On aggregation of subcritical Galton–Watson branching processes with regularly varying immigration

Mátyás Barczy*,◇, Fanni K. Nedényi*, Gyula Pap**

* MTA-SZTE Analysis and Stochastics Research Group, Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H–6720 Szeged, Hungary.

** Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H–6720 Szeged, Hungary.

e–mails: barczy@math.u-szeged.hu (M. Barczy), nfanni@math.u-szeged.hu (F. K. Nedényi).

◇ Corresponding author.

Abstract

We study an iterated temporal and contemporaneous aggregation of N independent copies of a strongly stationary subcritical Galton–Watson branching process with regularly varying immigration having index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Limits of finite dimensional distributions of appropriately centered and scaled aggregated partial sum processes are shown to exist when first taking the limit as $N \to \infty$ and then the time scale $n \to \infty$. The limit process is an α-stable process if $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$, and a deterministic line with slope 1 if $\alpha = 1$.

1 Introduction

The field of temporal and contemporaneous (also called cross-sectional) aggregations of independent stationary stochastic processes is an important and very active research area in the empirical and theoretical statistics and in other areas as well. Robinson [26] and Granger [9] started to investigate the scheme of contemporaneous aggregation of random-coefficient autoregressive processes of order 1 in order to obtain the long memory phenomenon in aggregated time series. For surveys on aggregation of different kinds of stochastic processes, see, e.g., Pilipauskaitė and Surgailis [19], Jirak [12, page 512] or the arXiv version of Barczy et al. [3].

Recently, Puplinskaitė and Surgailis [21, 22] studied iterated aggregation of random coefficient autoregressive processes of order 1 with common innovations and with so-called idiosyncratic innovations, respectively, belonging to the domain of attraction of an α-stable law. Limits

of finite dimensional distributions of appropriately centered and scaled aggregated partial sum processes are shown to exist when first the number of copies $N \to \infty$ and then the time scale $n \to \infty$. Very recently, Pilipauskaitė et al. [18] extended the results of Puplinskaitė and Surgailis [22] (idiosyncratic case) deriving limits of finite dimensional distributions of appropriately centered and scaled aggregated partial sum processes when first the time scale $n \to \infty$ and then the number of copies $N \to \infty$, and when $n \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ simultaneously with possibly different rates.

The above listed references are all about aggregation procedures for times series, mainly for randomized autoregressive processes. According to our knowledge this question has not been studied before in the literature. The present paper investigates aggregation schemes for some branching processes with low moment condition. Branching processes, especially Galton–Watson branching processes with immigration, have attracted a lot of attention due to the fact that they are widely used in mathematical biology for modelling the growth of a population in time. In Barczy et al. [4], we started to investigate the limit behavior of temporal and contemporaneous aggregations of independent copies of a stationary multitype Galton–Watson branching process with immigration under third order moment conditions on the offspring and immigration distributions in the iterated and simultaneous cases as well. In both cases, the limit process is a zero mean Brownian motion with the same covariance function. As of 2020, modeling the COVID-19 contamination of the population of a certain region or country is of great importance. Multitype Galton–Watson processes with immigration have been frequently used to model the spreading of a number of diseases, and they can be applied for this new disease as well. For example, Yanev et al. [29] applied a two-type Galton–Watson process with immigration to model the number of detected, COVID-19-infected and undetected, COVID-19-infected people in a population. The temporal and contemporaneous aggregation of the first coordinate process of the two-type branching process in question would mean the total number of detected, infected people up to some given time point across several regions.

In this paper we study the limit behavior of temporal and contemporaneous aggregations of independent copies of a strongly stationary Galton–Watson branching process $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ with regularly varying immigration having index in $(0, 2)$ (yielding infinite variance) in an iterated, idiosyncratic case, namely, when first the number of copies $N \to \infty$ and then the time scale $n \to \infty$. Our results are analogous to those of Puplinskaitė and Surgailis [22].

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, first we collect our assumptions that are valid for the whole paper, namely, we consider a sequence of independent copies of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ such that the expectation of the offspring distribution is less than 1 (so-called subcritical case). In case of $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, we additionally suppose the finiteness of the second moment of the offspring distribution. Under our assumptions, by Basrak et al. [5, Theorem 2.1.1] (see also Theorem E.1), the unique stationary distribution of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ is also regularly varying with the same index α.

In Theorem 2.1, we show that the appropriately centered and scaled partial sum process of finite segments of independent copies of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ converges to an α-stable process. The

2

characteristic function of the α-stable limit process is given explicitly as well. In Remarks 2.2 and 2.3, we collect some properties of the α-stable limit process in question, such as the support of its Lévy measure. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on a slight modification of Theorem 7.1 in Resnick [25], namely, on a result of weak convergence of partial sum processes towards Lévy processes, see Theorem D.1, where we consider a different centering. In the course of the proof of Theorem 2.1 one needs to verify that the so-called limit measures of finite segments of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ are in fact Lévy measures. We determine these limit measures explicitly (see part (i) of Proposition E.3) applying an expression for the so-called tail measure of a strongly stationary regularly varying sequence based on the corresponding (whole) spectral tail process given in Planinić and Soulier [20, Theorem 3.1].

While the centering in Theorem 2.1 is the so-called truncated mean, in Corollary 2.4 we consider no-centering if $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and centering with the mean if $\alpha \in (1,2)$. In both cases the limit process is an α-stable process, the same one as in Theorem 2.1 plus some deterministic drift depending on α. Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 together yield the weak convergence of finite dimensional distributions of appropriately centered and scaled contemporaneous aggregations of independent copies of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ towards the corresponding finite dimensional distributions of a strongly stationary, subcritical autoregressive process of order 1 with α-stable innovations as the number of copies tends to infinity, see Corollary 2.7 and Proposition 2.6.

Theorem 2.8 contains our main result, namely, we determine the weak limit of appropriately centered and scaled finite dimensional distributions of temporal and contemporaneous aggregations of independent copies of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$, where the limit is taken in a way that first the number of copies tends to infinity and then the time corresponding to temporal aggregation tends to infinity. It turns out that the limit process is an α-stable process if $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$, and a deterministic line with slope 1 if $\alpha = 1$. We consider different kinds of centerings, and we give the explicit characteristic function of the limit process as well. In Remark 2.9, we rewrite this characteristic function in case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in terms of the spectral tail process of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$.

We close the paper with five appendices. In Appendix A we recall a version of the continuous mapping theorem due to Kallenberg [14, Theorem 3.27]. Appendix B is devoted to some properties of the underlying punctured space $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and vague convergence. In Appendix C we recall the notion of a regularly varying random vector and its limit measure, and, in Proposition C.10, the limit measure of an appropriate positively homogeneous real-valued function of a regularly varying random vector. In Appendix D we formulate a result on weak convergence of partial sum processes towards Lévy processes by slightly modifying Theorem 7.1 in Resnick [25] with a different centering. In the end, we recall a result on the tail behavior and forward tail process of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$ due to Basrak et al. [5], and we determine the limit measures of finite segments of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$, see Appendix E.

Finally, we summarize the novelties of the paper. According to our knowledge, studying aggregation of regularly varying Galton–Watson branching processes with immigration has not

3

been considered before. In the proofs we make use of the explicit form of the (whole) spectral tail process and a very recent result of Planinić and Soulier [20, Theorem 3.1] about the tail measure of strongly stationary sequences. We explicitly determine the limit measures of finite segments of $(X_k)_{k \geqslant 0}$, see part (i) of Proposition E.3.

In a companion paper, we will study the other iterated, idiosyncratic aggregation scheme, namely, when first the time scale $n \to \infty$ and then the number of copies $N \to \infty$.

2 Main results

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbb{R}_{++}$, $\mathbb{R}_-$, $\mathbb{R}_{--}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of non-negative integers, positive integers, rational numbers, real numbers, non-negative real numbers, positive real numbers, non-positive real numbers, negative real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural basis in $\mathbb{R}^d$ will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_d$. Put $\boldsymbol{1}_d := (1, \ldots, 1)^\top$ and $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = 1\}$, where $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ the Borel σ-field of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\widehat{\mu}$ will denote its characteristic function, i.e., $\widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle} \mu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})$ for $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Convergence in distributions and almost sure convergence of random variables, and weak convergence of probability measures will be denoted by $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$, $\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ and $\xrightarrow{w}$, respectively. Equality in distribution will be denoted by $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}$. We will use $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f}$ or $\mathcal{D}_f$-lim for weak convergence of finite dimensional distributions. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *càdlàg* if it is right continuous with left limits. Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued càdlàg and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d))$ denote the Borel σ-algebra on $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for the metric defined in Chapter VI, (1.26) of Jacod and Shiryaev [10]. With this metric $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a complete and separable metric space and the topology induced by this metric is the so-called Skorokhod topology. For $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued stochastic processes $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_t^{(n)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with càdlàg paths we write $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$ as $n \to \infty$ if the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}^{(n)}$ on the space $(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)))$ converges weakly to the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$ on the space $(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)))$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a Galton–Watson branching process with immigration. For each $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the number of individuals in the kth generation will be denoted by X_k, the number of offsprings produced by the jth individual belonging to the $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ generation will be denoted by $\xi_{k,j}$, and the number of immigrants in the kth generation will be denoted by ε_k. Then we have

$$X_k = \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1}} \xi_{k,j} + \varepsilon_k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we define $\sum_{j=1}^0 := 0$. Here $\{X_0, \xi_{k,j}, \varepsilon_k : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are supposed to be independent non-negative integer-valued random variables. Moreover, $\{\xi_{k,j} : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are supposed to consist of identically distributed random variables, respectively. For notational convenience, let ξ and ε be independent random variables such that $\xi \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \xi_{1,1}$ and $\varepsilon \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \varepsilon_1$.

4

If $m_\xi := \mathbb{E}(\xi) \in [0,1)$ and $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \log(\ell)\,\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = \ell) < \infty$, then the Markov chain $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ admits a unique stationary distribution π, see, e.g., Quine [23]. Note that if $m_\xi \in [0,1)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 0) = 1$, then $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \log(\ell)\,\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = \ell) = 0$ and π is the Dirac measure δ_0 concentrated at the point 0. In fact, $\pi = \delta_0$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 0) = 1$. Moreover, if $m_\xi = 0$ (which is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = 1$), then π is the distribution of ε.

In what follows, we formulate our assumptions valid for the whole paper. We assume that $m_\xi \in [0,1)$ (so-called subcritical case) and ε is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon > qx)}{\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon > x)} = q^{-\alpha} \qquad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 0) < 1$ and $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \log(\ell)\,\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = \ell) < \infty$, see, e.g., Barczy et al. [2, Lemma E.5], hence the Markov process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ admits a unique stationary distribution π. We suppose that $X_0 \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \pi$, yielding that the Markov chain $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is strongly stationary. In case of $\alpha \in [1,2)$, we suppose additionally that $\mathbb{E}(\xi^2) < \infty$. By Basrak et al. [5, Theorem 2.1.1] (see also Theorem E.1), X_0 is regularly varying with index α, yielding the existence of a sequence $(a_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ with $N\,\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$, see, e.g., Lemma C.5. Let us fix an arbitrary sequence $(a_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ with this property. In fact, $a_N = N^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}L(N)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for some slowly varying continuous function $L : \mathbb{R}_{++} \to \mathbb{R}_{++}$, see, e.g., Araujo and Giné [1, Exercise 6 on page 90]. Let $X^{(j)} = (X_k^{(j)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of independent copies of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. We mention that we consider so-called idiosyncratic immigrations, i.e., the immigrations $(\varepsilon_k^{(j)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, belonging to $(X_k^{(j)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent. One could study the case of common immigrations as well, i.e., when $(\varepsilon_k^{(j)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} = (\varepsilon_k^{(1)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.1 Theorem. *For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

(2.1)
$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \left(X_0^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\big(X_0^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\}}\big), \dots, X_k^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\big(X_k^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\}}\big) \right)^\top \right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \big(X_0^{(j)}, \dots, X_k^{(j)}\big)^\top - \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\big(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}\big)\mathbf{1}_{k+1} \right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} \big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)}\big)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

as $N \to \infty$, where $\big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)}\big)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a $(k+1)$-dimensional α-stable process such that the characteristic function of the distribution $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}$ has the form

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= \exp\left\{ (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle u} - 1 - iu \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \right) \alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u \right\}$$

5

for $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ *with the* $(k+1)$*-dimensional vectors*

$$\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)} := (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m_\xi \\ m_\xi^2 \\ \vdots \\ m_\xi^k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_1^{(k)} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ m_\xi \\ \vdots \\ m_\xi^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_2^{(k)} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ m_\xi^{k-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, for $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$,

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \exp\Big\{ -C_\alpha (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle|^\alpha \left(1 - \mathrm{i} \tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \mathrm{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \right) \\ \qquad - \mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle \Big\}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\[2mm] \exp\Big\{ -C_1 (1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle| \big(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle|) \big) \\ \qquad + \mathrm{i} C \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle \\ \qquad + \mathrm{i}(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \Big\}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

with the convention $0 \log(0) := 0$,

$$C_\alpha := \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right), & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \qquad C := \int_1^\infty u^{-2} \sin(u) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_0^1 u^{-2}(\sin(u) - u) \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

Note that C exists and is finite, since $\int_1^\infty u^{-2} |\sin(u)| \, \mathrm{d}u \leqslant \int_1^\infty u^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}u = 1$, and, by L'Hôspital's rule, $\lim_{u \to 0} u^{-2}(\sin(u) - u) = 0$, hence the integrand $u^{-2}(\sin(u) - u)$ can be extended to $[0,1]$ continuously, yielding that its integral on $[0,1]$ is finite.

