

KHITAN STUDIES

I. THE GLYPHS OF THE KHITAN SMALL SCRIPT

3. THE CONSONANTS, 3.1 LABIAL STOPS*

WU Yingzhe and András RÓNA-TAS

School of Mongolian Studies, Inner Mongolia University,
Höhhöt 010021 China
e-mail: khitan1922@126.com

Department of Altaic Studies, University of Szeged
H-6722 Szeged, Egyetem utca 2, Hungary
e-mail: aronatas3@t-online.hu

This paper investigates how the Khitan Small Script renders labial stops of the Khitan language in the initial position of words and syllables. Furthermore, it deals with the problems of alloglyphs, drawings of similar shape, and denotations of the same phoneme. The paper begins with the use of glyphs in cases where they transcribe Chinese words. Evidence permitting, this is followed by the use of glyphs in cases of Chinese loanwords and names—subjects in which we have a robust background. Finally, it examines words of Khitan origin with initial labial stops. The result of our investigation is that postaspiration was the distinguishing feature in the binary opposition of labial stops. Alternation of ~ <p> writing is common in cases where a word occurs with high frequency. To demonstrate this, we used the Khitan Corpus published in 2017.

Key words: Khitan language, Khitan Corpus, Khitan Small Script, Khitan orthography. Khitan–Uighur relations, Chinese in Khitan script, Middle Chinese reconstruction.

* This paper has been written within the framework of the Silk Road Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Eötvös Loránd University Budapest and the University of Szeged. This is also the first paper written under the special co-operation between the aforementioned Research Group and the Khitan Research Group of the Chinese People's Republic, lead by Professor Wu Yingzhe (Höhhöt, PRC). This paper is supported by the key programme of Philosophy and Social Science “Collation and Study of Handed-down Documents in Khitan and Jurchen” (14JZD036) of China's Ministry of Education and the project “Interpretation of the Khitan Scripts and Study on the Khitan Vocabulary” (13YJA740059) of the Humanities and Social Science Fund of China's Ministry of Education. Data marked as Wu Yingzhe (2017b) or W17b or Index are from Chinggeltei, Wu Yingzhe and Jiruhe (2017): *Qidan xiaozi zai yanjiu* 丹小字再研究 [Further research on Khitan Small Script]. The paper is a continuation of *Khitan Studies*, see Róna-Tas (2016, 2017).

Methodological Remarks¹

The Khitan Small Script (KSS) was developed around AD 925 for rendering the Khitan language, and used during the Liao and early Jin dynasties. The first known and datable text is from AD 1053² and the last from AD 1175³. The KSS was conceived as a more or less syllabic script. During the process of deciphering, the phonetic value of the glyphs was identified with units of the contemporary Chinese phonetic system. It should be clear, however, that this could only be done in a very approximative way. The phonological structure of contemporary Chinese and that of Khitan are fundamentally different.

Yet, as all languages do, the Khitan language has at least two registers. One is a literary style that arises from the pronunciation of texts when read⁴. Another register is the daily spoken language which existed beneath the literary style. At present, we can only try to reconstruct the reading style of Khitan from the writing system. Even this has its constraints. The Khitan scholars who designed the KSS were not phonologists or phoneticians in the way we define these fields today. They were trained in the contemporary Chinese philological and phonological system. Some “mistakes” or poetic segments of a text may shed light on colloquial Khitan, but such conclusions can only be drawn with great caution.

The only reasonable way to describe the KSS is to devise the rules for how Khitan scholars used KSS glyphs for identifying syllables and sounds of the contemporary Khitan reading style.

In this paper we will investigate how the Khitan Small Script makes use of labial stop consonants in the initial position of words and syllables.

Glyphs beginning in the Romanisations with - are the following⁵: 𐰪 (311)⁶, <bai> 𐰪 (061)⁷, <bai> 𐰪 (060)⁸, <bi> 𐰪 (225)⁹, <bir> 𐰪 (288)¹⁰, <bod> 𐰪 (309)¹¹, <bot> 𐰪 (176)¹², <bu> 𐰪 (196)¹³, <búl> 𐰪 (272)¹⁴.

¹ The authors are indebted to the anonymous reviewers.

² An Epitaph of Prince of Guangling of Great Khitan (Guang 广). See the inscriptions and their Sigla in the Appendix.

³ An Epitaph of General Xianwu Baligong (Xian 显).

⁴ We do not know yet whether the texts were read silently or aloud.

⁵ Earlier different readings are here noted as K = Kane (2009), WJ = Wu and Janhunen (2010), C = Chinggeltei (2002), A = Aisin Gioro (2012).

⁶ C <p>

⁷ K <ke²>

⁸ K <ke²>

⁹ C <p>

¹⁰ WJ, K <bun>, C <pən>, A <bur>

¹¹ WJ <hó>, K <hó>, A <bə>

¹² C <buo>, K, WJ, A <bu>, <bú>, Index <bot>

¹³ WJ, K <bu>, C <pu>, A <bu/abu>

¹⁴ WJ, K <bu₂>, C <pul>, A <bu, bur>

Glyphs beginning in the Romanisations with <p>- are the following: <p> 𐰽 (295)¹⁵, <pen> 𐰽 (449)¹⁶, <po> 𐰽 (279)¹⁷, <poŋ> 𐰽 (360=295+071)¹⁸, <pu> 𐰽 (241)¹⁹, <fu> 𐰽 (242)²⁰.

Earlier we had also <pú> 𐰽 (297) which has now to be read as <gú>. The group (297.131) 𐰽 𐰽 occurs as a part of the name of a person in the recently found *Epitaph of Xiao Chala Xianggong* 蕭查刺相公墓誌銘 in Khitan Small Script (AD 1113, Cha), which corresponds to the name Kuyu 骨欲 in the Chinese version of the same epitaph. The pronunciation of 骨 *ku* and 欲 *yu* are LMCh *kut*, EMCh *kwət* and LMCh *jywk*, EMCh *juwk*,²¹ respectively. The final of the first part /-t/ became a fricative and was realised by foreign transcriptions as /r/ and later disappeared. As to the second part 欲, the final was, according to Pulleyblank, -*ywk* and -*uwk*. Both had a labial diphthong /uq/ or /uū/ and a final -*k*. This -*k* disappeared, but in Khitan it had three different traces: <-h> (=γ), diphthong or in special cases zero, consequently 欲 had to be read as **yuq* or **yuū* or **yuh* (*yug*). The pronunciation of the name is then **Guyug* or **Kuyug* or **Guyuo* or the like²². In any case, 𐰽 (297) has to be read <gú>.

As we can see, <bai> 𐰽 (061) and <bai> 𐰽 (060), <bot> 𐰽 (176) and <búl> 𐰽 (272), respectively, are alloglyphs or variants.

Interesting is <bi> 𐰽 (225), which may consist of <bai> on the right side and a diacritic sign on the left, which occurs in a series of other glyphs, see Table 1 below.

Table 1. Glyphs with the same element at the left side

No.	Glyph	Romanisation
220	𐰽	mú
221	𐰽	ñ ^x
222	𐰽	ñ ^o
223	𐰽	mu ^x
224	𐰽	mu
224.1	𐰽	unknown
225	𐰽	bi
226	𐰽	ü
227	𐰽	unknown

¹⁵ C <p, f>

¹⁶ WJ has (438) <fen>, renumbered and corrected by Wu to (449) <pen>

¹⁷ C <p'ō>

¹⁸ C <faŋ, p'ang>

¹⁹ C <fu, p'u>

²⁰ C <fu, p'u>

²¹ The Late Middle Chinese (LMCh) and the Early Middle Chinese (EMCh) data are quoted from Pulleyblank (1991).

²² We are not dealing here with the possible etymologies of this name.

No.	Glyph	Romanisation
228	𐠊	lú
229	𐠋	ta
230	𐠌	unknown
231	𐠍	unknown
232	𐠎	unknown
233	𐠏	kú
234	𐠐	ta ₂
235	𐠑	ri
236	𐠒	ur
237	𐠓	du
238	𐠔	BORN

The following glyphs also have an element common with others: <bot> 𐠕 (176) and <búl>²³ 𐠖 (272), they may also be alloglyphs with a vertical stroke on the right side. The sign left to the stroke is in case of (272): 𐠗 (271) which remained yet undeciphered by the former authors. It occurs four times as initial in Index. The same stroke appears in (058): 𐠘 <mi>, (150) 𐠙 <ja>, (151) 𐠚 <hu>, (177) 𐠛 <ra> or <us>, <uldž>²⁴ and (190) 𐠜 <á>. In case of the last one it is a clear additional sign to (189) 𐠝 <a>. We will discuss this feature in another paper.

In the case of glyphs beginning with <p>- interesting may be <poŋ> 𐠞 consisting of two glyphs (360=295 𐠟+071 𐠠), one of the rare ligatures in the system.

Important is that <pu> 𐠡 (241) and <fu> 𐠢 (242) differ only by a dot on which see Róna-Tas (2016: 125 and the earlier literature there). The labiodental /f/ was not part of the Khitan system, but in some cases they used <f> in transcriptions of Chinese. The dotted variant occurs in the following inscriptions: Yu²⁵ (AD 1072), Zhi (1094), Qing (1095), Pu (1105), Zhong (1150) and Nu (1099). In all of those inscriptions, except Pu (1105), we find dotted *and* not dotted variants of the same glyph (241, 242). Maybe in such cases the absence of the dot was simply due to negligence. As we see, dotting was used for almost a hundred years, therefore we cannot suppose a chronological reason behind this usage. It is more probable that some masters used it, some did not. In other cases Chinese /f/ was transcribed by <p>, <pV> etc.

According to Svantesson *et al.* (2005: 12), in Mongolic the consonants are in a twofold opposition: one series is voiceless unaspirated, the other is voiceless postaspirated in initial position. This is a valid statement for most of the recent Mongol languages, and may be accepted also for Old Mongolian (Svantesson *et al.* 2005: 198). Phonologically speaking this is an opposition /p/:p′/, /t/:t′/ or in another transcription

²³ Reading of Wu Yingzhe in Index instead of <bu₂

²⁴ Kane (2009) reads <ra> with ?, Aisin (2012) <uldž, uldži>, and Index <ur>.

²⁵ See the list of the sigla in the Concordance Tables in the Appendix of this paper.

