

DETERMINANTS CONTAINING POWERS OF POLYNOMIAL SEQUENCES

H.-H. LEUNG

Received 25 September, 2018

Abstract. We derive identities for the determinants of matrices whose entries are (rising) powers of (products of) polynomials that satisfy a recurrence relation. In particular, these results cover the cases for Fibonacci polynomials, Lucas polynomials and certain orthogonal polynomials. These identities naturally generalize the determinant identities obtained by Alfred, Carlitz, Prodinger, Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39; 11C20

Keywords: Fibonacci polynomial, Lucas polynomial, Chebyshev polynomial, determinant identity

1. Introduction

Let $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence. Let $m\geq 1$ and n be any nonnegative integer. Let $[F^m_{n+i+j}]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}$ be the $(m+1)\times (m+1)$ matrix with entries F_{n+i+j} , $0\leq i,j\leq m$. In 1966, Carlitz [4] derived the following determinant identity for the matrix $[F^m_{n+i+j}]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}$:

$$\det([F_{n+i+j}^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m}) = (-1)^{(n+1)\binom{m+1}{2}} (F_1^m F_2^{m-1} \cdots F_m)^2 \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i}. \tag{1.1}$$

This identity is related to the problems posted by Alfred [1, p. 48] in 1963 and Parker [9, p. 303] in 1964 respectively. Let s and k be any integers. Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [12] generalized the determinant identity (1.1) as follows:

$$\det([F_{s+k(n+i+j)}^m]_{0 \le i,j \le m}) = (-1)^{(s+k(n+1)\binom{m+1}{2})} (F_k^m F_{2k}^{m-1} \cdots F_{mk})^2 \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i}.$$
(1.2)

Let $F_n^{\langle m \rangle}$ be the *rising powers* of the Fibonacci numbers defined by

$$F_n^{\langle m \rangle} := F_n F_{n+1} \cdots F_{n+m-1}.$$

The author was supported in part by the UAEU Startup Grant 2016, Grant No. G00002235.

Prodinger [10] obtained the following determinant identity for the matrix $[F_{n+i+j}^{(m)}]_{0 \le i,j \le m}$:

$$\det([F_{n+i+j}^{\langle m \rangle}]_{0 \le i, j \le m}) = (-1)^{n \binom{m+1}{2} + \binom{m+2}{3}} (F_1 F_2 \cdots F_m)^{m+1}. \tag{1.3}$$

Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [11] generalized the determinant identity (1.3) as follows:

$$\det([F_{n+i+j}^{\langle m \rangle}]_{0 \le i, j \le d-1}) = (-1)^{n\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3}} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (F_i F_{m+1-i})^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)} F_{n+i}^{\langle m+1-d \rangle}.$$

$$\tag{1.4}$$

where $d \ge 2$. It is worthwhile to note that Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [11,12] derived the determinant identities more generally, for matrices whose entries include (rising) powers of terms that satisfy a second-order linear recurrence relation with constant coefficients. By using analogous techniques in determinant calculus, we derive determinant identities for matrices whose entries are (rising) powers of polynomials that satisfy certain recurrence relations. As corollaries, we provide determinant identities for matrices whose entries are (rising) powers of Fibonacci polynomials, Lucas polynomials and certain orthogonal polynomials. As an application, we obtain new identities in the case of Fibonacci numbers. For example, for $n \ge 1$, by Corollary 2, we get

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{F_{n+i+j}}\right]_{0 \le i, j \le m}\right) = \frac{(-1)^{n\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{m} F_{i+1}^{2(m-i)}}{\prod_{0 \le i, j \le m} F_{n+i+j}}.$$
 (1.5)

2. Main results

Definition 1. Let p,q,r,a,b and c be any real numbers. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$. The sequence of polynomials in variable x,

$$\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) := (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

is defined by

$$P_0 := p$$
, $P_1 := qx + r$, $P_{n+2} := (ax + b)P_{n+1} + cP_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For n < 0, P_n is defined by

$$P_n := -\frac{ax+b}{c}P_{n+1} + \frac{1}{c}P_{n+2}.$$

The discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} := (q^2 - apq)x^2 + (2qr - apr - bpq)x + (r^2 - bpr - cp^2).$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p_1,q_1,r_1;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathcal{Q}(x)(p_2,q_2,r_2;a,b,c) = (Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers $p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2,r_1,r_2,a,b,c$ where $c\neq 0$. Then

$$P_{s+i} Q_{s+j} - P_s Q_{s+i+j} = (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_i$$

for all integers s, i, j.