Note that the scaling and the centering in (2.1) do not depend on j or k, since the copies are independent and the process $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is strongly stationary, and especially, $\mathbb{E}\big(X_k^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\}}\big) = \mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

The next two remarks are devoted to the study of some properties of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$.

2.2 Remark. By the proof of Theorem 2.1 (see (3.4)), it turns out that the Lévy measure of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is

$$\nu_{k,\alpha}(B) = (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^\alpha \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B \left(u \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|} \right) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}u, \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}),$$

6

where the space $\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} := \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and its topological properties are discussed in Appendix B. The radial part of $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is $u^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}u$, and the spherical part of $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is any positive constant multiple of the measure $\sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^{\alpha} \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}/\|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|}$ on $\mathbb{S}^k$, where for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at the point $\boldsymbol{x}$. Particularly, the support of $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is $\cup_{j=0}^{k} (\mathbb{R}_{++}\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)})$. The vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)}$ form a basis in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, hence there is no proper linear subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ covering the support of $\nu_{k,\alpha}$. Consequently, $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is a nondegenerate measure in the sense that there are no $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and a proper linear subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that $a+V$ covers the support of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$, see, e.g., Sato [27, Proposition 24.17 (ii)]. □

2.3 Remark. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$,

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp\left\{ (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u - \mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle \right\},$$

see the proof of Theorem 2.1. Consequently, the drift of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is $-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$, see, e.g., Sato [27, Remark 14.6]. This drift is nonzero, hence $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is not strictly α-stable, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (iv) and Definition 13.2].

The 1-stable probability measure $\mu_{k,1}$ is not strictly 1-stable, since the spherical part of its nonzero Lévy measure $\nu_{k,1}$ is concentrated on $\mathbb{R}_+^{k+1} \cap \mathbb{S}^k$, and hence the condition (14.12) in Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (v)] is not satisfied.

If $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$,

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp\left\{ (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u + \mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle \right\},$$

see the proof of Theorem 2.1. Consequently, the center of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$, which is, in fact, the expectation of $\mu_{k,\alpha}$, and it is nonzero, and hence $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is not strictly stable, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (vi) and Definition 13.2].

All in all, $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is not strictly α-stable, but α-stable for any $\alpha \in (0,2)$. We also note that $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ is absolutely continuous, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 27.4 and Proposition 14.5]. □

The centering in Theorem 2.1 can be simplified in case of $\alpha \neq 1$. Namely, if $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, by Lemma C.6,

(2.3)
$$\frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}) = \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}})}{a_N \,\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N)} N\,\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N)$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t & \text{for } \alpha \in (0,1), \\ \infty & \text{for } \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

In a similar way, if $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}) = \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}(X_0) - \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 > a_N\}}),$$

7

where, $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} tN^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}L(N)^{-1} = \infty$, and, by Lemma C.6,

$$(2.4) \qquad \frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 > a_N\}}) \to \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}t \qquad \text{as } N\to\infty.$$

This shows that in case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there is no need for centering, in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$ one can center with the expectation as well, while in case of $\alpha = 1$, neither non-centering nor centering with the expectation works even if the expectation does exist. More precisely, without centering in case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$ or with centering with the expectation in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$, we have the following convergences.

2.4 Corollary. *In case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}(X_0^{(j)},\ldots,X_k^{(j)})^\top\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

as $N\to\infty$, and, in case of $\alpha\in(1,2)$, for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$(2.5)\qquad\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1}{a_N}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}(X_0^{(j)}-\mathbb{E}(X_0^{(j)}),\ldots,X_k^{(j)}-\mathbb{E}(X_k^{(j)}))^\top\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\\[2mm]&= \left(\frac{1}{a_N}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}(X_0^{(j)},\ldots,X_k^{(j)})^\top - \frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\end{aligned}$$

as $N\to\infty$. Moreover, $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a $(k+1)$-dimensional α-stable process such that the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\left\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases}\exp\left\{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^k\int_0^\infty\left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u}-1\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,du\right\}, & \text{if } \alpha\in(0,1),\\[3mm]\exp\left\{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^k\int_0^\infty\left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u}-1-i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,du\right\}, & \text{if } \alpha\in(1,2),\end{cases}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^k|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1-i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)\right\}, \quad \text{if } \alpha\neq 1,$$

for $\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$.

Note that in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$, the scaling and the centering in (2.5) do not depend on j or k, since the copies are independent and the process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is strongly stationary, and especially, $\mathbb{E}(X_k^{(j)}) = \mathbb{E}(X_0) = \frac{m_\varepsilon}{1-m_\xi}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $m_\varepsilon := \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon)$, see, e.g., Barczy et al. [4, formula (14)].

The next remark is devoted to study some distributional properties of the α-stable process $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ in case of $\alpha \neq 1$.

8

2.5 Remark. The Lévy measure of the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ is the same as that of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}$, namely, $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ given in Remark 2.2.

If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then the drift of the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ is $\mathbf{0}$, hence the process $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (iv)].

If $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then the center, i.e., the expectation of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ is $\mathbf{0}$, hence the process $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (vi)].

All in all, $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable for any $\alpha \neq 1$. We also note that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ is absolutely continuous, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 27.4 and Proposition 14.5]. $\qquad\square$

Let $\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a strongly stationary process such that

$$(2.6) \qquad\qquad \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k\in\{0,\dots,K\}} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(K,\alpha)} \qquad \text{for each } K \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The existence of $\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ follows from the Kolmogorov extension theorem. Its strong stationarity is a consequence of (2.1) together with the strong stationarity of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. We note that the common distribution of $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, depends only on α, it does not depend on m_ξ, since its characteristic function has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{i\vartheta\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{i\vartheta\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(0,\alpha)}}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\vartheta(1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}u} - 1 - iu\vartheta(1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u(1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\vartheta v} - 1 - i\vartheta v\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(v)\right)\alpha v^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}v\right\}, \qquad \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2.6 Proposition. *For each $\alpha \in (0,2)$, the strongly stationary process $\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a subcritical autoregressive process of order 1 with autoregressive coefficient m_ξ and with α-stable innovations, namely,*

$$\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} = m_\xi\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)} + \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)} := \mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} - m_\xi\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

is a sequence of independent, identically distributed α-stable random variables such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}$ is independent of $(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)})^\top$. Therefore, $\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a strongly stationary, time homogeneous Markov process.

Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 have the following consequences for a contemporaneous aggregation of independent copies with different centerings.

2.7 Corollary. (i) *For each* $\alpha \in (0, 2)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(X_k^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\left(X_k^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} = \left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)} - \frac{N}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f} \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \qquad as \ \ N \to \infty,$$

(ii) *in case of* $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f} \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \qquad as \ \ N \to \infty,$$

(iii) *in case of* $\alpha \in (1, 2)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(X_k^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\left(X_k^{(j)}\right)\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} = \left(\frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)} - \frac{N}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f} \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \qquad as \ \ N \to \infty,$$

where $(\mathcal{Y}^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ *is given by* (2.6).

Limit theorems will be presented for the aggregated stochastic process $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with different centerings and scalings. We will provide limit theorems in an iterated manner such that first N, and then n converges to infinity.

2.8 Theorem. *In case of* $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} a_N} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(X_k^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\left(X_k^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$$

(2.7)
$$= \mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} a_N} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)} - \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor N}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$$

$$= \left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} t\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+},$$

and

(2.8)
$$\mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_f\text{-}\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} a_N} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_k^{(j)}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} = \left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} t\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+},$$

in case of $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n\log(n)a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(X_k^{(j)}-\mathbb{E}\left(X_k^{(j)}\mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)}\leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

(2.9)
$$=\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n\log(n)a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_k^{(j)}-\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor N}{n\log(n)a_N}\mathbb{E}\left(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0\leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

$$=(t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+},$$

and in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(X_k^{(j)}-\mathbb{E}(X_k^{(j)}))\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

(2.10)
$$=\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_k^{(j)}-\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor N}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

$$=\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+},$$

where $\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is an α-stable process such that the characteristic function of the distribution of $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)}}\right)=\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}b_\alpha\vartheta+\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta u}-1-\mathrm{i}\vartheta u\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u))\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u\right\},\qquad \vartheta\in\mathbb{R},$$

where

$$b_\alpha:=\left(\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}-1\right)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha},\qquad \alpha\in(0,1)\cup(1,2),$$

and $\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is an α-stable process such that the characteristic function of the distribution of $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\vartheta\left(\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\right\}\right)=\begin{cases}\exp\left\{\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta u}-1)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u\right\}, & \text{if } \alpha\in(0,1),\\[2mm]\exp\left\{\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta u}-1-\mathrm{i}\vartheta u)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u\right\}, & \text{if } \alpha\in(1,2),\end{cases}$$

$$=\exp\left\{-C_\alpha\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}|\vartheta|^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\vartheta)\right)\right\}\quad \text{if } \alpha\in(0,1)\cup(1,2),$$

for $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$.

2.9 Remark. Note that, in accordance with Basrak and Segers [6, Remark 4.8] and Mikosch

and Wintenberger [17, page 171], in case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\vartheta\left(\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\right\}\right)$$

(2.11)
$$= \exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \Theta_\ell\right) - \exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \Theta_\ell\right)\right]\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du\right\}$$

for $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $(\Theta_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the (forward) spectral tail process of $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ given in (3.7) and (3.8). Indeed, by (3.10),

$$\exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \Theta_\ell\right) - \exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \Theta_\ell\right)\right]\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty m_\xi^\ell\right) - \exp\left(iu\vartheta\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty m_\xi^\ell\right)\right]\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \left(\exp\left(iu\vartheta\frac{m_\xi}{1-m_\xi}\right) - \exp\left(iu\vartheta\frac{1}{1-m_\xi}\right)\right)\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \left(\exp\left(iu\frac{\vartheta m_\xi}{1-m_\xi}\right) - 1\right)\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du + \int_0^\infty \left(\exp\left(iu\frac{\vartheta}{1-m_\xi}\right) - 1\right)\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,du\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{C_\alpha\left|\frac{\vartheta m_\xi}{1-m_\xi}\right|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{\vartheta m_\xi}{1-m_\xi}\right)\right)\right.$$

$$\left. - C_\alpha\left|\frac{\vartheta}{1-m_\xi}\right|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{\vartheta}{1-m_\xi}\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-C_\alpha\frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha}|\vartheta|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{\vartheta}{1-m_\xi}\right)\right)\right\},$$

as desired. We also remark that, using (3.13), one can check that (2.11) does not hold in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$, which is somewhat unexpected in view of page 171 in Mikosch and Wintenberger [17]. □

2.10 Remark. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then the drift of the distribution of $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ is 0, hence the process $\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable, see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (iv) and Definition 13.2].

If $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then the center, i.e., the expectation of $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ is 0, hence the process $\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.7 (vi) and Definition 13.2].