/p:/ph/, /t:/th/ and so on. It may be disturbing that in some scripts²⁶ /p/ is graphically represented by and /ph/ is represented by <p>, /t/ by <d> and /th/ by <t> etc. The opposition will be of cardinal importance when we compare it to a system which had a threefold opposition, as Middle Chinese had. Baxter (1992: 46, 49) gives the following table:

Table 2. Middle Chinese oppositions

Baxter	Karlgren	Pulleyblank
p-, t-	p-, t-	p-, t-
ph-, th-	p'-, t'-	p'-, t'- ²⁷
b-, d-	b'-, d'-	b-, d-

There occurred a labiodentalisation, that is, “Early Middle Chinese labials became labiodentals when followed by medial -j- and a back vowel (-i-, -u-, -a- or -o-)” (Baxter 1992: 47). Now for the time being we will put aside the labiodentalising feature, that is, all initials which are pertaining to the category labials+medial -j-+back vowel. To them we will come back later.

 in Word and Syllable Initial Position

Let us now see the correspondences of the Khitan glyphs to the Middle Chinese, or more precisely Liao Chinese (LCh)²⁸ phonemes.

b: ; [<bo>, <ba>] 𐰪 (311) and <bi> 𐰩 (225)

In the transcription of Chinese, is used for the following Chinese initial types:

Table 3. Khitan transcribing Chinese bilabial stops

Romani- sation	Glyph	Chinese	LMCh	EMCh	LCh	Source
<b.ah>	𐰪𐰺 (311.197)	bo 伯 ‘uncle on the father’s side’	<i>pajk</i>	<i>paijk/pe:jk</i>	<i>pay</i>	K256
<b.oh>	𐰪𐰽 (311.377)	bo 博 ‘a geographic name’ ²⁹	<i>pak</i>	<i>pak</i>	<i>poy</i>	K72

²⁶ In the Uighur-Mongol, in the Phagspa, in the Arabic and Cyrillic scripts, though there exist a few special cases.

²⁷ Baxter has rewritten Pulleyblank’s -^h as ’-.

²⁸ We use the term *Liao Chinese* following Kane (2009: 227–264). As we will see, Liao Chinese is in many respects nearer to Early Middle Chinese than to Late Middle Chinese.

²⁹ In *Bozhou* 博州.

<e.hu³⁵ iši ay.de b.ra.ia.an u.úr š.a.ri s.eṅ.ün p.o.ju.li₂>³⁶
 (033.122.205 109.151 311.084.335.011 131. 236 028 069.175.273 295.
 018.149.076)

‘该九年于右院郎君详稳除’(《奴》14行)

‘(in the) 9th year he was promoted to (the rank of) the Langjun Fieldmarshal (*langjun xiangwen*) of the Right Division (*youyuan*)’ (Nu14).³⁷

Further see <b.ra.ia.ai₂> 2×, <b.ra.iá.ai₂.en> 1×.

Index has 6 times <b.ra.a.an> 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 (311.084.189.011), as in:

✓ **bara'an u'ur süeüen xui yalga'al oju'un**

✓ 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸

<b.ra.a.an u.ur s.üe.üen x.ui y.al.ha.al o.ju.un>

(311.084.189.011 131.236 244.082.324 340.263 020.098. 051. 098 186.149.144)

‘右院宣徽迁除’(仲19)

‘[he] was promoted to the right *yuan* (of) Xuanhui’ (Zhong19).

✓ **jurer baqa Diri bara'an u'ur sien ngiu'ui sianggün**

𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸

<ci.ur.er ba.qa dir.i b.ra.a.an u.ur s.i.ēn ṅ.iu.ui.i s.iaṅ.g.ün>

(162.236.269 311.168 074.339 311.084.189.011 131.236 244.339.073

264.019.263.339 244.314.334.329)

‘次子铁离右院千牛卫将军’(广21)

The second son Diri (was) Right *yuan* Qianniuwei general (*jiangjun*) (Hong9).

✓ **Gem giau Gungbuu Šangšiu bara'an u'ur ling gūn uiziang gūn temleḡey**

𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸

𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸

<g.em g.iau.u g.uy b.ū.u. ša.aṅ š.iu b.ra.a.an u.ur l.iṅ g.ün ui.i.z.iaṅ g.ün t.em.l.ge.y>

<334.270 334.362.131 334.345 311.372.131 375.199 028. 289

311.084.189.011 131.236 261.303 334.329263.339 258.314 334.329.247.257.

261.349.020>

‘检校工部尚书右院领军卫将军封’(弘9)

‘[on] Gemgiau Gungbuu Shangshiu (Jianjiao Gongbu Shangshu) the [title] Right yuan Leading General was conferred’ (Hong9).

◆ ***banggu- 𐰆𐰺𐰽𐰸** (311.280.151) <b.áng.hu>³⁸ ‘issue orders’³⁹. Derivations are:

❖ b.áng.hu.al.hu (Liang12)

³⁵ <e.hu> is a pronoun, may be a demonstrative pronoun.

³⁶ Glyph (076) was earlier read as <ho> by WJ, <g, γ> by C, <ho> by K, <ur, or> by A.

³⁷ In this and the following examples we give the context also in cases when we are not sure of the meaning of all words in the phrase.

³⁸ Shimunek (2014: 113) corrected (151) <hu> to γ but it should be read as ḡu. In transcription we retained <h>.

³⁹ According to Shimunek (2014: 113), this would correspond to Written Mongolian *banga-* ‘to reprimand’. This is highly dubious. There exists an expression in Khalkha *banga xürteex* ‘to reprimand’, but *banga* is not a verb here, the expression (Mo *banga kürtege-*) is ‘to confer upon somebody *banga*, to reprimand’.

(247.97 311.280.151.123 247.196.098.051.098.151.11)

‘□命□’ (《道》17行)

‘having ordered...?...’ (Dao17).

✓ **dur tiudz banguar ala’an**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<dur t.iu.dz b.áng.hu.ar al.a. án>

(368 247.19.104 311.280.151.123 98.189.290)

‘四洲命□?’ (《宣》14行)

‘four continents?.....’ (Xuan14)⁴⁵.

✓ **bangguuji giulubañ porcien tal**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<b.áng.hu.u.ji giu.lu₃.b.ñ p.or.ci.en tal>

(311.280.151.131.152 160. 312.311.222 295.76.162.140 195)

‘命□□原野’ (《宋》21行)

‘....steppe?....’ (Song21).

◆ **bas** 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 (311.174) <b.as> ‘again’ (K32, 72, 84, DiX6-5, 19-18, 21-6 33-6, 35-18, 40-18, Xiang11-10, 27-12, 32-38, 33-10, 37-26, 44-18, Index 104×). || 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 (174) may here denote [as] or [asa] | Mo *basa*, Da *base*, DaE *bas* ‘again, also’.

Examples:

✓ **emen lešeu dordei bas pemu**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<em.n l.she.u dor.de.i b.as p.mu>

(21.251 261.315.131 218.205.339 311.174 295.224.282)

‘女之哀礼从又余’ (《敌》35行)

‘more than mourning etiquette of women....’ (Di35).

✓ **uğuli ñour taquši bas ša’ang seše günen iri temlegey**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<uh.ul.i ñ.ó.úr t.qu š.i b.as ša.ang s.še g.ün.en i.ri t. tem₂.l.ge.y>

(172.366.339 222.90.097 247.246 028.339 311.174 028.189.199 244.314

334.329.140 339.235 247.257.261.349.020)

于骨里部都使又上将军之号封 (《图》10行)

‘(him) was given the title Governor General (*dushi*) and also (the title) Top General (*shang jiang jun*) of the Uguli (Yuguli) Clan’ (Tu10).

✓ **ongon iris bas temlegelir**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<ong.on i.ri.s b.as t.em₂.l.ge.l.ir₂>

(71.154 339.235.244 311.174 247.257.261.349.261.144)

‘王之号又封’ (《迪》4行)

‘(was) promoted to the title Wang again’ (Di4).

⁴⁵ Note: the meaning of 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 here is a guess, as we are not certain whether the concept of four continents already existed at that time.

◆ **baqa** 𠵹力 (311.168) <b.qa> ‘child’ (K72, 118, DiX13-11, 15-20, 26-7, 26-16, 16-24, Xiang 8-4, passim, 48 times in Wu 2012, Index 438×) | Mo *baga* ‘young, childhood’, *bagačud* ‘youth’, Da *bage* ‘little, young’, *bage.cude* ‘youth, young men’, DaE -- || The vowel after may be either [a] or [o], the word was earlier read as *boqo*, only Chinggeltei read *baya*. The second syllable appears in **ñoqa** 𠵹力 (222.168) <ń.qa> ‘dog’, Liao shi *niehe* 捏褐 and in **teqa’a** 𠵹力力 (247.168.189) <te.qa.a> ‘chicken’. Orthographic variants are: 𠵹欠 (311.169) <b.qó> (Index 1×), <b.qó.a> (1×), see also <b.qó.i.ń> (1×), <b.qó.du₂.i> (1×).

❖ **baġuan** 𠵹列出 (311.151.290) <b.hu.án> ‘children’ (Index 187×), also:

<b.qo.án> (311.168.290) (Hai6-14) plural,

❖ **baqayi** 𠵹力关 (311.168.339) <b.qa.i> genitive case, Index 64×,

❖ **baqa-yi baqa** 𠵹力关𠵹力 (311.168.339.311.168) <b.qa.i b.qa> ‘grand-child’ (K100) | Mo *baga-yin бага*,

❖ **baqa-yi baġuan** 𠵹力关𠵹列出 (311.168.339.311.151.290) <b.qa.i ba.hu.án> ‘grandchildren’ (Xiang13-11),

✓ **jürer baqayi pinde** 𠵹尔𠵹𠵹力关止雨矢

(162.236.347.311.168.339.295.018.205)

<ci.ur.oi b.qa.i p.in.de>

‘次子之品于’《详》17行

‘at the “pin”⁴⁶ of the second son’ (Xiang17-1),

✓ **ayden baqai emen bu’úr a’alġai**

𠵹尔𠵹力关金𠵹力关𠵹力关止

(122.254.240.311.168.339.257.140.311.372.097.189.098.051.122)

<ai.d.en b.qa.i em.en b.ū.ur a.al.ha.ai>

‘男孩子之城□□《详》23’行

‘let make (a’alyai) the territory (em) of the children (baqa) of the fathers (ayid-en) permanent (bū’ur) (estate)’ (Xiang23-31)⁴⁷.