Proof. We prove it by induction on i. It is trivial for i = 0. If i = 1, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{s+1} & Q_{s+j+1} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax+b & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_s & Q_{s+j} \\ P_{s-1} & Q_{s+j-1} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} ax+b & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^s \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & Q_{j+1} \\ P_0 & Q_j \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{s+1} & Q_{s+j+1} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax+b & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P_{s+2} & Q_{s+j+2} \\ P_{s+1} & Q_{s+j+1} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} ax+b & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^s \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & Q_{j+1} \\ P_0 & Q_j \end{pmatrix} \qquad (2.2)$$

for $s \ge 0$ and s < 0 respectively. We take the determinants on both sides of (2.1) and (2.2) to get

$$P_{s+1}Q_{s+j} - P_sQ_{s+j+1} = (-c)^s (P_1Q_j - P_0Q_{j+1})$$

= $(-c)^s (P_1Q_j - P_0Q_{j+1})U_1$. (2.3)

For i > 1, we assume that the identity is true for i - 1 and i - 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{s+i} \, Q_{s+j} - P_s \, Q_{s+i+j} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} P_{s+i} & Q_{s+i+j} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} (ax+b)P_{s+i-1} + cP_{s+i-2} & (ax+b)Q_{s+i+j-1} + cQ_{s+i+j-2} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (ax+b) \begin{vmatrix} P_{s+i-1} & Q_{s+j+(i-1)} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} + c \begin{vmatrix} P_{s+i-2} & Q_{s+j+(i-2)} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (ax+b)(-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_{i-1} + c(-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_{i-2} \\ &= (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) ((ax+b)U_{i-1} + cU_{i-2}) \\ &= (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_i. \end{aligned}$$

For i < 0, we assume that the identity is true for i + 1 and i + 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{s+i} \, Q_{s+j} - P_s \, Q_{s+i+j} &= \begin{vmatrix} P_{s+i} & Q_{s+i+j} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{ax+b}{c} P_{s+i+1} + \frac{1}{c} P_{s+i+2} & -\frac{ax+b}{c} Q_{s+i+j+1} + \frac{1}{c} Q_{s+i+j+2} \\ P_s & Q_{s+j} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &= -\frac{ax+b}{c} \left| P_{s+i+1} \quad Q_{s+i+j+1} \right| + \frac{1}{c} \left| P_{s+i+2} \quad Q_{s+i+j+2} \right| \\ &= -\frac{ax+b}{c} (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_{i+1} + \frac{1}{c} (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_{i+2} \\ &= (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) \left(-\frac{ax+b}{c} U_{i+1} + \frac{1}{c} U_{i+2} \right) \\ &= (-c)^s (P_1 Q_j - P_0 Q_{j+1}) U_i. \end{split}$$

Remark 1. We recover the generalized Catalan Identity by Melham and Shannon [8] (see also Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [12, Proposition 1]) by substituting x = 1 in Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers p,q,r,a,b,c where $c \neq 0$. Then

$$P_i P_1 - P_0 P_{i+1} = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_i, \tag{2.4}$$

$$P_{s+i} P_{s+j} - P_s P_{s+i+j} = (-c)^s \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_i U_j$$
 (2.5)

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c)$.

Proof. By setting s = 0, j = 1, $p_1 = p_2 = p$, $q_1 = q_2 = q$, $r_1 = r_2 = r$ in Theorem 1, we get

$$P_i P_1 - P_0 P_{i+1} = (P_1 P_1 - P_0 P_2) U_i. (2.6)$$

We note that $P_0 = p$, $P_1 = qx + r$ and $P_2 = (ax + b)P_1 + cP_0 = (ax + b)(qx + r) + cp$. Hence, we obtain (2.4) by simplifying (2.6). On the other hand, by setting $p_1 = p_2 = p$, $q_1 = q_2 = q$, $r_1 = r_2 = r$ in Theorem 1, we get

$$P_{s+i}P_{s+j} - P_sP_{s+i+j} = (-c)^s(P_1P_j - P_0P_{j+1})U_i = (-c)^s\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_iU_j$$

in which the last equality is based on (2.4).

