1	Population structure of Pyrenophora teres f. teres barley pathogens from different continents				
2					
3	Buddhika A. Dahanayaka <sup>1</sup> , Niloofar Vaghefi <sup>1</sup> , Noel L. Knight <sup>1</sup> , József Bakonyi <sup>2</sup> , Renée Prins <sup>3,4</sup> ,				
4	Diána Seress <sup>2</sup> , Lislé Snyman <sup>5</sup> , Anke Martin <sup>1,*</sup>				
5					
6	<sup>1</sup> Centre for Crop Health, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD, 4350, Australia.				
7	<sup>2</sup> Plant Protection Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research, 15 Herman Ottó Street, Budapest,				
8	1022, Hungary.				
9	<sup>3</sup> CenGen (Pty) Ltd, 78 Fairbairn Street, Worcester, 6850, South Africa.				
10	<sup>4</sup> Stellenbosch University, Department of Genetics, Private Bag X1, Matieland, Stellenbosch, 7602,				
11	South Africa.				
12	<sup>5</sup> Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Queensland, Hermitage Research Facility, 604 Yangan				
13	Rd, Warwick, QLD, 4370, Australia.				
14	*Corresponding author: Anke Martin; anke.martin@usq.edu.au				
15					
16					
17	Keywords: Australia, Diversity Arrays Technology, Hungary, Hybrids, Net form net blotch, South				
18	Africa.				
10					
19					
20					
21	Funding: Grant NKFI 119276 for the collection of isolates from Hungary				

22

#### ABSTRACT

23 Net-form net blotch disease caused by Pyrenophora teres f. teres (Ptt) results in significant vield losses to barley industries. Up-to-date knowledge of the genetic diversity and structure of 24 25 pathogen populations is critical for better understanding the disease epidemiology and unravelling 26 pathogen survival and dispersal mechanisms. Thus, this study investigated long distance dispersal 27 and adaptation by analysing the genetic structure of 250 Ptt isolates collected from Australia, Canada, 28 Hungary and Republic of South Africa (RSA), and historical isolates from Canada, Denmark, Japan 29 and Sweden. The population genetic structure detected by discriminant analysis of principal 30 component, using 5890 Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) markers, revealed the presence of four 31 clusters. Two of these contained isolates from all regions, and all isolates from RSA were grouped in 32 these two. Australia and Hungary showed three clusters each. One of the Australian clusters contained 33 only Australian isolates. One of the Hungarian clusters contained only Hungarian isolates and one 34 Danish isolate. STRUCTURE analysis indicated that some isolates from Australia and Hungary 35 shared recent ancestry with RSA, Canada and historical isolates and were thus admixed. Subdivisions 36 of the Neighbor-joining network indicated that isolates from distinct countries were closely related, 37 suggesting multiple introduction events conferred genetic heterogeneity in these countries. Through 38 a Neighbor-joining analysis and amplification with form-specific DNA markers two hybrid isolates, 39 CBS 281.31 from Japan and H-919 from Hungary collected in 1931 and 2018, respectively, were 40 detected. These results provide a foundation for exploring improved management of disease 41 incursions and pathogen control through strategic deployment of resistances.

42 Keywords: Australia, Canada, Diversity Arrays Technology, Historical isolates, Hungary, Hybrids,
43 Net form net blotch, Republic of South Africa.

44 The net blotch diseases, caused by Pyrenophora teres, are major fungal foliar diseases 45 of barley, causing devastating losses to barley production throughout the world (Mathre 1997). 46 Yield loss due to P. teres in susceptible barley varieties can range from 10 to 70% (Jayasena et 47 al. 2007; Wallwork et al. 2016). Additionally, total plant death may occur in the absence of 48 suitable fungicide treatments (Mathre 1997; Murray and Brennan 2010; Steffenson et al. 1991). 49 Net blotch can appear as two forms, net form net blotch (NFNB), caused by *P. teres* f. teres 50 (Ptt), and spot form net blotch (SFNB), caused by P. teres f. maculata (Ptm). Phylogenetically 51 these two forms are closely related to each other (Marin-Felix et al. 2019) while in terms of 52 population genetic analyses, the two forms represent two genetically distinct populations 53 (Ellwood and Wallwork 2018; Liu et al. 2011; McLean et al. 2009). Even though hybrids 54 between Ptt and Ptm have been produced successfully under laboratory conditions 55 (Smedegård-Petersen 1971), hybrids in the field are considered to be absent or rare due to the 56 genetic distance between these two forms (Ellwood et al. 2012; Lehmensiek et al. 2010; Poudel 57 et al. 2017).

58 Net form net blotch is characterised by streaks or net-like dark brown necrotic lesions 59 along barley leaf veins, comprising longitudinal and transverse striations (Liu et al. 2011; 60 Smedegård-Petersen 1971). Outbreaks of *Ptt* have occurred across a wide range of barley growing regions and climates (Van den Berg 1988). Short distance dispersal of Ptt by air 61 62 turbulence and water splashing (Deadman and Cooke 1989) can occur through ascospores and 63 conidia produced during sexual and asexual reproduction, respectively (Liu et al. 2011). Since 64 *Ptt* is a seed-borne fungus (Liu et al. 2011), long distance transmission of *Ptt* could result from 65 exchange of infected seeds among geographically remote areas (Martin and Clough 1984; 66 Shipton 1966). Furthermore, as sexual recombination is known to play a major role in the life 67 cycle of *Ptt*, integration and adaptation of novel *Ptt* pathotypes into local areas from another

Page 4 of 74

68 geographical region is possible. Introduction of a novel pathotype may greatly shape the local69 *Ptt* genetic structure.

70 Knowledge of population diversity and structure is essential for understanding 71 population dynamics and improving disease control methods. The genetic structure of a Ptt 72 population depends on a number of factors such as mutations, genetic drift, gene flow, selection 73 and the relative significance of sexual versus asexual stages in the life cycle of the pathogen 74 (Akhavan et al. 2016b). With the advent of molecular genotyping technologies, *Ptt* populations 75 from different geographical locations have been characterized using molecular markers such 76 as random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphisms 77 (AFLP) and simple sequence repeats (SSR). Genetic characterization studies in Australia 78 (Bogacki et al. 2010; Ellwood et al. 2019; Lehmensiek et al. 2010; Serenius et al. 2007), Europe 79 (Bakonyi and Justesen 2007; Ficsor et al. 2014; Jonsson et al. 2000; Rau et al. 2003; Serenius 80 et al. 2005), North America (Akhavan et al. 2016b; Jonsson et al. 2000; Peever and Milgroom 81 1994) and the Republic of South Africa (RSA) (Campbell et al. 2002; Lehmensiek et al. 2010) 82 have detected high genetic diversity within *Ptt* populations.

83 Studies conducted on Australian P. teres populations using AFLP and SSR markers 84 revealed high genetic variation within P. teres isolates collected from New South Wales, 85 Queensland, South Australia, Victoria and Western Australia (Ellwood et al. 2019; Lehmensiek 86 et al. 2010; McLean et al. 2010). To date, two studies have characterized the genetic structure 87 of *P. teres* populations from the RSA using AFLP and RAPD markers (Campbell et al. 2002; 88 Lehmensiek et al. 2010), which revealed high genetic diversity in the Ptt populations. Ficsor 89 et al. (2014) used RAPD markers to detect greater genotypic variability and genetic diversities 90 within sampling units than between sampling units (mating type, field type, geographical 91 region and year), and significant temporal genetic differentiation between seasons in Hungarian 92 *Ptt* populations. While each of these studies provide valuable information on the biology and

epidemiology of *Ptt* in the respective regions, it is not possible to compare the genetic diversity
and structure of *Ptt* populations among these geographical areas as different studies have used
different marker and analysis systems. Hence, application of a single marker system is
necessary to enable valid comparisons of the genetic diversity and structure in *Ptt* populations
from different parts of the world.

98 Use of less efficient markers such as AFLPs and RAPDs limits the reproducibility of the 99 results (Mondini et al. 2009). Alternative marker systems, such as Diversity Arrays Technology 100 (DArT), have become available, which produce a large number of reproducible genome-wide 101 markers, some of which are located in gene regions (DArTseq 2020). Diversity Arrays 102 Technology is a high throughput efficient molecular marker technology which, unlike SSR 103 markers, does not require prior knowledge of the genome sequence (Wenzl et al. 2004). With 104 DArT, polymorphisms are detected at restriction enzyme recognition sites and the presence or 105 absence of individual DNA fragments in the genome is detected through microarray 106 hybridization (Jaccoud et al. 2001). The advanced DArT technology also identifies single 107 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within sequences. This technology has been previously 108 implemented for genetic population analysis of fungal species including *P. teres* (Martin et al. 109 2020; Poudel et al. 2019; Syme et al. 2018).

110 The genetic diversity of a pathogen can affect its ability to adapt to host resistances and 111 control strategies (McDonald and Linde 2002). Therefore, pathogens that are genetically more 112 diverse may also have a higher diversity profile of virulence (Linde and Smith 2019) and an 113 increased ability to respond to environmental changes and control measures, which may affect 114 the resistance to fungicides or pathogenicity on the host (Peltonen et al. 1996). A recent study 115 revealed rapid changes in the genetic structure of *Ptt* populations collected over three years 116 from barley fields in Australia, suggesting potential adaptation and underlining the necessity 117 of using multiple sources of host-plant resistance for defence against the pathogen (Poudel et

al. 2019). The continued evolution of fungal pathogen populations driven by the selection
pressure applied by host resistance will likely lead to a decline in the efficiency of the deployed
resistance (Suffert et al. 2018).

121 The worldwide occurrence of *Ptt* in barley and its potential for rapid genetic change 122 through sexual recombination over a short period of time demonstrates the necessity of 123 understanding its population diversity and structure in order to achieve efficient disease 124 management strategies, including the development of resistant barley varieties (Liu et al. 2011; 125 McDonald and McDermott 1993). Hence, this study was designed to characterize the genetic 126 diversity and structure of *Ptt* populations from Australia, Canada, Hungary and the RSA, and 127 explore the potential for long distance dispersal and geographic adaptation of the pathogen.

