



SEIBERG–WITTEN–LIKE EQUATIONS ON THE STRICTLY–PSEUDOCONVEX CR 7–MANIFOLDS

SERHAN EKER

Received 31 March, 2018

Abstract. In this paper, Seiberg–Witten–like equations are constructed on 7–manifolds endowed with G_2 –structure, lifted by $SU(3)$ –structure. Then a global solution is obtained on the strictly–Pseudoconvex CR 7–manifolds for a given negative and constant scalar curvature.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 15A66, 58Jxx

Keywords: self-duality, Seiberg-Witten equations, spinor.

1. INTRODUCTION

The exceptional Lie group G_2 is the automorphisms group of the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} which is a subgroup of $SO(7)$. A manifold whose structure group is G_2 is called a G_2 –manifold. G_2 –manifolds have been studied in terms of the covariant derivation of the fundamental 3-form and the parallelism of this form with respect to the Levi–Civita connection [5, 12, 14]. In addition to this, compact G_2 manifolds are currently being studied [4, 11, 17–19].

A 7–manifold M equipped with G_2 –structure is a Riemannian manifold whose structure group is a reduction of the tangent bundle from $Gl(7, \mathbb{R})$ to the subgroup G_2 , which is also a subgroup of $SO(7)$. This implies that the 7–dimensional manifold equipped with G_2 –structure is an orientable Riemannian manifold. Also G_2 –structure on the 7–manifolds determines a non–degenerate global three form Φ on M and G_2 –structure is the stabiliser of Φ . The action of G_2 on the tangent bundle induces an action of G_2 on $\Lambda^2(M)$ and gives the following orthogonal decomposition of $\Lambda^2(M)$:

$$\Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda_7^2(M) \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2(M)$$

where

$$\Lambda_7^2(M) = \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(M) \mid *(\beta \wedge \Phi) = -2\beta\},$$

$$\Lambda_{14}^2(M) = \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(M) \mid *(\beta \wedge \Phi) = \beta\}$$

and $*$ is the Hodge star operator [3]. These two decompositions are used to define self–duality and anti self–duality concept on G_2 –manifolds [9].

On a 6-manifold N equipped with $SU(3)$ -structure, $SU(3)$ -structure acts on the tangent bundle and thus it induces an action of $SU(3)$ -structure on the space of two-forms $\Lambda^2(N)$. According to this $SU(3)$ -structure is the stabiliser in $SO(6)$ of a non-degenerate 2-form ω and a normalized 3-form Ψ . Then, by using ω one can obtain the following decomposition:

$$\Lambda^2(N) = \Lambda_1^2(N) \oplus \Lambda_6^2(N) \oplus \Lambda_8^2(N)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1^2(N) &= \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(N) \mid *(\beta \wedge \omega) = 2\beta\}, \\ \Lambda_6^2(N) &= \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(N) \mid *(\beta \wedge \omega) = \beta\}, \\ \Lambda_8^2(N) &= \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(N) \mid *(\beta \wedge \omega) = -\beta\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let N be a subset of M endowed with $SU(3)$ -structure. The relation between $SU(3)$ and G_2 -structure is given by the inclusion $SU(3) \subset G_2$ -structure. This inclusion is characterized by the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^6 \oplus \alpha\mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha = e^7$ annihilates \mathbb{R}^6 at each point.

Then, a non-degenerate 3-form Φ , determined by G_2 -structure on a 7-manifold M , is described by

$$\Phi = \omega \wedge \alpha + \Psi_+ \quad (1.2)$$

where Ψ_+ is the real part of a normalized 3-form Ψ [6, 15, 22]. This implies that $\omega \wedge \alpha$ determines $SU(3)$ -structure on G_2 -manifolds [6]. In the following, Seiberg–Witten equations are briefly reminded.

