivations in prime rings," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 8, pp. 1093–1100, 1957, doi: [10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0095863-0](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0095863-0).
- [9] J. Vukman, "Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings," *Aequationes Math.*, vol. 38, pp. 245–254, 1989, doi: [10.1007/BF01840009](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01840009).
- [10] J. Vukman, "Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings," *Aequationes Math.*, vol. 40, pp. 181–189, 1990, doi: [10.1007/BF0211294](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF0211294).

*Authors' addresses***Mohammad Ashraf**

Aligarh Muslim University, Department of Mathematics Aligarh, India

*E-mail address:* mashraf80@hotmail.com**Almas Khan**

Aligarh Muslim University, Department of Mathematics Aligarh, India

*E-mail address:* almasleo@gmail.com**Malik Rashid Jamal**

Integral University, Department of Mathematics Lucknow, India

*E-mail address:* rashidmaths@gmail.com