128

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

129 Sample collection and fungal isolation. The terms entire collection, population and 130 subpopulation in this study refer to the isolates from all countries included in the study, a 131 collection of isolates from a country and a collection of isolates from a region/state within a 132 country, respectively. All the isolates used in this study were monoconidial isolates and 133 collected randomly. Isolates were mostly originated from barley leaves (except two isolates: 134 H-374 and H-376 from Hungary originated from wheat and one: CG16015 from RSA 135 originated from rye grass) exhibiting NFNB symptoms collected from Australia, Canada, 136 Hungary and RSA. Five additional historic isolates were included in this study from Canada 137 [WRS858; Serenius et al. (2007)], Denmark [Pt-Pastorale; Justesen et al. (2008)], Japan [CBS 138 282.31 and CBS 281.31; Bakonyi and Justesen (2007)] and Sweden [UPSC1838; Bakonyi and 139 Justesen (2007)].

The Australian population included 118 isolates collected between 1985 and 2017 from
New South Wales (NSW, n = 20), Queensland (QLD, n = 43) South Australia (SA, n = 24),
Victoria (VIC, n = 6) and Western Australia (WA, n = 25), including the previously reported
Dahanayaka et al.
Phytopathology

143 hybrid WAC17021 (McLean et al. 2014) (Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 1). Sample 144 collection and fungal isolation of Australian samples were performed following the method 145 described by Martin et al. (2020). Six isolates from Canada, collected by Akhavan et al. (2016a) 146 in 2010 and 2011 from Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan, were also included in the study. 147 The Hungarian population consisted of 85 isolates derived from naturally infected barley 148 (n = 83) and wheat leaves (n = 2) collected from 2006 to 2018 (Supplementary Table S1 and 149 Fig. 1). Seventy-eight isolates were collected from experimental fields at the Centre for 150 Agricultural Research or National Food Chain Safety Office (NFCSO) in the Martonvásár 151 region (n = 31), Fleischmann Rudolf Research Institute, Eszterházy Károly University, 152 Kompolt (n = 17), Institute for Agricultural Research and Educational Farm, University of 153 Debrecen, Karcag (n = 22) and a commercial field or experimental plots of NFCSO and Cereal 154 Research Non-Profit Ltd in the Szombathely region (n = 8). The remaining seven isolates were 155 collected from five commercial and two NFCSO barley fields (Bőny: n = 1, Kölcse: n = 3, 156 Márok: n = 2 and Székkutas: n = 1).

157 Fungal isolation of Hungarian isolates was performed by inducing conidiogenesis. Leaf 158 segments with necrotic lesions were placed in glass Petri plates and kept on a laboratory bench 159 at ambient temperature or incubated under white light (OSRAM model L36W/640) for 16/8 160 hour light/dark cycles for 1 to 3 days at 18 to 20°C. Monoconidial isolates were then made by 161 transferring single conidia from the conidiophores to V8-juice agar medium (16 g agar, 3 g 162 CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 177 mL Campbell's V8-juice and 900 ml distilled water) (Miller 1955) with a sterile 163 needle, using a Leica MZ6 stereomicroscope at 300 to 400× magnification in a laminar air flow 164 cabinet. Single-conidial isolates were incubated for 10 to 14 days in the dark at 18 to 20°C and 165 used as inocula for stock and pea broth cultures. Stock cultures were grown on V8-juice agar 166 slants for 7 to 10 days in the dark at 20 to 22°C, then kept under mineral oil at 15°C. Mycelium 167 for DNA extraction was grown on pea-broth (Erwin and Ribeiro 1996) in steady cultures for 7

to 10 days at 18 to 20°C in the dark. Liquid cultures were then harvested by filtration, washed
with deionised water, freeze-dried and ground in liquid nitrogen. Pulverized mycelia were kept
at -70°C for DNA extraction.

171 The RSA population contained 72 isolates collected from leaves of barley (n = 71) and rye grass (n = 1) from eight regions (Bredasdorp: n = 11, Caledon: n = 28, Greyton: n = 6, 172 173 Klipdale: n = 8, Napier: n = 12, Protem: n = 4, Rietpoel: n = 2 and Riviersonderend: n = 1) 174 around the Western Cape Province of RSA during October 2016 (Supplementary Table S1 and 175 Fig. 1). Fungal isolation was performed by sterilizing the surface of leaf samples in 70% 176 (vol/vol) ethanol for 5 seconds, 5 g/liter NaOCl for 2 minutes and washing three times in sterile 177 water. These were placed on water-agar (10 g/liter) or moist filter paper (×2) and incubated at 178 room temperature and natural day/night light conditions for 1 to 4 days to allow the growth of 179 conidia. Monoconidial culture production was performed by transferring single conidia to 180 potato dextrose agar (39 g/liter PDA; Biolab Merck, Modderfontein, RSA) and Solustrep (0.3 181 ml/liter) plates. Plates were incubated for 4 to 5 days, and a single colony was subcultured onto 182 a new PDA plate. After 7 days, agar plugs were collected and stored in 15% glycerol at -80°C 183 and the remaining mycelium was harvested for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction for DArTseq<sup>™</sup>. DNA from Australian isolates was extracted from
 single-conidium cultures using the method described by Martin et al. (2020). DNA of
 Hungarian isolates was extracted from lyophilized mycelium powder using the Cetyl Trimethyl
 Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) method (Richards et al. 1997) and DNA of all other isolates was
 extracted using a similar CTAB method (Saghai-Maroof et al. 1984).

The integrity of DNA extracted from each isolate was assessed under ultraviolet light
(Fusion FX, VILBER, Marne-la-Vallée, France) after electrophoresis at 100V for 30 min on a
0.8 g/litre agarose gel (Bioline, London, United Kingdom) containing 0.03% GelRed<sup>®</sup>
(Biotium Inc, California, USA). DNA quantity was measured using a NanoPhotometer P300<sup>®</sup>

193 (IMPLEN, Munich, Germany). For each isolate, 20 μl of DNA solution (> 50 ng/μl) was
194 submitted to Diversity Arrays Technology Pty. Ltd. (Canberra, ACT, Australia) for
195 DArTseq<sup>TM</sup>.

196 **Data filtering and clone correction**. Data obtained from DArTseg<sup>™</sup> consisted of SNPs 197 and SilicoDArTs (equivalent to microarray markers scored for the presence or absence of 198 sequences obtained from genomic representations). Both forms of data were filtered manually 199 using 10% as the cut off value for the maximum number of missing data points for markers 200 and isolates. Markers with a minimum allele frequency of less than one percent were removed 201 from the data set (Vaghefi et al. 2017). Reproducibility (the proportion of technical replicate 202 assay pairs for which the marker score is consistent) and the CallRate (the proportion of 203 samples for which the genotype call is either present or absent rather than missing) of each 204 marker was evaluated and markers with reproducibility of <1 and CallRate less than 85% were 205 removed. SNPs and SilicoDArTs were combined for further analyses.

206 A small number of genotyping errors may occur whilst generating DArTseq<sup>™</sup> marker 207 data, and this may result in clonal isolates being identified as unique multilocus genotypes 208 (MLGs). In order to remove potential genotyping errors, all genotypes were contracted using 209 the furthermost bitwise distance (Kamvar et al. 2015) among five control DNA samples from 210 the same isolate (NB63i; extracted from an original culture using five different samples of 211 single-conidium derived mycelia) by the *bitwise.dist* function in *poppr* package version 2.8.3 212 (Kamvar et al. 2014) in R version 3.0.2 (R 2013). The furthermost bitwise distance among five 213 control samples (0.000925) was set as the threshold value to contract genotypes within the 214 entire population. All populations were clone corrected at the subpopulation stratum using the 215 *clonecorrect* function in *poppr* to collapse clonal groups into a single MLG for all subsequent 216 analyses except for the estimation of genetic diversity indices. Multilocus genotypes shared 217 among subpopulations were calculated by the *cross.pop* function in *poppr*.

218 **Dendrogram construction**. All isolates were assigned to genetic clusters without *a* 219 *priori* assumptions using DARwin version 6.0.021 (Perrier and Jacquemoud-Collet 2006). A 220 dendrogram was produced based on the Jaccard similarity coefficient following the unweighted 221 neighbor-joining clustering method. Bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates was used to test 222 the support of the branches on the dendrogram.

223 Form specific primer amplification to confirm hybrids. After assessing the 224 dendrogram, two isolates forming a group with the previously reported *Ptt-Ptm* hybrid isolate 225 WAC17021 were subjected to PCR amplification using six *Ptt* and six *Ptm* specific primer 226 pairs following Poudel et al. (2017) with modifications. A combination of both Ptt and Ptm 227 specific primer pairs are expected to be amplified in hybrid isolates (Poudel et al. 2017). DNA 228 of three Ptt isolates (NB63i, NB29 and NB50) (Lehmensiek et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2020), 229 three Ptm isolates (HRS06033, SNB113 and HRS07033) (Lehmensiek et al. 2010; McLean et 230 al. 2014) and three laboratory produced hybrids (37.1, 37.4 and 37.16) (unpublished data) were 231 also amplified with the primer pairs as positive controls. Each real time PCR reaction was 232 prepared with 2 µl (~ 50 ng/µl) of DNA, 5 µl of SsoAdvanced<sup>™</sup> Universal Inhibitor-Tolerant SYBR® Green Supermix (BIORAD, California, USA), 0.25 µM of each primer and 2 µl of 233 234 molecular water (MilliporeSigma<sup>™</sup>, Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) to a final volume 235 of 10 µl. Amplifications were conducted in a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection 236 System<sup>™</sup> (BIORAD, California, USA) with an initial denaturation at 98°C for 3 min followed 237 by 35 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 15 s and annealing at 60°C for 30 s. A melt curve 238 analysis was performed after PCR completion by ramping the temperature from 65°C to 95°C, 239 rising by 0.5°C with each step. The presence/absence of specific loci in isolates were assessed 240 by comparing the quantitative data generated by the melt curves and the melt temperatures of 241 the positive controls.