Lemma 1. Let $m \ge 1$. Let B_i , D_i be polynomials in variable x, A_i , C_i be rational functions in variable x, for $0 \le i \le m$. Let $[(A_j B_i + C_j D_i)^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m}$ be the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix with entries $(A_j B_i + C_j D_i)^m$, $0 \le i, j \le m$. Then we have the following determinant identity:

$$\det([(A_{j}B_{i} + C_{j}D_{i})^{m}]_{0 \le i, j \le m}) =$$

$$= \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left((B_{i}D_{j} - B_{j}D_{i})(A_{i}C_{j} - A_{j}C_{i}) \right) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m} {m \choose i}.$$
(2.7)

Proof. We invoke the following result by Krattenthaler [7, Lemma 10] (see also Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [12, Lemma 3]:

$$\det([(c_j d_i + 1)^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m}) = \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left((d_i - d_j)(c_i - c_j) \right) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i}$$
 (2.8)

where c_j , d_i are real numbers for $0 \le i, j \le m$. First, we prove the lemma for polynomials A_i , B_i , C_i , D_i for all $0 \le i \le m$. For the values of x such that $C_j \ne 0$ and $D_i \ne 0$ for $0 \le i, j \le m$, let

$$c_j = \frac{A_j}{C_i}, \quad d_i = \frac{B_i}{D_i}, \text{ for } 0 \le i, j \le m.$$

We note that

$$\det([(c_{j}d_{i}+1)^{m}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}) = \det\left(\left[\left(\frac{A_{j}B_{i}+C_{j}D_{i}}{C_{j}D_{i}}\right)^{m}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{1}{(C_{i}D_{i})^{m}}\right) \cdot \det([(A_{j}B_{i}+C_{j}D_{i})^{m}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}). \tag{2.9}$$

Also, we have

$$\prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left((d_i - d_j)(c_i - c_j) \right) = \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left(\left(\frac{B_i D_j - B_j D_i}{D_i D_j} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{A_i C_j - A_j C_i}{C_i C_j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \prod_{0 < i < m} \left(\frac{1}{(C_i D_i)^m} \right) \cdot \prod_{0 < i < j < m} \left((B_i D_j - B_j D_i)(A_i C_j - A_j C_i) \right). \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), we get (2.7) as desired.

Based on the facts that there are only a finite number of roots for C_j , D_i where $0 \le i, j \le m$ and the determinant of a matrix with polynomial entries is a continuous function in x, the equality (2.7) still holds true for the values of x such that $C_j = 0$ or $D_i = 0$ for some i or j.

Next, we assume that A_i and C_i are rational functions for all $0 \le i \le m$. We write A_i and C_i as follows:

$$A_i = \frac{E_i}{F_i}$$
, $C_i = \frac{G_i}{H_i}$ for $0 \le i \le m$,

where E_i , F_i , G_i , H_i are all polynomials for $0 \le i \le m$. For the values of x such that $F_i \ne 0$ and $H_i \ne 0$ for all $0 \le i \le m$, we get

$$\det([(A_j B_i + C_j D_i)^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m}) = \det\left(\left[\left(\frac{H_j E_j B_i + D_i F_j G_j}{F_j H_j}\right)^m\right]_{0 \le i, j \le m}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{0 \le i \le m} \frac{1}{(F_i H_i)^m}\right) \cdot \det([((H_j E_j) B_i + (G_j F_j) D_i)^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m})$$

$$= \left(\prod_{0 \le i \le m} \frac{1}{(F_i H_i)^m}\right) \left(\prod_{i = 0}^m \binom{m}{i}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} (B_i D_j - B_j D_i) (H_i E_i G_j F_j - H_j E_j G_i F_i)\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i = 0}^m \binom{m}{i}\right) \left(\prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} (B_i D_j - B_j D_i) \left(\frac{E_i}{F_i} \cdot \frac{G_j}{H_j} - \frac{E_j}{F_j} \cdot \frac{G_i}{H_i}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i = 0}^m \binom{m}{i}\right) \left(\prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} (B_i D_j - B_j D_i) (A_i C_j - A_j C_i)\right).$$

For the values of x such that $F_i = 0$ or $H_i = 0$ for some i, the equality still holds true as the determinant of a matrix with polynomial entries is a continuous function. \Box

Theorem 2. Let s,k,n be any integers, $m \ge 1$. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers p,q,r,a,b,c where $c \ne 0$. The determinant of the matrix $[P^m_{s+k(n+i+j)}]_{0 \le i,j \le m}$ is given by

$$\det([P_{s+k(n+i+j)}^{m}]_{0 \le i,j \le m})$$

$$= (-1)^{(s+kn+1)\binom{m+1}{2}} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \cdot c^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}+2k\binom{m+1}{3}} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} U_{k(i+1)}^{2(m-i)}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c)$.