Seiberg–Witten equations were defined firstly by Witten on any smooth 4-manifold [23]. The solutions of these equations play an important role in the topology of 4-manifolds. Later on, Seiberg–Witten equations have been investigated in higher dimensional manifolds by several authors [7, 9, 16]. In 7-dimension, Seiberg–Witten equations are defined on the manifolds equipped with G_2 -structure by Degirmenci and Ozdemir[9]. In their study they gave a local non-trivial solution to these equations on \mathbb{R}^7 . In this paper we extend this solution to a global one on the strictly pseudoconvex CR 7-manifolds for a given negative and constant scalar curvature. Since G_2 -structure is lifted by $SU(3)$ -structure, it has a non degenerate 2-form which is the stabilizer of $SU(3)$ -structure. According to this, if wedge product of α is taken by the stabilizer of $SU(3)$ -structure, one gets 3-form which is also stabilizer of $SU(3)$. By using this 3-form, one can decompose the space of 2-form. According to this decomposition, self-duality concept can be defined.

This paper is organized as follows. At first, some basic facts concerning $SU(3)$ -structures contained in G_2 -structure is introduced. In section 2, the space of two-forms $\Omega^2(M)$ is decomposed by considering induced $SU(3)$ -structure. Then the

space of self–dual two–forms is defined. In section 3, Seiberg–Witten–like equations is defined on the 7–manifold endowed with G_2 –structure lifted by an $SU(3)$ –structure. Finally, we give a global solution to these equations on the strictly–Pseudoconvex CR 7–manifolds for a given negative and constant scalar curvature.

2. $SU(3)$ –STRUCTURE ON 7–DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

Let us consider \mathbb{R}^7 with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ and its metric dual $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$. An inclusion of $SU(3)$ –structure into G_2 –structure is defined and characterised by the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^6 \oplus \alpha\mathbb{R}$ where α annihilates \mathbb{R}^6 at each point.

Definition 1. On the 7–manifold M , an $SU(3)$ –structure is a triple $(\alpha, \omega, \Psi) \in \Omega^1(M) \times \Omega^2(M) \times \Omega^3(M, \mathbb{C})$ with model tensor

$$(\alpha, \omega, \Psi) := (e^7, e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}, e_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \wedge e_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \wedge e_{\mathbb{C}}^3) \in (\mathbb{R}^7)^* \times \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^7)^* \times \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$$

where $e_{\mathbb{C}}^j := e^{2j-1} - ie^{2j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, 3$ and $e^{ij} = e^i \wedge e^j$.

By setting $\Psi_+ := \operatorname{Re}(\Psi)$ and $\Psi_- := \operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$, the complex–valued $(3, 0)$ form Ψ can be written as $\Psi := \Psi_+ + i\Psi_-$ [6].

On the 6–dimensional manifold N , an $SU(3)$ –structure (α, ω, Ψ) can be lifted to G_2 –structure, which is the holonomy group of the 7–dimensional manifold M , as follows [15]:

$$\Phi = \omega \wedge \alpha + \Psi_+.$$

According to this, there is a natural 6–dimensional distribution $H := TN = \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ and complementary 1–dimensional distribution $\operatorname{Ker} \omega$. Moreover, the Reeb vector field ξ of (α, ω, Ψ) $SU(3)$ –structure is the section of the vector bundle $H \subset TM$ with $\alpha(\xi) = 1$.

Then we have an almost Hermitian structure (g, J_H) on H with respect to $SU(3)$ –structure. Since $J_H^2 = -I_d$, the following eigenspaces decomposition can be given by:

$$\Lambda_H^1(M) = H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \Lambda_H^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,1}(M)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_H^{1,0}(M) &= \{Z \in H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \mid J_H Z = iZ\}, \\ \Lambda_H^{0,1}(M) &= \{Z \in H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \mid J_H Z = -iZ\}. \end{aligned}$$

The complexification of $\Lambda_H^s(M)$ is decomposed as follows

$$\Lambda_H^s(M) = \sum_{q+r=s} \Lambda_H^{q,r}(M),$$

where $\Lambda^{q,r}(M)_H = \operatorname{span}\{u \wedge v \mid u \in \Lambda^q(\Lambda_H^{1,0}(M)), v \in \Lambda^r(\Lambda_H^{0,1}(M))\}$.