Phytopathology

Page 11 of 74

242 Analysis of molecular variance. In order to identify significant variation among 243 populations and subpopulations, the *amova* function in *Ade4* version 1.7.13 (Dray and Dufour 244 2007) in R was used. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was conducted on the 245 combined Australia, Hungary and RSA populations using the *poppr.amova* function in *poppr* 246 with 1,000 permutations. Isolates were stratified based on the country of origin, region/state 247 and year of collection. Analysis was conducted to identify the amount of genetic variation 248 within and among countries, year of collection, and region/state within countries. When 249 conducting AMOVA for the separate Australian, Hungarian and RSA populations, 250 subpopulations consisting of less than five isolates were removed. Analysis was performed for 251 genetic variation within and among states/fields and year of collection for Australia and 252 Hungary populations.

253 Population structure by multivariate cluster analyses. Two multivariate analyses, 254 principal component analysis (PCA) followed by discriminant analysis of principle 255 components (DAPC) were conducted to identify the genetic structure of the entire clone-256 corrected collection without a priori assumptions. For PCA, the optimum number of principal 257 components and principal coordinates were found and plots were drawn using the *pcadapt* 258 function in *pcadapt* version 4.3.3 package (Luu et al. 2017). Discriminant analysis of principle 259 components was calculated using the *dapc* function in the R package *adegenet* version 2.1.2 260 (Jombart 2008) and was performed for individual populations in order to detect the population 261 structure and number of clusters within countries. The optimum number of clusters in the 262 population was obtained using the Bayesian information criterion function *find.clusters* and the 263 optimal number of principal component axes to retain in DAPC were estimated via the 264 xvalDapc function in adegenet.

Population structure by model-based cluster analyses. Population structure without *a priori* assumption was investigated using STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000),
 Dahanayaka et al.
 11
 Phytopathology

267 in which the Bayesian unsupervised genetic clustering algorithm was implemented for the 268 entire clone-corrected collection (100 Australian, 78 Hungarian, 59 RSA, six Canadian and one 269 historical isolate each from Canada, Japan, Sweden and Denmark). The analysis was conducted 270 following an admixture model with a burn-in period of 10,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo and 271 100,000 iterations. Ten independent runs were conducted for each potential number of genetic 272 clusters (K), where K ranged from 1 to 10. The analysis was performed independently for 273 Australian, Hungarian and RSA populations with the above-mentioned criteria to identify the 274 genetic structure within populations. Values extracted from STRUCTURE HARVESTER 275 version 0.6.94 (Earl and vonHoldt 2012) were used to identify the optimal number of clusters 276 for the entire clone-corrected collection as well as Australian, Hungarian and RSA populations 277 (Evanno et al. 2005). Each replicate for the optimal delta  $K(\Delta K)$  value was entered into 278 CLUMPAK version 1.1 (Kopelman et al. 2015) to generate the graphical representation of the 279 optimal K. A cut off value of 70% was considered as the minimum value of an individual to be 280 included in each population.

Population structure based on phylogenetic network. A Neighbor-net phylogenetic network was built for the entire collection using SplitsTree version 4.13 (Huson 1998) to identify the subdivisions of the clone corrected *P. teres* population. The Neighbor-net network was produced based on neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithm described by Saitou and Nei (Saitou and Nei 1987) following the method depicted by Bryant and Moulton (2004). Bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates was used to test the support of branches on the network.

**Identification of mating type and sexual recombination**. Amplification of mating type primer pairs *pttMAT1-1* and *pttMAT1-2* (Lu et al. 2010) was assessed across all isolates. A chi square test of the ratio of *pttMAT1-1* and *pttMAT1-2* was manually calculated for *Ptt* clusters identified by individual DAPC analyses from Australia, Hungary and RSA to determine whether there was a significant deviation from the expected 1:1 ratio under panmixia. In order

to identify the mating type of the hybrids, all mating type primer pairs (*pttMAT1-1, pttMAT1-*

293 *2, ptmMAT1-1* and *ptmMAT1-2* (Lu et al. 2010) were amplified across hybrids.

Pairwise homoplasy index (PHI) test which tests the null hypothesis of no recombination
available in SplitsTree 4.13 was also implemented for the same clusters detected in individual
DAPC analyses for Australia, Hungary and RSA to identify the potential sexual recombination
within the countries as described by Bruen et al. (2006).

298 Genetic diversity of populations. The non-clone corrected data set was used to calculate 299 the number of MLGs, expected MLGs (eMLG) after rarefaction, Simpson's complement index 300 of multilocus genotypic diversity  $(1-\lambda)$  and Nei's unbiased gene diversity (genetic variation 301 within the population defined as the probability that two randomly sampled alleles are 302 different) (Nei 1973; Nei and Chesser 1983) using poppr. The normalised Shannon-Wiener 303 index (H) was calculated manually following the method described by Spellerberg and Fedor 304 (2003). Simpson's complement index is given based on the probability of two random isolates 305 drawn from a subpopulation to be of a different genotype (Morris et al. 2014; Simpson 1949) 306 and Shannon-Wiener index measures the genotypic diversity of the population by richness 307 (number of MLGs in the population) and relative abundance in a defined location (Shannon 308 2001; Spellerberg and Fedor 2003). Expected MLG, Simpson's complement index of 309 multilocus genotypic diversity (1- $\lambda$ ), Nei's unbiased gene diversity and the normalised 310 Shannon-Wiener index were also calculated for the clusters identified from individual DAPC 311 analyses of Australia, Hungary and RSA.

Variant annotation and associated genes. Markers with the largest contribution to the genetic variation detected in DAPC analysis of the entire clone-corrected collection were detected using the function *loadingplot* in *adegenet* (Jombart et al. 2010). The largest contributing markers for the genetic clusters in PCA for the entire collection were also determined at the 0.0001 significance level using the function *outliers.pcadapt* in the *pcadapt* 

317 package, and compared to the markers detected from DAPC analysis. Sequences (68 bp reads 318 produced by DArTseq<sup>TM</sup>) harbouring markers significantly (P < 0.0001) responsible for the 319 genetic variation were aligned by NCBI-BLAST (NCBI) and NBLSTX (EnsemblFungi) to the 320 reference genomes of Pyrenophora teres f. teres isolates W1-1 (GenBank accession number: 321 OCTH00000000 and BioProject: PRJEB18107) and 0-1 (GenBank accession number: 322 AEEY01000000 and BioProject: PRJNA66337), and partial genomic regions of 13A 323 (GenBank accession numbers: JQ837863 and JQ582646). This enabled identification of 324 possible genes linked to markers with the largest contribution to the genetic clustering during 325 DAPC and PCA analyses. The putative proteins for the respective genes were predicted using 326 Universal Protein knowledgebase (UniProt).

327

#### RESULTS

328 Genetic data and marker filtering. Across 286 isolates, a total of 6,440 SNPs and 329 14,829 SilicoDArTs were reported, with 891 SNPs and 4,999 SilicoDArTs retained for the 330 analysis after filtering (Supplementary Material 2). After contraction (collapsing genotypes by 331 genetic distance in order to remove genotypes identified as unique due to genotyping errors) 332 of the entire collection, 286 genotypes were contracted to 250 genotypes. No clonal genotypes 333 were identified after clone correction of 250 MLGs and no MLGs were shared across any 334 regions/states within a country. Of these, 101 MLGs were from the Australian population 335 collected from 1985 to 2017 (including a previously reported hybrid WAC17021), seven were 336 Canadian isolates collected in 2010 and 2011 including one historical isolate collected in 1973, 337 59 were RSA isolates collected in 2016 and 79 were Hungarian isolates (16 collected from 338 2006 to 2009 and 63 in 2017/8). Four historical isolates representing four different MLGs, two 339 from Japan (collected in 1931) and one each from Denmark (1976) and Sweden (1986) were 340 also included (Supplementary Table S1).

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

Page 15 of 74

341 **Dendrogram construction.** The distance-based dendrogram obtained from DARwin 342 showed the presence of a distinct group of three isolates (Supplementary Fig.1). This group 343 showed distinct genetic separation from the rest of the *Ptt* isolates and contained the previously 344 reported hybrid WAC10721 from Australia along with H-919 from Hungary and CBS 281.31 345 from Japan, thus suggesting that these two isolates may also be hybrids.

346 Form specific primer amplification to confirm hybrids. PCR amplification of six Ptt 347 and six *Ptm* specific primer pairs (Poudel et al. 2017) confirmed the hybrid identity of isolates 348 H-919 and CBS 281.31. PCR results of the isolate H-919 with 12 primer pairs showed 349 amplification for PttQ1, PttQ3, PttQ5, PtmQ7, PtmQ8 and PtmQ12 while CBS 281.31 showed 350 amplification for PttQ1, PttQ2, PttQ5, PtmQ7, PtmQ8, PtmQ9. The Ptt positive control 351 isolates NB63i, NB29 and NB50 and the Ptm positive controls HRS06033, SNB113 and 352 HRS07033 showed amplification for the six *Ptt* specific primers pairs and the six *Ptm* specific 353 primer pairs, respectively. Isolate WAC10721 and the laboratory produced hybrid isolates used 354 as controls amplified a mixture of both Ptt and Ptm specific primer pairs. The two hybrid 355 isolates H-919 and CBS 281.31, along with the previously reported hybrid WAC10721, were removed from subsequent analyses characterizing the genetic structure and genetic diversity of 356 357 Ptt.

358 Analysis of molecular variance. AMOVA showed significant genetic variation among 359 countries, accounting for 19.13% (P = 0.001) of the total genetic variation, while variation 360 among isolates within populations was 82.59% (P = 0.001) (Table 1). Within population, 361 among regions/states variation accounted for 17.40% (P = 0.001) of the total genetic variation. Considering the country and the year of collection, no significant genetic variation (P = 0.259) 362 363 was observed among populations (0.52%). Out of the total genetic variation in Australia, 7.01% 364 (P = 0.001) was observed among states in Australia, while genetic variation among regions in 365 Hungary (2.08%) and RSA (1.78%) was not significant (P = 0.072). The variation for the year

366 of collection of *Ptt* isolates for the total genetic variation in Australia (0.12%) and Hungary 367 (0.99%) were not significant (P = 0.415 and 0.192 respectively).