All in all, the process $\left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is strictly α-stable for any $\alpha \neq 1$. □

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We are going to apply Theorem D.1 with $d = k + 1$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} := a_N^{-1}(X_0^{(j)}, \ldots, X_k^{(j)})^\top$, $N, j \in \mathbb{N}$. The aim of the following discussion is to check condition (D.1) of Theorem D.1, namely

$$(3.1) \quad N \, \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1} \in \cdot) = N \, \mathbb{P}\big(a_N^{-1}(X_0^{(1)}, \ldots, X_k^{(1)})^\top \in \cdot\big) \xrightarrow{v} \nu_{k,\alpha}(\cdot) \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} \text{ as } N \to \infty,$$

where $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1})$, we can write

$$N \, \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1} \in B) = N \, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N) \frac{\mathbb{P}(a_N^{-1}(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top \in B)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N)}.$$

By the assumption, we have $N \, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$, yielding also $a_N \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, consequently, it is enough to show that

$$(3.2) \qquad \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top \in \cdot)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} \xrightarrow{v} \nu_{k,\alpha}(\cdot) \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}$. In fact, by Theorem E.2, $(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top$ is regularly varying with index α, hence, by Proposition C.8, we know that

$$(3.3) \qquad \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top \in \cdot)}{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)} \xrightarrow{v} \widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\cdot) \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where $\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}$ is the so-called limit measure of $(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top$. Applying Proposition C.10 for the canonical projection $p_0 : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $p_0(\boldsymbol{x}) := x_0$ for $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_k)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, which is continuous and positively homogeneous of degree 1, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)} \to \widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(T_1) \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

with $T_1 := \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : p_0(\boldsymbol{x}) > 1\}$, where we have $\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(T_1) \in (0, 1]$. Indeed, $\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x) \leqslant \mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)$, hence $\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(T_1) \leqslant 1$. Moreover, by the strong stationarity of $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x) \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}(X_j > x/\sqrt{k+1}) = (k+1) \, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > x/\sqrt{k+1}),$$

thus

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)} \geqslant \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)}{(k+1) \, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > x/\sqrt{k+1})} \to (k+1)^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

since X_0 is regularly varying with index α, hence $\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(T_1) \in (0, 1]$, as desired. Consequently, (3.2) holds with $\nu_{k,\alpha} = \widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}/\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(T_1)$. In general, one does not know whether $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}$ or not. So, additional work is needed. We will determine $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ explicitly, using a result of Planinić and Soulier [20].

The aim of the following discussion is to apply Theorem 3.1 in Planinić and Soulier [20] in order to determine $\nu_{k,\alpha}$, namely, we will prove that for each Borel measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(3.4) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,\nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_0^\infty f(u\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)})\alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}u.$$

Let $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a strongly stationary extension of $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. For each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \leqslant j$, by Theorem E.2, $(X_i, \ldots, X_j)^\top$ is regularly varying with index α, hence, by the strong stationarity of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and the discussion above, we know that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}(X_i, \ldots, X_j)^\top \in \cdot)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}(X_0, \ldots, X_{j-i})^\top \in \cdot)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} \xrightarrow{v} \nu_{i,j,\alpha}(\cdot) \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^{j-i+1} \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where $\nu_{i,j,\alpha} := \nu_{j-i,\alpha}$ is a non-null locally finite measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^{j-i+1}$. According to Basrak and Segers [6, Theorem 2.1], there exists a sequence $(Y_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of random variables, called the (whole) tail process of $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}(X_i, \ldots, X_j)^\top \in \cdot \mid X_0 > x) \xrightarrow{w} \mathbb{P}((Y_i, \ldots, Y_j)^\top \in \cdot) \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

Let K be a random variable with geometric distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(K = k) = m_\xi^{\alpha k}(1 - m_\xi^\alpha), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Especially, if $m_\xi = 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(K = 0) = 1$. If $m_\xi \in (0, 1)$, then we have

$$(3.5) \qquad Y_\ell = \begin{cases} m_\xi^\ell Y_0, & \text{if } \ell \geqslant 0, \\ m_\xi^\ell Y_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{K \geqslant -\ell\}}, & \text{if } \ell < 0, \end{cases}$$

where Y_0 is a random variable independent of K with Pareto distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_0 > y) = \begin{cases} y^{-\alpha}, & \text{if } y \in [1, \infty), \\ 1, & \text{if } y \in (-\infty, 1). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, as shown in Basrak et al. [5, Lemma 3.1], $(Y_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the forward tail process of $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$. On the other hand, by Janssen and Segers [11, Example 6.2], $(Y_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the tail process of the stationary solution $(X'_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ to the stochastic recurrence equation $X'_\ell = \mu_A X'_{\ell-1} + B_\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the distribution of the forward tail process determines the distribution of the (whole) tail process (see Basrak and Segers [6, Theorem 3.1 (ii)]), it follows that $(Y_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ represents the tail process of $(X_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$. If $m_\xi = 0$, then one can easily check that

$$(3.6) \qquad Y_\ell = \begin{cases} Y_0, & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \ell \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), we have $Y_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ as $\ell \to \infty$ or $\ell \to -\infty$, hence condition (3.1) in Planinić and Soulier [20] is satisfied.

Moreover, there exists a unique measure ν_α on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ endowed with the cylindrical σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\nu_\alpha(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = 0$ and for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \leqslant j$, we have $\nu_\alpha \circ p_{i,j}^{-1} = \nu_{i,j,\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R}_0^{j-i+1}$, where $p_{i,j}$ denotes the canonical projection $p_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}^{j-i+1}$ given by $p_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{y}) := (y_i, \ldots, y_j)$ for $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, see, e.g., Planinić and Soulier [20]. The measure ν_α is called the tail measure of $(X_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

If $m_\xi \in (0, 1)$, then, by (3.5), the (whole) spectral tail process $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = (\Theta_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $(X_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given by

$$(3.7) \qquad \Theta_\ell := \frac{Y_\ell}{|Y_0|} = \begin{cases} m_\xi^\ell, & \text{if } \ell \geqslant 0, \\ m_\xi^\ell \mathbb{1}_{\{K \geqslant -\ell\}}, & \text{if } \ell < 0. \end{cases}$$

If $m_\xi = 0$, then, by (3.6),

$$(3.8) \qquad \Theta_\ell := \frac{Y_\ell}{|Y_0|} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \ell \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us introduce the so called infargmax functional $I : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$. For $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the value $I(\boldsymbol{y})$ is the first time when the supremum $\sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |y_\ell|$ is achieved, more precisely,

$$I(\boldsymbol{y}) := \begin{cases} \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } \sup_{m \leqslant \ell-1} |y_m| < |y_\ell| \text{ and } \sup_{m \geqslant \ell+1} |y_m| \leqslant |y_\ell|, \\ -\infty, & \text{if } \sup_{m \leqslant \ell} |y_m| = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |y_m| \text{ for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \sup_{m \leqslant \ell} |y_m| < \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |y_m| \text{ for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

We have $\mathbb{P}(I(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = -K) = 1$, hence the condition $\mathbb{P}(I(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}) = 1$ of Theorem 3.1 in Planinić and Soulier [20] is satisfied.

Consequently, we may apply Theorem 3.1 in Planinić and Soulier [20] for the nonnegative measurable function $H : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $H(\boldsymbol{y}) = f \circ p_{0,k}$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a measurable function with $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. By (3.2) in Planinić and Soulier [20], we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \nu_{0,k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, (\nu_\alpha \circ p_{0,k}^{-1})(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}} f(p_{0,k}(\boldsymbol{y})) \, \nu_\alpha(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}} H(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \nu_\alpha(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}(H(uL^\ell(\boldsymbol{\Theta})) \mathbb{1}_{\{I(\boldsymbol{\Theta})=0\}}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

where L denotes the backshift operator $L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $L(\boldsymbol{y}) = (L(\boldsymbol{y})_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} := (y_{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Using $\mathbb{P}(I(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = -K) = 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}(f(p_{0,k}(uL^\ell(\boldsymbol{\Theta}))) \mathbb{1}_{\{K=0\}}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

15

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$, on the event $\{K = 0\}$, by (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
p_{0,k}(uL^\ell(\Theta)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, & \text{if } \ell > k, \\ u\boldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(k)}, & \text{if } \ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \\ (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} m_\xi^{-\ell} u\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)}, & \text{if } \ell \leqslant 0, \end{cases}
$$

hence, using $\mathbb{P}(K = 0) = 1 - m_\xi^\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} f(\boldsymbol{x})\, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})
$$

$$
= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{\ell \leqslant 0} \int_0^\infty f\big((1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} m_\xi^{-\ell} u\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)}\big) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u + (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_0^\infty f(u\boldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(k)}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u
$$

$$
= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^2 \sum_{\ell \leqslant 0} m_\xi^{-\ell\alpha} \int_0^\infty f(u\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u + (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_0^\infty f(u\boldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(k)}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u
$$

$$
= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \int_0^\infty f(u\boldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(k)}) \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u.
$$

The measure $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}$, since (3.4) implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} \min\{1, \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2\}\, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}) = (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_0^\infty \min\{1, \|u\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^2\} \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u
$$

$$
= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^k \|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^\alpha \int_0^\infty \min\{1, w^2\} \alpha w^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}w = \frac{2(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)}{2 - \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^k \|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^\alpha < \infty.
$$

Consequently, we obtain (3.2), and hence (3.1), so condition (D.1) is satisfied.

The aim of the following discussion is to check condition (D.2) of Theorem D.1, namely

(3.9) $\qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} N\, \mathbb{E}\big(a_N^{-2}(X_\ell^{(j)})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_\ell^{(j)} \leqslant a_N\varepsilon\}}\big) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} N\, \mathbb{E}\big(a_N^{-2} X_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\varepsilon\}}\big) = 0$

for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. By Lemma C.6 with $\beta = 2$, we have

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^2\, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)}{\mathbb{E}(X_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant x\}})} = \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha},
$$

hence, for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, using again that X_0 is regularly varying with index α, we have

$$
N\, \mathbb{E}(a_N^{-2} X_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\varepsilon\}}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\varepsilon\}})}{(a_N\varepsilon)^2\, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N\varepsilon)} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N\varepsilon)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N)} \varepsilon^2 N\, \mathbb{P}(X_0 > a_N) \to \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} \varepsilon^{2-\alpha}
$$

as $N \to \infty$, and, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we conclude (3.9).

16

Consequently, we may apply Theorem D.1, and we obtain (2.1), where $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is an α-stable process such that the characteristic function of the distribution $\mu_{k,\alpha}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}$ has the form given in Theorem 2.1. Indeed, (3.4) is valid for each Borel measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ as well, for which the real and imaginary parts of the right hand side of (3.4) are well defined. Hence for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, by (D.3),

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} \left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{y}\rangle} - 1 - i\sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{y}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(|\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{y}\rangle|)\right)\nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y})\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k}\int_0^\infty\left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1 - iu\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u\right\},$$

since it will turn out that the real and imaginary parts of the exponent in the last expression are well defined. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{\pm ix} - 1)x^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}x = \Gamma(-\alpha)e^{\mp i\pi\alpha/2},$$

see, e.g., (14.18) in Sato [27] and its complex conjugate, thus for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1)u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u = \vartheta^\alpha\int_0^\infty (e^{iv} - 1)v^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}v = \vartheta^\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}$$

$$= \vartheta^\alpha\frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)(-\alpha)}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right) = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}\vartheta^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right).$$

In a similar way, for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_{--}$,

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1)u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u = (-\vartheta)^\alpha\int_0^\infty (e^{-iv} - 1)v^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}v = (-\vartheta)^\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)e^{i\pi\alpha/2}$$

$$= (-\vartheta)^\alpha\frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)(-\alpha)}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1 + i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right) = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}(-\vartheta)^\alpha\left(1 + i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right).$$

Thus, for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.10) \qquad \int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1)u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}|\vartheta|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\vartheta)\right),$$

and hence, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $j \in \{0,\ldots,k\}$,

$$\int_0^\infty\left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1 - iu\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) - i\alpha\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\int_0^{1/\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle}u^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) - i\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\left(\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle}\right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) - i\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha.$$

17

Consequently,

$$\widehat{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k}|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)\right.$$

(3.11)

$$\left. -\,\mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha\right\}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, where

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha = \frac{1}{1-m_\xi^\alpha}\sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle,$$

since $\langle\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{v}_0^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha = (1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{-1}$, and, for each $\ell\in\{2,\ldots,k+1\}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha = \frac{m_\xi^{(\ell-1)\alpha}}{1-m_\xi^\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}m_\xi^{(\ell-j-1)\alpha} = \frac{m_\xi^{(\ell-1)\alpha}}{1-m_\xi^\alpha}+\frac{1-m_\xi^{(\ell-1)\alpha}}{1-m_\xi^\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-m_\xi^\alpha}.$$

Hence we obtain

(3.12) $$(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha = \langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle,$$

yielding the statement in case of $\alpha\in(0,1)$. Note that the above calculation shows that (3.12) is valid for each $\alpha\in(0,2)$.