❖ **baqade** 𠵹力矢 (311.168.205) <b.qa.de> Dative-Locative case, Index 4×, see further: <b.qa.de.i>, <b.qa.d.b.te₂>, <b.qa.iú> (4×), <b.qa.iú.i>.

◆ **bu-** 𠵹力 (311.131) <b.u⁴⁸> ‘copula, to be’ (K156) (Index 29×) | LMo *bol-* ‘to become, to change into, to be, to exist’, Da *bole-*, DaE *bol-* ‘to become, is, happens to be’ in the Central Mongolian languages the verb has a long vowel. The copula occurs in DiX four times, in Wu (2012) 11 times as <p.u>, further in Hu 6×, Jue 1×, Hui 3×, Pu 1× and twice as <b.u> (Jue). See perhaps also <búl> 𠵹力 (272) below. Orthographical variants are: 𠵹力 (311.372) <b.ú> (6×), 𠵹力𠵹力 (311.131.131) <b.u.u> (3×), 𠵹力 (295.131) <p.u> (64×). See also <b.u.úr> (8×), <b.u.ji>, <b.ú.úr> (7×), <b.ú.u>.

❖ **bu’ur** 𠵹力关 (311.372.097) <b.ū.úr> ‘permanent, what existed and exists’ (Xiang23-17, Xiang23-34), past tense. Index (7×). Orthographical variants

⁴⁶ *pin* is a Chinese territorial unit, cf. <po-ho p.in>, <p.a.ai p.in> K 191, Note 16.

⁴⁷ Whether 𠵹尔𠵹 denotes “fathers” or “men” is not yet clear.

⁴⁸ The copula may also be read as *bau-* or *bou-*.

are: 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (311.131.097) <b.u.úr> (Index 8×) (Xiang15-16, 17-18, 20-5, 22-21), 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (311.131.236) <b.u.ur> (1×).

Written with 𐰇 <bul> (279):

❖ **bular** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 <búl.a.ar> (272.189.123) ‘was, existed’ (Jue38-29)

✓ **tir buludu ojuǵai bula’ar**

𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤

<t.ir búl.ú.dú o.ju.ha.ai búl.a.ar>

(247.137 272.131.073 186.149.051.122 272.189.123) (Jue27-29)⁴⁹.

bayi- 𐰇 (061) <bai>, 𐰇 (060), <bai>. According to Kane (K40-41) 𐰇 (060) is an allograph of (061), and considered its reading of (061) as uncertain. SJL followed him. WJ read both glyphs as <bai> and Jiruhe and Wu (2009, cited by WJ p.48) suggested to eliminate (060) at all, and replace it by (061). We accept this and read <bai>. Index has only the glyph 𐰇 (061) <bai> 31×. The glyph occurs in:

◆ **bayi-** 𐰇 (061) <bai>, ‘to stand still, stop’ (K42, DiX31-21) | Mo *bayi-* ‘to be, to stay, to exist’, Da *bai-* ‘to exist, be (it is), stand, stand up, stay, stop, give up’, DaE *bai-*, while as auxiliary the Mongol word got another shape (*vai-*, *vi-*, *i-*, see HN 277), Index 31×. Derived forms are:

***bayid-** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254) <bai.d> the passive form of **bayi-** in:

❖ **bayider** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.341) <bai.d.er> past tense of the passive stem, Index 1×.

***bayidǵa-** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051) the factitive form in -ǵa, of the passive stem **bayid-** in:

❖ **bayidǵa’ai** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051.122) <bai.d.ha.ai> (DiX31-9) (a converb in -ai, of a factitive form in -ǵa), (14×),

❖ **bayidǵa’ar** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051.123) <bai.d.ha.ar> (1×), past tense of bayidǵa-,

❖ **bayidǵa’a’ar** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051.189.123) <bai.d.ha.a.ar> (4×), past tense of bayidǵa-,

❖ **bayidǵa’alir** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051.098.144) <bai.d.ha.al.ir> Index 1×,

❖ **bayidǵa’aju** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.051.189.386) Index 1×,

***bayidla-** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.261) <bai.d.la> a factitive form of the passive stem in:

❖ **bayidlaǵa’ai** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.261.051.122) Index <bai.d.l.ha.ai>,

❖ **bayidlagalir** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.254.261.112.098.144) <bai.d.l.ge₂.al.ir> Index 1×,

***bayilǵa-** causative stem,

◆ ***bayilǵa-** 𐰇𐰏𐰤𐰇𐰏𐰤 (061.261.051) ‘to cause to be, remain, to leave, to cause to stop, to dismiss, to remove from office’ | Mo *bayilǵa-* ‘id.’ (with the causative/passive suffix -lǵa-), Da *bai.legaa-* ‘stand him up, have him stand up’.

⁴⁹ The meaning of the phrase is not clear, but see the following expression in Xiang42-17: <...t.ir.bú₂.u.dú x.s.ge.ud ja.ri.ha.ar> and in Xiang30-12: <t.ir.bú₂.u.ui>, -du in the first example is the suffix of the dative locative. <t.ir.bu> may be a title.

‘Promoted to (the rank of) Huwei taibao of the North Division (*beiyuan*)’
(Zhong 8)

- ✓ **dur ayde bod birlig o’oi** 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<dur ai.de bod bir.l.g o.oi>

(368 122.205 309 288.261.334 186.107)

‘四年于护卫授’ (《蒲》9行)

‘Promoted to guard in the fourth year’ (Pu 9)

- ✓ **te MONTHde tugli bod birlig au’ulga’ai**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<te MONTH.de t.uh.li bod bir.l.g au.ul.ha.ai>

(323 081.205 247.172.080 309 288.261.334 161.366.051.122)

‘该月于□护卫改’ (《显》12行)

‘Reassigned as flagged (tugli) guard in this month’ (Xian12)

bir 𐰇 (288.144) <bir.ir₂> in:

- ✓ **nai nai gende tequ dadusde birir poli**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<nai n.ai.g.én.de t.qú da.dus.de bir.ir p.o.l.i>

(211.2⁵¹ 251.122.334.361.205 247.118 171.310.1.205 288.144 295.186.149.339)

‘八十□□□□成为’ (《胡》24行)

‘Eighty become’ (Hu24)

- ✓ **asar šulul bais tiwir birir isuñ MR.anji**

𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<as.ar š.ul.ul bai.is t.iu.ir bir.ir is.û.ñ MR.án.ji>

(174.123 028.366.366 061.033 247.019.137 288.144 033.372.222 170.290.152)

‘清□□康□□□’ (《仁》22行)

‘A peaceful...was virtue Kang’ (Ren22)

- ✓ **baien tedli birirbirir daior** 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 𐰤 𐰥 𐰦 𐰧 𐰨 𐰩 𐰪 𐰫 𐰬 𐰭 𐰮 𐰯 𐰰 𐰱 𐰲 𐰳 𐰴 𐰵 𐰶 𐰷 𐰸 𐰹 𐰺 𐰻 𐰼 𐰽 𐰾 𐰿

<bai.en t.d.li bir.ir bir.ir dai.or>

(061.140 247.254.80 288.144 288.144 17.76)

‘朝□□□下’ (《宣》16行)

‘Morning down’ (Xuan16).

❖ ***birgis** 𐰇 𐰏 𐰢 𐰣 (288.334.244) <bir.g.s> (Xiang24-19) where <s> seems to be a plural marker. See further: Index <bir.li₂.i>. Further examples are <bir.u.ji>, <bir.u.ur.ir₂>.

- ◆ **bod or bud:** <bod> 𐰇 (309). This glyph was earlier read as <hó>, recently Wu Yinzhe (Index) identified it as <bod> and he tentatively translated it as

⁵¹ A newly identified glyph.

‘he’, the 3rd person pronoun. As an isolated word it is frequent. In the Index it occurs 116×.⁵²

The reason for this change is the following:

It can be observed that there are several ways to write Nabo 捺钵 ‘travelling camp, settlement’ in Khitan, such as 公亦生亦 <n.ad.bu.ad> (Gu3), 公亦生亦关 <n.ad.bu.ad.i> (Xing2), 公亦生亦关 <n.ad.bu.d.i> (Xuan5), etc. We hold that 马火 公亦因 <ju₂.un n.ad. bod> in Xian15 and Xian16 perhaps denotes Xia Nabo 夏捺钵 (summer Nabo). Thus, 公亦因 <n.ad.bod> and 公亦生亦 <n.ad.bu.ad> share same meaning and pronunciation, and therefore 因 and 生亦 have to have the same pronunciation. We already know that 生 has to be read as <bu~abu> and 亦 as <ad>. So in this case 因 reads <bud>. Further, in 令冬因 (247.174.309) and 令冬丹公 (247.174.311.254) 因 and 丹公 <b.d> also seems to be used alternatively. Therefore, we can infer that 因 can be read as <bod> or rather <bud>.

Examples for <n.ad.bu.d> 公亦生亦 (251.099.196.099) 2×:

- ✓ **Muji nadbud emuseg 住及弱 公亦生亦 毛今凡**
<mu.u.ji n.ad.bu.ad emu.s.g>
(224.131.152 251.099.196.099 026.244.334)
‘圣 捺钵 (或宗室) 统一?’ (《故》3行)
‘Sacred Nabo [temporary imperial palace or imperial clan] unify?’ (Gu3)
- ✓ **asci xas nadbuad porcien euru 冬杂 兴今 公亦生亦 止北杂和 止化**
<As.ci x.s n.ad.abu.ad p.or.ci.en eu.ru>
(174.162 340.244 251.099.196.099 295.076.162.140 067.236)
‘□□捺钵□岁于’ (《弘》24行)
‘... Nabo [temporary imperial palace]...at the age of...’ (Hong 24).
- ✓ **HEAVEN udulga’a’ar hongdi meren nadbuadi del ör semuen udu’udu sa’ai öliuer**
父 今 今 今 立 为 本 主 王 及 夫 和 公亦生亦关 小 十 今 住 当 米 及 凡
今 为 本 今 丙 刃 公
<HEAVEN ú.dû.l.ha.a.ar hong di m.ri.en n.ad.abu.ad.i del ör s.mu.én udu.u.dú s.a.ai l.iu.ir.er>
(243 245.249.261.051.189.123 075 037 133.069.140 251.099.196.099.339 294 040 244.224.361 355.131.179 244.189.122 261.019.137.341)
‘重熙□皇帝捺钵之南西行宫于 驻跸 崩’ (《兴》2行)
‘Emperor Chongxi ...died when he resided (sa.a.ai) at the southwest residence (emperor's stop over place during journey) of Nabo (temporary imperial palace)’ (Xing2).
- ✓ **süeüen ii neue em eusuir guoren nadbudi öliuren**
今 焚 虫 关 关 穴 秀 丙 止 卡 及 列 北 和 公亦生亦关 中 丙 刃 当
<s.üe.üen i.i neu.e em eu.su.ir₂ hu.or.en n.ad.abu.d.i l.iu.ir.én>
(244.082.324 339.339 331.109 021 067.41.144 151.076.140251.099.196.254.339 261.19.137.361)

⁵² In line 3 of the Khüis Tolgoi inscription (unpublished, forthcoming by Maue *et al.*) there occurs a word read *bodi* and translated by Vovin as ‘tribe’. We have not made any research on the Khüis Tolgoi inscription yet, so we cannot make a comment on it.