**Population structure based on multivariate cluster analyses.** In the PCA plot, principal component 1 (PC1) separated a group of Australian isolates (n = 45) and another cluster of Hungarian isolates (n = 55) along with the historical Danish isolate Pt-Pastorale from the rest of the collection. Separation of the 45 Australian isolates from the rest of the collection was further supported by PC2 (Fig. 2).

DAPC without *a priori* population assignment indicated the presence of four clusters for the entire clone-corrected collection (Fig. 3). All isolates in cluster 1 (n = 46) were from Australia, while cluster 3 consisted of 55 Hungarian isolates and isolate Pt-Pastorale from Denmark. Cluster 4 consisted of isolates from Australia (n = 44), Canada (n = 6), Hungary (n= 5) and RSA (n = 40). Cluster 2 contained isolates from Australia (n = 10), Hungary (n = 18), RSA (n = 19) and one each from Canada (MB05), Japan (CSB 282.31) and Sweden (UPSC1838).

380 Individual DAPC results obtained for each population from Australia, Hungary and RSA showed three clusters each (Supplementary Fig. S2A, B and C). These clusters contained 381 382 isolates from different regions/states within the respective countries, except for cluster 3 in the 383 Australian population which contained isolates only from QLD, SA and WA (Supplementary 384 Fig. S2A). Cluster 1 and cluster 3 obtained from the individual DAPC plot of Australian 385 isolates consisted of isolates present in cluster 1 from the entire clone-corrected DAPC plot. 386 Cluster 2 contained isolates present in cluster 2 and cluster 4 from the entire clone-corrected 387 DAPC plot. Cluster 1 and cluster 3 isolates from the individual Hungarian DAPC plot 388 contained isolates present in cluster 3 from the entire clone-corrected DAPC plot and cluster 2 389 contained isolates present in cluster 2, cluster 3 and cluster 4 from the entire clone-corrected 390 DAPC plot. Cluster 1 and cluster 2 from the individual RSA DAPC plot contained isolates

391 present in cluster 4 and cluster 2 from the entire clone-corrected DAPC plot, respectively, while
392 cluster\_3 contained isolates present in both cluster 4 and 2 from the entire clone-corrected
393 DAPC plot.

394 **Population structure based on model-based cluster analyses**. STRUCTURE analysis 395 of 247 isolates determined that three clusters best described the data (Supplementary Fig. S3A). 396 In the three-clusters STRUCTURE model, genotypes from Australia tended to have 397 intermediate membership in multiple clusters, while genotypes from RSA and Hungary tended 398 to have high membership proportions in a single cluster. Using a 70% cutoff on membership 399 proportions to assign a genotype into a cluster, a first cluster (cluster I) consisted of 46 isolates 400 from Australia, a second cluster (cluster II) consisted of 55 isolates from Hungary and 1 isolate 401 (Pt-Pastorale) from Denmark and a third cluster (cluster III) consisted of 145 isolates from 402 Australia (n = 54), Canada (n = 6), Hungary (n = 23), RSA (n = 59) and historical isolates (n = 54)403 3) (Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 4). Many genotypes from Australia (cluster III) had 404 shared ancestry with genotypes from RSA and are thus, admixed in the three-cluster model. 405 The six Canadian isolates along with the historical Canadian isolate were also found to be 406 admixed (cluster III). At K=3, historical isolates from Japan and Sweden had high membership 407 in the cluster present in RSA, Hungary and Australia, while the historical isolate from Denmark 408 had high membership in the cluster specific to Hungary.

Genetic structure was also analysed independently for each population to identify further subdivision within countries. The mode of  $\Delta K$  was observed at K=2 for the Australian, Hungarian and RSA populations (Supplementary Fig. S3B, C and D). The individual STRUCTURE analysis for Australian isolates showed that 50% and 43% of the isolates clustered into either cluster\_I or cluster\_II, with membership proportions of >70% for the respective clusters, while 7% of isolates were considered admixed due to membership proportions of <70% for both clusters (Supplementary Fig. S4A). The Hungarian isolates

416 showed two clusters, cluster\_I and cluster\_II) containing 71% and 29% of the isolates, 417 respectively, with no admixed individuals. The two clusters, cluster\_I and cluster\_II, from the 418 STUCTURE analysis of RSA isolates contained 68% and 17% of the isolates with membership 419 proportions of >70% for the respective clusters and 9% admixed isolates that were not assigned 420 to either of the clusters. The clusters obtained for the Australian, Hungarian and RSA 421 populations were compared to the year and field/state of collection and no association was 422 found.

423 Cluster\_I and cluster\_II obtained from the individual Australian STRUCTURE analysis 424 consisted of isolates present in cluster III and I from the entire clone-corrected STRUCTURE 425 analysis, respectively. Cluster\_I and cluster\_II from the Hungarian STRUCTURE analysis 426 contained isolates present in cluster II and cluster III from the entire clone-corrected 427 STRUCTURE analysis, respectively. Both cluster\_I, cluster\_II and admixed isolates from the 428 individual RSA STRUCTURE analysis contained isolates present in cluster III from the entire 429 clone-corrected STRUCTURE analysis.

430 The DAPC and STRUCTURE analyses of the entire clone-corrected collection resulted 431 in identification of four and three clusters, respectively. Cluster 1 and cluster 3 from the DAPC 432 analysis corresponded to cluster I and cluster II from the STRUCTURE analysis, respectively. 433 Isolates present in cluster 2 and cluster 4 from the DAPC analysis corresponded to the isolates 434 in cluster III from the STRUCTURE analysis. Therefore, DAPC analysis characterized the 435 population subdivision in the dataset with higher resolution than STRUCTURE analyses 436 (Jombart et al. 2010), thus, clusters detected by DAPC were further used to calculate the sexual 437 recombination and genetic diversity.

438 Population structure based on phylogenetic network. The Neighbor-net phylogenetic
439 network inferred using Splitstree showed extensive reticulation connecting all isolates (Fig. 5),

consistent with a history of recombination. The structure of the network indicated that
genotypes from different countries could be closely related (Fig. 5.). Historical Danish isolate
Pt-Pastorale, Japanese isolate CBS282.31 and Swedish isolate UPSC1838 grouped with
Hungarian genotypes.

444 Identification of mating type and sexual recombination. Amplification of *Ptt* isolates with mating type primers indicated that 47 Australian isolates had the MAT1-1 idiomorph 445 446 (mating type 1) while the remaining 53 carried the *MAT1-2* idiomorph (mating type 2) 447 (Supplementary Table S3). For Hungary, 37 isolates were found to be *MAT1-1*, and 41 isolates 448 were MAT1-2. Out of 59 RSA isolates, 39 were MAT1-1 and 21 were MAT1-2. Mating type 449 ratios calculated for populations from Australia, Hungary and RSA based on clusters identified 450 with country-specific DAPC analyses (Supplementary figure S2) showed that except cluster 2 451 from RSA (P = 0.021) the chi square values for the clusters from Australia, Hungary and RSA 452 did not significantly differ from the expected ratio of 1:1 under panmixia. PHI rejected the null 453 hypothesis of clonality in cluster 2 (P = 0.014) in Australia and cluster 1 (P = 4.8E-4) and 3 454 (P = 0.007) in Hungary while other clusters from Australia (cluster 1 and cluster 3), Hungary (cluster 2) and RSA (cluster 1, cluster 2 and cluster 3) did not show evidence for 455 456 recombination (Supplementary Table S3).

457 Genetic diversity. The number of eMLGs calculated for Australia, Hungary and RSA 458 was 10. The highest genetic diversity indices among three countries for the non-clone corrected 459 data set were observed for the population from Hungary, with a normalised Shannon-Wiener 460 index and Nei's unbiased gene diversity index of 0.992 and 0.184, respectively (Table 2). The 461 lowest normalised Shannon-Wiener index, 0.973, and Nei's unbiased gene diversity index, 462 0.143, were calculated for the population from RSA. The highest value for Simpson's 463 complement index of multilocus genotypic diversity was 0.991, exhibited by the Australian

464 population, while the lowest value, 0.986, was reported for the population from RSA. However,465 the overall genetic diversity within the populations was high.

The highest genetic diversity indices for the clusters detected by DAPC were observed for Hungarian isolates with a normalised Shannon-Wiener index and Nei's unbiased gene diversity index of 0.935 and 0.279, respectively (Supplementary Table S4). The lowest total normalised Shannon-Wiener index, 0.859, and Nei's unbiased gene diversity index, 0.224, were observed for the clusters from RSA. The highest Simpson's complement index of multilocus genotypic diversity was 0.990, exhibited by the Australian population, while the lowest value, 0.983, was reported for the population from RSA.

473 Variant annotation and associated genes. Out of 5,890 markers used for the DAPC 474 and PCA analyses of the entire clone-corrected collection, 66 were found to be significantly 475 associated with the genetic differences of clusters and subdivisions (P < 0.0001) detected by 476 DAPC and PCA respectively. Out of 66 markers, 34 were aligned with reference genomes with 477 the E-values (expected value) ranging from 8.4E<sup>-33</sup> to 1.7. Out of these 34 markers, four 478 markers aligned with known genes, another four were not situated near genes, five aligned with 479 genes of uncharacterized proteins and 21 aligned with genes for hypothetical proteins in the 480 reference *Ptt* genomes (Supplementary Table S2). The four markers aligned with genes were 481 associated with ND89-9 nonribosomal peptide synthetase 2 (GenBank accession number: 482 JQ582646), glyceraldevde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase-like protein (GPD1) gene (GenBank 483 accession number: JQ837863), endo-1,4-beta-xylanase A mRNA (GenBank accession number: 484 JX900133) and cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14 alpha-demethylase (CYP51A) gene (GenBank 485 accession number: KX578221). The identified hypothetical genes represented seven different 486 hypothetical proteins: ANK REP REGION domain-containing protein, DDE-1 domain-487 containing protein, SET domain-containing protein, DUF1996 domain-containing protein,

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

488 Peptidase A1 domain-containing protein, AAA domain-containing protein and MFS domain-489 containing protein in *Ptt*.