If $\alpha\in(1,2)$, then

$$\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\pm\mathrm{i}x}-1\mp\mathrm{i}x)x^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}x = \Gamma(-\alpha)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\mathrm{i}\pi\alpha/2},$$

see, e.g., (14.19) in Sato [27] and its complex conjugate, thus for each $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta u}-1-\mathrm{i}\vartheta u)u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u = \vartheta^\alpha\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}-1-\mathrm{i}x)x^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}x = \vartheta^\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi\alpha/2}$$

$$= \vartheta^\alpha\frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)(-\alpha)}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right) = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}\vartheta^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right).$$

In a similar way, for each $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}_{--}$,

$$\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta u}-1-\mathrm{i}\vartheta u)u^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}u = (-\vartheta)^\alpha\int_0^\infty(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x}-1+\mathrm{i}x)x^{-1-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}x = (-\vartheta)^\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi\alpha/2}$$

$$= (-\vartheta)^\alpha\frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)(-\alpha)}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right) = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}(-\vartheta)^\alpha\left(1+\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right).$$

18

Thus, for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.13) \qquad \int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1 - i\vartheta u)u^{-1-\alpha}\, du = -\frac{C_\alpha}{\alpha}|\vartheta|^\alpha \left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}(\vartheta)\right),$$

and hence, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1 - iu\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)\alpha u^{-1-\alpha}\, du$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) + i\alpha\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\int_{1/\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle}^\infty u^{-\alpha}\, du$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) - i\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle\left(\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle}\right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$= -C_\alpha|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1 - i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\operatorname{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right) + i\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle^\alpha.$$

Consequently, we obtain (3.11) for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, and, applying again (3.12), we conclude the statement in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$.

Finally, we consider the case $\alpha = 1$. For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1 - i\vartheta u\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u))u^{-2}\, du = -\frac{\pi\vartheta}{2} - i\vartheta\log(\vartheta) + iC\vartheta,$$

where C is given in (2.2), see, e.g., (14.20) in Sato [27]. Its complex conjugate has the form

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{-i\vartheta u} - 1 + i\vartheta u\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u))u^{-2}\, du = -\frac{\pi\vartheta}{2} + i\vartheta\log(\vartheta) - iC\vartheta, \qquad \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_{++},$$

thus

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{i(-\vartheta)u} - 1 - i(-\vartheta)u\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u))u^{-2}\, du = -\frac{\pi(-(-\vartheta))}{2} - i(-\vartheta)\log(-(-\vartheta)) + iC(-\vartheta)$$

for $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and hence

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{i\vartheta u} - 1 - i\vartheta u\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u))u^{-2}\, du = -\frac{\pi|\vartheta|}{2} - i\vartheta\log(|\vartheta|) + iC\vartheta$$

$$= -C_1|\vartheta|\left(1 + i\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\vartheta)\log(|\vartheta|)\right) + iC\vartheta, \qquad \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

19

Consequently, for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$,

$$
\int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle u} - 1 - iu \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle \mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(u\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle) \right) u^{-2}\, du
$$

$$
= -C_1 |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle| \left(1 + i\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|) \right) + iC\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle
$$

$$
+ i\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle \int_{1/\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle}^1 u^{-1}\, du
$$

$$
= -C_1 |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle| \left(1 + i\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|) \right) + iC\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle
$$

$$
- i\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle \log\left(\frac{1}{\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle} \right)
$$

$$
= -C_1 |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle| \left(1 + i\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|) \right) + iC\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle
$$

$$
+ i\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle).
$$

Applying again (3.12), we have the statement in case of $\alpha = 1$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Corollary 2.4. In case of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, by (2.3) with $t = 1$, we have

$$
(3.14) \qquad \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.
$$

Next, we may apply Lemma A.2 with

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t^{(N)} := \frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \left(X_0^{(j)}, \ldots, X_k^{(j)} \right)^\top - \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \qquad N \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
\Phi_N(f)(t) := f(t) + \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}}) \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \qquad N \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)}, \qquad \Phi(f)(t) := f(t) + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} t \mathbf{1}_{k+1}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$. Indeed, in order to show $\Phi_N(f_N) \to \Phi(f)$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N \to \infty$ whenever $f_N \to f$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N \to \infty$ with $f, f_N \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by Propositions VI.1.17 and VI.1.23 in Jacod and Shiryaev [10], it is enough to check that for each $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, we have

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{a_N} \mathbb{E}\left(X_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_0 \leqslant a_N\}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{k+1} - \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} t \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \right\| \to 0 \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.
$$

20

This follows, since, by (3.14), we obtain

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0\leqslant a_N\}}\big)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|
$$

$$
\leqslant \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{N}\left(\frac{N}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0\leqslant a_N\}}\big)-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{N}-t\right)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|
$$

$$
\leqslant T\sqrt{k+1}\left|\frac{N}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0\leqslant a_N\}}\big)-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right|+\frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{N}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\to 0 \qquad\text{as } N\to\infty.
$$

Applying Lemma A.2, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a_N}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}(X_0^{(j)},\ldots,X_k^{(j)})\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}=\Phi_N(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(N)})\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})\qquad\text{as } N\to\infty,
$$

where $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})_t=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, is a $(k+1)$-dimensional α-stable process. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ has the form given in the theorem, and hence we conclude the statement in case of $\alpha\in(0,1)$.

In case of $\alpha\in(1,2)$, by (2.4) with $t=1$, we have

$$
(3.15)\qquad\qquad\qquad\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{N}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}}\big)=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}.
$$

Next, we may apply Lemma A.2 with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$, Φ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(N)}$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$, as defined above, and with

$$
\Phi_N(f)(t):=f(t)-\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}})\mathbf{1}_{k+1},\qquad N\in\mathbb{N},\quad f\in\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k+1}),\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+.
$$

Indeed, in order to show $\Phi_N(f_N)\to\Phi(f)$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N\to\infty$ whenever $f_N\to f$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N\to\infty$ with $f,f_N\in\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$, by Propositions VI.1.17 and VI.1.23 in Jacod and Shiryaev [10], it is enough to check that for each $T\in\mathbb{R}_{++}$, we have

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}}\big)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|\to 0\qquad\text{as } N\to\infty.
$$

This follows, since, by (3.15), we obtain

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}}\big)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}t\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|
$$

$$
\leqslant \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{N}\left(\frac{N}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}}\big)-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{N}-t\right)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right\|
$$

$$
\leqslant T\sqrt{k+1}\left|\frac{N}{a_N}\mathbb{E}\big(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0>a_N\}}\big)-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right|+\frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{N}\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\to 0\qquad\text{as } N\to\infty.
$$

21

Applying Lemma A.2, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_N}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}\big(X_1^{(j)},\ldots,X_k^{(j)}\big)-\frac{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}{a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0)\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}=\Phi_N(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(N)})\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})\qquad\text{as }N\to\infty,$$

where $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})_t=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(k,\alpha)}-t\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, is a $(k+1)$-dimensional α-stable process. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$ has the form given in the theorem, and hence we conclude the statement in case of $\alpha\in(1,2)$ as well. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.6. The sequence $(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ consists of identically distributed random variables, since the strong stationarity of $(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ yields that $(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)},\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ consists of identically distributed random variables.

In what follows, let $k\in\mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By (2.6), the characteristic function of $(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)},\ldots,\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)},\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k)$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}+\cdots+\vartheta_{k-1}\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)}+\vartheta_k\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)})}\big)=\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}+\cdots+(\vartheta_{k-1}-m_\xi\vartheta_k)\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)}+\vartheta_k\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)})}\big)=\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(k,\alpha)}\rangle}\big)$$

for $(\vartheta_0,\ldots,\vartheta_k)^\top\in\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_k:=(\vartheta_0,\ldots,\vartheta_{k-2},\vartheta_{k-1}-m_\xi\vartheta_k,\vartheta_k)^\top\in\mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

We can write $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k=\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}$ with

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}:=(\vartheta_0,\ldots,\vartheta_{k-2},\vartheta_{k-1},0)^\top,\qquad\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}:=(0,\ldots,0,-m_\xi\vartheta_k,\vartheta_k)^\top.$$

We have $\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle=0$ for each $j\in\{0,\ldots,k-1\}$, and $\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)},\boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)}\rangle=0$, hence

$$\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle=\begin{cases}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle & \text{if }j\in\{0,\ldots,k-1\},\\[4pt]\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)},\boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)}\rangle & \text{if }j=k.\end{cases}$$

In case of $\alpha\in(0,1)\cup(1,2)$, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}+\cdots+\vartheta_{k-1}\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)}+\vartheta_k\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)})}\big)$$

$$=\exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^k|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)-\mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle\right\}$$

$$=\exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)},\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\rangle)\right)-\mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)},\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle\right.$$

$$\left.-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)|\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)},\boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)}\rangle|^\alpha\left(1-\mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)},\boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)}\rangle)\right)-\mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)},\mathbf{1}_{k+1}\rangle\right\}$$

$$=\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}+\cdots+\vartheta_{k-1}\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)})}\big)\,\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}}\big),$$

where we used that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle = \langle (\vartheta_0, \ldots, \vartheta_{k-1})^\top, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k-1)} \rangle, \qquad j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1,$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle = \langle (\vartheta_0, \ldots, \vartheta_{k-1})^\top, \mathbf{1}_k \rangle,$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)} \rangle = \vartheta_k, \qquad \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle = \vartheta_k,$$

and $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_1 = \ldots = \vartheta_{k-1} = 0$ yields $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Thus we obtain the independence of $(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)})^\top$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}$, and the characteristic function of $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}}\big) = \exp\left\{ -C_\alpha(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)|\vartheta_k|^\alpha \left(1 - \mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\vartheta_k)\right) - \mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\vartheta_k \right\}, \qquad \vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

hence $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}$ is α-stable (see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.10]). In fact, $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, since for all $\vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)}\right) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \widehat{\mu}_{0,\alpha}(\vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$$

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_\alpha(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)|\langle \vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\rangle|^\alpha \right.$$

$$\left. \times \left(1 - \mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\langle \vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\rangle)\right) \right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_\alpha(1 - m_\xi^\alpha)|\vartheta_k|^\alpha \left(1 - \mathrm{i}\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}(\vartheta_k)\right) \right\}.$$

Further, $\big(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\big)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is also a strongly stationary α-stable time homogeneous Markov process. In fact, it is a subcritical autoregressive process of order 1 with autoregressive coefficient m_ξ such that the distribution of its innovations satisfies

$$\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) - m_\xi\left(\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) = \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k + (1 - m_\xi)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$$

$$\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) - m_\xi\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In case of $\alpha = 1$, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)} + \cdots + \vartheta_{k-1}\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)} + \vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)})}\big)$$

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_1(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle|\left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi}\mathrm{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle)\log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle|)\right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \mathrm{i}C\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle + \mathrm{i}(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \right\}.$$

23

If $\alpha = 1$ and $m_\xi = 0$, then for each $j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$, we have $\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} = \boldsymbol{e}_{j+1}$, and hence $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle = \vartheta_j$, and $\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle = 1$ if $\ell = j+1$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle = 0$ if $\ell \neq j+1$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)} + \cdots + \vartheta_{k-1} \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)} + \vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)})}\big)$$

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_1 \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\vartheta_j| \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_j) \log(|\vartheta_j|)\right) + \mathrm{i}C \sum_{j=0}^{k} \vartheta_j \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0^{(\alpha)}}\big) \cdots \mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(\alpha)}}\big) \mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(\alpha)}}\big),$$

thus we obtain the independence of $\mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$, and the characteristic function of $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}}\big) = \exp\left\{ -C_1 |\vartheta_k| \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_k) \log(|\vartheta_k|)\right) + \mathrm{i}C\vartheta_k \right\}, \qquad \vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

hence $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$ is 1-stable (see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.10]). In fact, $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)}$, and $(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence of independent, identically distributed 1-stable random variables.