‘宣 懿 皇后长庆川之 捺钵于 崩’(《宣》5行)

‘Empress Xuanyi ...at the Nabo [temporary imperial palace] of/in Changqingchuan [place name]...died’ (Xuan5).

Examples for <n.ad.bot> 公东刈 (251.099.176), Index 25×:

✓ yawo’o n qidiri nadbot usgide 万央及肉 采爻关 公东刈 兆凡矢

<y.au.o.ón qid.ir.2.i n.ad.bot us.g.de>

(020.161.186.322 365.144.339 251.099.176 068.334.205)

‘道 契丹之 捺钵 (或宗室) 字于’(《显》6行)

‘in the letters of the Nabo (temporary imperial palace) (or imperial clan) of Khitan’ (Xian6).⁵³

✓ yarudi nadbot guas ugar 万存及火关 公东刈 列冬 欠本

<y.ri.6.u.ud.i n.ad.bot hu.as uh.ar>

(020.084.131.344.339 251.099.176 151.174 172.123)

‘耶律 宗室□□’(《兴》7行)

‘The Nabo of the Ye lü [the surname Yarud] imperial clan...’ (Xing7)

✓ HEAVEN GOLD hongdi ca nadboten išien uñ

尖 山 主 王 雨 先 公东刈 禾 与 及 伏

<HEAVEN GOLD hong di ca n.ad.bot.en iši.én.2.u.ñ>

(243 286 075 037 375.203 251.099.176.140 33 100.131.222)

‘天 金? 皇帝 □捺钵之 九 帐’(《玦》3行)

‘Heaven gold? emperor nine palaces of Nabo’ (Jue 3)

At present we cannot answer all questions about the glyph 田. The pronunciation has to be further studied in the future.

We find in the Index: <bod.l.b.ñ.de.i>, <bod.l.b.ñ.er>. If it is declined, we find: <bod.d>, <bod.de>, <bod.en>.

bot 刈 (176). This glyph was earlier read as <bú>, Index has <bú>, recently Wu read <bot>, later <bod> see above. It occurs also in:

- ◆ **botud** 刈朵 (176.254) <bot.d> ‘other’ (DiX1-1/2, 2-3, Xiang1-12, 16-14 Index 26×). The glyph was earlier read also as <bu.d>. It occurs together with **naganer** 力立出茶 (139.051.290.341) <na.ha.an.er> the ‘maternal uncles’, that is the “other clan”, as <bod.d na.ha.an.er> the Xiao clan, that of the maternal uncles, practically the Uyghurs | The Khitan word may pertain to an Ancient Mongolic **bu/bo* stem. This appears in Mongolic *busu* a plural in *-s*, which was petrified later and got a second plural *-d*: *busud*. The Khitan form *bodud* or *budud* would be then structurally the same as Mongolic *busud*, a plural in *-d* of a petrified former plural **bud*.

⁵³ Note: since the examples given here are extracted from certain lines in the inscriptions where most of the content of some lines is yet to be deciphered, we know the meaning of some words when separately written, but we are not sure about the meaning in the context. For some cases, we are uncertain whether they belong to the same phrase group. For example, 万央及肉 (道) and 兆凡矢 (字于) in the above case.

bu: <bu> 𠂔 (196). Wu read 𠂔 (196) as <abu> which may be the correct reading in some initial positions. Some words written with (196):

- ◆ **abu** 𠂔 <abu> (196) 'old man, grandfather'⁵⁴. (Index 61×). | Mo. *abu* 'father, grandfather'. The glyph 𠂔 <bu> (196) occurs in such expression as:
- ❖ **abu ay** 𠂔平 (196.395) <abu.ay> 'grandfather' at least in the first two cases in Xiang7-33, 19-22, 38-33 (in the third the following word is illegible).
- ❖ **abu abu ay** 𠂔𠂔平 (196.196.395) <abu.abu.ay> 'great grandfather' (K100).

The glyph occurs also in word internal position as in 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.269), <t.bu.y.er> which is a name occurring in the Liaoshi as *Tabuye* 撻不也 and the like⁵⁵. The word **tabuyer** is also a common word denoting 'a tiller of fields' according to the *Jinshi* glossary (K59).

Index has the following data:

- ◆ **tabu-** 𠂔𠂔 (247.196) <t.abu> (9×) in:
- ❖ **tabu-en** 𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.100) <t.abu.én₂>, Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabu-ai** 𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.122) <t.abu.ai>, Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabu-li** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.131.206) <t.abu.u.li> Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabu-ul** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.131.366) <t.abu.u.ul> Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabu- a'ar** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.189.123.) <t.abu.a.ar> Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabu-a'an** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.189.290) <t.abu.a.an> Index 2×.

and:

- ◆ **tabuy** 𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020) (14×), further
- ❖ **tabuy-ad** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.099) Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabuy-i** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.339) Index 29×,
- ❖ **tabuy-en** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.100), see also tabuen<t.abu.én₂> Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabuy-en** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.361) Index 29×,
- ❖ **tabuy-eñ** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.222) Index 1×,
- ❖ **tabuyer** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.341) Index 18×,
- ❖ **tabuyir** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (247.196.020.144) Index 1×.

A further derivation may be *tabu(a)l-. In these stems there is no *b~p* alternation, no *tapu occurs.

bul 𠂔 (272) <búl>. This glyph was earlier read as <bu, bú>, it is now read by Wu and Index as <búl>. It is occurring in a name:

- ◆ **Lebulağ senggun** <le.bul.ah s.ēng.un> Chinese *Lubugu xiangwen* 魯不古詳穩 (K68) who figures in the *Liao shi*. Here <bul> is transcribing Ch *bu* 不, LMCh *put*, EMCh *put*, *pət*, but the name looks much like a Khitan name (?El-bulağ), and should have been transcribed as *Lubulgu* (魯不勒古), which was then retranscribed into Khitan.

The glyph also occurs in the expression

- ❖ **buluji** 𠂔𠂔𠂔𠂔 (272.131.337) <búl.u.ji₃> (Xiang35-12) and

⁵⁴ Róna-Tas read earlier *ebü*, see Mo *ebüge* 'ancestor, grandfather', DaE *aukə* 'elderly man', but the correction of Wu to *abu* is a better reading and should be accepted.

⁵⁵ WF424 mentions a person of the royal clan with that name, who surrendered to the Jurchen in 1116.

❖ **bula'ar** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 <búl.a.ar> (272.189.123) (Jue38-19). Both --ji and --ar are verbal suffixes, the first forms converbs, the second marks the past tense, therefore bul has to be a verb. This verb may be the same as bu-/pu- 𐰇𐰏/𐰏𐰤 (311/295.131) <b/p.u> see above.

Index has also **buluñ** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (272.131.222), **bulhu** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (272.151) (3×), **bula** 𐰇𐰏 (272.189), **buli** 𐰇𐰏 (272.339).

bir 𐰇𐰏 <bir> (288), the glyph was earlier read as <bun> now it is read by Index and Wu as <bir>. As we observed the second word 𐰇𐰏𐰤 in 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 <le.ém.hu.a il.bir.ún udu.u.ur hú₂.ú> (261.270.151.189 302.311.144 355.131.236 014.245) meaning 林牙夷离毕行宫统 (*linya yilibi xinggong tong*) in Chinese (DiX10-7-10) denotes Chinese *yilibi* 夷离毕, and the third word 𐰇𐰏𐰤 in 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 𐰇𐰏𐰤 <ci.g.en u.ñ il.bir> (162.334.140 131.221 302.288) meanig 左院夷离毕 (*zuoyuan yilibi*) in Chinese, appeared in Guang14 also denotes *yilibi* 夷离毕. Thus, we can infer that 𐰇𐰏 and 𐰇𐰏𐰤 have the same meaning and pronunciation, which can further prove that 𐰇𐰏 equals 𐰇𐰏⁵⁶. If (288) has to be read as <bir> then the title is:

◆ **ilbir** 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (302.288) <il.bir> (Index 12×), also 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (302.311.144) <il.b.ir₂> 'tribal judge' (DiX10-8), gen. 𐰇𐰏𐰤 (302.288.140) <il.bir.en> 'tribal judge+gen' (Xiang8-27. 13-13, 18-14, PU16-17 Index 5×). According to WJ79, 156 ← Ch *yilibi* 夷離畢 LMCh **jilipjit*. This was first suggested by Sun Bojun and Nie Hongyin (2008) quoted by WJ79, see also Wu17 223, 235. They suggested as a final source OT *el begi*. This is problematic. The original may have been **Eliber*⁵⁷.

According to Aisin Gioro (2012) the glyph 𐰇𐰏 (077) may be read as <bur> or <bor> but we did not find any evidence for this claim. This is an allograph of 𐰇𐰏 (080) with the value /b/ and transcribed hitherto by <ii> thus it does not pertain here (see Róna-Tas 2017: 156).

<P> in Word and Syllable Initial Position

p: <p> 𐰇𐰏 (295)

The glyph for the initial p- is <p> 𐰇𐰏 (295). This glyph used as a word initial is very frequent. It occurs before all vowels. In the transcription of Chinese, the glyph appears in three types of initials.