490

### DISCUSSION

The present study investigates the most geographically diverse collection of *Ptt* isolates analysed in a single study to date. It provides a comprehensive investigation of the genetic structure of *Ptt* populations from different geographical areas through the implementation of the genome-wide marker system, DArTseq<sup>TM</sup>, and inclusion of a higher number of isolates compared to previous studies. In this study, 247 *Ptt* MLGs, predominantly from Australia, Hungary and RSA, were assessed in order to describe the genetic structure of *Ptt* isolates among distinct geographical areas.

498 The genetic structure of the entire clone-corrected collection detected by the DAPC 499 analysis revealed the presence of four clusters. Two clusters contained some isolates from 500 Australia and Hungary, and all the isolates from Canada, RSA and all the historical isolates 501 except Pt-Pastorale from Denmark. The other two clusters were specific to Australian isolates 502 and Hungarian isolates along with the historical Danish isolate. STRUCTURE analysis also 503 revealed the presence of two distinct clusters for Australia (n = 46) and Hungary (n = 55)504 reflecting their genetic isolation from each other based on geographical origin. Furthermore, 505 Neighbor-net phylogenetic network showed a distinct Hungarian cluster. In the Neighbor-net 506 phylogenetic network, the Ptt isolates from Australia, Canada, Hungary and RSA formed more 507 than one subdivision per country. The isolates from these subdivisions did not relate to their 508 year of collection or the region/state of origin. Therefore, the underlying factor for the genetic 509 isolation of *Ptt* populations from the same geographical area might include other variables such 510 as varietal differences (Fowler et al. 2017), fungicide regimes, geographical isolation or 511 environmental factors.

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

512 A number of different analyses used in this study identified the admixed nature of 513 multiple isolates mainly from Australia. STRUCTURE based cluster analysis revealed that 514 there were population subdivisions in Hungary and Australia, and that one of the clusters 515 present in each of these countries shared recent ancestry with the cluster containing the 516 Canadian, RSA and most of the historical isolates. Cluster analyses results also showed more 517 admixture in Australia than in Hungary. DAPC and highly reticulated Neighbor-net 518 phylogenetic network also gave evidence that these isolates are of mixed origin. In the 519 Neighbor-net phylogenetic network, some of the isolates from the same countries were closely 520 related to isolates from other countries. Even though some isolates from subdivisions of 521 Australia and RSA showed mixed origin/multiple origins, others showed ancestry in a single 522 group, suggesting that these isolates could have evolved from a common ancestor or an 523 introduction of isolates from a common population and then adapted to the respective 524 environments through sexual reproduction. The admixed origin of isolates could have resulted 525 from gene flow among countries. Gene flow is one of the main evolutionary forces affecting 526 in the genetic structure of a pathogen (Rogers and Rogers 1999). As Ptt is a seed borne 527 pathogen (Liu et al. 2011), gene flow/introduction of isolates from one geographical area to 528 another is possible through seed exchange and then adaptation to local environments. This may 529 have occurred in the case of Australian Ptt isolates, which have been suggested by Fowler et 530 al. (2017) to have evolved and adapted to regional barley cultivars in Australia.

531 Individual STRUCTURE analyses of Australian, Hungarian and RSA isolates indicated 532 that some of the isolates from Australia and RSA were admixed while isolates from Hungary 533 showed no admixture. The potential admixture found within Australian and RSA isolates could 534 have resulted from the dispersion of the pathogen through sexual reproduction and lack of 535 varietal specialization within the country. The absence of admixed in Hungarian isolates might

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

have been caused due to physical and reproduction barriers in the dispersion of the pathogen,host specialization and/or recent introduction of isolates.

538 The genetic structure of *Ptt* populations detected in model-based cluster analyses did not 539 correspond to the region/state or the year of collection of the isolates, hence, factors 540 contributing to the genetic structure of *Ptt* populations were investigated by identifying the 541 markers underlying the genetic structure detected in DAPC and PCA. One of these markers 542 was located within the gene responsible for the nonribosomal peptide synthetases protein. The 543 nonribosomal peptide synthetases are responsible for the production of nonribosomal peptides. 544 which are bioactive secondary metabolites known to be involved in cellular development, 545 pathogenicity and stress responses in plant fungal pathogens (Keller et al. 2005; Sayari et al. 546 2019). The potential role of this locus in differential aggressiveness of *Ptt* isolates requires 547 further investigation. Other markers that were significantly associated with genetic structuring 548 of the *Ptt* populations included a glyceraldeyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase-like protein 549 (GPD1) gene, an endo-1,4-beta-xylanase A mRNA gene, and a cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14 550 alpha-demethylase (CYP51A) gene. The GPD1 gene has been frequently used as a genetic 551 marker in phylogenetic studies to differentiate fungal pathogens including *Pyrenophora teres* 552 (Andrie et al. 2008; Lu et al. 2013; Zhang and Berbee 2001). GPD1 plays a major role in fungal 553 metabolic pathways like energy synthesis and biomass synthesis (Larsson et al. 1998). It has 554 been suggested that mutations in the glyceraldeyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene 555 contribute to the nutrient uptake of phytopathogenic Colletotrichum spp. during their 556 biotrophic phase in the infection process on many perennial plants including olive, citrus and 557 tomato (Materatski et al. 2019; Wei et al. 2004). The enzyme endo-1,4-beta-xylanase plays a 558 vital role in the breakdown of xylan, a major component of plant cell walls (Nguyen et al. 559 2011), and the degradation of the plant cell wall has been correlated with virulence and 560 pathogenicity of phytopathogenic Fusarium spp. and Valsa spp. on tomato and apple (Gómez-

561 Gómez et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2014). Cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14 alpha-demethylase is 562 important for the biosynthesis of ergosterol, a primary fungal cell membrane sterol that is 563 responsible for maintaining membrane fluidity and stability (Koch et al. 2013; Luo and 564 Schnabel 2008; Parks and Casey 1995; Rodriguez et al. 1985). Mutations of this gene have 565 been associated with the demethylase inhibitor (DMI) or group 3 fungicide resistance in P. 566 teres (Ellwood et al. 2019; Mair et al. 2019). Considering the importance of these genes for 567 fungal virulence/pathogenicity, it is plausible that mutations at these loci are due to external 568 effects such as environmental factors and fungicide regimes. These factors may have driven 569 local and/or host adaptation of *Ptt* isolates in different regions, resulting in the distinct genetic 570 sub-structuring detected in this study.

571 Sexual recombination plays a major role in the evolution and adaptation of a pathogen 572 which may influence the genetic structure (Lee et al. 2010). Ptt is a well-known sexually 573 reproducing fungus (Liu et al. 2011). A mating type ratio of 1:1 is expected in the absence of 574 segregation distortion and clonal selection among mating types and the two mating types ratio 575 is equalized through sexual recombination in *P. teres* (Milgroom 1996; Rau et al. 2005). In the 576 current study, except for cluster 2 from RSA, other clusters collected from Australia, Hungary 577 and RSA did not deviate from the expected 1:1 ratio. Studies of Finish, Australian and 578 Canadian *Ptt* populations reported that the mating type ratio did not deviate from the expected 579 1:1 ratio (Akhavan et al. 2016b; Linde and Smith 2019; Rau et al. 2005; Serenius et al. 2005), 580 while studies of *Ptt* populations from Czech Republic and Slovakia, and Krasnodar, Russia 581 deviated from a 1:1 ratio (Leišová-Svobodová et al. (2014); Serenius et al. (2007)). Deviation 582 of mating type ratio in cluster 2, RSA and absence of sexual recombination evidence for cluster 583 1 and 3 from Australia, cluster 2 from Hungary and all clusters from RSA based on PHI test 584 results might have occurred due to unsystematic sampling or introduction of primary inoculum 585 like contaminated seeds/conidia to the fields. In the current study, Ptt isolates from Australia

and Hungary have been collected from different years. Therefore, further studies are necessary
with a higher number of isolates and intensive sampling methods to confirm the evidence for
sexual reproduction of Australian and Hungarian *Ptt* populations.

589 Previous studies have suggested that hybridization between the two types of *P. teres* is 590 rare or absent under field conditions due to the apparent genetic isolation of both forms 591 (Ellwood et al. 2012; Lehmensiek et al. 2010). Prior to this study, only four naturally occurring 592 putative hybrids had been detected from barley fields: one putative hybrid from the south-593 western Cape of RSA (Campbell et al. 2002), two from Tovacov, Czech Republic (PTM-15 594 and PTM-16) (Leišova et al. 2005), and one from a barley field in Western Australia 595 (WAC10721) (McLean et al. 2014). In the current study, additional isolates from Hungary (H-596 919) and Japan (CBS 281.31) were identified as putative hybrids based on distinct genetic 597 subdivision compared to the *Ptt* population and genetic similarity to the previously identified 598 hybrid WAC10721 in the Neighbor-net phylogenetic network. Amplification using Ptt and Ptm 599 specific DNA markers confirmed that these two isolates were hybrids. The isolate CBS 281.31 600 was originally identified as *Pyrenophora japonica* by Ito (Crous et al, 1995). Crous et al. (1995) 601 found a high degree of homology in restriction digestion (*Hae* III and *Msp* I) DNA banding 602 patterns and similar symptom expression on differential cultivars when comparing CBS 281.31 603 with *Ptm* isolates. In addition, similar morphological characterizations between these isolates led Crous et al. (1995) to conclude that *P. japonica* was a synonym of *P. teres*. A recent study 604 605 by Marin-Felix et al. (2019) also referred to isolate CBS 281.31 as P. japonica and found that 606 the isolate grouped together with P. teres based on phylogenetic similarities. Marin-Felix et al. 607 (2019) agreed with the conclusion of Crous et al. (1995) that *P. japonica* was a synonym of *P*. 608 teres based on CBS 281.31 as the sole representative of *P. japonica*. A previous distance based 609 cluster analysis study, using seven RAPD markers and complemented with the two P. teres 610 form specific PCR markers developed by Williams et al. (2001), identified CBS 281.31 as a

611 Ptt isolate (Bakonyi and Justesen 2007). The types and small number of markers used might 612 be the reason for not detecting this isolate as a hybrid in previous studies. The Japanese isolate, 613 CBS 281.31 collected in 1931 was found to be a hybrid nearly a century after it was collected. 614 During the 89 years since it was collected, this hybrid could have crossed with many other 615 Japanese *P. teres* isolates, potentially influencing the genetic structure of the population. Sexual 616 recombination/hybridization between and within the forms of P. teres can potentially lead to 617 the generation of novel pathotypes. This may increase the genetic diversity of the population 618 and make disease management more challenging through changes in traits such as fungicide 619 resistance of the pathogen (Syme et al. 2018). Therefore, further population genetics studies 620 and pathotyping of *Ptt* populations are warranted.