If $\alpha = 1$ and $m_\xi \in (0, 1)$, then, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)} + \cdots + \vartheta_{k-1} \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)} + \vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)})}\big)$$

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_1(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle| \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle|)\right) \right.$$

$$+ \mathrm{i}C\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle + \mathrm{i}(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle)$$

$$- C_1(1 - m_\xi) |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)} \rangle| \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)} \rangle) \log(|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{(k)} \rangle|)\right)$$

$$\left. + \mathrm{i}C\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)}, \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \rangle + \mathrm{i}(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vartheta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)} + \cdots + \vartheta_{k-1} \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)})}\big) \mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}}\big),$$

where we used that $\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)} \rangle = 0$ if $\ell = k + 1$, and $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_1 = \ldots = \vartheta_{k-1} = 0$ yields that $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(1)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Thus we obtain the independence of $(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{(1)})^\top$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$, and the characteristic function of $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k \widetilde{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}}\big) = \exp\left\{ -C_1(1 - m_\xi) |\vartheta_k| \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_k) \log(|\vartheta_k|)\right) + \mathrm{i}C\vartheta_k \right.$$

$$\left. + \mathrm{i}(1 - m_\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(2)} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle \log(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle) \right\}$$

24

$$= \exp\left\{ -C_1(1 - m_\xi)|\vartheta_k|\left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_k)\log(|\vartheta_k|)\right) + \mathrm{i}\vartheta_k(C + m_\xi\log(m_\xi)) \right\}$$

for $\vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R}$, since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{k+1}\langle e_\ell, \theta_k^{(2)}\rangle\langle e_\ell, v_j^{(k)}\rangle\log(\langle e_\ell, v_j^{(k)}\rangle) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1}\langle e_\ell, \theta_k^{(2)}\rangle\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\langle e_\ell, v_j^{(k)}\rangle\log(\langle e_\ell, v_j^{(k)}\rangle)$$

$$= (-m_\xi\vartheta_k)\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k-1}}{1 - m_\xi}\log\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k-1}}{1 - m_\xi}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}m_\xi^{k-j-1}\log(m_\xi^{k-j-1})\right)$$

$$+ \vartheta_k\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k}}{1 - m_\xi}\log\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k}}{1 - m_\xi}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k}m_\xi^{k-j}\log(m_\xi^{k-j})\right)$$

$$= \vartheta_k\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k}}{1 - m_\xi}\log(m_\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}m_\xi^{k-j}\log(m_\xi)\right) = \vartheta_k\left(\frac{m_\xi^{k}}{1 - m_\xi} + \frac{m_\xi - m_\xi^{k}}{1 - m_\xi}\right)\log(m_\xi)$$

$$= \vartheta_k\frac{m_\xi}{1 - m_\xi}\log(m_\xi).$$

Hence $\widehat{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)}$ is 1-stable (see, e.g., Sato [27, Theorem 14.10]). In fact,

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_k^{(1)} - m_\xi(C + \log(m_\xi)) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (1 - m_\xi)(\mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)} + \log(1 - m_\xi)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

since for all $\vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_k(1-m_\xi)\mathcal{Y}_0^{(1)}}) = \exp\left\{ -C_1(1 - m_\xi)|\vartheta_k|\left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_k)\log(|\vartheta_k|)\right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \mathrm{i}C(1 - m_\xi)\vartheta_k - \mathrm{i}\vartheta_k(1 - m_\xi)\log(1 - m_\xi) \right\}.$$

$\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.7. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 using the continuous mapping theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. In case of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, by (3.14), we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor N}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\mathbb{E}(X_0\mathbb{1}_{\{X_0\leqslant a_N\}}) = \frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence, by Slutsky's lemma, (2.7) will be a consequence of (2.8).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Corollary 2.7 and by the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_k^{(j)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty$$

25

in case of $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(X_k^{(j)}-\mathbb{E}(X_k^{(j)})\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } N\to\infty$$

in case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$. Consequently, in order to prove (2.8) and (2.10), we need to show that for each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$, we have

(3.16) $$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{f}}} \left(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}t\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

For each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1,\ldots,t_d \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ with $t_1 < \ldots < t_d$ and $\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_d \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\frac{\vartheta_\ell}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor}\left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\right\}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\left\langle n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor,\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor+1}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$$

with $t_0 := 0$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_n := \sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\vartheta_\ell\sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor}\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor+1}.$$

For each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$, by the explicit form of the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor,\alpha)}$ given in Theorem 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\left\langle n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor,\alpha)}+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor+1}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor}\left|\left\langle n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right|^\alpha\left(1-i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}\left(\left\langle n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-C_\alpha(1-m_\xi^\alpha)n^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor}\left|\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right|^\alpha\left(1-i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right)\right)\right\}.$$

We have

$$\left\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_0^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\vartheta_i\sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor}\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_0^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\vartheta_i\sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor}\frac{m_\xi^k}{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-m_\xi^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-m_\xi)}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\vartheta_i(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor+1}-m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor+1}),$$

hence for each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$,

$$n^{-1}\left|\left\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_0^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right|^\alpha\left(1-i\tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\mathrm{sign}\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_0^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right)\right) \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

26

The aim of the following discussion is to show that for each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

(3.17)
$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} |\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \rangle|^\alpha \to \frac{(t_\ell - t_{\ell-1})|\vartheta_\ell|^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha} \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Here, for each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $j \in \{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1, \ldots, \lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor\}$,

(3.18)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \vartheta_i \sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \vartheta_i \sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor} m_\xi^{k-j} \mathbb{1}_{\{k \geqslant j\}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-m_\xi} \left(\vartheta_\ell (1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-j+1}) + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i (m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor-j+1}) \right).$$

In case of $\alpha \in (0,1]$, we have

(3.19)
$$|x|^\alpha - |y|^\alpha \leqslant |x+y|^\alpha \leqslant |x|^\alpha + |y|^\alpha, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In case of $\alpha \in (1,2)$, by the mean value theorem and by (3.19), we have

$$\big||x+y|^\alpha - |x|^\alpha\big| \leqslant \alpha |y| \max\{|x+y|^{\alpha-1}, |x|^{\alpha-1}\} \leqslant \alpha |y| (|x|^{\alpha-1} + |y|^{\alpha-1}), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence for each $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$|x|^\alpha - 2|y|(|x|^{\alpha-1} + |y|^{\alpha-1}) \leqslant |x+y|^\alpha \leqslant |x|^\alpha + 2|y|(|x|^{\alpha-1} + |y|^{\alpha-1}),$$

so, by (3.18) and the squeeze theorem, to prove (3.17), it is enough to check that

(3.20)
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} (1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-j+1})^\alpha \to t_\ell - t_{\ell-1},$$

(3.21)
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i (m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor-j+1}) \right|^\alpha \to 0,$$

(3.22)
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i (m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor-j+1}) \right| (1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-j+1})^{\alpha-1} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since $(1-t)^\alpha = 1 - \alpha t + o(t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|(1 - m_\xi^j)^\alpha - 1 + \alpha m_\xi^j| \leqslant m_\xi^j$ for all $j \geqslant j_0$. Hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} (1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-j+1})^\alpha - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor} (1 - \alpha m_\xi^j) \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor} (1 - m_\xi^j)^\alpha - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor-\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor} (1 - \alpha m_\xi^j) \right|$$

27

$$\leqslant \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} \left((1 - m_\xi^j)^\alpha - 1 + \alpha m_\xi^j \right) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=j_0}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - \lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor} m_\xi^j$$

$$\leqslant \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} \left((1 - m_\xi^j)^\alpha - 1 + \alpha m_\xi^j \right) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \frac{m_\xi^{j_0}}{1 - m_\xi} \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left(1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - j + 1} \right)^\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - \lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor} (1 - \alpha m_\xi^j)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - \lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor - \alpha \frac{m_\xi - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - \lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}}{1 - m_\xi} \right) = t_\ell - t_{\ell-1},$$

yielding (3.20). In case of $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|x_1 + \cdots + x_k|^\alpha \leqslant k^{\alpha-1}(|x_1|^\alpha + \cdots + |x_k|^\alpha)$, hence, by (3.19), for each $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, we obtain

$$|x_1 + \cdots + x_k|^\alpha \leqslant k(|x_1|^\alpha + \cdots + |x_k|^\alpha), \qquad x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i (m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - j + 1}) \right|^\alpha$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} (d - \ell) \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|^\alpha \left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - j + 1} \right)^\alpha$$

$$\leqslant \frac{d}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|^\alpha \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} m_\xi^{(\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1)\alpha} \leqslant \frac{d}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_\xi^{k\alpha} \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty,$$

yielding (3.21). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $j \in \{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1, \ldots, \lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor\}$, we have

$$(1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - j + 1})^{\alpha-1} \leqslant \begin{cases} (1 - m_\xi)^{\alpha-1} & \text{if} \;\; \alpha \in (0, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if} \;\; \alpha \in (1, 2), \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i (m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - j + 1}) \right| (1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor - j + 1})^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{(1 - m_\xi)^{\alpha-1} \vee 1}{n} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i| \left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - j + 1} \right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{(1 - m_\xi)^{\alpha-1} \vee 1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i| \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1} \rfloor - j + 1} \leqslant \frac{(1 - m_\xi)^{\alpha-1} \vee 1}{n} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_\xi^k \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, yielding (3.22). Thus we obtain (3.17).

Next we show that for each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we have

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor} \left|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\rangle\right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}\left(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\rangle\right) \to \frac{(t_\ell - t_{\ell-1})|\vartheta_\ell|^\alpha}{(1 - m_\xi)^\alpha} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell)$$

as $n \to \infty$. If $\vartheta_\ell = 0$, then this readily follows from (3.18) and (3.21). If $\vartheta_\ell \neq 0$, then we show that there exists $\widetilde{C}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that

$$(3.23) \qquad\qquad\qquad \operatorname{sign}\left(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\rangle\right) = \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $j \in \{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor + 1, \ldots, \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor\}$ with $j < \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell$. First, observe that, by (3.18), the inequality

$$(3.24) \qquad\qquad \left|\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i\left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor-j+1}\right)\right| < |\vartheta_\ell(1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1})|$$

implies (3.23). Then we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \vartheta_i\left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor-j+1}\right)\right| \leqslant \left(\max_{\ell+1\leqslant i\leqslant d} |\vartheta_i|\right) \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} \left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor-j+1}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|\right)\left(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_d\rfloor-j+1}\right) \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|\right) m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1},$$

hence (3.24) is satisfied if

$$\left(\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|\right) m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1} < |\vartheta_\ell|(1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1}),$$

which is satisfied if

$$m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1} < \frac{|\vartheta_\ell|}{|\vartheta_\ell| + \cdots + |\vartheta_d|},$$

or equivalently, if

$$j < \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell \qquad \text{with } \widetilde{C}_\ell := \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta_\ell|}{|\vartheta_\ell| + \cdots + |\vartheta_d|}\right)\Big/ \log(m_\xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

Hence, for $\vartheta_\ell \neq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $j \in \{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor + 1, \ldots, \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor\}$ with $j < \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell$, we have (3.23). Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1}\rfloor + 1, \ldots, \lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor\}$, by (3.18), we have

$$\left|\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d\rfloor)}\rangle\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{1 - m_\xi}\left(|\vartheta_\ell|(1 - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_\ell\rfloor-j+1}) + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{d} |\vartheta_i|(m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_{i-1}\rfloor-j+1} - m_\xi^{\lfloor nt_i\rfloor-j+1})\right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{1 - m_\xi} \sum_{i=\ell}^{d} |\vartheta_i|,$$

yielding that

$$\left| n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \right| \leqslant n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\widetilde{C}_\ell}{n(1-m_\xi)^\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=\ell}^d |\vartheta_i| \right)^\alpha \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Consequently, by (3.17),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1 \leqslant j < \lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1 \leqslant j < \lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor + 1 - \widetilde{C}_\ell} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right|^\alpha \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell) = \frac{(t_\ell - t_{\ell-1})|\vartheta_\ell|^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell),$$

as desired. We conclude for all $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell=1}^d \frac{\vartheta_\ell}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) \right\} \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \left\langle n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt_d \rfloor, \alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt_d \rfloor + 1} \right\rangle \right\} \right)$$

$$\to \exp\left\{ -C_\alpha \frac{1-m_\xi^\alpha}{(1-m_\xi)^\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^d (t_\ell - t_{\ell-1})|\vartheta_\ell|^\alpha \left(1 - \mathrm{i} \tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \right) \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta_\ell) \right) \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \sum_{\ell=1}^d \vartheta_\ell \left(\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t_\ell}^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_\ell \right) - \left(\mathcal{Z}_{t_{\ell-1}}^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_{\ell-1} \right) \right) \right\} \right) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

By the continuity theorem, we obtain for all $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \sum_{k=\lfloor nt_{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nt_\ell \rfloor} \left(\mathcal{Y}_k^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) \right)_{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,d\}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t_\ell}^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_\ell \right) - \left(\mathcal{Z}_{t_{\ell-1}}^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_{\ell-1} \right) \right)_{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,d\}}$$

as $n \to \infty$, hence the continuous mapping theorem yields (3.16), and we finished the proofs of (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10).