⁵⁶ Aisin Gioro Ulhicun (2012) read 𐰇𐰏 as ir/r, we read 𐰇𐰏 (288) <bir>. The reconstruction of this pronunciation is not so certain, and needs further examination.

⁵⁷ A possible connection with the well-known title *elteber* will be dealt with in another paper.

Table 4. Khitan initials with p/f-

Romanisation	Glyph	Chinese	LMCh	EMCh	LCh	Source
<p.ing>.	𐰚𐰢 (295.303)	<i>ping</i> 平, 'level, even, peaceful'	<i>phiajŋ</i>	<i>biajŋ</i>	<i>bīŋ</i>	K70
<p.i>.	𐰚𐰢 (295.339)	<i>fei</i> 妃, 'wife, consort' ⁵⁸	<i>ffyj/fji</i>	<i>phuj</i>	<i>pi</i>	K70
<pu>	𐰚 (241) ⁵⁹	<i>fu</i> 府 'treasury'	<i>ffjǝ/fuǝ</i>	<i>puǝ</i>	<i>pu</i>	K63
<p.úŋ>	𐰚𐰢 (195.357)	<i>feng</i> 奉 'present (to a superior)'	<i>fhjyawŋ/fhǝŋ</i>	<i>buawŋ</i>	<i>puŋ</i>	K262, No. 7.013
<pu.ši.in>.	𐰚𐰢𐰢 (241.033.222)	<i>furen</i> 夫人 'lady'	<i>ffǝ/fuǝ</i>	<i>puǝ</i>	<i>pu</i>	K63
<fu.ong>	𐰚𐰢 (242.071),	<i>fang</i> 防 'ward off, protect'	<i>fhjyan/fhan</i>	<i>buawŋ</i>	<i>fuowŋ</i>	K262, No. 7.012

Generally there is no Khitan glyph which would reflect an *f*-initial. There does exist <fu> 𐰚 (242) which is a dotted variant of <pu> 𐰚 (241). In this case the dot in 𐰚 <fu> (242) is marking a phonetic peculiarity, existing in Chinese, but not in Khitan⁶⁰. If 𐰚 which was earlier listed under (438) and now by CWJ as (337.1) has to be read as <pen> and not <fen> as did WJ, then we may state that the Khitan *p* > *f* change had begun before the labial /u/ in Chinese transcriptions.

Chinese loanwords written with 𐰚 (295) <p> in Khitan include:

◆ **paisi** 𐰚𐰢/𐰢𐰢 (295.122/395.083) <p.ai/ai₂.sī> '*paisi*, tablet of order' ← Ch *paizi* 牌子, LMCh *phia:jtsz* EMCh *baij/be:j*, see WOT99. In:

✦ **paisi-en** 𐰚𐰢/𐰢𐰢: 𐰢 (295.122/395.083:140) <p.ai/ai₂.sī.en> gen. of 'paizi' (Nan2, K192), Index 12×,

✦ **paisi-de** 𐰚𐰢𐰢: 𐰢 (295.122.083.205) <p. ai.sī.de> dative-locative.

◆ ***paw** 𐰚𐰢 (295.125) <p.aū>⁶¹ 'to die' (K49) | ← ? ? Ch *piao* 𐰢 'starve to death, to perish', LMCh *phiauw*, EMCh *biaw*⁶².

⁵⁸ Also *pei*.

⁵⁹ Written also with <fu> 𐰚 (242).

⁶⁰ There do exist other glyphs which denote sounds not present in Khitan but existing in Chinese: for instance 𐰢 (028) is <š> and does exist in Khitan, 𐰢 (330) is an alloglyph of 𐰢 (028) with a dot above and denotes /ž/ existing only in Chinese. This is a clear parallel to 𐰚 <pu> (241) and 𐰚 <fu> (042).

⁶¹ K read <ió>, WJ read <aū> and Aisin <jau> for (125).

⁶² For a semantic parallel, see Khitan **ölü-** 𐰢𐰢 (261.019) <liu>- 'to die, to pass away (honorific)' (K91) ← OT *öl-* 'to die', cf. Mo *ölüs-* 'to suffer starvation', *ölüng* 'starvation', *ölber-*, *ölmer-* *ölmü-r* 'to suffer extreme hunger, starve' or ? Mo *ükü-* 'to die', Da *uu-*, HN *ükü-*, DaE *uy^w*, *u:-*. The Mongolic Qinghsi-Gansu languages have all **p-* (EYu, MgrH, MgrM, Bao, Dgx, only Kgj has *gu-*). According to Hans Nugteren (2011: 540), the **p*-s are secondary. In MMO only the Rasulid

- Names written with <p> 𠩺 (295):

- Some Khitan words beginning with p-:

- Hexaglott (ed. Golden 2000) has one datum with *h-*: *hüküdel* ‘grave’, but *ükü-* ‘to die’,? cf. Mo *ügei bol-* ‘to die’. The etymology is uncertain.

⁶³ It is remarkable that (018) and an alloglyph (454) are read with final <-n>, and not <-ng>. Maybe Khitan did not have this final, see Kane (2009: 71).

‘(in) that year, (in) winter at the eleventh month, the yellow (gold) monkey in the cyclical system, on the twenty-sixth day, the day of the Black(?) Chicken, November 26’ (Xian26).

Note: ‘On November 26th in this winter (山 业及) 戊申 and (虫 穴 令 力 为) 癸酉 here denote the cyclical stems and cyclical branches. 北 中 中 朔 means the first day of the lunar month. In the context, they mean that the cyclical stem and cyclical branch of the first day of November is *wu shen*, and that of 26th day of November is *gui you* (Xian26).

Examples for 业及及 (295.186.186) <p.o.o> ‘monkey’:

- ✓ **GREAT** uduo’on naim ġariu po’o ay nai MONTH jur gor DAYde eur SIXTY dolde

又 米 升 及 雨 巫 虫 穴 业 及 及 丰 穴 艾 丁 包 尹 矢 土 安 义 斥 矢

(373 355.090.186.322 007 321.289 295.186.186 122 332 081 002 166 159.205 067.097 266 141.205)

<GREAT udu.ó.o.ón naim har.iú p.o.o ai nai MONTH jur gor DAY.de eu.úr SIXTY dol.de>

‘大安八 壬申 年正月二十三日于 岁六十七于’ (《南》26行)

‘The year (was) the 8th in the Great Peace (Daan) [period] the year of the Black Monkey, it was the first month and the twenty-third day (when he) died in his age of sixty-seven, [on January 23th 1091]’ (Nan26) (Year: ren shen)’.

- ✓ **iši** MONTH par nayir DAY po’o podo 禾 艾 宅 巫 尹 业 及 及 丰 朱

<iši MONTH par nayir DAY po.o.o po.do>

(033 081 240 007 159 295.186.186 279.217)

九月十五日申时于《显》16行

‘(In the) 9th month, eighteen(th) day, Monkey hour (*shen* 申3-5 PM), [September 15] (Xian16)’

- ✓ **ciruen** siu ui ay nair MONTH ġariu po’odo DAY

禾 化 与 禾 火 丰 巫 艾 虫 穴 业 及 及 尹

<ci.ru.én siu ui ai nair MONTH har.iú p.o.o DAY>

(162.236.361 004 262 122 007 081 321.289 295.186.186 159)

‘次 辛 亥 年八月壬申日’ (《玦》34行)

‘In the second (or following) White Pig year (Xinhai 辛亥), the eighth month, the Black Monkey Day’

- ◆ **po** 业及 (295/311.186) <p.o> ‘appoint, promote, become’ (Index 7×) | Mo *bol-* ‘to become’ HN *bol-* ‘to become, to be, to be possible, or allowed’⁶⁶, see SH 57§ *Qutulayi qahan bolqaba*⁶⁷ (Index 7×). Orthographic variant: bo 尹及 (311.186) <b.o> (Index 1×).

- ❖ **pōr** 业及全 (295.186.255) <p.o.ri₅> ‘made, caused to be [promoted to a position]’ (K84, 112, Xiang5-21, 21-24, 24-37, 26-9, 27-30, 27-37, DiX5-6) (Index 96×), past tense. Orthographic variants: 业及北 (295.186.076) <p.o.or> (Index 2×), 尹及全 (311.186.255) <b.o.ri₅> (Index 14×).

⁶⁶ Not *ol-* ‘to find, to earn a living’, HN *ol-* ‘to find, to get, to obtain’, nowhere *h-* in MMo.

⁶⁷ Shimunek (2014) suggests MMo *bü* ‘to be’ which is improbable.

- ✓ **jautau bo'ori** 弔无丹及全 (015.029 311.186.255) <jau tau b.o.ri₅> 'became a *jau tau* (*Chaotao* 招討), a punitive commissioner' (DiX 5-4/6 WJ 67),
- ✓ **tau ayde xung ngii giuungun puu giuung ši bori**
无半矢玳安安关关凡丙水女兮及凡丙水无丹及全
<tau ai.de xu.ng ng.i.i g.iu.ung.un pu.u g.iu.ung ši b.o.ri₅>
(029 122.205 036.264 264.339.339 334.019.345.273 241.131 334.019.345 180 311.186.255)
'五年于弘义宫之副宫使拜' (兀16-32)
'(was) appointed to *fugongshi* in Hongyigong in the fifth year' (Wu16-32)
- ✓ **šiu mi pu ši bori** 无火又关兮无丹及全
<sh.iú m.i pu ši b.o.ri₅>
(028.289 133.339 241 180 311.186.255)
'枢密副使除' (许13-34)
'(he was) appointed to *shumifushi*' (Xu 13-34).