Proof. By substituting s = -km, i = kj', j = s' + k(n + m + i') into (2.5) in Corollary 1 and then replacing s', i', j' by s, i, j respectively, we get

$$P_{k(j-m)}P_{s+k(n+i)} - P_{-km}P_{s+k(n+i+j)} = (-c)^{-km} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_{kj} U_{s+k(n+m+i)},$$

$$P_{s+k(n+i+j)} = \frac{P_{k(j-m)}}{P_{-km}} \cdot P_{s+k(n+i)} + \frac{-(-c)^{-km} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_{kj}}{P_{-km}} \cdot U_{s+k(n+m+i)}.$$
(2.11)

By substituting s = s' + k(n + m + i'), i = k(j' - i'), j = -km into Theorem 1 and then replacing s', i', j' by s, i, j respectively, we get

$$P_{s+k(n+i)}U_{s+k(n+m+j)} - P_{s+k(n+j)}U_{s+k(n+m+i)}$$

$$= (-c)^{s+k(n+m+i)}(U_1P_{-km} - U_0P_{-km+1})U_{k(j-i)}$$

$$= (-c)^{s+k(n+m+i)}P_{-km}U_{k(j-i)}.$$
(2.12)

By substituting s = ki', i = k(j'-i'), j = -km into Theorem 1 and then replacing i', j' by i, j respectively, we get

$$P_{k(i-m)}U_{kj} - P_{k(j-m)}U_{ki} = (-c)^{ki} P_{-km}U_{k(j-i)}.$$
 (2.13)

By (2.11), we get

$$\det([P^m_{s+k(n+i+j)}]_{0\leq i,j\leq m})$$

$$= \det \left(\left[\left(\frac{P_{k(j-m)}}{P_{-km}} \cdot P_{s+k(n+i)} + \frac{-(-c)^{-km} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_{kj}}{P_{-km}} \cdot U_{s+k(n+m+i)} \right)^m \right]_{0 \le i, j \le m} \right). \tag{2.14}$$

By (2.7), the term in (2.14) becomes

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m} {m \choose i} \cdot \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left((P_{s+k(n+i)} U_{s+k(n+m+j)} - P_{s+k(n+j)} U_{s+k(n+m+i)}) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-(-c)^{-km} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}}{P^{2}} \left(P_{k(i-m)} U_{kj} - P_{k(j-m)} U_{ki} \right) \right) \right). \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.12), (2.13), the term in (2.15) becomes

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m} {m \choose i} \cdot \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} \left((-1)^{s+kn+1} c^{s+k(n+2i)} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot U_{k(j-i)}^{2} \right). \tag{2.16}$$

As a consequence, we get the desired result by standard counting arguments. \Box

Remark 2. We recover Theorem 5 in the work of Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [12] by substituting x = 1 in Theorem 2.

Next, we look at other determinant identities.

Lemma 2. Let $m \ge 1$. Let B_i , D_i be polynomials in variable x for $0 \le i \le m$. Let A_j , C_j be rational functions in variable x for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le r}$ and $(e_i)_{1 \le i \le r}$ be sequences of integers. Then

$$\det \left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^{m} \left(A_{d_f} B_i + C_{d_f} D_i \right) \cdot \prod_{g=1}^{j} \left(A_{e_g} B_i + C_{e_g} D_i \right) \right]_{0 \le i, j \le m} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(B_i D_j - B_j D_i \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} \left(C_{e_i} A_{d_j} - A_{e_i} C_{d_j} \right).$$

Proof. By the factorization method of Krattenthaler [7, Section 4], it is plain to get the following identity:

$$\det\left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^{m} (X_i + F_f) \cdot \prod_{g=1}^{j} (X_i + G_g)\right]_{0 \le i, j \le m}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} (X_j - X_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} (F_j - G_i) \quad (2.17)$$

where X_i for $0 \le i \le m$, D_j , E_j for $1 \le j \le m$ are some indeterminates. For the values of x such that $D_i \ne 0$ and $A_j \ne 0$ for $0 \le i \le m$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$X_i = \frac{B_i}{D_i}, \quad F_j = \frac{C_{d_j}}{A_{d_j}}, \quad G_j = \frac{C_{e_j}}{A_{e_j}}$$

for $0 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le m$. By similar reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 1, we get the desired result by clearing the denominators on both sides of (2.17). For the values of x which are the roots of D_i or A_j for some i or j, the equality still holds true based on the fact that the determinant of a matrix with polynomial entries is a continuous function.