30

Now we turn to prove (2.9). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Corollary 2.7 and by the continuous mapping theorem, in case of $\alpha = 1$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{n\log(n)a_N}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(X_k^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}\left(X_k^{(j)}\mathbb{1}_{\{X_k^{(j)}\leqslant a_N\}}\right)\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f} \left(\frac{1}{n\log(n)}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$$

as $N \to \infty$. Consequently, in order to prove (2.9), we need to show that

$$(3.25) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{n\log(n)}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_f} (t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Since the limit in (3.25) is deterministic, by van der Vaart [28, Theorem 2.7, part (vi)], it is enough to show that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$(3.26) \qquad \frac{1}{n\log(n)}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} t \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\frac{\vartheta}{n\log(n)}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathcal{Y}_k^{(1)}\right\}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor,1)}\right\rangle\right\}\right).$$

By the explicit form of the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor,1)}$ given in Theorem 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor,1)}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-C_1(1-m_\xi)\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left|\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right|\left(1+\mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right)\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\times\log\left(\left|\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor)}\right\rangle\right|\right)\right)$$

$$+\mathrm{i}C\left\langle\frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}, \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}\right\rangle$$

$$+\mathrm{i}(1-m_\xi)\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \frac{\vartheta\mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor+1}}{n\log(n)}\right\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor)}\rangle\log(\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt\rfloor)}\rangle)\right\}$$

31

$$= \exp\left\{ -\frac{C_1(1-m_\xi)|\vartheta|}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right.$$

$$\times \left(1 + \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta) \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta|}{n\log(n)} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \right)$$

$$+ \mathrm{i}C\frac{\vartheta}{n\log(n)} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \mathrm{i}\frac{(1-m_\xi)\vartheta}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} \left\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \log\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \Bigg\}$$

$$\to \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\vartheta}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{n\log(n)} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} \right\rangle = \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}{n\log(n)} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} \left\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \log\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} m_\xi^{\ell-j-1} \log\left(m_\xi^{\ell-j-1} \right) \right|$$

$$\leqslant \frac{|\log(m_\xi)|}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1} (\ell-j-1)m_\xi^{\ell-j-1} \leqslant \frac{|\log(m_\xi)|}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\infty} (\ell-j-1)m_\xi^{\ell-j-1}$$

$$= \frac{|\log(m_\xi)|(\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1)}{n\log(n)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} km_\xi^k = \frac{m_\xi|\log(m_\xi)|(\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1)}{(1-m_\xi)^2 n\log(n)} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{1}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{1-m_\xi^{\lfloor nt \rfloor-j+1}}{1-m_\xi} \leqslant \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}{(1-m_\xi)n\log(n)} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, and

$$-\frac{C_1(1-m_\xi)|\vartheta|}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\vartheta) \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta|}{n\log(n)} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \to \mathrm{i}t\vartheta$$

as $n \to \infty$, since

$$\left| \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta|}{\log(n)} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \right\rangle \right) \right| = \left| \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta|}{\log(n)} \frac{1-m_\xi^{\lfloor nt \rfloor-j+1}}{1-m_\xi} \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| \log(|\vartheta|) - \log(\log(n)) + \log\left(\frac{1-m_\xi^{\lfloor nt \rfloor-j+1}}{1-m_\xi} \right) \right| \leqslant |\log(|\vartheta|)| + \log(\log(n)) + |\log(1-m_\xi)|,$$

32

hence, by (3.27),

$$\left| \frac{1}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \rangle \log\left(\frac{|\vartheta|}{\log(n)} \langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \rangle \right) \right|$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}{(1-m_\xi)n\log(n)} \big(|\log(|\vartheta|)| + \log(\log(n)) + |\log(1-m_\xi)| \big) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{C_1(1-m_\xi)|\vartheta|}{n\log(n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \langle \mathbf{1}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(\lfloor nt \rfloor)} \rangle \mathrm{i}\frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{sign}(\vartheta) \log(n) = \mathrm{i}\frac{(1-m_\xi)\vartheta}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{1-m_\xi^{\lfloor nt \rfloor-j+1}}{1-m_\xi} \to \mathrm{i}t\vartheta$$

as $n \to \infty$. By the continuity theorem, we obtain (3.26), hence we finished the proof of (2.9).
$\square$

Appendices

A A version of the continuous mapping theorem

If ξ and ξ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are random elements with values in a metric space (E, d), then we also denote by $\xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi$ the weak convergence of the distributions of ξ_n on the space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ towards the distribution of ξ on the space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ as $n \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{B}(E)$ denotes the Borel σ-algebra on E induced by the given metric d.

The following version of the continuous mapping theorem can be found for example in Theorem 3.27 of Kallenberg [14].

A.1 Lemma. *Let (S, d_S) and (T, d_T) be metric spaces and $(\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, ξ be random elements with values in S such that $\xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $f : S \to T$ and $f_n : S \to T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be measurable mappings and $C \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\xi \in C) = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_T(f_n(s_n), f(s)) = 0$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_S(s_n, s) = 0$ and $s \in C$, $s_n \in S$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f_n(\xi_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} f(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

We will use the following corollary of this lemma several times.

A.2 Lemma. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t^{(n)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued stochastic processes with càdlàg paths such that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Phi_n : \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be measurable mappings such that $\Phi_n(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ as $n \to \infty$ whenever $f_n \to f$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ as $n \to \infty$ with $f, f_n \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})$ as $n \to \infty$.*

B The underlying space and vague convergence

For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathbb{R}_0^d := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ the Borel σ-algebra of $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ induced by the metric $\varrho : \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$, given by

$$(\text{B.1}) \qquad \varrho(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) := \min\{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|, 1\} + \left| \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} - \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{y}\|} \right|, \qquad \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.$$

B.1 Lemma. *The set $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ furnished with the metric ϱ given in* (B.1) *is a complete separable metric space, and $B \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ is bounded with respect to the metric ϱ if and only if B is separated from the origin $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $B \subset \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > \varepsilon\}$. Moreover, the topology and the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ on $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ induced by the metric ϱ coincides with the topology and the Borel σ-algebra on $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ induced by the usual metric $d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) := \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|$, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$, respectively.*

Proof. First, we check that $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ furnished with the metric ϱ is a complete separable metric space. If $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}_0^d$, then for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists an $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varrho(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{x}_m) < \varepsilon$ for $n, m \geqslant N_\varepsilon$. Hence $\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_m\| < \varepsilon$ and $\left| \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_n\|} - \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_m\|} \right| < \varepsilon$ for $n, m \geqslant N_\varepsilon$, i.e., $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(1/\|\boldsymbol{x}_n\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and in $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. Consequently, there exists an $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}\| = 0$ and $\frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_n\|}$ being convergent as $n \to \infty$, yielding that $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and so $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$. By the continuity of the norm, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0$, as desired. The separability of $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ readily follows, since $\mathbb{R}_0^d \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$ is a countable everywhere dense subset of $\mathbb{R}_0^d$.

Next, we check that $B \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ is bounded with respect to the metric ϱ if and only if there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $B \subset \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > \varepsilon\}$. If $B \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ is bounded, then there exists $r > 0$ such that $\varrho(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{e}_1) < r$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in B$, yielding that $|\frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} - 1| < r$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in B$, and then $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| > \frac{1}{1+r}$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in B$, so one can choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1+r}$. If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B \subset \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > \varepsilon\}$, then $\varrho(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{e}_1) = \min\{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{e}_1\|, 1\} + |\frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} - 1| \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in B$. $\qquad\square$

Since $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ is locally compact, second countable and Hausdorff, one could choose a metric such that the relatively compact sets are precisely the bounded ones, see Kallenberg [15, page 18]. The metric ϱ does not have this property, but we do not need it.

Write $(\mathbb{R}_0^d)\widehat{}$ for the class of bounded Borel sets with respect to the metric ϱ given in (B.1). A measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}_0^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d))$ is said to be locally finite if $\nu(B) < \infty$ for every $B \in (\mathbb{R}_0^d)\widehat{}$, and write $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ for the class of locally finite measures on $(\mathbb{R}_0^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d))$.

Write $\widehat{C}_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}$ for the class of bounded, continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with bounded support. Hence, if $f \in \widehat{C}_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}$, then there exist an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$ with $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \leqslant \varepsilon$. The vague topology on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ is constructed as in Chapter 4 in Kallenberg [15]. The associated notion of vague convergence of a sequence $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ towards $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$, denoted by $\nu_n \xrightarrow{v} \nu$ as $n \to \infty$, is defined by the condition $\nu_n(f) \to \nu(f)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $f \in \widehat{C}_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}$, where $\kappa(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \kappa(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})$ for each $\kappa \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$.

If ν is a measure on $(\mathbb{R}_0^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d))$, then $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ is called a ν-continuity set if

$\nu(\partial B) = 0$, and the class of bounded ν-continuity sets will be denoted by $(\mathbb{R}_0^d)\widehat{\nu}$. The following statement is an analogue of the portmanteau theorem for vague convergence, see, e.g., Kallenberg [13, 15.7.2].

B.2 Lemma. *Let* $\nu, \nu_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

   (i) $\nu_n \xrightarrow{v} \nu$ *as* $n \to \infty$,

   (ii) $\nu_n(B) \to \nu(B)$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *for all* $B \in (\mathbb{R}_0^d)\widehat{\nu}$.

   The following statement is an analogue of the continuous mapping theorem for vague convergence, see, e.g., Kallenberg [13, 15.7.3]. Write D_f for the set of discontinuities of a function $f : \mathbb{R}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

B.3 Lemma. *Let* $\nu, \nu_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *with* $\nu_n \xrightarrow{v} \nu$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *Then* $\nu_n(f) \to \nu(f)$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *for every bounded measurable function* $f : \mathbb{R}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *with bounded support satisfying* $\nu(\mathrm{D}_f) = 0$.

C   Regularly varying distributions

First, we recall the notions of slowly varying and regularly varying functions, respectively.

C.1 Definition. *A measurable function* $U : \mathbb{R}_{++} \to \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *is called regularly varying at infinity with index* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ *if for all* $c \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{U(cx)}{U(x)} = c^\rho.$$

In case of $\rho = 0$, *we call* U *slowly varying at infinity.*

C.2 Definition. *A random variable* Y *is called regularly varying with index* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *if* $\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, *the function* $\mathbb{R}_{++} \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(|Y| > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *is regularly varying at infinity with index* $-\alpha$, *and a tail-balance condition holds:*

(C.1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y > x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = p, \qquad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = q,$$

where $p + q = 1$.

C.3 Remark. In the tail-balance condition (C.1), the second convergence can be replaced by

(C.2)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y < -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = q.$$

Indeed, if Y is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, then

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y < -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} \leqslant \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = q,$$

and

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y < -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} \geqslant \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant -x - 1)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)}$$

$$= \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant -x - 1)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x + 1)} \frac{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x(1 + 1/x))}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = q,$$

since, by the uniform convergence theorem for regularly varying functions (see, e.g., Bingham et al. [7, Theorem 1.5.2]) together with the fact that $1 + 1/x \in [1, 2]$ for $x \in [1, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x(1 + 1/x))}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x)} = 1,$$

and hence, we conclude (C.2).

On the other hand, if Y is a random variable such that $\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, the function $\mathbb{R}_{++} \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(|Y| > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $-\alpha$, and (C.2) holds, then the second convergence in the tail-balance condition (C.1) can be derived in a similar way. $\qquad\square$

C.4 Lemma. (i) *A non-negative random variable Y is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}(Y > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and the function $\mathbb{R}_{++} \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(Y > x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $-\alpha$.*

(ii) *If Y is a regularly varying random variable with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, then for each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, $|Y|^\beta$ is regularly varying with index α/β.*

C.5 Lemma. *If Y is a regularly varying random variable with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, then there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. If $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is such a sequence, then $a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. We are going to show that one can choose $a_n := \max\{\widetilde{a}_n, 1\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\widetilde{a}_n$ denotes the $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ lower quantile of $|Y|$, namely,

$$\widetilde{a}_n := \inf\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leqslant \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leqslant x) \right\} = \inf\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(|Y| > x) \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition of the infimum, there exists a sequence $(x_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $x_m \downarrow \widetilde{a}_n$ as $m \to \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(|Y| > x_m) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $m \to \infty$, using that the distribution function of $|Y|$ is right-continuous, we obtain $\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$, thus $n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) \leqslant 1$, and hence

(C.3) $$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) \leqslant 1.$$

Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, again by the definition of the infimum, we have $\frac{1}{n} < \mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n - 1)$, thus $n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n - 1) > 1$, and hence

(C.4) $$\liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n - 1) \geqslant 1.$$

We have $\widetilde{a}_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, since $|Y|$ is regularly variable with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (see part (ii) of Lemma C.4), yielding that $|Y|$ is unbounded. Thus for each $q \in (0,1)$ and for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\widetilde{a}_n \geqslant \frac{1}{1-q}$, and then $\widetilde{a}_n - 1 \geqslant q\widetilde{a}_n$, and hence $\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n - 1) \leqslant \mathbb{P}(|Y| > q\widetilde{a}_n)$. Consequently, for each $q \in (0,1)$, using (C.4) and that $|Y|$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, we obtain

$$1 \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n - 1) \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > q\widetilde{a}_n)$$

$$= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > q\widetilde{a}_n)}{\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n)} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) = q^{-\alpha} \liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n).$$

Hence for each $q \in (0,1)$, we have $\liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) \geqslant q^\alpha$. Letting $q \uparrow 1$, we get $\liminf_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) \geqslant 1$, and hence by (C.3), we conclude $\lim_{n\to\infty} n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > \widetilde{a}_n) = 1$.