◆ ***pol-** 出及子 (295.186.149) <p.o.l₂>, also once 出及子 (295.131.149) <p.u.l₂>⁶⁸ 'to become, be appointed, promoted to' (K50, 96, Sh2), same as above⁶⁹, occurs only in derivated or conjugated stems as:

- ❖ **poleliń** 出及子中伏 (295.186.149.261.222) <p.o.l₂.l.ń> past tense, feminine in:
- ✓ **iu poleliń** 丙出及子中伏 (019 295.186.149.261.222) <iu p.o.l₂.l.ń> 'she became nothing, she died' (Xiang14-27), *yu* is the negative existential, *-luń* finite past tense feminine, see Old Turkic *yok bol-*.
- ❖ **polii** 出及子比 (295.186.149.077) <p.ol₂.ii> converb in:
- ✓ **šen polii** 无考出及子比 (028.073. 295.186.149.077)
<š.ēn p.o.l₂. ii> (converb) 'having became new' (Lang7, K188, Sh),
- ❖ **polbuń** 出及子丹伏 (295.186.149.311.222) <p.o.l₂.b.ń> past tense, in:
- ✓ **sītu polbuń** 比劣出及子丹伏 (028.202 295.186.149.311.222)
<sī tu p.o.l₂.b.ún> '(he) became Minister over Masses (*situ* 司徒) (of Huangci)' (Xiang24-28),
- ✓ **orci bolbun** 比杂丹及子丹又 (076.162 311.186.149.311.144)
<or.ci b.o.l₂.b.ún> '(he) became Orci' (DiX44-9/10)⁷⁰.
- ❖ ***polğa-** 出及子立 (295.186.149.051) <p.o.l₂.ha> factitive, Index 2× in:
- ❖ **polğa'ai** 出及子立半 (295.186.149.051.122) <p.o.l₂.ha.ai> converb of the factitive stem, Index 49× in:
- ✓ **kusu polğa'ai** 凡只卡出及子立半 (178.372.041295.186.149.051.122)
<ku.ū.su p.o.l₂.ha.ai>
'the favour was granted' (Xiang4-19).

Further conjugational stems may be: <p.o.l₂.ó.ji>, <p.o.l₂ u.ji/ji₂>, <p.o.l₂.ir₂>, <p.ol₂.a>, <p.ol₂.b.ń> (12×).

⁶⁸ Once in <p.u.l₂.b.ń> in Zhen38-31.

⁶⁹ The glyph 子 (149) was read according to WJ <ju>, K <ju>, Wu <l₂.>.

⁷⁰ The glyph 比 (076) was earlier read <ho>.

- ◆ **pür 丕** (295.097) ‘descendant, offspring’ | MMo *hüre*, Mo *üre* ‘seed, offspring, descendants’, Da *hure*, DaE *xur* (Index 4×).
- ❖ **püres 丕安全** (295.097.244.) <p.úr.s> ‘descendants, plural’ (WJ170-171). Index 58×.
- ✓ **pures EIGHTder u’urai 丕安全至公公及化中**
(295.097.244 008 254.341 131.236 122) <p.úr.s EIGHT.d.er u.ur ai>
‘[as to the descendants of the 8th forefather] the eighth forfather was...’
(DiX2-24/25)
- ✓ **qidi giu282i yeuga’al pures 呆关 火火非关 万去去万 丕安全**
(365.339 340.289.282.339 020.067.051.098 295.097.244)
<qid.i h.iú.282.i y.eu.ha.al p.úr.s>
‘the descendants brought up (?) by the Khitan Ġiu282i [name]’ (DiX2-24/25)
- ✓ **ay pures gi cier 丕安安全公公** (122.295.097.244 336 162.341)
<ai p.úr.s gi₂ ci.er> ‘[his] male descendants were not recorded’ (DiX26-17/20).
- ✓ **aid THREEen ġuTENTgu pures nú’ur 丕公包有列艾列丕安全伏只安**
(122.254 167.140 151.379.151 295.097.244 222. 372.097)
<ai.d THREE.en hu.TENT.hu p. úr.s n.ū.úr>
‘the descendant lineages of the three fathers, the HuTENThu’ (Xiang6-15/19).

The glyph 𪛗 (297) was formerly read as <pu>, but should be now read as <gú>.

◆ **Pusuli** 今卡只央 <Pu.su.û.oi> (241.041.372.347) ‘Pusuli (name of a person) 蒲速里 (人名)’ occurs in:

- Acta Orient. Hung. 72, 2019

来化与 止安丹伏 今泰伏 无女 及化 去凡伏又 出及 丕 凡和 丹力
 今卡只炎 丕 炎矢 只平炎与

<ci.ru.én p.úr.b.ñ pu.is.ñ tau.un u.ru eu.g.ñ.ir₂ gú.u tai šī.en b.qo pu.su.ū.oi tai ui°.de ū.ul.ge.én>

(162.236.361 295.097.311.222 241.033.222 029.273 131.236 067.334.222.144 297.131 374 180.140 311.168 241.41.372.347 374 263.205 372.366.349.361)

‘次□夫人五院欧昆骨欲太师之子蒲速里太师于嫁’《详》18.

‘The second (daughter) Lady (*furen*) Purbiñ was married to the son of Eugñir Guu *taishi* of the Five Divisions, [namely] to the Grand Commandant (*taiwei*) Pusuli’. (Xiang 18-31)

Note: the glyph 炎 may be a mistake, written instead of 𠂔 (269) <er>, and the Khitan name may have been *Busur, or Busuri.

◆ **Punuñ** 今女及伏 <Pu.un.u.ñ> (241.273.131.222) ‘盆奴 (人名)’. ‘Punuñ (name of a person)’

✓ **ya LUCKñ tirbir taiši deu ay Punuñ teqosir ca’ang šu**

才泰伏 丹中 丕 凡与 丰 今女及伏 今欠炎又 雨乞 无只

(335.277.222 074.288 374 180 101 122 241.273.131.222 247.169.354.144 375.199 028.372)

<ia LUCK.ñ tir.bir tai šī deu ai pu.un.u.ñ t.qó.s₂.ir₂ ca.ang sh.û>

‘胡睹堽铁离太师叔父盆奴图古辞尚书’回》4

‘[Hudu]jin] *tieli taishi*’s uncle Punuñ *tuguci shangshu*’ (Hui 4-1/10).

Chinese words with 今 <pu> (241):

- ◆ **puši** 今泰 241.033 <pu.ši> ‘deputy commissar’ (DiX2-1, 27-1, 29-12) ← Ch *fushi* 副使 LMCh *fjyw*, *fuw*, EMCh *puw^h*, LMCh *šr*, EMCh *šr*, *ši*, (WF747, Di2-1, 27-1, 29-12). Index: <pu-ši> 8×,
- ❖ **今泰和** (241.033.140) <pu.ši.en> ‘of the Deputy commissar’ (DiX1-5, 25-23) <pu.ši.en> 2×, in:
 今泰和百谷止本丕 (241.033.140 021.254 295.123 134) <pu.ši.en mo.d p.ar TWO> ‘the two wives of the Deputy Commissar’ (DiX25-24/25).
- ◆ **pūšīn** 今泰伏 (241.033.222) <pu.ši.ñ> ‘madam, Lady (Index 137×), Ch *furen* 夫人 LMCh *fjə/fuə*, LMCh *rin*, EMCh *puə*, EMCh *jin*’ (K23, 39, 62, 104, Sh 106, WJ 53, DiX8-21, 9-4, 12-18, 13-3, Xiang8-23, 12-36, 13-6, 13-31, 14-6, 18-20, 18-34, Xu9, Index 136×) | MMo SH *hūjīn* || (033)⁷¹ and (221) have dotted forms (034) 泰 and (222) 伏 resp. Though the inscription Pu uses the glyph <fu> 今 (242), the title *furen* is written 8 times and only with 今 <pu>. In most cases in Pu the glyph fu 今 (242) is the transcription of different Chinese syllables sounding /fu/. This means that *pūšīn* 今泰伏 (241.033.222) became a loanword, it became a Khitan word.
- ❖ **pušīnen** 今泰伏和 (241.033.222.140) <pu.ši.ñ.en> ‘of the lady, madam’ (Index 36×) genitive case (DiX12-1, Xiang13-26, 33-35, 45-8).

⁷¹ See Róna-Tas (2016: 129) on the reading of (033), otherwise logograph for NINE.

- ❖ **pušínde** 今泰伏矢 (241.033.222.205) <pu.ši.ń.de> Index 2×, dative–locative
- ❖ **pušíndei** 今泰伏矢关 (241.033.222.205.339) <pu.ši.ń de.i> (Index 1×), morphologically yet not clear.

Khitan words with <pu> 今 (241):

- ◆ ***pusu-** 今卡 (241.041) <pu.su> Index 1×, a verbal stem, from which
 - ❖ ***pusulge-/pusu'ulge-** 今卡中泰/泰 (241.041.261.112/349) <pu.su.l.ge₂/ge>
 - ❖ 今卡只中泰/泰 <pu.su.û.l.ge₂/ge> (241.041.372.261.112/349) a factitive secondary stem, also
 - ❖ 今卡只平凡 (241.041.372.366.334) <pu.su.û.ul.g> 'to celebrate, Ch 庆' in:
RECORDe ku pemu 282 curubiń xāše ciqui pusu'ulg juruǵ yu
 达 击 旁 几 业 仕 非 才 祭 芳 化 丹 伏 不 赤 余 央 今 卡 只 平 凡 圣 久
 万 爻
 <ol RECORD.e ku p.mu. 282 cu.ru.b.ń xa.she ci.qu.ui pu.su.û.ul.g jur₂.uh y.u>
 (115 052.1.348 178 295.224.282 335.277 102.236.311.222 130.315 162.
 246.262 241.41.372.366.334 134.172 020.131)
 '众□人余福积时汉儿庆□□'《宋》17,
 '...celebrate when many ... people accumulate good fortune Han people'⁷²,
 (Song17-17/26)
 - ❖ **pusu'ulci-** 今卡只平来 (241.041.372.366.162) <pu.su.û.ul.ci> 'to prosper, Ch 兴' (Zhi8), in:
- ✓ **suien DAY yeuji polbir nadbodo amad pusulci iri 307y yieriu abu'ul aulǵa'alir**
 佃 当 天 万 子 弱 业 及 子 中 公 东 国 公 乃 空 今 卡 只 平 来 关 化 罔 万
 万 交 存 爻 生 伙 央 平 击 方 爻
 (238.361 159 020.067.152 295.186.149.288 251.099.309.253 184.254 241.41.
 372.366.162 339.235 307.020 020.327.084.131 196 227 161.366.051.098.144)
 <sui.én DAY y.eu.ji p.o.l₂.bir n.ad.bod.ô₂ am.d pu.su.û.ul.ci i.ri 307.y y.ie.ri₆.u
 abu ul au.ul. ha.al.ir₂>
 '生日行成为行帐涌泉涌出号□太平阿不□改'《智》8
 'Birthday walk become,... temporary imperial tent (Nabo)... spring poured
 out the title...peace Abu was changed' (Zhi8-36/48)
- ❖ **pus'ulger-** 今卡只平泰爻 (241.41.372.366.349.144) <pu.su.û.ul.ge.ir₂>
 'to celebrate, commemorate, Ch 庆' in:
 - ✓ **gur pusu'ulger aluji om054i ora qaǵaan min bagańuede**
 九 安 今 卡 只 平 泰 爻 方 爻 弱 九 木 关 北 力 击 击 央 爻 雨 丹 凡 伏
 只 旁 爻
 <g.úr pu. su.û.ul.ge.ir₂ al.u.ji om.054.i or.a qa.ha.an m.in b.g.ń.û.e.de>

⁷² <ci.qu.ui> is one form of the Khitan names of the Han or Chinese people, see Róna-Tas (2016).

unaspirated stop. Whether it was a strong /p/ or a weak bilabial stop /b/ remains open, but for technical reasons we opt for .