Theorem 3. Let s,k,n be any integers, $m \ge 1$. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers p,q,r,a,b,c where $c \ne 0$. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ and $(e_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ be sequences of integers. Then

$$\det\left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^{m} P_{s+k(n+i+d_f)} \prod_{g=1}^{j} P_{s+k(n+i+e_g)}\right]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right)$$

$$= (-\Delta_{\mathcal{P}})^{\binom{m+1}{2}} (-c)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}+k\binom{m+1}{3}} \prod_{l=1}^{m} U_{kl}^{m+1-l} \cdot \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} (-c)^{kd_j} U_{k(e_i-d_j)}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c)$.

Proof. By (2.11), Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, the theorem can be proved in the same way as in the proof the Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. Let $m \ge 1$. Let A_i , B_i are polynomials in variable x for $0 \le i \le m$. Let C_i , D_i be rational functions in variable x for $0 \le i \le m$. Then,

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{A_{i}D_{j} + B_{i}C_{j}}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}\right) = \frac{\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq m}(A_{i}B_{j} - A_{j}B_{i})(C_{i}D_{j} - D_{i}C_{j})}{\prod_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}(A_{i}D_{j} + B_{i}C_{j})}$$

provided that the denominators on both sides of the identity are nonzero.

Proof. First, we invoke a result of Krattenthaler [7, Theorem 12]. That is,

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{x_i + y_j}\right]_{0 \le i, j \le m}\right) = \frac{\prod_{0 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - x_j)(y_i - y_j)}{\prod_{0 \le i, j \le m} (x_i + y_j)}$$
(2.18)

where x_i and y_i are indeterminates for $0 \le i, j \le m$. We first assume that A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i are all polynomials for all $0 \le i \le m$. For the values of x such that B_i, D_i are nonzero for all $0 \le i \le m$, let

$$x_i = \frac{A_i}{B_i}, \quad y_i = \frac{C_i}{D_i} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le m.$$

By similar reasoning as shown in the proof of Lemma 1, we get the desired result by some algebraic simplification for the cases where A_i , B_i , C_i , D_i are polynomials for all $0 \le i \le m$.

We extend the proof to the cases where C_i and D_i are rational functions by the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1, based on the fact that the determinant of a matrix with rational functions as entries is a continuous function provided that the denominators on both sides of the identity are nonzero.

Theorem 4. Let s,k,n be any integers, $m \ge 1$. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers p,q,r,a,b,c where $c \ne 0$. The determinant of the matrix $[1/P_{s+k(n+i+j)}]_{0 \le i,j \le m}$ is given by

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{P_{s+k(n+i+j)}}\right]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right) = \frac{c^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}+2k\binom{m+1}{3}} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{m} U_{k(i+1)}^{2(m-i)}}{(-1)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{0 \le i,j \le m} P_{s+k(n+i+j)}}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c)$, provided that the denominators on both sides of the identity are nonzero.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 2 by applying (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) to Lemma 3 and some standard counting arguments.

Let A be a $m \times m$ matrix. Let $A_k(i, j)$ be the determinant of the $k \times k$ submatrix of A whose first entry is at the position of the i^{th} -row and the j^{th} -column of A.

Lemma 4. Let A be a $m \times m$ matrix whose entries are rational functions in variable x. Then

$$A_m(1,1)A_{m-2}(2,2) = A_{m-1}(1,1)A_{m-1}(2,2) - A_{m-1}(2,1)A_{m-1}(1,2).$$

Proof. We invoke the Desnanot-Jacobi identity [3] for a matrix A of size $m \times m$ with indeterminates as entries.

$$A_m(1,1)A_{m-2}(2,2) = A_{m-1}(1,1)A_{m-1}(2,2) - A_{m-1}(2,1)A_{m-1}(1,2).$$

To extend this result to the case where the matrix A has rational functions as entries, we simply use the same strategy as in the proof of Lemma 1.