If $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $n\,\mathbb{P}(|Y| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, then $a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, since $|Y|$ is unbounded. $\qquad\square$

C.6 Lemma. (Karamata's theorem for truncated moments) *Consider a non-negative regularly varying random variable* Y *with index* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^\beta\,\mathbb{P}(Y > x)}{\mathbb{E}(Y^\beta \mathbb{1}_{\{Y \leqslant x\}})} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha} \qquad \text{for } \beta \in [\alpha, \infty),$$

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^\beta\,\mathbb{P}(Y > x)}{\mathbb{E}(Y^\beta \mathbb{1}_{\{Y > x\}})} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha} \qquad \text{for } \beta \in (-\infty, \alpha).$$

For Lemma C.6, see, e.g., Bingham et al. [7, pages 26-27] or Buraczewski et al. [8, Appendix B.4].

Next, based on Buraczewski et al. [8, Appendix C], we recall the definition and some properties of regularly varying random vectors.

C.7 Definition. *A d-dimensional random vector* $\boldsymbol{Y}$ *and its distribution are called regularly varying with index* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *if there exists a probability measure* ψ *on* $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ *such that for all* $c \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}\big(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > cx,\ \frac{\boldsymbol{Y}}{\|\boldsymbol{Y}\|} \in \cdot\big)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x)} \xrightarrow{w} c^{-\alpha}\psi(\cdot) \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

where $\xrightarrow{w}$ *denotes the weak convergence of finite measures on* $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. *The probability measure* ψ *is called the spectral measure of* $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

The following equivalent characterization of multivariate regular variation can be derived, e.g., from Resnick [24, page 69].

C.8 Proposition. *A d-dimensional random vector* $\boldsymbol{Y}$ *is regularly varying with some index* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ *if and only if there exists a non-null locally finite measure* μ *on* $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ *satisfying the limit relation*

$$(C.5) \qquad\qquad \mu_x(\cdot) := \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1}\boldsymbol{Y} \in \cdot)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x)} \xrightarrow{v} \mu(\cdot) \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

where $\overset{v}{\longrightarrow}$ denotes vague convergence of locally finite measures on $\mathbb{R}^d_0$ (see Appendix B for the notion $\overset{v}{\longrightarrow}$). Further, μ satisfies the property $\mu(cB) = c^{-\alpha}\mu(B)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d_0)$ (see, e.g., Theorem 1.14 and 1.15 and Remark 1.16 in Lindskog [16]).

The measure μ in Proposition C.8 is called the limit measure of $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

Proof of Proposition C.8. Recall that a d-dimensional random vector $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is regularly varying with some index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ if and only if on $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0, \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0))$, furnished with an appropriate metric $\overline{\varrho}$ (see, e.g., Kallenberg [15, page 125]), the vague convergence $\mu_x \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mu}$ as $x \to \infty$ holds with some non-null locally finite measure $\overline{\mu}$ with $\overline{\mu}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0 \setminus \mathbb{R}^d_0) = 0$, where $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0 := \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, see, e.g., Resnick [24, page 69]. It remains to check that $\mu_x \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mu}$ as $x \to \infty$ on $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0, \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0))$ holds if and only if $\mu_x \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} \mu$ as $x \to \infty$ on $(\mathbb{R}^d_0, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d_0))$ with $\mu := \overline{\mu}|_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}$. By Lemma B.2, $\mu_x(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0) = \mu_x(B) \to \overline{\mu}(B) = \overline{\mu}(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0)$ as $x \to \infty$ for any bounded $\overline{\mu}$-continuity Borel set B of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$. By Kallenberg [15, page 125] and Lemma B.1, a subset B of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$ is bounded with respect to the metric $\overline{\varrho}$ if and only if $B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0$ (as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d_0$) is bounded with respect to the metric ϱ. Further, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0)$, $(\partial_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0}B) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0 = \partial_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0)$, where $\partial_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0}B$ and $\partial_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0)$ denotes the boundary of B in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$ and that of $(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_0$, respetively, since a set $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$ is open with respect to $\overline{\varrho}$ if and only if $G \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0$ is open with respect to ϱ. Thus $\overline{\mu}(\partial_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0}B) = \overline{\mu}((\partial_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0}B) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(\partial_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}(B \cap \mathbb{R}^d_0)) = 0$. Hence $\mu_x(B) \to \overline{\mu}(B)$ as $x \to \infty$ for any bounded $\overline{\mu}$-continuity set B of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$ if and only if $\mu_x(B) \to \mu(B)$ as $x \to \infty$ for any bounded μ-continuity set B of $\mathbb{R}^d_0$. Consequently, by Lemma B.2, $\mu_x \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mu}$ as $x \to \infty$ on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d_0$ if and only if $\mu_x \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} \mu$ as $x \to \infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^d_0$. $\square$

The next statement follows, e.g., from part (i) in Lemma C.3.1 in Buraczewski et al. [8].

C.9 Lemma. *If $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is a regularly varying d-dimensional random vector with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, then for each $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the random vector $\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{c}$ is regularly varying with index α.*

Recall that if $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is a regularly varying d-dimensional random vector with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and with limit measure μ given in (C.5), and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ and it is positively homogeneous of degree $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ (i.e., $f(c\boldsymbol{v}) = c^\beta f(\boldsymbol{v})$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$), then $f(\boldsymbol{Y})$ is regularly varying with index $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ and with limit measure $\mu(f^{-1}(\cdot))$, see, e.g., Buraczewski et al. [8, page 282]. Next we describe the tail behavior of $f(\boldsymbol{Y})$ for appropriate positively homogeneous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

C.10 Proposition. *Let $\boldsymbol{Y}$ be a regularly varying d-dimensional random vector with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function which is positively homogeneous of degree $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, continuous at $\boldsymbol{0}$ and $\mu(D_f) = 0$, where μ is the limit measure of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ given in (C.5) and D_f denotes the set of discontinuities of f. Then $\mu(\partial_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}(f^{-1}((1, \infty)))) = 0$, where $\partial_{\mathbb{R}^d_0}(f^{-1}((1, \infty)))$ denotes the boundary of $f^{-1}((1, \infty))$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_0$. Consequently,*

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{Y}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\|^\beta > x)} = \mu(f^{-1}((1, \infty))),$$

38

and $f(\boldsymbol{Y})$ is regularly varying with tail index $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

Proof. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{Y}) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\|^\beta > x)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1} f(\boldsymbol{Y}) > 1)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x^{1/\beta})} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(f(x^{-1/\beta}\boldsymbol{Y}) > 1)}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x^{1/\beta})} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1/\beta}\boldsymbol{Y} \in f^{-1}((1,\infty)))}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x^{1/\beta})}.$$

Next, we check that $f^{-1}((1,\infty))$ is a μ-continuity set being bounded with respect to the metric ϱ given in (B.1). Since $f(\boldsymbol{0}) = 0$ (following from the positive homogeneity of f), we have $f^{-1}((1,\infty)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$. The continuity of f at $\boldsymbol{0}$ implies the existence of an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ we have $|f(\boldsymbol{x})| \leqslant 1$, thus $\boldsymbol{x} \notin f^{-1}((1,\infty))$, hence $f^{-1}((1,\infty)) \subset \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > \varepsilon\}$, i.e., $f^{-1}((1,\infty))$ is separated from $\boldsymbol{0}$, and hence, by Lemma B.1, $f^{-1}((1,\infty))$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ with respect to the metric ϱ. Further, we have

$$\partial_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}(f^{-1}((1,\infty))) \subset f^{-1}(\partial_{\mathbb{R}}((1,\infty))) \cup D_f = f^{-1}(\{1\}) \cup D_f,$$

and hence

$$\mu(\partial_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}(f^{-1}((1,\infty)))) \leqslant \mu(f^{-1}(\{1\})) + \mu(D_f) = \mu(f^{-1}(\{1\})).$$

Here $\mu(f^{-1}(\{1\})) = 0$, since if, on the contrary, we suppose that $\mu(f^{-1}(\{1\})) \in (0,\infty]$, then for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ with $u < v$, we have

$$\mu(f^{-1}((u,v))) \geqslant \mu\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (u,v)} f^{-1}(\{q\})\right) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (u,v)} \mu(f^{-1}(\{q\})) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (u,v)} \mu(q^{\frac{1}{\beta}} f^{-1}(\{1\}))$$

$$= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (u,v)} q^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \mu(f^{-1}(\{1\})) = \infty,$$

where we used that $\mu(cB) = c^{-\alpha}\mu(B)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ (see Proposition C.8), and that

$$f^{-1}(\{q\}) = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : f(\boldsymbol{x}) = q\} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : f(q^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\boldsymbol{x}) = 1\}$$

$$= \{q^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : f(\boldsymbol{y}) = 1\} = q^{\frac{1}{\beta}} f^{-1}(\{1\}), \qquad q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

This leads us to a contradiction, since $f^{-1}((u,v))$ is separated from $\boldsymbol{0}$ (can be seen similarly as for $f^{-1}((1,\infty))$), so, by Lemma B.1, it is bounded with respect to the metric ϱ, and hence $\mu(f^{-1}((u,v))) < \infty$ due to the local finiteness of μ. Hence $\mu(\partial_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}(f^{-1}((1,\infty)))) = 0$, as desired.

Consequently, by portmanteau theorem for vague convergence (see Lemma B.2), we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(x^{-1/\beta}\boldsymbol{Y} \in f^{-1}((1,\infty)))}{\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{Y}\| > x^{1/\beta})} \to \mu(f^{-1}((1,\infty))) \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

as desired. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \square$

D Weak convergence of partial sum processes towards Lévy processes

We formulate a slight modification of Theorem 7.1 in Resnick [25] with a different centering.

D.1 Theorem. *Suppose that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent and identically distributed d-dimensional random vectors such that*

$$(\text{D.1}) \qquad N\,\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1} \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{\;v\;} \nu(\cdot) \qquad on \;\; \mathbb{R}_0^d \;\; as \;\; N \to \infty,$$

where ν is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^d$ such that $\nu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : |\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle| = 1\}) = 0$ for every $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, and that

$$(\text{D.2}) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} N\,\mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}\rangle^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}\rangle| \leqslant \varepsilon\}}\big) = 0, \qquad \ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle| \leqslant 1\}}\big)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\;\mathcal{D}\;} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \qquad as \;\; N \to \infty,$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a Lévy process such that the characteristic function of the distribution μ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1$ has the form

$$(\text{D.3}) \quad \widehat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,1]}(|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle|)\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})\right\}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. There exists $r \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $\nu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = r\}) = 0$, since the function $\mathbb{R}_{++} \ni t \mapsto \nu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > t\})$ is decreasing. By an appropriate modification of Theorem 7.1 in Resnick [25], we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}\big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} - \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\| \leqslant r\}}\big)\big)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\;\mathcal{D}\;} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \qquad as \;\; N \to \infty,$$

where $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a Lévy process such that the characteristic function of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_1$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_1\rangle}) = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,r]}(\|\boldsymbol{x}\|)\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})\right\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let us consider the decomposition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle| \leqslant 1\}}\big)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}\big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} - \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\| \leqslant r\}}\big)\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}\mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle\big(\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\| \leqslant r\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle| \leqslant 1\}}\big)\big)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$. Here for each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle(\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\| \leqslant r\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle| \leqslant 1\}})\big)$$

$$= \lfloor Nt \rfloor \, \mathbb{E}\big(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}\rangle(\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}\| \leqslant r\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}\rangle| \leqslant 1\}})\big) = \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{N} N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})),$$

where $g_\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $g_\ell(\boldsymbol{x}) := x_\ell(\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \leqslant r\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|x_\ell| \leqslant 1\}})$, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, the positive and negative parts g_ℓ^+ and g_ℓ^- of the function g_ℓ are bounded, measurable with a bounded support (following from Lemma B.1), and, due to $\nu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : |\langle \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle| = 1\}) = 0$, $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, and $\nu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = r\}) = 0$, the sets of discontinuity points $D_{g_\ell^+}$ and $D_{g_\ell^-}$ have ν-measure 0, i.e., $\nu(D_{g_\ell^+}) = \nu(D_{g_\ell^-}) = 0$. Consequently, by (D.1) and Lemma B.3, we have

$$N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) = N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell^+(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) - N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell^-(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) \to \nu(g_\ell^+) - \nu(g_\ell^-) = \nu(g_\ell) \in \mathbb{R}$$

as $N \to \infty$, since $\nu(g_\ell^+), \nu(g_\ell^-) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ due to the fact that ν is a Lévy measure. Next, we may apply Lemma A.2 with