621 In conclusion, the genetic structure and the genetic relationships of *Ptt* isolates collected 622 from different continents reported in this study indicated that some isolates from Australia, 623 Canada, Hungary and RSA shared ancestry with other countries while some of the isolates 624 from Australia and Hungary showed no admixture. Admixed origin among populations provide 625 crucial evidence for the spread of the pathogen. Identification of naturally occurring hybrids 626 supports the fact that the hybridisation between two forms of *P. teres* is possible, which may 627 lead to novel and more complex pathotypes and may cause unpredicted yield losses to the 628 barley industry. Hence, up to date knowledge about genetic structure and the genetic diversity 629 of geographically diverse *P. teres* populations is important to predict and implement efficient 630 disease management strategies and to develop resistant barley cultivars.

- 631
- 632

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr Kelly Turkington's group (Lacombe Research Centre, Canada) for
providing us with DNA of the Canadian isolates and Dr Simon Ellwood (Curtin University,
Australia) for the Western Australian isolates, as well as Dr Sandra Lamprecht and Dr Yared
Dahanayaka et al.
26

636	Tewoldemedhin (Agricultural Research Council, Republic of South Africa), Driecus Lesch					
637	(Sensako Pty Ltd), Daniel De Klerk (South African Barley Breeding Institute), Elsabet Wessels					
638	and Corneli Smit (CenGen) for the sample collection of the RSA isolates. We like to extend					
639	our gratitude to Judy Mcllroy and Janet Barsby, Hermitage Research Facility, Warwick,					
640	Australia for the assistance given with the Australian isolates. We are also grateful to Dr Deane					
641	Smith (University of Southern Queensland, Australia) and Dr Michael D. Thompson (The					
642	University of Queensland, Australia) for their technical support.					
643						
644	LITERATURE CITED					
645	Akhavan, A., Turkington, T. K.,	Askarian, H., Tekauz, A., Xi, K.,	Tucker, J. R., Kutcher, H. R., and			
646	Strelkov, S. E. 2016a. V	rulence of Pyrenophora teres popu	ulations in western Canada. Can. J.			
647	Plant Pathol. 38:183-196.					
648	Akhavan, A., Turkington, T. K.,	Kebede, B., Xi, K., Kumar, K., Tel	cauz, A., Kutcher, H. R., Tucker, J.			
649	R., and Strelkov, S. E. 2	016b. Genetic structure of Pyreno	phora teres f. teres and P. teres f.			
650	maculata populations from	m western Canada. Eur. J. Plant Pa	athol. 146:325-335.			
651	Andrie, R. M., Schoch, C. L., Hedges, R., Spatafora, J. W., and Ciuffetti, L. M. 2008. Homologs of					
652	ToxB, a host-selective toxin gene from Pyrenophora tritici-repentis, are present in the genome					
653	of sister-species Pyrenophora bromi and other members of the Ascomycota. Fungal Genet.					
654	Biol. 45:363-377.					
655	Bakonyi, J., and Justesen, A. 2007. Genetic relationship of Pyrenophora graminea, P. teres f. maculata					
656	and P. teres f. teres assessed by RAPD analysis. Phytopathology 155:76-83.					
657	Bogacki, P., Keiper, F. J., and Oldach, K. H. 2010. Genetic structure of South Australian Pyrenophora					
658	teres populations as revealed by microsatellite analyses. Fungal Biol. 114:834-841.					
659	Bruen, T. C., Philippe, H., and Bryant, D. 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the					
660	presence of recombination. Genetics 172:2665-2681.					
	<b>5 1 1 1 1</b>					
	Dahanayaka et al.	27	Phytopathology			

- Bryant, D., and Moulton, V. 2004. Neighbor-net: an agglomerative method for the construction of
  phylogenetic networks. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:255-265.
- Campbell, G. F., Lucas, J. A., and Crous, P. W. 2002. Evidence of recombination between net-and spottype populations of *Pyrenophora teres* as determined by RAPD analysis. Mycol. Res. 106:602665 608.
- 666 Crous, P., Janse, B., Tunbridge, J., and Holz, G. 1995. DNA homology between *Pyrenophora japonica*667 and *P. teres*. Mycol. Res. 99:1098-1102.
- DArTseq. 2020. DArTseq Data Types. Diversity Array Technology Pty Ltd, University of Canberra,
   Monana st., Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia.
- 670 Deadman, M., and Cooke, B. 1989. An analysis of rain-mediated dispersal of *Drechslera teres* conidia
  671 in field plots of spring barley. Ann. Appl. Biol. 115:209-214.
- 672 Dray, S., and Dufour, A.-B. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists.
  673 J. Stat. Softw. 22:1-20.
- Earl, D. A., and vonHoldt, B. M. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
  visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conserv. Genet.
  Resour. 4:359-361.
- Ellwood, S. R., and Wallwork, H. 2018. Diseases affecting barley: net blotches. in: Integrated Disease
  Management of Wheat and Barley. R. Oliver, ed. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing,
  Cambridge, UK.
- Ellwood, S. R., Syme, R. A., Moffat, C. S., and Oliver, R. P. 2012. Evolution of three *Pyrenophora*cereal pathogens: recent divergence, speciation and evolution of non-coding DNA. Fungal
  Genet. Biol. 49:825-829.
- Ellwood, S. R., Piscetek, V., Mair, W. J., Lawrence, J. A., Lopez-Ruiz, F. J., and Rawlinson, C. 2019.
  Genetic variation of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates in Western Australia and emergence of
  a Cyp51A fungicide resistance mutation. Plant Pathol. 68:135–142.
- Erwin, D. C., and Ribeiro, O. K. 1996. *Phytophthora* diseases worldwide. American Plant Pathological
  Society Press, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

Phytopathology

- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., and Goudet, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
  software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 14:2611-2620.
- Ficsor, A., Tóth, B., Varga, J., Csösz, M., Tomcsányi, A., Mészáros, K., Kótai, É., and Bakonyi, J.
  2014. Variability of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* in Hungary as revealed by mating type and
  RAPD analyses. Plant Pathol. 96:515-523.
- Fowler, R., Platz, G., Bell, K., Fletcher, S., Franckowiak, J., and Hickey, L. 2017. Pathogenic variation
  of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* in Australia. Australas. Plant Pathol. 46:115-128.
- Gómez-Gómez, E., Roncero, I. M., Di Pietro, A., and Hera, C. 2001. Molecular characterization of a
  novel endo-β-1, 4-xylanase gene from the vascular wilt fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. Curr.
  Genet. 40:268-275.
- Huson, D. H. 1998. SplitsTree: analyzing and visualizing evolutionary data. Bioinformatics 14:68-73.
- Jaccoud, D., Peng, K., Feinstein, D., and Kilian, A. 2001. Diversity arrays: a solid state technology for
   sequence information independent genotyping. Nucleic Acids Res. 29:e25-e25.
- Jayasena, K., Van Burgel, A., Tanaka, K., Majewski, J., and Loughman, R. 2007. Yield reduction in
  barley in relation to spot-type net blotch. Australas. Plant Pathol. 36:429-433.
- Jombart, T. 2008. Adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
  Bioinformatics 24:1403-1405.
- Jombart, T., Devillard, S., and Balloux, F. 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new
   method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genet. 11:94.
- Jonsson, R., Sail, T., and Bryngelsson, T. 2000. Genetic diversity for random amplified polymorphic
   DNA (RAPD) markers in two Swedish populations of *Pyrenophora teres*. Can. J. Plant Pathol.
   22:258-264.
- Justesen, A. F., Hansen, H. J., and Pinnschmidt, H. O. 2008. Quantification of *Pyrenophora graminea*in barley seed using real-time PCR. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 122:253-263.
- Kamvar, Z. N., Tabima, J. F., and Grünwald, N. J. 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of
  populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. PeerJ 2:e281.
- Kamvar, Z. N., Brooks, J. C., and Grünwald, N. J. 2015. Novel R tools for analysis of genome-wide
  population genetic data with emphasis on clonality. Front. Genet. 6:208.