In the cases where <p> did not alternate with we have two options. (1) It had a variant with , but this did not occur or was not identified yet, (2) the sound was an aspirated strong bilabial stop /pʰ/.

This aspirated strong bilabial stop disappeared in most Modern Mongolic languages through /h/, but /h/ or its further continuations were preserved in Middle Mongolian, and are present in some archaic Mongolic languages, among them in Dahur as /h/⁷⁵. In our material we found:

Khitan **par(a)** ~ MMo *harban*, Mo *arban* ‘ten’, Khitan **pülüg** ~ MMo *hülewü*, Mo *ülegü* ‘surplus’, Khitan **püre(s)** ~ MMo *hüre*, Mo *üre* ‘seed, descendants’, Khitan **po** ~ MMo *hon*, Mo *on* ‘year’, and as a loan from Chinese: Khitan **pušín** ~ MMo *hüjin* ‘lady, princess’.

For Khitan we have the following rule of representation:

Khitan phoneme	Romanisation of the Khitan glyph	Middle Chinese phonemes	Modern Chinese <i>pinyin</i>
/pʰ/	<p>	/ph/, /pʰi/, /fʰi/, /fj/	<p>, <f>
/p/		/p/	

As we can see, the opposition of labial stops was based on the existence of postaspiration and its lack. However, we find many words in the case of which the initial <p> and alternated. This may mean that the aspiration was not very strong or this alternation may be due to the weak points of the Khitan Small Script. On the other hand, postaspiration was phonologically relevant and could be traced later. An argument in favour of this statement is that /pʰ/ became /h/ in Dahur⁷⁶.

Signs used

- ◆ independent lexical item
- ❖ derivation or morphologically extended item
- ✓ example from the Corpus

Abbreviations

A = Aisin Gioro (2012)

BaoD = Dahejia (or Jishishan) Baoan (after HN)

⁷⁵ The history and later representations of the Proto Mongolian *pʰ- has been dealt with the last time by H. Nugteren (2011: 250–257).

⁷⁶ Some authors suppose that Dahur is a heavily Mongolised Khitan dialect, other authors think that the clear parallels between Dahur and Khitan are due to archaic features preserved in Dahur.

- BaoŃ = Nantoq subdialect of Tongren Baoan (after HN)
 C = Chinggeltei (2002)
 Ch = Chinese
 Da = Dagur according to Martin (1961)
 DaE = Dagur according to Enkhbat (1984) (cited from HN)
 Dao = The *Daozong* inscription (AD 1101), ed. LK
 Dgx = Dongxian (after HN)
 DiX = *Xiao Dilu* inscription (AD 1114) in WJ
 EMCh = Early Middle Chinese according to Pulleyblank (1991)
 Eyu = Eastern Yugur after HN
 GQ = Gansu Qinghai Mongolian
 Gu = The *Gu Yelü shi mingshi* inscription (AD 1115), ed. LK
 HN = H. Nugteren (2011)
 Hu = The *Xiao Hudujin* inscription (AD 1091), ed. Wu (2012)
 Hui = The *Xiao Huilian* inscription (AD 1080), ed. Wu (2012)
 Hui gai = The cover of the *Xiao Huilian* inscription (AD 1080), ed. Wu (2012)
 Index = The Index to Chinggeltei, Wu Yingzhe and Jiruhe (2017)
 Jue = the *Yelü Jue* inscription (AD 1071), ed. Wu (2012)
 K = Kane (2009)
 Kgj = Kangjia, a Baoanic language (cited from HN)
 KLS = Khitan Large or Linear Script
 KSS = Khitan Small or Assembled Script
 Lang = The *Langjun* inscription (AD 1134) in K186–189
 Lang2 = The *Langjun* inscription according to Sh2
 LCh = Liao Chinese
 Liang = The *Liang guowang* inscription (AD 1107), ed. LK
 List = List of KSS graphs as in WJ 258–272
 LK = Liu Pujiang and Kang Peng (2014)
 LMCh = Late Middle Chinese according to Pulleyblank (1991)
 Ma = Manchu according to Norman (1967)
 MaH = Manchu according to Hauer (1952–1955)
 Mgr = Smedt and Mostaert (1933)
 MgrH = Huzhu dialect of Monguor (after HN)
 MgrM = Minhe dialect of Monguor (after HN)
 MMo = Middle Mongolian
 Mo = Literary Mongolian according to Lessing (1960)
 Nan = The *Yelü Dilie* inscription (AD 1092) in K 191
 Nu = The *Yelü Nu* inscription (AD 1099)
 PC = The cover of the *Yelü Pusuli* inscription (AD 1105), ed. Wu (2012)
 PU = The *Yelü Pusuli* inscription (AD 1105), ed. Wu (2012)
 QG = The Qinghai and Gansu Mongol languages according to HN
 Qing = The *Yongqing* inscription (AD 1095), ed. LK
 SH = The *Secret History of the Mongols* (cited after Rachewiltz 1972 and 2004)
 Sh = Shimunek (2011)
 Sh2 = Shimunek (2014)
 Sh17 = Shimunek (2017)
 SJL = Sun Bojun, Jing Yongshi and Li Yang (2010)
 Song = the *Song Wei* inscription (1110), ed. LK

Tai = The *Taishuzu* inscription (1110), ed. LK
 Tib = Tibetan
 TMR = Tibeto-Mongolica Revisited (Róna-Tas 2014)
 Tu = The *Tuguci* inscription (AD 1068), ed. LK
 WF = Wittfogel and Fêng (1949)
 WJ = Wu Yingzhe and J. Janhunen (2010)
 WOT= Róna-Tas and Berta (2011)
 Wu = The *Fubushu* inscription (AD 1102), ed. LK
 Wu17 = Wu Yingzhe, Jiruhe and Peng Daruhan (2017)
 Xian = The *Xiao Jushi* inscription (AD 1175), ed. LK
 Xiang = The *Yeli Xiangwen* inscription (AD 1091), in WJ
 Xing = The *Xingzong* inscription (AD 1105), ed. LK
 Xu = The inscription of *Xuguo* (AD 1105), ed. LK
 Xuan = The *Eulogy for Empress Xuanyi* (AD 1101), ed. K 214–223
 Yu = The *Renxian* inscription (AD 1072), ed. LK
 Zhi = The *Zhixian* inscription (AD 1094), ed. LK
 Zhong = The *Xiao Zhonggong* inscription (AD 1150)

References

- AISIN GIORO, Ulhicun [YOSHIMOTO Chieko] 吉本 智慧子 2012. ‘Kittan shoji no onka suitei oyobi sōkan mondai 契丹小字の音価推定及び相關問題 [Reconstruction of phonetic values of Khitan Small Scripts and concerning problems].’ *Ritsumeikan bungaku* 立命館文學 627: 100–128. (Online version: <http://www.ritsumei.ac.jp/acd/cg/lt/rb/627/627PDF/yosimoto.pdf>, last access: 10 December 2018.)
- APATÓCZKY, Ákos Bertalan and Béla KEMPF 2017. ‘Recent developments on the decipherment of the Khitan Small Script.’ *AOH* 70/2: 109–133.
- BAXTER, William Hubbard 1992. *A Handbook of Old Chinese Phonology*. Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- CHINGGELTEI 2002. ‘On the Problems of Reading Kitan Characters.’ *AOH* 55/1–3: 99–114.
- (CHINGGELTEI) QINGGEERTAI 清格尔泰, WU Yingzhe 吴英喆 and JIRUHE 吉如何 2017. *Qidan xiaozi zai yanjiu* 契丹小字再研究 I–III. [Further research on Khitan Small Script]. Beijing: Neimenggu Daxue Chubanshe.
- GOLDEN, Peter (ed.) 2000. *The King’s Dictionary. The Rasūlid Hexaglot. Fourteenth Century Vocabularies in Arabic, Persian, Turkic, Greek, Armenian and Mongol*. [Handbuch der Orientalistik. Achte Abteilung, Zentralasien (Book 4).] Leiden, Boston and Köln: Brill.
- ENKHBAT 1984. *Dagur kelen-ü üges* [Dagur–Chinese Dictionary]. Kökekota.
- HAUER, Erich 1952–1955. *Handwörterbuch der Mandschusprache I–III*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- KALUŻYŃSKI, Stanisław 1969–1970. ‘Dagurisches Wörterverzeichnis. Nach F. V. Muromskis handschriftliche Sprachaufzeichnungen.’ *Rocznik Orientalistyczny* 33/1: 103–140, 33/2: 109–143.
- KANE, Daniel 2009. *The Kitan Language and Script*. Leiden and Boston: Brill.
- KARLGREN, Bernhard 1957. *Grammata Serica Recensa*. Stockholm: Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities.
- LESSING, Ferdinand D. (ed.) 1960. *Mongolian–English Dictionary*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