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t^{(N)} := \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} - \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\| \leqslant r\}}\big)\big), \qquad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\Phi_N(f)(t) := f(t) + \lfloor Nt \rfloor \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1}))\boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \qquad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t := \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t, \qquad \Phi(f)(t) := f(t) + t \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \nu(g_\ell)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Indeed, in order to show $\Phi_N(f_N) \to \Phi(f)$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N \to \infty$ whenever $f_N \to f$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ as $N \to \infty$ with $f, f_N \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by Propositions VI.1.17 and VI.1.23 in Jacod and Shiryaev [10], it is enough to check that for each $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \left| \lfloor Nt \rfloor \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) - t\nu(g_\ell) \right| \to 0 \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

This follows, since for each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \lfloor Nt \rfloor \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) - t\nu(g_\ell) \right|$$

$$\leqslant \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{N} \big(N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) - \nu(g_\ell)\big) \right| + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \nu(g_\ell)\left(\frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}{N} - t \right) \right|$$

$$\leqslant T|N \, \mathbb{E}(g_\ell(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,1})) - \nu(g_\ell)| + \frac{\nu(g_\ell)}{N} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

41

Applying Lemma A.2, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor Nt\rfloor}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\mathbb{E}\big(\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell},\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell},\boldsymbol{X}_{N,j}\rangle|\leqslant 1\}}\big)\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}\right)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}=\Phi_N(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(N)})\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})\qquad\text{as }\;N\to\infty,$$

where $\;\Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})_t=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t+t\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\nu(g_\ell)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t,\;\;t\in\mathbb{R}_+,\;$ is a d-dimensional Lévy process, since

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_1+\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\nu(g_\ell)\boldsymbol{e}_\ell\rangle}\right)=\exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}-1-\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,r]}(\|\boldsymbol{x}\|)\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})+\mathrm{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{e}_\ell\rangle\nu(g_\ell)\right\}$$

$$=\exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}-1-\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x}\rangle\mathbb{1}_{(0,r]}(\|\boldsymbol{x}\|)\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})\right.$$

$$\left.+\mathrm{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\langle\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{e}_\ell\rangle\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d}\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{x}\rangle\big(\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|\leqslant r\}}-\mathbb{1}_{\{|\langle\boldsymbol{e}_\ell,\boldsymbol{x}\rangle|\leqslant 1\}}\big)\nu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})\right\},$$

yielding (D.3). $\hfill\square$

E   Tail behavior of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$

Due to Basrak et al. [5, Theorem 2.1.1], we have the following tail behavior.

E.1 Theorem. *We have*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\pi((x,\infty))}{\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon>x)}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}m_\xi^{i\alpha}=\frac{1}{1-m_\xi^\alpha},$$

where π denotes the unique stationary distribution of the Markov chain $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, and consequently, π is also regularly varying with index α.

Note that in case of $\alpha=1$ and $m_\varepsilon=\infty$ Basrak et al. [5, Theorem 2.1.1] assume additionally that ε is consistently varying (or in other words intermediate varying), but, eventually, it follows from the fact that ε is regularly varying.

Let $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a strongly stationary extension of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Basrak et al. [5, Lemma 3.1] described the so-called forward tail process of the strongly stationary process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and hence, due to Basrak and Segers [6, Theorem 2.1], the strongly stationary process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is jointly regularly varying.

E.2 Theorem. *The finite dimensional conditional distributions of $(x^{-1}X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ with respect to the condition $X_0>x$ converge weakly to the corresponding finite dimensional distributions of $(m_\xi^k Y)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ as $x\to\infty$, where Y is a random variable with Pareto distribution $\mathbb{P}(Y\leqslant y)=(1-y^{-\alpha})\mathbb{1}_{[1,\infty)}(y),\;\;y\in\mathbb{R}.$ Consequently, the strongly stationary process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is jointly regularly varying with index α, i.e., all its finite dimensional distributions are regularly varying with index α. The process $(m_\xi^k Y)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the so called forward tail process of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, there exists a (whole) tail process of $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as well.*

By the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition C.10, we obtain the following results.

E.3 Proposition. *For each* $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

(i) *the limit measure* $\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}$ *of* $(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top$ *given in* (3.3) *takes the form*

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha} = \frac{\nu_{k,\alpha}}{\nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\})},$$

where $\nu_{k,\alpha}$ *is given by* (3.4) *and*

$$\nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\}) = \frac{1 - m_\xi^\alpha}{(1 - m_\xi^2)^{\alpha/2}} \left(\frac{(1 - m_\xi^{2(k+1)})^{\alpha/2}}{1 - m_\xi^\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(1 - m_\xi^{2(k-j+1)})^{\alpha/2} \right);$$

(ii) *the tail behavior of* $X_0 + \cdots + X_k$ *is given by*

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 + \cdots + X_k > x)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} = \frac{1 - m_\xi^\alpha}{(1 - m_\xi)^\alpha} \left(\frac{(1 - m_\xi^{k+1})^\alpha}{1 - m_\xi^\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(1 - m_\xi^{k-j+1})^\alpha \right).$$

Proof. (i). In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we derived $\nu_{k,\alpha} = \widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}/\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : x_0 > 1\})$. Consequently,

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : x_0 > 1\}) = \frac{\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\})}{\nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\})},$$

where, using Proposition C.10 with the 1-homogeneous function $\mathbb{R}^{k+1} \ni \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \|\boldsymbol{x}\|$, we have

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\}) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)} = 1,$$

and, by (3.4),

$$\nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| > 1\}}\, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\|u\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\| > 1\}} \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{\|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^{-1}}^\infty \alpha u^{-\alpha-1}\, \mathrm{d}u = (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)}\|^\alpha$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \left((1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{-1}(1 + m_\xi^2 + \cdots + m_\xi^{2k})^{\alpha/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(1 + m_\xi^2 + \cdots + m_\xi^{2(k-j)})^{\alpha/2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1 - m_\xi^\alpha}{(1 - m_\xi^2)^{\alpha/2}} \left(\frac{(1 - m_\xi^{2(k+1)})^{\alpha/2}}{1 - m_\xi^\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(1 - m_\xi^{2(k-j+1)})^{\alpha/2} \right).$$

43

(ii). Applying Proposition C.10 for the 1-homogeneous functions $\mathbb{R}^{k+1} \ni \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto x_0$ and $\mathbb{R}^{k+1} \ni \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto x_0 + \cdots + x_k$ and formula (3.4), we obtain

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 + \cdots + X_k > x)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 > x)} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 + \cdots + X_k > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\|(X_0, \ldots, X_k)^\top\| > x)}$$

$$= \frac{\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : x_0 + \cdots + x_k > 1\})}{\widetilde{\nu}_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : x_0 > 1\})} = \nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : x_0 + \cdots + x_k > 1\})$$

$$= \nu_{k,\alpha}(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{k+1} : \langle \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle > 1\}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^{k+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\langle \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle > 1\}} \, \nu_{k,\alpha}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\langle \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, u\boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle > 1\}} \alpha u^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{\langle \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle^{-1}}^\infty \alpha u^{-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}u = (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{k} \langle \mathbf{1}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_j^{(k)} \rangle^\alpha$$

$$= (1 - m_\xi^\alpha) \left((1 - m_\xi^\alpha)^{-1} (1 + m_\xi + \cdots + m_\xi^k)^\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 + m_\xi + \cdots + m_\xi^{k-j})^\alpha \right)$$

$$= \frac{1 - m_\xi^\alpha}{(1 - m_\xi)^\alpha} \left(\frac{(1 - m_\xi^{k+1})^\alpha}{1 - m_\xi^\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 - m_\xi^{k-j+1})^\alpha \right),$$

as desired. □

References

[1] Aloisio Araujo and Evarist Giné. *The central limit theorem for real and Banach valued random variables*. John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane, 1980. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.

[2] Mátyás Barczy, Zsuzsanna Bősze, and Gyula Pap. On tail behaviour of stationary second-order Galton–Watson processes with immigration. arXiv: 1801.07931, 2018.

[3] Mátyás Barczy, Fanni Nedényi, and Gyula Pap. Iterated limits for aggregation of randomized INAR(1) processes with Poisson innovations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 451(1):524–543, 2017. See also arXiv: 1509.05149.

[4] Mátyás Barczy, Fanni K. Nedényi, and Gyula Pap. On aggregation of multitype Galton-Watson branching processes with immigration. *Mod. Stoch. Theory Appl.*, 5(1):53–79, 2018.

[5] Bojan Basrak, Rafał Kulik, and Zbigniew Palmowski. Heavy-tailed branching process with immigration. *Stoch. Models*, 29(4):413–434, 2013.

[6] Bojan Basrak and Johan Segers. Regularly varying multivariate time series. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(4):1055–1080, 2009.

[7] Nicholas H. Bingham, Charles M. Goldie, and Józef L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[8] Dariusz Buraczewski, Ewa Damek, and Thomas Mikosch. *Stochastic models with power-law tails. The equation $X = AX + B$*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2016.

[9] Clive William John Granger. Long memory relationships and the aggregation of dynamic models. *J. Econometrics*, 14(2):227–238, 1980.

[10] Jean Jacod and Albert N. Shiryaev. *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2003.

[11] Anja Janssen and Johan Segers. Markov tail chains. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 51(4):1133–1153, 2014.

[12] Moritz Jirak. Limit theorems for aggregated linear processes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 45(2):520–544, 2013.

[13] Olav Kallenberg. *Random measures*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin; Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London, third edition, 1983.

[14] Olav Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[15] Olav Kallenberg. *Random measures, theory and applications*, volume 77 of *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*. Springer, Cham, 2017.

[16] Filip Lindskog. *Multivariate extremes and regular variation for stochastic processes*. PhD thesis, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich, Diss. ETH No. 15319, 2004.

[17] Thomas Mikosch and Olivier Wintenberger. The cluster index of regularly varying sequences with applications to limit theory for functions of multivariate Markov chains. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 159(1-2):157–196, 2014.

[18] V. Pilipauskaitė, V. Skorniakov, and D. Surgailis. Joint temporal and contemporaneous aggregation of random-coefficient AR(1) processes with infinite variance. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 52(1):237–265, 2020.

[19] Vytautė Pilipauskaitė and Donatas Surgailis. Joint temporal and contemporaneous aggregation of random-coefficient AR(1) processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124(2):1011–1035, 2014.

[20] Hrvoje Planinić and Philippe Soulier. The tail process revisited. *Extremes*, 21(4):551–579, 2018.

[21] Donata Puplinskaitė and Donatas Surgailis. Aggregation of random-coefficient AR(1) process with infinite variance and common innovations. *Lith. Math. J.*, 49(4):446–463, 2009.

[22] Donata Puplinskaitė and Donatas Surgailis. Aggregation of a random-coefficient AR(1) process with infinite variance and idiosyncratic innovations. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 42(2):509–527, 2010.

[23] Malcolm P. Quine. The multi-type Galton-Watson process with immigration. *J. Appl. Probability*, 7:411–422, 1970.

[24] Sidney I. Resnick. Point processes, regular variation and weak convergence. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 18(1):66–138, 1986.

[25] Sidney I. Resnick. *Heavy-tail phenomena*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer, New York, 2007.

[26] Peter M. Robinson. Statistical inference for a random coefficient autoregressive model. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 5(3):163–168, 1978.

[27] Ken-iti Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised by the author.

[28] Aad W. van der Vaart. *Asymptotic statistics*, volume 3 of *Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[29] N.M. Yanev, V.K. Stoimenova, and D.V. Atanasov. Stochastic modeling and estimation of COVID-19 population dynamics. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 73(4):451–460, 2020.