Phytopathology

- Keller, N. P., Turner, G., and Bennett, J. W. 2005. Fungal secondary metabolism—from biochemistry
  to genomics. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:937-947.
- Koch, A., Kumar, N., Weber, L., Keller, H., Imani, J., and Kogel, K.-H. 2013. Host-induced gene
  silencing of cytochrome P450 lanosterol C14α-demethylase–encoding genes confers strong
  resistance to *Fusarium* species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110:19324-19329.
- Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., and Mayrose, I. 2015. Clumpak: a
   program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across

723 K. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 15:1179-1191.

- Larsson, C., Påhlman, I. L., Ansell, R., Rigoulet, M., Adler, L., and Gustafsson, L. 1998. The
  importance of the glycerol 3-phosphate shuttle during aerobic growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 14:347-357.
- Lee, S. C., Ni, M., Li, W., Shertz, C., and Heitman, J. 2010. The evolution of sex: a perspective from
  the fungal kingdom. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 74:298-340.
- Lehmensiek, A., Bester-van der Merwe, A., Sutherland, M., Platz, G., Kriel, W., Potgieter, G., and
  Prins, R. 2010. Population structure of South African and Australian *Pyrenophora teres*isolates. Plant Pathol. 59:504-515.
- 732 Leišová-Svobodová, L., Minaříková, V., Matušinsky, P., Hudcovicová, M., Ondreičková, K., and
- Gubiš, J. 2014. Genetic structure of *Pyrenophora teres* net and spot populations as revealed by
  microsatellite analysis. Fungal Biol. 118:180-192.
- Leišova, L., Minari Kova, V., Kučera, L., and Ovesna, J. 2005. Genetic diversity of *Pyrenophora teres*isolates as detected by AFLP analysis. Phytopathology 153:569-578.
- Linde, C. C., and Smith, L. M. 2019. Host specialisation and disparate evolution of *Pyrenophora teres*f. *teres* on barley and barley grass. BMC Evol. Biol. 19:139.
- Liu, Z., Ellwood, S. R., Oliver, R. P., and Friesen, T. L. 2011. *Pyrenophora teres*: profile of an increasingly damaging barley pathogen. Mol. Plant Pathol. 12:1-19.
- Lu, S., Edwards, M. C., and Friesen, T. L. 2013. Genetic variation of single nucleotide polymorphisms
  identified at the mating type locus correlates with form-specific disease phenotype in the barley
  net blotch fungus *Pyrenophora teres*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 135:49-65.

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

- Lu, S., Platz, G. J., Edwards, M. C., and Friesen, T. L. 2010. Mating type locus-specific polymerase
  chain reaction markers for differentiation of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* and *P. teres* f. *maculata*,
  the causal agents of barley net blotch. Phytopathology 100:1298-1306.
- Luo, C.-X., and Schnabel, G. 2008. The cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14α-demethylase gene is a
  demethylation inhibitor fungicide resistance determinant in *Monilinia fructicola* field isolates
  from Georgia, Appl. Environ, Microbiol, 74:359-366.
- Luu, K., Bazin, E., and Blum, M. G. 2017. pcadapt: an R package to perform genome scans for selection
  based on principal component analysis. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17:67-77.
- Mair, W. J., Thomas, G. J., Dodhia, K., Hills, A. L., Jayasena, K. W., Ellwood, S. R., Oliver, R. P., and
  Lopez-Ruiz, F. J. 2019. Parallel evolution of multiple mechanisms for demethylase inhibitor
  fungicide resistance in the barley pathogen *Pyrenophora teres* f. sp. *maculata*. bioRxiv.
  [Preprint] Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/798991 [Accessed 19 May 2020].
- Marin-Felix, Y., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Iturrieta-González, I., García, D., Gené, J., Groenewald, J.,
  Cai, L., Chen, Q., Quaedvlieg, W., and Schumacher, R. 2019. Genera of phytopathogenic fungi:
  GOPHY 3. Stud. Mycol. 94:1-124.
- Martin, A., Moolhuizen, P., Tao, Y., Mcllroy, J., Ellwood, S. R., Fowler, R. A., Platz, G. J., Kilian, A.,
  and Snyman, L. 2020. Genomic regions associated with virulence in *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres*identified by genome-wide association analysis and bi-parental mapping. Phytopathology
  110:881-891.
- Martin, R. A., and Clough, K. S. 1984. Relationship of the airborne spore load of the *Pyrenophora teres*and weather variables to net blotch development on barley. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 6:105-110.
- 765 Materatski, P., Varanda, C., Carvalho, T., Dias, A. B., Campos, M. D., Gomes, L., Nobre, T., Rei, F.,
- and Félix, M. D. R. 2019. Effect of long-term fungicide applications on virulence and diversity
  of *Colletotrichum* spp. associated to olive anthracnose. Plants 8:311.
- Mathre, D. 1997. Compendium of Barley Diseases. The American Phytopathological Society. APS
   Press, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- McDonald, B. A., and McDermott, J. M. 1993. Population genetics of plant pathogenic fungi.
  Bioscience 43:311-319.

31

- McDonald, B. A., and Linde, C. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and
  durable resistance. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40:349-379.
- McLean, M., Keiper, F., and Hollaway, G. 2010. Genetic and pathogenic diversity in *Pyrenophora teres f. maculata* in barley crops of Victoria, Australia. Australas. Plant Pathol. 39:319-325.
- McLean, M., Martin, A., Gupta, S., Sutherland, M., Hollaway, G., and Platz, G. 2014. Validation of a new spot form of net blotch differential set and evidence for hybridisation between the spot and net forms of net blotch in Australia. Australas. Plant Pathol. 43:223-233.
- McLean, M. S., Howlett, B. J., and Hollaway, G. J. 2009. Epidemiology and control of spot form of net
  blotch (*Pvrenophora teres f. maculata*) of barley: a review. Crop Pasture Sci. 60:303-315.
- 781 Milgroom, M. G. 1996. Recombination and the multilocus structure of fungal populations. Annu. Rev.
  782 Phytopathol. 34:457-477.
- 783 Miller, P. M. 1955. V-8 juice agar as a general-purpose medium for fungi and bacteria. Phytopathology
  784 45:461-462.
- Mondini, L., Noorani, A., and Pagnotta, M. A. 2009. Assessing plant genetic diversity by molecular
  tools. Diversity 1:19-35.
- Morris, E. K., Caruso, T., Buscot, F., Fischer, M., Hancock, C., Maier, T. S., Meiners, T., Müller, C.,
  Obermaier, E., and Prati, D. 2014. Choosing and using diversity indices: insights for ecological
  applications from the German Biodiversity Exploratories. Ecol. Evol. 4:3514-3524.
- Murray, G., and Brennan, J. 2010. Estimating disease losses to the Australian barley industry. Australas.
  Plant Pathol. 39:85-96.
- Nei, M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. P. N. A. S. 70:3321-3323.
- Nei, M., and Chesser, R. K. 1983. Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. Ann. Hum. Genet.
  47:253-259.
- Nguyen, Q. B., Itoh, K., Van Vu, B., Tosa, Y., and Nakayashiki, H. 2011. Simultaneous silencing of
  endo-β-1, 4 xylanase genes reveals their roles in the virulence of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Mol.
  Microbiol. 81:1008-1019.
- Parks, L. W., and Casey, W. M. 1995. Physiological implications of sterol biosynthesis in yeast. Annu.
  Rev. Microbiol. 49:95-116.

- 800 Peever, T. L., and Milgroom, M. G. 1994. Genetic structure of *Pyrenophora teres* populations
  801 determined with random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Can. J. Bot. 72:915-923.
- Peltonen, S., Jalli, M., Kammiovirta, K., and Karjalainen, R. 1996. Genetic variation in *Drechslera teres* populations as indicated by RAPD markers. Ann. Appl. Biol. 128:465-477.
- 804 Perrier, X., and Jacquemoud-Collet, J. P. 2006. DARwin software. <u>http://darwin</u>. cirad. fr/darwin.
- Poudel, B., Ellwood, S. R., Testa, A. C., McLean, M., Sutherland, M. W., and Martin, A. 2017. Rare
- 806 *Pyrenophora teres* hybridization events revealed by development of sequence-specific PCR
  807 markers. Phytopathology 107:878-884.
- Poudel, B., Vaghefi, N., McLean, M., Platz, G., Sutherland, M., and Martin, A. 2019. Genetic structure
  of a *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* population over time in an Australian barley field as revealed
  by Diversity Arrays Technology markers. Plant Pathol. 68:1331-1336.
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., and Donnelly, P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus
  genotype data. Genetics 155:945-959.
- 813 R. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria.
- Rau, D., Brown, A. H., Brubaker, C. L., Attene, G., Balmas, V., Saba, E., and Papa, R. 2003. Population
  genetic structure of *Pyrenophora teres* Drechs. the causal agent of net blotch in Sardinian
  landraces of barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*). Theor. Appl. Genet. 106:947-959.
- 817 Rau, D., Maier, F. J., Papa, R., Brown, A. H., Balmas, V., Saba, E., Schaefer, W., and Attene, G. 2005.
- 818 Isolation and characterization of the mating-type locus of the barley pathogen *Pyrenophora*819 *teres* and frequencies of mating-type idiomorphs within and among fungal populations
  820 collected from barley landraces. Genome 48:855-869.
- Richards, E., Reichardt, M., and Rogers, S. 1997. Preparation of plant DNA using CTAB. Curr. Protoc.
  Mol. Biol. 3:2.10-12.11.
- Rodriguez, R. J., Low, C., Bottema, C. D., and Parks, L. W. 1985. Multiple functions for sterols in
   *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids. 837:336-343.
- Rogers, S. O., and Rogers, M. A. 1999. Gene flow in fungi. Pages 97-121 in: Structure and dynamics
  of fungal populations. Springer, Dordrecht.

- Saghai-Maroof, M. A., Soliman, K. M., Jorgensen, R. A., and Allard, R. 1984. Ribosomal DNA spacerlength polymorphisms in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population
  dynamics. P. N. A. S. 81:8014-8018.
- 830 Saitou, N., and Nei, M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing
  831 phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406-425.
- 832 Sayari, M., van der Nest, M. A., Steenkamp, E. T., Soal, N. C., Wilken, P. M., and Wingfield, B. D.
- 833 2019. Distribution and evolution of nonribosomal peptide synthetase gene clusters in the
  834 *Ceratocystidaceae*. Genes 10:328.
- 835 Serenius, M., Mironenko, N., and Manninen, O. 2005. Genetic variation, occurrence of mating types
  836 and different forms of *Pyrenophora teres* causing net blotch of barley in Finland. Mycol. Res.
  837 109:809-817.
- 838 Serenius, M., Manninen, O., Wallwork, H., and Williams, K. 2007. Genetic differentiation in
   839 *Pyrenophora teres* populations measured with AFLP markers. Mycol. Res. 111:213-223.
- 840 Shannon, C. E. 2001. A mathematical theory of communication. ACM SIGMOBILE Mob. Comput.841 Commun. Rev 5:3-55.
- Shipton, W. A. 1966. Net blotch of barley. Journal of the Department of Agriculture, Western Australia,
  Series 4 7:132-133.
- 844 Simpson, E. H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature 163:688.
- Smedegård-Petersen, V. 1971. *Pyrenophora teres* f. *maculata* f. nov. and *P. teres* f. *teres* on barley in
  Denmark. Royal Veterinary And Agricultural University (Copenhagen):124-144.
- Spellerberg, I. F., and Fedor, P. J. 2003. A tribute to Claude Shannon (1916–2001) and a plea for more
  rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the 'Shannon–Wiener'Index. Glob. Ecol.
- Biogeogr. 12:177-179.
- 850 Steffenson, B., Webster, R., and Jackson, L. 1991. Reduction in yield loss using incomplete resistance
  851 to *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* in barley. Plant Dis. 75:96-100.
- 852 Suffert, F., Goyeau, H., Sache, I., Carpentier, F., Gélisse, S., Morais, D., and Delestre, G. 2018.
  853 Epidemiological trade-off between intra-and interannual scales in the evolution of
  854 aggressiveness in a local plant pathogen population. Evol. Appl. 11:768-780.

