HIGH RATE OF COLISTIN AND FOSFOMYCIN RESISTANCE AMONG CARBAPENEMASE-PRODUCING ENTEROBACTERIACEAE IN TURKEY
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When the problem with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) increases, the older antimicrobial agents such as colistin and fosfomycin are used for the treatment of these infections. In this study, the broth microdilution method for colistin and the agar dilution method for fosfomycin were used for a total of 147 multidrug-resistant (MDR) or extensively drug-resistant (XDR) strains of CRE. The study included Klebsiella pneumoniae (91.16%), Escherichia coli (7.48%), Enterobacter cloacae (0.68%), and Serratia marcescens (0.68%). All these strains produce various types of carbapenemase, including OXA-48, NDM, and KPC. Some of these strains also have three different carbapenemase mechanisms, including OXA-48 (78.23%), NDM (2.04%), and KPC (0.68%) or OXA-48 and NDM (10.88%), or OXA-48 and KPC (0.68%). About 76.19% of the strains and 67.35% of the strains were resistant for colistin and fosfomycin, respectively. A total of 21 out of 35 colistin-susceptible strains were found to be susceptible to fosfomycin. This study showed that the resistance rates of colistin and fosfomycin are high. The MDR and XDR strains of CRE are spreading in our region and thus a monitoring system for CRE should be followed. Moreover, the applicability of antimicrobial stewardship programs should be increased in all inpatient and outpatient settings.
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Introduction

The strains of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) are a serious threat to public health with a rapid spread worldwide. The carbapenemases identified in Enterobacteriaceae are Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC), the metallo-β-lactamases such as New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM), Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase, and imipenemase as well as OXA-48. All these enzymes hydrolyze penicillins and imipenem, sparing the broad-spectrum cephalosporins [1]. According to the data of the Central Asian and Eastern European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance (CAESAR), the carbapenem resistance rates of Escherichia coli and K. pneumoniae, isolated from blood culture in Turkey in the year 2016, were 5% and 35%, respectively [2]. In Istanbul, Turkey, the Ambler class D β-lactamase OXA-48 was initially identified from a carbapenem-resistant isolate of K. pneumoniae. In our country, β-lactamase OXA-48 is the most common carbapenemase, but recently, the association between OXA-48 and NDM-1 has been reported frequently [3, 4].

An important feature of the CRE is their resistance to multiple antibiotics. As a result, the treatment options with antibiotics are limited for these multidrug-resistant infections. Newer treatment options with antibiotics are very limited in the multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. The old antibiotics such as colistin and fosfomycin are frequently used in the treatment of these isolates worldwide [5]. Colistin (polymyxin E) is one of the oldest antibiotics that affect the outer cell membrane of the Gram-negative bacilli by binding to the lipid A component of the lipopolysaccharide layer. The antibacterial spectrum of colistin includes most members of the Enterobacteriaceae family. Another old antibiotic is fosfomycin that inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis [6]. In Turkey, colistin is used for the treatment of the multidrug-resistant strains of CRE by either monotherapy or combination therapy [7]. Although the intravenous formulation of fosfomycin (fosfomycin disodium) is not available in Turkey, its use in the treatment of CRE has been reported to be quite safe [8].

Given the increase in CRE and multidrug resistance, this study was aimed to evaluate the frequency of colistin and fosfomycin resistance in CRE by the reference methods.

Materials and Methods

Settings and study design

This was a prospective study that included consecutive inpatients of any age and sex, having an infection with any CRE in the period between January 2017
and June 2018. During this period, a total of 147 strains of CRE, referred to outside reference laboratories, were isolated from blood, urine, and tracheal aspirate. Using standard microbiology laboratory techniques, the clinical samples were analyzed. Only one isolate per patient was included. All the bacterial isolates were identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight/mass spectrometry (Bruker MALDI Biotyper; Bruker Daltonics; Bremen, Germany).

**Phenotypic extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL), ampicillin (AmpC), and carbapenemase tests**

Antibiotic susceptibility tests were conducted and the ESBLs from the isolates were analyzed by the BD Phoenix automated system (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD). Meropenem disks (10 μg) were used to screen the carbapenem resistance in all the isolates. If the diameter of the disk zones was found to be <28 mm, the production of carbapenemase was investigated [9]. ESBL, AmpC, and carbapenemase were tested using the phenotypical Mast D68C AmpC + ESBL Detection Set and the Mast D70C Carbapenemase Detection Set (Mast Diagnostics, UK). The Mastdisks ID inhibitor combination disks (Mast Diagnostics) method was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Detection of the genes for resistance to carbapenem**

The $\text{bla}_{\text{KPC}}$, $\text{bla}_{\text{OXA-48}}$, and $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM-1}}$ genes were detected in the isolates by the polymerase chain reaction method, displaying a reduced sensitivity against meropenem [3, 10–13].

**Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) value for colistin by the broth microdilution method**

The MIC value for colistin was determined by the broth microdilution method, using the cation-adjusted Mueller–Hinton broth (Oxoid, Code: CM0405, UK), according to the ISO Standard 20776–1:2006 [14]. Colistin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was tested over a range of dilutions (0.06–64 μg/ml). An amount of 50 μl of the different concentrations of freshly prepared antibiotics was added to 96-well U-bottom microplates. Bacterial suspensions, prepared from the bacteria, grown in non-selective culture media, were added to the microplates. The microplates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h in ambient air. The breakpoints of the European Committee on
Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) were used as references for comparing the results (susceptible $\leq$ 2 mg/L; resistant $>$ 2 mg/L) [15].

Determination of the MIC value for fosfomycin by the agar dilution method

The agar dilution method was performed according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [16]. Mueller–Hinton agar plates (Oxoid, UK) containing 25 mg/L glucose-6-phosphate and fosfomycin at concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 1.28 mg/L were prepared and then an inoculum of $10^4$ CFU/ml was introduced onto the agar plates by a multipoint inoculator and allowed to dry. The agar plates were then incubated at 35 °C for 16–20 h. After incubation, the MIC value was determined as the lowest concentration without any visible growth. The breakpoints of the EUCAST were used as the references for comparing the results (susceptible $\leq$ 32 mg/L; resistant $>$ 32 mg/L) [17].

*E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *E. coli* NCTC 13846 were used as quality control strains for the broth microdilution and agar dilution methods.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS™ software, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., New York, NY). The results are presented as descriptive statistics and expressed in terms of relative frequency.

Results

A total of 147 CRE strains were evaluated in this study, which included 134 strains of *K. pneumoniae* (91.16%), 11 strains of *E. coli* (7.48%), 1 strain of *Enterobacter cloacae* (0.68%), and 1 strain of *Serratia marcescens* (0.68%). The ESBL and AmpC positivity rates of the CRE strains were 20.41% and 5.44%, respectively. Only in one strain of *E. coli*, AmpC with loss of porin was observed.

The strains were positive for the carbapenemases, such as OXA-48, NDM-1, and KPC. In addition, some of the isolates were positive for OXA-48 and NDM or OXA-48 and KPC together. The positivity distributions are shown in Table I.

The rates of resistance to colistin and fosfomycin were found to be 76.19% and 67.35%, respectively. A total of 87 out of 112 colistin-resistant strains (59.18%) were found to be resistant to fosfomycin, whereas a total of 21 out of 35 colistin-susceptible strains (60%) were found to be susceptible to fosfomycin. Furthermore, a total of 20 out of 30 isolates positive for both ESBL and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>ESBL</th>
<th>AmpC</th>
<th>OXA-48</th>
<th>NDM-1</th>
<th>KPC</th>
<th>OXA-48 and NDM-1</th>
<th>OXA-48 and KPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. pneumoniae</td>
<td>134 (91.16)</td>
<td>26 (86.67)</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
<td>115 (92.00)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 (81.25)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>11 (7.48)</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
<td>2 (25.00)</td>
<td>8 (6.4)</td>
<td>3 (18.75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. cloacae</td>
<td>1 (0.68)</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. marcescens</td>
<td>1 (0.68)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>30 (20.41)</td>
<td>8 (5.44)</td>
<td>115 (78.23)</td>
<td>3 (2.04)</td>
<td>1 (0.68)</td>
<td>16 (10.88)</td>
<td>1 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ESBL: extended spectrum beta-lactamase; AmpC: ampC beta-lactamases; NDM: New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase; KPC: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase.
carbapenemase were found to be resistant to colistin and fosfomycin. Five AmpC positive strains were resistant to both colistin and fosfomycin.

**Susceptibility to colistin (MIC$_{50}$ and MIC$_{90}$ values)**

A total of 112 out of 147 strains (76.19%) were found to be resistant to colistin. The MIC values for colistin were determined by the broth microdilution technique on all the strains. The MIC$_{50}$ and MIC$_{90}$ values for colistin were found to be 32 and 64 mg/L, respectively.

**Susceptibility to fosfomycin (MIC$_{50}$ and MIC$_{90}$ values)**

A total of 99 out of 147 strains (67.35%) were found to be resistant to fosfomycin. The agar dilution method was used to determine fosfomycin susceptibility for all the strains. The MIC$_{50}$ and MIC$_{90}$ values for fosfomycin were found to be 64 and 128 mg/L, respectively.