Let $m \ge 1$. The *rising powers* of a sequence of polynomials $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is denoted by $P_n^{\langle m \rangle}$, which is defined by

$$P_n^{\langle m \rangle} := P_n P_{n+1} \cdots P_{n+m-1}.$$

Theorem 5. Let n be any integer. Let $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c) = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;a,b,c) = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequences of polynomials defined by real numbers p,q,r,a,b,c where $c \ne 0$. Then

$$\det([P_{n+i+j}^{(m)}]_{0 \le i,j \le d-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{n\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3}} c^{(n+d-2)\binom{d}{2}} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\binom{d}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(U_i U_{r+1-i}\right)^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)} P_{n+1}^{(m+1-d)}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}(x)(p,q,r;a,b,c)$.

Proof. The proof is based on induction on d, Lemma 4 and Theorem 1. It is essentially identical to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the work of Tangboonduangjit and Thanatipanonda [11] and hence we skip it.

If we set p=q=b=0 and r=a=c=1, then we get the sequence of Fibonacci polynomials in $\mathcal{P}(x)(0,0,1;1,0,1)=(F_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where the sequence $(F_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$F_0(x) \equiv 0$$
, $F_1(x) \equiv 1$, $F_{n+2}(x) = xF_{n+1}(x) + F_n(x)$.

We recover the Fibonacci numbers and Pell numbers by evaluating $F_n(x)$ at x = 1 and x = 2 respectively. We note that $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = 1$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;1,0,1) = (F_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 2. Let $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let s, k, n be any integers. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ and $(e_i)_{1 < i < m}$ be sequences of integers. Then

$$\det([(F_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x))^m]_{0 \le i,j \le m}) = (-1)^{(s+kn+1)\binom{m+1}{2}}.$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (F_{(i+1)k}(x))^{2(m-i)},$$

$$\det\left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^m F_{s+k(n+i+d_f)}(x)\prod_{g=1}^j F_{s+k(n+i+e_g)}(x)\right]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{(s+kn+1)\binom{m+1}{2}+k\binom{m+1}{3}} \prod_{l=1}^m (F_{kl}(x))^{m+1-l} \cdot \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} (-1)^{kd_j} F_{k(e_i-d_j)}(x),$$

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{F_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}\right) = \frac{(-1)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}}\prod_{i=0}^{m}(F_{k(i+1)}(x))^{2(m-i)}}{\prod_{0\leq i,j\leq m}F_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)},$$

$$\det(\left[(F_{n+i+j}(x))^{\langle m\rangle}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq d-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{n\binom{d}{2}+\binom{d+1}{3}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(F_{i}(x)F_{m+1-i}(x)\right)^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)}(F_{n+1}(x))^{\langle m+1-d\rangle}.$$

Remark 3. We recover the identities (1.2) and (1.4) by setting x = 1 in the first identity and the last identity in Corollary 2 respectively.

Remark 4. We recover the results shown by Alfred [2] by setting x = 1, s = 0, k = 1, n = 0 and $d_i \equiv 0, e_i \equiv 1$ for all $1 \le i, j \le m$ in the second identity in Corollary 2.

Remark 5. We get the identity (1.5) by setting x = 1, s = 0, k = n = 1 in the third identity in Corollary 2.

If we set p=2, q=a=c=1 and r=b=0, then we get the sequence of Lucas polynomials in $\mathcal{P}(x)(2,1,0;1,0,1)=(L_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where the sequence $(L_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$L_0(x) \equiv 2$$
, $L_1(x) \equiv x$, $L_{n+2}(x) = xL_{n+1}(x) + L_n(x)$.

We recover the Lucas numbers by evaluating $L_n(x)$ at x = 1. We note that $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = (-x^2 - 4)$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)(0,0,1;1,0,1) = (F_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3. Let $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let s, k, n be any integers. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ and $(e_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ be sequences of integers.

$$\begin{split} &\det([(L_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x))^m]_{0 \le i,j \le m}) \\ &= (-1)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}}(x^2+4)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (F_{(i+1)k}(x))^{2(m-i)}, \\ &\det\left(\Big[\prod_{f=j+1}^m L_{s+k(n+i+d_f)}(x) \prod_{g=1}^j L_{s+k(n+i+e_g)}(x)\Big]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}+k\binom{m+1}{3}}(x^2+4)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{l=1}^m (F_{kl}(x))^{m+1-l}. \\ &\cdot \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} (-1)^{kd_j} F_{k(e_i-d_j)}(x), \\ &\det\left(\Big[\frac{1}{L_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)}\Big]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{(s+kn+1)\binom{m+1}{2}}(x^2+4)^{\binom{m+1}{2}}}{\prod_{0 \le i, j \le m} L_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)} \prod_{i=0}^{m} (F_{k(i+1)}(x))^{2(m-i)}$$

$$\det([(L_{n+i+j}(x))^{\langle m \rangle}]_{0 \le i, j \le d-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{(n+1)\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3}}(x^2+4)^{\binom{d}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (F_i(x)F_{m+1-i}(x))^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)} (L_{n+1}(x))^{\langle m+1-d \rangle}.$$