The endomorphism map J_H on M induces an endomorphism on $\Lambda_H^s(M)$ and satisfies the identity $J_H^2 = (-1)^r I_d$. The natural action of J_H on 2-form θ is given by

$$J_H \theta(V, W) = \theta(J_H V, J_H W).$$

Then, the following is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_H^{1,1}(M) &= \{\theta \in \Lambda_H^2(M) \mid J_H \theta = \theta\}, \\ \Lambda_H^{2,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,2}(M) &= \{\theta \in \Lambda_H^2(M) \mid J_H \theta = -\theta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(H, d\alpha|_H)$ is a symplectic vector bundle equipped with an almost complex structure J_H on M , an almost contact structure can be defined by extending J_H to an endomorphism J of the tangent bundle TM by setting $J\xi = 0$. In that case, an almost contact structure on TM is given as

$$J^2 = -Id + \alpha \otimes \xi.$$

Moreover, A contact manifold (M, α) endowed with an almost contact structure can be endowed by the Riemannian metric g_α on TM such that

$$g_\alpha(V, W) = d\alpha(V, JW) + \alpha(V)\alpha(W)$$

for any $V, W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

After that we denoted contact metric manifold by $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$. On the contact metric manifold $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$, the generalized Webster-Tanaka connection is given by :

$$\nabla_V^T W = \nabla_V W - (\nabla_V \alpha)(W)\xi - \alpha(V)\nabla_W \xi - \alpha(V)\alpha(W),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and $V, W \in \chi(M)$ [21]. Webster-Tanaka connection satisfies the condition $\nabla^T \alpha = 0$ and $\nabla^T g_\alpha = 0$. Also, if $\nabla^T J = 0$, then $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$ is called strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold [20].

3. SELF-DUAL 2-FORMS ON THE CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION 7

Let $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$ be a 7-dimensional contact metric manifold endowed with G_2 -structure which is lifted by $SU(3)$ -structure. Then any 2-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(M)$ splits into $\eta = \eta_H + \eta_\xi$, where $\eta_H = \eta \circ \pi$, $\pi : TM \rightarrow H$ is the canonical projection and $\eta_\xi = \eta \wedge \iota(\xi)\eta$ where ι is the contraction operator. In addition, if $\iota(\xi)\eta = 0$, then η is called a horizontal 2-form. Also, $\Omega^2(M)$ can be decomposed with respect to the bundles of horizontal forms $\Omega_H^2(M)$ and $\Omega_H^1(M)$, as [10]

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega_H^2(M) \oplus \alpha \wedge \Omega_H^1(M).$$

Let $\Phi = \omega \wedge \alpha + \Psi_+$ be a fundamental 3-form induced by $SU(3)$ -structure whose stabilizer is G_2 . In an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\} i = 1, \dots, 7$, the fundamental 3-form Φ is described as

$$\Phi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}$$

where $e^{ijk} = e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$.

Φ defines T_Φ duality operator on G_2 –manifold as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_\Phi : \Omega^2(TM) &\longrightarrow \Omega^2(TM) \\ \beta &\longmapsto T_\Phi(\beta) := *(\Phi \wedge \beta) \end{aligned}$$

with 7 and 14 dimensional eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues 2 and -1 , respectively [3].

Let consider the 3–form $\Phi'_\omega = \omega \wedge \alpha$ which is the stabilizer of the $SU(3)$ –structure contained in G_2 –structure. By considering induced $SU(3)$ structure corresponding with 3–form $\Phi'_\omega = \omega \wedge \alpha$ one can obtain another decomposition of $\Omega^2(M)$ [6]. In an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$, the fundamental 3–form Φ'_ω can be written as:

$$\Phi'_\omega = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567}.$$

Also Φ'_ω defines $T_{\Phi'}$ duality operator on 2–forms as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Phi'} : \Omega^2(TM) &\longrightarrow \Omega^2(TM) \\ \beta &\longmapsto T_{\Phi'}(\beta) := *(\Phi' \wedge \beta) \end{aligned}$$

with 1, 6, 6 and 8 dimensional eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues 2, 1, 0 and -1 , respectively. A basis consisting of the corresponding eigenvalues is given below:

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega^2_H(M) \oplus \alpha \wedge \Omega^1_H(M).$$

Eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 2:

$$\omega = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^5 \wedge e^6. \quad (3.1)$$

Eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue 1:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4 & a_2 &= e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3 \\ a_3 &= -e^1 \wedge e^5 + e^2 \wedge e^6 & a_4 &= e^1 \wedge e^6 + e^2 \wedge e^5 \\ a_5 &= -e^3 \wedge e^5 + e^4 \wedge e^6 & a_6 &= e^3 \wedge e^6 + e^4 \wedge e^5. \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue -1 :

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 & b_2 &= -e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^5 \wedge e^6 \\ b_3 &= e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4 & b_4 &= -e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3 \\ b_5 &= e^1 \wedge e^5 + e^2 \wedge e^6 & b_6 &= -e^1 \wedge e^6 + e^2 \wedge e^5 \\ b_7 &= e^3 \wedge e^5 + e^4 \wedge e^6 & b_8 &= -e^3 \wedge e^6 + e^4 \wedge e^5 \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue 0:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= e^1 \wedge e^7 & c_2 &= e^2 \wedge e^7 \\ c_3 &= e^3 \wedge e^7 & c_4 &= e^4 \wedge e^7 \\ c_5 &= e^5 \wedge e^7 & c_6 &= e^6 \wedge e^7 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the natural action of $SU(3)$ -structure on the space of *two*-forms $\Omega_H^2(M)$, the following orthogonal eigenspace decomposition is obtained [2].

$$\Omega_H^2(M) = \Omega_H^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Omega_H^{2,6}(M) \oplus \Omega_H^{2,8}(M)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_H^{2,1}(M) &= \{k\omega : k \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \Omega_H^{2,6}(M) &= \{\theta \in \Omega_H^2(M) : J\theta = -\theta\}, \\ \Omega_H^{2,8}(M) &= \{\theta \in \Omega_H^2(M) : J\theta = \theta \text{ and } \theta \wedge \omega \wedge \omega = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By complexifying the space of *two*-forms $\Omega_H^2(M)$, we get the following:

$$\Omega_H^2(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}\omega \oplus (\Omega_H^{2,8}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \oplus \Omega_H^{2,6}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}.$$

The space $\Omega_H^2(M)^+ = \mathbb{C}\omega \oplus (\Omega_H^{2,6}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ is called as the space of self-dual *two*-forms. Similarly, the space $\Omega_H^2(M)^-$ is called the space of anti self-dual *two*-forms [24]. Locally, we can express the space of self-dual 2-forms relative to Φ'_ω by $\{\omega, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6\}$.

4. DIRAC OPERATOR ON THE CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

In this section, we talk about the canonical $Spin^c$ -structure of a contact metric manifold and its spinor bundle with associated connection.

Contact metric manifold is defined by a contact distribution and with its complementary. Since contact distribution has an almost-hermitian structure, the results of it can be extended to a contact metric manifold. Since the structure group of any contact metric manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ is $U(n)$, it admits a canonical $Spin^c$ -structure given by:

$$P_{Spin^c(n)} = P_{U(n)} \times_F Spin^c(n)$$

where $F : U(n) \longrightarrow Spin^c(2n)$ is the lifting map [13, 20]. The associated canonical spinor bundle then has the form:

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Omega^{0,*}(M).$$

where $\Omega^{0,*}(M)$ is the direct sum of $\Omega(M)^{0,1} \oplus \Omega(M)^{0,2} \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega(M)^{0,i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, on this spinor bundle, the Clifford multiplication is given by:

$$V \cdot \psi = \sqrt{2} \left((V_H^{0,1})^* \wedge \psi - \iota(V_H^{0,1})\psi \right) + i(-1)^{deg \psi + 1} \eta(V)\psi. \quad (4.1)$$

where V_H denotes the horizontal part of V . According to these multiplication one can easily obtain $\xi\psi = i(-1)^{\deg\psi+1}\psi$.

As in the almost–Hermitian case, given a metric–connection called Levi–Civita ∇ on TM , there are two ways to include a connection on \mathbb{S} :

The first of these is obtained by the extension of the connection to forms and the latter is obtained via $Spin^c$ –structure. In this work, we mainly focused on the canonical $Spin^c$ –structure with the following isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Omega_H^{0,*}(M).$$

On this bundle, we described Dirac operator defined on \mathbb{S} and we give the relation with the Dirac–type operator defined on $\Omega_H^{0,*}(M)$.