- LIU Pujiang 刘浦江 and KANG Peng 康鹏 (eds.) 2014. *Qidan xiaozi cihui suoyin* 契丹小字词汇索引 [Lexicon and index to the words in Small Khitan (inscriptions)]. Beijing: Zhonghua Shuju.
- MARTIN, Samuel E. 1961. *Dagur Mongolian Grammar, Texts and Lexicon, Based on the Speech of Peter Onon*. Bloomington: Indiana University; The Hague: Mouton & Co.
- NORMAN, Jerry 1967. *A Manchu–English Dictionary*. Taipei: The Liberal Arts Press.
- NUGTEREN, Hans 2011. *Mongolic Phonology and the Qinghai-Gansu Languages*. Utrecht: LOT.
- PULLEYBLANK, Edwin George (ed.) 1991. *Lexicon of Reconstructed Pronunciation in Early Middle Chinese, Late Middle Chinese and Early Mandarin*. Vancouver: UBC Press.
- RACHEWILTZ, Igor de 1972. *Index to the Secret History of the Mongols*. [Uralic and Altaic Series, vol. 121.] Bloomington: Indiana University.
- RACHEWILTZ, Igor de 2004. The Secret History of the Mongols. *A Mongolian Epic Chronicle of the Thirteenth Century*. Vols. 1–2. [Brill's Inner Asian Library, vol. 7.] Leiden and Boston: Brill.
- RÓNA-TAS, András 2014. *Tibeto-Mongolica Revisited, with a New Introduction and Selected Papers on Tibetan Linguistics*. Leiden and Boston: Brill.
- RÓNA-TAS, András 2016. 'Khitan Studies I. The Graphs of the Khitan Small Script. 1. General Remarks, Dottes, Graphs, Numerals.' *AOH* 69/2: 117–138.
- RÓNA-TAS, András 2017. 'Khitan Studies I. The Graphs of the Khitan Small Script. 2. The Vowels.' *AOH* 70/2: 135–188.
- RÓNA-TAS, András and Árpád BERTA 2011. *West Old Turkic. Turkic Loanwords in Hungarian* I–II. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SHIMUNEK, Andrew 2011. 'Review of Daniel Kane: *The Kitan Language and Script*. Leiden, Brill, 2009.' *AOH* 64/1: 101–111.
- SHIMUNEK, Andrew 2014. 'A New Decipherment and Linguistic Reconstruction of the Kitan–Chinese Bilingual Inscription of 1134 A.D.' *AOH* 67/1: 97–118.
- SHIMUNEK, Andrew 2017. *Languages of Ancient Southern Mongolia and North China*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SMEDT, A. de and A. MOSTAERT 1933. *La dialecte Monguor parlé par les Mongols du Kansou Occidentale, III^e partie: Dictionnaire monguor–français*. Pei'ping.
- SUN, Bojun, Yongshi JING and Yang LI 2010. 'Preliminary Proposal for Encoding Khitan Characters in UCS. (ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/N3820. 2010-04-15.)' (Online access: <http://std.dkuug.dk/jtc1/sc2/wg2/Docs/n3820.pdf>, last access: 5 Febr. 2019.)
- SVANTESSON, Jan-Olof *et al.* 2005. *The Phonology of Mongolian*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- WITTFOGEL, Karl and FÈNG Chia-shêng 1949. *History of Chinese Society: Liao (907–1125)*. Philadelphia: The American Philosophical Society.
- WU Yingzhe 2012. *Kittan shōji shinhakken shiryō shakudoku mondai* 契丹小字新発見資料釈読問題 [Interpretation problems of the newly discovered Khitan small script materials]. Tokyo: Tōkyō Gaikokugo Daigaku Ajia Afurika Gengo Bunka Kenkyūjo.
- WU Yingzhe and Juha JANHUNEN 2010. *New Materials on the Khitan Small Script, A Critical Edition of Xiao Dilu and Yelü Xiangwen*. Folkestone: Global Oriental.
- WU Yingzhe, JIRUHE and Daruhan PENG 2017. 'Interpretation of the Epitaph of Changgun Yelü Zhun of Great Liao in Khitan Large Script.' *AOH* 70/2: 217–251.

APPENDIX

Concordance Tables of the Khitan Inscriptions in the Khitan Small Script

Remarks: Lately different numbers, sigla and short titles were given to different Khitan texts written with the Khitan Small or Assembled Script. To avoid further chaos, the Khitan Research Group of the Chinese People's Republic has standardised the Sigla for each major or greater inscription (1–44) and for each minor or smaller inscription (S1–17). In the following table the standardised Chinese sigla are occurring in two forms, in Traditional Chinese (Ch) and in Simplified Chinese (SCh). The Latin form of the sigla and the standard number is followed by the traditional and the simplified forms of the Chinese sigla, then follows, where known, the date of the inscription, the short name given by Apatóczy and Kempf (A–K, 2017) and Shimunek (Sh, 2017), the number given by Kane (K, 2009), by Wu and Janhunén (WJ, 2010), by Wu (Wu, 2012) and by Liu Pujiang and Kang Peng (LK, 2014).

The Major Khitan Inscriptions in the Khitan Small Script

In the Latin alphabetical order of the Sigla

Sigla	No.	Ch	SCh	Date	Short name A–K	Sigla/Sh	K	WJ	Wu	LK
Cha	39	查	查	1113	Xiao Chala				39	
Chao+gai	27	抄+蓋	抄+盖	1082	Cite/Wuluben		2	23	28	21
Da	43	大	大	1073	Gu Taishi					
Dao+gai ⁷⁷	4	道+蓋	道+盖	1101	Daozong	Tao	3	4	04	3
Di	21	迪	迪	1101	Yelü Han	Hti	10	19	21	23
DiX	33	敵	敌	1114	Xiao Dilu	HsTi		33	33	
Gao	20	高	高	unknown	Gaoshi	Kao	6	15	20	25
Gu	9	故	故	1115	Gu Yelü	Ku	7	8	09	7
GuD	28	姑	姑	1102	Diligu	Kuei	8	29	27	29
Guang	12	廣	广	1053	Zongjiao	Chiao	32	11	12	11
Hai	11	海	海	unknown	Hai tangshan	Hai	9	12	11	12
Han	26	韓	韩	1078	Temei/Hanshi		21	32	26	32
Hong	14	弘	弘	1100	Honyong	Yung	11	18	15	17
Hu	35	胡	胡	1091	Hudujin	HsHu			35	

⁷⁷ *gai* 盖 ‘canopy’.

Sigla	No.	Ch	SCh	Date	Short name A–K	Sigla/Sh	K	WJ	Wu	LK
Hui+gai	38	回+蓋	回+盖	1080	Xiao Huilian				38 KC, KL	
Jue	37	玦	玦	1071	Yelü Jue	YChüeh			36 JU	
Lang	3	郎	郎	1134	Langjun	Lang	12	3	03	8
Liang	31	梁	梁	1107	Liang guowang		13	28	31	28
Ling	6	令	令	1057(?)	Xiao Lingjong		31	7	06	5
Nan	16	南	南	1092	Dilie	YTi	4	20	18	16
Nu	17	奴	奴	1099	Yelü Nu		15	25	20	24
Pu+gai	36	蒲+蓋	蒲+盖	1105	Pusuli	YP'u			37 PC. PU.	
Qing	25	清	清	1095	Yongqing		28	30	25	30
Ren	2	仁	仁	1076	Renyi	Jen-i	17	2	02	2
Sa+é ⁷⁸	29	撒+蓋	撒+额	1100	Shilu		18	26	29	26
Shi	40	師	师	1101	Taishi				40	
ShiZh	41	侍	侍	1091	Shizhong				41	
Song+gai	24	宋+蓋	宋+盖	1110	Song Wei	Sung	19	22	24	20
Tai+gai	23	太+蓋	太+盖	1110	Taishuzu	T'ai	20	21	23	19
Tian	44	天	天	1109	Tianni					
Tu	22	圖	图	1068	Tuguci		22	27	22	27
Wo	42	斡	斡	1099	Wotelan					
Wu	30	兀	兀	1102	Fubushu	YFu	5	27	30	18
Xian+gai	32	顯+蓋	显+盖	1175	Xiao Jushi			31	32	31
Xiang	34	詳	详	1091	Xiang Wen	YHsiang		34	34	
Xing	1	興	兴	1055	Xingzong	Hsing	23	1	01	1
Xu+gai	8	許+蓋	许+盖	1105	Xuguo	Xsü	24	9	08	6
Xuan+gai	5	宣+蓋	宣+盖	1101	Xuanyi	Hsüan	25	5	05	4
Yong	19	永	永	1088	Yogning		27	16	19	15
Yu	10	於	于	1072	Renxian	Jen-hsien	16	10	10	10
Zhen	13	鎮	镇	1170	Zhenguo	Chen	1	13	13	13
Zhi	18	智	智	1094	Zhixian		30	24	25	22
Zhong+gai	7	仲+蓋	仲+盖	1150	Xiao Zhong-gong	Chung	31	6	07	9
Zhuo	15	涿	涿	1108	Cishi		29	14	14	14

⁷⁸ é = 額 'front piece'.

The Minor Khitan Inscriptions in the Khitan Small Script

In the Latin alphabetical order of the Sigla, “S” for “Small”

Sigla	No.	Ch	SCh	Date	Sigla/Sh	Description
BaoS	S4	寶	宝	unknown		Inscription on the back of a Baodi Mirror
Ba erS	S14	巴二	巴三	unknown		Writings on the wall of the Bar Hada Cave II
Ba sanS	S15	巴三	巴三	unknown		Writings on the wall of the Bar Hada Cave III
Ba yiS	S13	巴一	巴一	unknown		Writings on the wall of Bar Hada Cave I
GuoS	S2	槲	榑	unknown		Writings on a Muguo Fresco
QingS	S1	慶	庆	unknown		Writings on a Qinling Fresco
ShouS	S7	壽	寿	unknown		Inscription on a Shouchang Coin
Ta erS	S12	塔二	塔二	unknown		Writings on the wall of the Wan Bu Hua Yan Jing Pagoda II
Ta yiS	S11	塔一	塔一	unknown		Writings on the wall of the Wan Bu Hua Yan Jing Pagoda I
WanS	S5	完	完	unknown		Inscription on the back of a Wanyantong bronze mirror
YanS	S10	硯	砚	unknown		Writings on a tricoloured glazed inkstone and a yellow glazed writing-brush washer
YuS	S6	玉	玉	unknown		Inscription on the Bottom of a jade cup
Yu erS	S9	魚二	魚二	unknown		Inscription on a fish-shaped tally (II)
Yu yiS	S8	魚一	魚一	unknown	Sh17: Yü1	Inscription on a fish-shaped tally (I)
YuanS	S3	圓	圓	unknown		Inscription on the back of a round bronze Mirror
WuS	S16	烏	乌	unknown		Writings on the cliff of Ulaanhada
YeS	S17	葉	叶	unknown		Damaged epitaph found in Yemaotai