If we set p=q=1, a=2, c=-1 and r=b=0, then we get the sequence of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind in $\mathcal{P}(x)(1,1,0;2,0,-1)=(T_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where the sequence $(T_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$T_0(x) \equiv 1$$
, $T_1(x) \equiv x$, $T_{n+2}(x) = 2xT_{n+1}(x) - T_n(x)$.

We note that $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = (-x^2 + 1)$.

If we set p=1, a=q=2, c=-1 and r=b=0, then we get the sequence of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind in $\mathcal{P}(x)(1,2,0;2,0,-1)=(S_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where the sequence $(S_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$S_0(x) \equiv 1$$
, $S_1(x) \equiv 2x$, $S_{n+2}(x) = 2xS_{n+1}(x) - S_n(x)$.

We note that $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = (-2x^2 + 1)$.

We note that

$$U(x)(0,0,1;2,0,-1) = (U_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

where

$$U_n(x) = S_{n-1}(x)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We get two corollaries by Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5.

Corollary 4. Let $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let s, k, n be any integers. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ and $(e_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ be sequences of integers. Then

$$\det([(T_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x))^m]_{0 \le i,j \le m}) = (x^2 - 1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (S_{(i+1)k-1}(x))^{2(m-i)},$$

$$\det\left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^m T_{s+k(n+i+d_f)}(x)\prod_{g=1}^j T_{s+k(n+i+e_g)}(x)\right]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\right)$$

$$= (x^2 - 1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{l=1}^m (S_{kl-1}(x))^{m+l-l} \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} S_{k(e_i - d_j) - 1}(x),$$

$$\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{T_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}\right) = \frac{(-x^2+1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}}\prod_{i=0}^{m}(S_{k(i+1)-1}(x))^{2(m-i)}}{\prod_{0\leq i,j\leq m}T_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)},$$

$$\det(\left[(T_{n+i+j}(x))^{\langle m\rangle}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq d-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{d\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3}} (-x^2 + 1)^{\binom{d}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(S_{i-1}(x) S_{m-i}(x) \right)^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)} (T_{n+1}(x))^{(m+1-d)}.$$

Corollary 5. Let $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let s, k, n be any integers. Let $(d_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ and $(e_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ be sequences of integers. Then

$$\det([(S_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x))^m]_{0 \le i,j \le m}) = (2x^2 - 1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (S_{(i+1)k-1}(x))^{2(m-i)},$$

$$\det(\Big[\prod_{f=j+1}^m S_{s+k(n+i+d_f)}(x) \prod_{g=1}^j S_{s+k(n+i+e_g)}(x)\Big]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\Big)$$

$$= (2x^2 - 1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{l=1}^m (S_{kl-1}(x))^{m+1-l} \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le m} S_{k(e_i - d_j) - 1}(x),$$

$$\det(\Big[\frac{1}{S_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)}\Big]_{0 \le i,j \le m}\Big) = \frac{(-2x^2 + 1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^m (S_{k(i+1)-1}(x))^{2(m-i)}}{\prod_{0 \le i,j \le m} S_{s+k(n+i+j)}(x)},$$

$$\det([(S_{n+i+j}(x))^{\binom{m}{2}}]_{0 \le i,j \le d-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{d\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3}} (-2x^2 + 1)^{\binom{d}{2}} \prod_{l=0}^{d-1} (S_{i-1}(x)S_{m-i}(x))^{d-i} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{2(d-1)} (S_{n+1}(x))^{(m+1-d)}.$$

By Favard's theorem [6] (see also the standard reference textbook by Chihara [5, Chapter 2]), the sequence $\mathcal{P}(x)(1,q,r;1,b,c)=(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ forms a sequence of orthogonal polynomials (with respect to certain linear functional) for $q\neq 0$ and $c\neq 0$. By Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we state some determinant identities for matrices containing (powers of) such orthogonal polynomials.