**Discussion**

The increase in the multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) strains of bacteria is a global issue. The limited availability of new antibiotic options, the inadequate implementation of control measures for infections, and the irrational usage of antibiotic policies further contribute to the growth of this problem. The CAESAR data show that Turkey has a very high number of resistant isolates, and a high percentage of these strains also have multidrug resistance [2].

Despite their potential side effects, colistin and fosfomycin are known to provide a therapeutic option for the MDR or XDR strains of Enterobacteriaceae. This study showed very high resistance rates of 76.19% and 67.35% to colistin and fosfomycin, respectively, among the MDR and XDR strains of Enterobacteriaceae. The reason behind such high rates of resistance is that only the XDR and MDR isolates were selected for this study. Moreover, a study on antibiotic consumption among patients, hospitalized in Turkey, showed that 44.8% of them were using antibiotics and the total antibiotic consumption was 674.5 defined daily dose/1000 patient-days [18]. Therefore, it is highly possible that a high rate of resistance is associated with antibiotic consumption.

Although resistance to colistin by chromosomal mutations has been reported earlier, the plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene, \textit{mcr}-1, and its variants and
two other genes, \textit{mcr}-1.2 and \textit{mcr}-2, have been reported in recent years [19, 20]. Although the presence of the plasmid-mediated colistin resistance genes, \textit{mcr}-1 and \textit{mcr}-2, is not detected in Turkey, the resistance to colistin, a last-line antibiotic against the infections of multidrug-resistant or carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, is too high [21].

The development of resistance to fosfomycin is similar to that of colistin. As reported earlier, resistance to fosfomycin mainly occurs through chromosomal mutations. Subsequently, the plasmid-mediated mechanisms of resistance to fosfomycin have been described in the clinical strains of Gram-negative bacteria [22]. An increase in the plasmid-mediated mechanisms of resistance further increases the magnitude of this global problem of antibiotic resistance and thus it is necessary to give importance to the studies that need to be carried out for the monitoring and controlling of antibiotic resistance.

In a study conducted in a tertiary hospital in Pakistan, the rates of resistance to colistin and fosfomycin among the strains of CRE were found to be 15.9\% and 12.3\%, respectively. In this study, the colistin susceptibility test was performed by the broth microdilution technique and the fosfomycin susceptibility test was performed by the disk diffusion method, using fosfomycin trometamol disks [23]. EUCAST does not suggest using fosfomycin trometamol disks for the strains of \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} except for the urinary \textit{E. coli} strains [9]. Fosfomycin has been shown to be slightly less active, especially against the KPC-producing strains of \textit{K. pneumoniae} [24]. In this study, two of the KPC-producing strains were found to be resistant to fosfomycin.

In a study conducted in Germany in 2013, the susceptibility to fosfomycin, using the agar dilution method was determined to be 78\% with the MIC50 and MIC90 values of 8 and 512 mg/L, respectively [25]. Such a difference in the rates of resistance can be attributed to the MDR and XDR strains included in this study and also to the rates of resistance in Turkey, which are higher than in Germany. The MIC90 value for fosfomycin was found to be 128 mg/L, but it might be more because the higher dilutions were not studied.

The carbapenemase, OXA-48, known to hydrolyze penicillins and carbapenems possesses poor activity against the broad-spectrum cephalosporins. Multidrug resistance in the OXA-48-producing strains often results from the co-production of the various mechanisms of resistance, particularly the ESBLs and other determinants of resistance [26]. In this study, the strains with ESBL or AmpC positivity were found to be resistant to both colistin and fosfomycin, whereas the rates of resistance to ESBL and AmpC were not found to be high.

We know that this study has a few limitations. We could not detect the genes for resistance to colistin and fosfomycin due to financial limitations. The other limitation of this study was that only the MDR and XDR strains were included in
the study and thus the resistance rate was found to be too high. The fact that higher dilutions were not studied for the determination of MIC values could be another important limitation of this study.

Conclusions

However, this study is the first report on the susceptibility to colistin and fosfomycin, as determined by the reference methods from Turkey. According to the data obtained from this study, the use of colistin and fosfomycin does not seem to be a promising therapeutic approach for the MDR and XDR strains of Enterobacteriaceae in Turkey, and a regular monitoring system for the MDR and XDR strains of CRE is needed. Moreover, in order to identify the susceptible isolates, it should be ensured that the reference methods are applied in the laboratory. If necessary, support should be taken from the reference or national laboratories for the agar dilution and broth microdilution techniques.
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