In the case of contact metric manifold endowed with a canonical $Spin^c$ structure, there is a spinorial connection ∇^A on the associated spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ induced by an unitary connection 1–form A on the determinant line bundle L together with the generalized Webster–Tanaka connection ∇^{TW} . Also, on the associated spinor bundle one can describe Dirac operator as follows:

Let $\{e_i\}$ $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ be a local orthonormal frame on H . Then the Kohn–Dirac operator D_H^A is given by:

$$D_H^A = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_i}^A. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, Dirac operator on the $2n + 1$ dimensional contact metric manifold is [20]:

$$D^A = D_H^A + \xi \cdot \nabla_{\xi}^A. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, by considering strictly Pseudoconvex CR manifolds with $\Omega_H^{0,*}(M)$ associated spinor bundle the Dirac type operator is defined as follows

Let

$$\bar{\partial}_H : \Omega_H^{0,r}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega_H^{0,r+1}(M), \quad \bar{\partial}_H^* : \Omega_H^{0,r}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega_H^{0,r-1} \quad (4.4)$$

respectively given by:

$$\bar{\partial}_H = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Z}_i^* \wedge \nabla_{\bar{Z}_i}^{TW}, \quad \bar{\partial}_H^* = - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(\bar{Z}_i)^* \wedge \nabla_{\bar{Z}_i}^{TW}$$

where ∇^{TW} is the extension of the generalized Webster–Tanaka connection to $\Omega_H^{0,*}(M)$ and ι is the contraction operator.

It follows from (4.1) that we have on $\Omega_M^{0,*}(\bar{M})$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{2} \sum_{r=0}^n (\bar{\partial}_H + \bar{\partial}_H^*) + \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^{r+1} \sqrt{-1} \cdot \nabla_{\xi}^{TW}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Omega_H^{0,*}(M)$, (4.3) coincides with (4.5). In this paper we consider the following spinor representation $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(8)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(e_1) &= m_4 \otimes m_1 \otimes m_3, & \kappa(e_3) &= -m_1 \otimes m_3 \otimes m_3, \\ \kappa(e_5) &= -m_3 \otimes m_3 \otimes m_3, & \kappa(e_2) &= I \otimes I \otimes m_2, \\ \kappa(e_4) &= m_4 \otimes m_2 \otimes m_3, & \kappa(e_6) &= -m_2 \otimes m_3 \otimes m_3, \\ \kappa(e_7) &= I \otimes I \otimes m_1,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, m_1 = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}, m_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, m_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, m_4 = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}.$$

In 7–dimension, Seiberg–Witten equations are described by the Dirac equation and Curvature equation. Although, Dirac equation has a common definition on any smooth manifold endowed with $Spin^c$ –structure, the definition of the curvature equation shows some difference with respect to the chosen self–duality concept. In this paper we use the definition given in [9].

An imaginary valued 2–form $\sigma(\psi)$ given by

$$\sigma(\psi)(V, W) = \langle V \cdot W \cdot \psi, \psi \rangle + \langle V, W \rangle |\psi|^2$$

where $V, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Hermitian inner product on the spinor bundle \mathbb{S}^c . The restriction of $\sigma(\psi)$ to H is denoted by $\sigma_H(\psi) := \sigma(\psi)|_H$.

Definition 2. Let M be the 7–manifold endowed with G_2 –structure, lifted by $SU(3)$ –structure. For any unitary connection 1–form A and spinor field $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$, the Seiberg–Witten equations are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}D_A \psi &= 0, \\ F_A^+ &= \frac{1}{4} \sigma(\psi)^+\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

where F_A^+ is the self–dual part of the curvature F_A and $\sigma(\psi)^+$ the self–dual part of the 2–form $\sigma(\psi)$ corresponding with the spinor field $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$.

In the following, the method applied by Ş. Bulut in order to give a global solution is used [8].