Corollary 6. Let $n \ge 0$, $m \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$. Let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}}$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials of the form:

$$P_0 \equiv 1$$
, $P_1 \equiv qx + r$, $P_{n+2} = (x+b)P_{n+1} + cP_n$

where $c \neq 0$, $q \neq 0$ and r, b are any real numbers. Then

$$\det([P_{n+i+j}^m]_{0 \le i, j \le m})$$

$$= (-1)^{(n+1)\binom{m+1}{2}} \cdot \Delta^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \cdot c^{n\binom{m+1}{2}+2\binom{m+1}{3}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m} {\binom{m}{i}} U_{i+1}^{2(m-i)},$$

$$\begin{split} &\det\left(\left[\prod_{f=j+1}^{m}P_{n+i+d_{f}}\prod_{g=1}^{j}P_{n+i+e_{g}}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}\right)\\ &=(-\Delta)^{\binom{m+1}{2}}(-c)^{n\binom{m+1}{2}+\binom{m+1}{3}}\prod_{l=1}^{m}U_{l}^{m+1-l}\cdot\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq m}(-c)^{d_{j}}U_{e_{i}-d_{j}},\\ &\det\left(\left[\frac{1}{P_{s+k(n+i+j)}}\right]_{0\leq i,j\leq m}\right)\\ &=\frac{(-1)^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}}c^{(s+kn)\binom{m+1}{2}+2k\binom{m+1}{3}}\Delta^{\binom{m+1}{2}}\prod_{i=0}^{m}U_{k(i+1)}^{2(m-i)}}{\prod_{0\leq i,j\leq m}P_{s+k(n+i+j)}},\\ &\det([P_{n+i+j}^{(m)}]_{0\leq i,j\leq d-1})\\ &=(-1)^{n\binom{d}{2}+\binom{d+1}{3}}c^{(n+d-2)\binom{d}{2}}\Delta^{\binom{d}{2}}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(U_{i}U_{m+1-i}\right)^{d-i}\cdot\prod_{i=d-1}^{2(d-1)}P_{n+1}^{(m+1-d)}. \end{split}$$

where $\Delta = (q^2 - q)x^2 + (qr - r - bq)x + (r^2 - br - c)$ and $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is the sequence of orthogonal polynomials defined by

$$U_0 \equiv 0$$
, $U_1 \equiv 1$, $U_{n+2} = (x+b)U_{n+1} + cU_n$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his gratitude to the Mahidol University International College (MUIC) at Bangkok, Thailand for their hospitality in hosting me in May 2018. The author is grateful to Thotsaporn Thanatipanonda for generously sharing his ideas on the topic. The author is supported by Startup Grant 2016 (G00002235) which is funded by United Arab Emirates University.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. U. Alfred, "Advanced problems and solutions," Fibonacci Quart., vol. 1, no. 1, p. 48, 1963.
- [2] B. U. Alfred, "Some determinants involving powers of Fibonacci numbers.," *Fibonacci Quart.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 81–92, 1964.
- [3] T. Amdeberhan and D. Zeilberger, "Determinants through the looking glass." *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, vol. 27, no. 2-3, pp. 225–230, 2001, doi: 10.1006/aama.2001.0732.
- [4] L. Carlitz, "Some determinants containing powers of Fibonacci numbers.," *Fibonacci Quart.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 129–134, 1966.
- [5] T. S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials. New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1978.
- [6] J. Favard, "Sur les polynomes de Tchebicheff." C. R. Acad. Sci., vol. 200, pp. 2052–2053, 1935.
- [7] C. Krattenthaler, "Advanced determinant calculus: a complement." *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 411, pp. 68–166, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2005.06.042.
- [8] R. S. Melham and A. G. Shannon, "A generalization of the Catalan identity and some consequences." *Fibonacci Quart.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 82–84, 1995.

- [9] F. D. Parker, "Advanced problems and solutions," Fibonacci Quart., vol. 2, no. 4, p. 303, 1964.
- [10] H. Prodinger, "Determinants containing rising powers of Fibonacci numbers." *Fibonacci Quart.*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 137–141, 2016.
- [11] A. Tangboonduangjit and T. Thanatipanonda, "Determinants of rising powers of second order linear recurrence entries by means of the Desnanot-Jacobi identity.", *Fibonacci Quart.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 340–343, 2016.
- [12] A. Tangboonduangjit and T. Thanatipanonda, "Determinants containing powers of generalized Fibonacci numbers." *J. Integer Seq.*, vol. 19, no. 7, p. 9 pp., 2016.

Author's address

H.-H. Leung

United Arab Emirates University, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Al Ain, 15551, United Arab Emirates

E-mail address: hohon.leung@uaeu.ac.ae