5. GLOBAL SOLUTION TO THE SEIBERG–WITTEN–LIKE EQUATIONS ON THE STRICTLY–PSEUDOCONVEX CR 7–MANIFOLDS

Let $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$ be a strictly–Pseudoconvex CR 7–manifold endowed with a canonical $Spin^c$ –structure and $\{e_1, e_2 = J(e_1), e_3, e_4 = J(e_3), e_5, e_6 = J(e_5), \xi\}$ be a local frame with dual basis $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4, e^5, e^6, \alpha\}$. The spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ decomposes into eigensubbundles under the action $d\alpha = e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^5 \wedge e^6$,

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Lambda_H^{0,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,2}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,3}(M).$$

Each $\Lambda_H^{0,0}(M)$, $\Lambda_H^{0,1}(M)$, $\Lambda_H^{0,2}(M)$, $\Lambda_H^{0,3}(M)$ is associated with the eigenvalue $-3i, -i, i, 3i$ with dimension 1, 3, 3, 1. Also $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be described as [8]

$$\mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C},+} \oplus \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C},-}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C},+} &\cong \Lambda_H^{0,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,2}(M), \\ \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{C},-} &\cong \Lambda_H^{0,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,3}(M). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_H^{0,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,2}(M) &\cong S_i^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus S_{-3i}^{\mathbb{C}}, \\ \Lambda_H^{0,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda_H^{0,3}(M) &\cong S_{-i}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus S_{3i}^{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $S_i^{\mathbb{C}} = \{\psi \in \Gamma(S), \omega \cdot \psi = i\psi\}$. Let ψ_0 be the spinor in $S_{-3i}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Lambda_H^{0,0}(M)$ corresponding to constant function 1, in the chosen coordinates

$$\psi_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a result we get $\sigma_H(\psi_0) = -i d\alpha$.

Theorem 1. *Let $(M, g_\alpha, \alpha, J, \xi)$ be a 7–dimensional strictly Pseudoconvex CR manifold. Then, for a given negative and constant scalar curvature s_H , $(A, \psi = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{3}s_H} \psi_0)$ is a solution of the Seiberg–Witten–like equations.*

Proof. Since $\psi = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{3}s_H} \psi_0 \in \Lambda^{0,0}(M)$ and the spinor ψ_0 is a spinor corresponding to the constant function 1, we get $\mathcal{H} + \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^{q+1} \sqrt{-1} \cdot \nabla \xi^T W = 0$. This means $D_A \psi = 0$. Then, only satisfying the second equation is left. The relation between a curvature of the connection 1–form A and a Ricci form ρ_{ric}^H is given as:

$$F_A = Ric = i\rho_{ric}^H$$

where the unitary connection 1-form A induced by means of $\nabla^T W$ in the line bundle $K = \Omega_H^{0,n}(M)$ [1]. Then, by using the definition of the Ricci form ρ_{ric}^H given by

$$\rho_{ric}^H(V, W) = Ric(V, J_H W) = g(V, J_H \circ Ric W)$$

for any $V, W \in \Gamma(TM)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ric}^H &= -R_{11}e_1 \wedge e_2 + R_{14}(e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge e_4) + R_{13}(e_2 \wedge e_3 - e_1 \wedge e_4) \\ &\quad - R_{33}e_3 \wedge e_4 - R_{26}(e_1 \wedge e_5 + e_2 \wedge e_6) + R_{15}(-e_1 \wedge e_6 + e_2 \wedge e_5) \quad (5.1) \\ &\quad + R_{36}(e_3 \wedge e_5 + e_4 \wedge e_6) + R_{35}(-e_3 \wedge e_6 + e_4 \wedge e_5) - R_{55}e_5 \wedge e_6. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating anti-self dual 2-form in (5.1), one has self-dual part of ρ_{ric}^H as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ric}^{H,+} &= \frac{-R_{11} - R_{33} - R_{55}}{3} d\alpha = -\left(\frac{R_{11} + R_{22} + R_{33} + R_{44} + R_{55} + R_{66}}{3} \right) d\alpha \\ &= -\frac{s}{6} d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The following is obtained

$$F_A^+ = Ric^+ = i\rho_{ric}^{H,+} = -i\frac{s_H}{6} d\alpha = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_H(\Psi) = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_H^+(\Psi) = \frac{1}{4}\sigma^+(\psi).$$

As a consequence the pair $(A, \psi = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{3}s_H}\psi_0)$ is a solution of the Seiberg–Witten like equations in (4.6). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Baum, “Lorentzian twistor spinors and cr-geometry,” *arXiv preprint math/9803090*, 1998.
- [2] L. Bedulli and L. Vezzoni, “The ricci tensor of su (3)-manifolds,” *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1125–1146, 2007.
- [3] R. L. Bryant, “Metrics with exceptional holonomy,” *Annals of mathematics*, pp. 525–576, 1987.
- [4] R. L. Bryant, “Some remarks on G_2 -structures.” in *Proceedings of the 11th and 12th Gökova geometry-topology conferences, Gökova, Turkey, May 24–28, 2004 and May 30–June 3, 2005. Dedicated to the memory of Raoul Bott*. Cambridge, MA: International Press, 2006, pp. 75–109.
- [5] R. L. Bryant, S. M. Salamon *et al.*, “On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy,” *Duke mathematical journal*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 829–850, 1989.
- [6] S. Chiossi and S. Salamon, “The intrinsic torsion of su (3) and g 2 structures,” in *Differential Geometry, Valencia 2001*. World Scientific, 2002, pp. 115–133.
- [7] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D. B. Fairlie, and J. Nuyts, “First-order equations for gauge fields in spaces of dimension greater than four,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 214, no. 3, pp. 452–464, 1983.
- [8] N. Değirmenci and Senay Bulut, “Seiberg-Witten-like equations on 6-dimensional $SU(3)$ -manifolds.” *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 23–31, 2015.
- [9] N. Değirmenci and N. Özdemir, “Seiberg-Witten-like equations on 7-manifolds with G_2 -structure.” *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 457–461, 2005, doi: [10.2991/jnmp.2005.12.4.1](https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2005.12.4.1).
- [10] H. Fan, “Half de Rham complexes and line fields on odd-dimensional manifolds.” *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 348, no. 8, pp. 2947–2982, 1996, doi: [10.1090/S0002-9947-96-01661-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-96-01661-3).

- [11] M. Fernández *et al.*, “An example of a compact calibrated manifold associated with the exceptional lie group g_2 ,” *Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 367–370, 1987.
- [12] M. Fernández and A. Gray, “Riemannian manifolds with structure group g_2 ,” *Annali di matematica pura ed applicata*, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 19–45, 1982.
- [13] T. Friedrich, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*. American Mathematical Soc., 2000, vol. 25.
- [14] A. Gray, “Vector cross products on manifolds,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 141, pp. 465–504, 1969.
- [15] N. Hitchin, “Stable forms and special metrics,” *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 288, pp. 70–89, 2001.
- [16] A. Hü, T. Dereli, Ş. Koçak *et al.*, “Monopole equations on 8-manifolds with spin (7) holonomy,” *Communications in mathematical physics*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 21–30, 1999.
- [17] D. Joyce, “Compact riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy g_2 . i,” *Journal of differential geometry*, vol. 43, pp. 291–328, 1996.
- [18] D. D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2000.
- [19] A. Kovalev, “Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy.” *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 565, pp. 125–160, 2003, doi: [10.1515/crll.2003.097](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2003.097).
- [20] R. Petit, “Spinc-structures and dirac operators on contact manifolds,” vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 229–252, 2005.
- [21] S. Tanno, “Variational problems on contact riemannian manifolds,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical society*, vol. 314, no. 1, pp. 349–379, 1989.
- [22] F. Witt, “Special metric structures and closed forms,” *arXiv preprint math/0502443*, 2005.
- [23] E. Witten, “Monopoles and four-manifolds,” *arXiv preprint hep-th/9411102*, 1994.
- [24] F. Xu, “On instantons on nearly kahler 6-manifolds,” *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 535–568, 2009.

Author’s address

Serhan Eker

Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Department of Mathematics Ağrı, TURKEY

E-mail address: srhaneker@gmail.com