Phytopathology

- 855 Syme, R., Martin, A., Wyatt, N., Lawrence, J., Muria-Gonzalez, M., Friesen, T., and Ellwood, S. 2018.
  856 Transposable element genomic fissuring in *Pyrenophora teres* is associated with genome
  857 expansion and dynamics of host-pathogen genetic interactions. Front. Genet. 9:130.
- 858 Vaghefi, N., Kikkert, J. R., Bolton, M. D., Hanson, L. E., Secor, G. A., Nelson, S. C., and Pethybridge,
- 859 S. J. 2017. Global genotype flow in *Cercospora beticola* populations confirmed through
  860 genotyping-by-sequencing. PloS One 12:0186488.
- 861 Van den Berg, C. G. J. 1988. Epidemiology of *Pyrenophora teres* and its effect on grain yield of
  862 *Hordeum vulgare*. University of Saskatchewan, Canada.
- Wallwork, H., Butt, M., and Capio, E. 2016. Pathogen diversity and screening for minor gene resistance
  to *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* in barley and its use for plant breeding. Australas. Plant Pathol.
  45:527-531.
- Wang, C., Li, C., Li, B., Li, G., Dong, X., Wang, G., and Zhang, Q. 2014. Toxins produced by *Valsa mali* var. *mali* and their relationship with pathogenicity. Toxins 6:1139-1154.
- Wei, Y., Shen, W., Dauk, M., Wang, F., Selvaraj, G., and Zou, J. 2004. Targeted gene disruption of
  glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* reveals evidence that
  glycerol is a significant transferred nutrient from host plant to fungal pathogen. J. Biol. Chem.
  279:429-435.
- Wenzl, P., Carling, J., Kudrna, D., Jaccoud, D., Huttner, E., Kleinhofs, A., and Kilian, A. 2004.
  Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) for whole-genome profiling of barley. P. N. A. S.
  101:9915-9920.
- Williams, K., Smyl, C., Lichon, A., Wong, K., and Wallwork, H. 2001. Development and use of an
  assay based on the polymerase chain reaction that differentiates the pathogens causing spot
  form and net form of net blotch of barley. Australas. Plant Pathol. 30:37-44.
- Zhang, G., and Berbee, M. L. 2001. *Pyrenophora* phylogenetics inferred from ITS and glyceradehyde3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene sequences. Mycologia 93:1048-1063.
- 880

Phytopathology

881 TABLE 1. Analysis of molecular variance of *Pyrenophora teres* f. teres isolates from Australia,

882 Hungary and the Republic of South Africa (RSA).

Source of variance	Degrees of	Variation	Sum	Mean square
Source of variance	freedom	(%)	square	Weall Square
Australia, Hungary and RSA				
Among countries	2	19.13 <sup>a</sup>	6788.27	3394.13
Year among countries	4	0.52 <sup>ns</sup>	846.12	211.53
Among regions/states within countries	13	17.40 <sup>a</sup>	10088.81	776.06
Among isolates within populations	211	82.59 <sup>a</sup>	38143.65	180.78
Australia				
Among states	4	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	1794.25	448.56
Within states	95	92.99ª	17670.53	186.01
Year within Australia	3	0.12 <sup>ns</sup>	601.16	200.39
Hungary				
Among fields	3	2.08 <sup>ns</sup>	775.19	258.40
Within fields	67	97.92ª	12822.33	191.38
Year within Hungary	1	0.99 <sup>ns</sup>	244.96	244.96
RSA				
Among fields	4	1.78 <sup>ns</sup>	731.09	182.77
Within fields	49	97.90 <sup>a</sup>	9576.68	195.44

883 a Significant at  $P \le 0.001$ 

884 <sup>ns</sup> Not significant
## Page 37 of 74

## 885 TABLE 2. Indices of genetic diversity for *Pyrenophora teres* f. teres populations from

Population	n <sup>a</sup>	MLG <sup>b</sup>	eMLG <sup>c</sup>	Hď	1-λ <sup>e</sup>	H <sub>exp</sub> <sup>f</sup>	CF <sup>g</sup>
Australia						· F	
NSW	20	17	10	0.974	0.941	0.164	0.150
QLD	43	37	10	0.981	0.973	0.172	0.140
SA	24	23	10	0.995	0.957	0.184	0.042
VIC	6	6	6	1.000	0.833	0.167	0
WA	24	17	10	0.633	0.941	0.177	0.292
Australia total	117	100	10	0.986	0.991	0.183	0.145
Hungary							
Bony	1	1	1	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Karcag	22	19	10	0.610	0.947	0.162	0.136
Kompolt	16	14	10	0.511	0.929	0.188	0.125
Kölcse	3	3	3	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Martonvásár	31	30	10	0.996	0.967	0.186	0.032
Márok	2	2	2	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Székkutas	1	1	1	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Szombathely	8	8	8	1.000	0.875	0.190	0
Hungary total	84	78	10	0.992	0.988	0.184	0.071
RSA							
Bredasdorp	11	6	6	0.960	0.833	0.120	0.455
Caledon	28	26	10	0.992	0.962	0.149	0.071
Greyton	6	5	5	0.970	0.800	0.145	0.167
Klipdale	8	8	8	1.000	0.875	0.157	0
Napier	12	9	9	0.973	0.889	0.150	0.250
Protem	4	3	3	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Rietpoel	2	2	2	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
Riviersonderend	1	1	1	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>	NaN <sup>h</sup>
RSA total	72	59	10	0.973	0.986	0.143	0.181
Total	273	237	10	0.987	0.996	0.202	0.132

886 Australia, Hungary and Republic of South Africa (RSA).

887 <sup>a</sup> Number of isolates

888 <sup>b</sup> Number of multilocus genotypes (MLG)

- <sup>c</sup> The number of expected MLG based on rarefaction at the smallest sample size of  $\geq 10$
- 890 <sup>d</sup> Normalised Shannon-Wiener index of MLG genotypic diversity, the genotypic diversity of
- the population by richness and relative abundance in a defined location
- e Simpson's complement index of multilocus genotypic diversity, the probability of two random
- isolates drawn from a subpopulation to be of a different genotype
- <sup>f</sup> Nei's unbiased gene diversity, the probability that two randomly chosen alleles are different

- 895 <sup>g</sup> Clonal fraction (CF), (1-MLG/n) where, MLG equals to number of MLGs and *n* equals the
- 896 number of isolates of the population/subpopulation
- 897 <sup>h</sup> Not calculated due to < 5 isolates
- 898

- 899 Figure captions
- 900 Fig. 1. Sample collection regions of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates in (A) Australia, (B)
- 901 Hungary and (C) Republic of South Africa (RSA). (ArcGISPro version 2.3, Esri, California,902 USA)
- 903 Fig. 2. Principal components analysis of Pyrenophora teres f. teres isolates collected from
- Australia, Canada, Denmark, Hungary, Japan, Republic of South Africa (RSA) and Sweden.
  Principal component axis 1 (PC1) and principal component axis 2 (PC2) explained 13.6% and
  906 9.3% variation, respectively, for the genetic clusters.
- 907 Fig. 3. Discriminant analysis of principal components of the entire collection of *Pyrenophora*908 *teres* f. *teres* from Australia (Aus), Republic of South Africa (RSA), Hungary (Hun), Canada
  909 (Can), Japan (Jap), Sweden (Swe) and Denmark (Den). The distribution of the eigenvalues of
  910 principal component analysis (PCA) and discriminant analysis (DA) indicate that the first two
  911 principal components explain 25% of the genetic structure of the clusters.
- **912 Fig.4.** Estimates of genetic structuring in the entire clone-corrected *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* **913** collection grouped into clusters (K = 2-10) using the model-based clustering method in **914** STRUCTURE. Population color bars represent isolates from Australia (n = 100), Canada (n =**915** 7; including the historical Canadian isolate ), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 78), Japan (n =**916** 1), Republic of South Africa (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1) respectively. Bars represent **917** individual isolates and the color and height of each bar depicts the estimated membership **918** fraction of each individual into the corresponding cluster.
- **Fig. 5.** Neighbor-net phylogenetic network based on DArTseq<sup>TM</sup> data for *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates from Australia (n = 100), Canada (n = 7; including the historical Canadian isolate), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 78), Japan (n = 1), Republic of South Africa (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1).
- 923

Dahanayaka et al.

Phytopathology

## 924 e-Xtra figure captions

925 Supplementary Fig. S1. Neighbor-joining clustering with bootstrapping (≥90%) based on

926 DArTseq<sup>™</sup> data following Jaccard similarity coefficient for *Pvrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates

927 from Australia (n = 101), Canada (n = 7), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 79), Japan (n = 2),

928 Republic of South Africa (RSA) (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1).

929 Supplementary Fig. S2. Discriminant analysis of principal components of Pyrenophora 930 teres f. teres populations collected from (A) Australia, (B) Hungary and (C) Republic of South 931 Africa (RSA). The distribution of the eigenvalues of principal component analysis (PCA) and 932 discriminant analysis (DA) indicate that the first two principal components adequately explain 933 > 50% of the genetic structure of the clusters. States of Australia; NSW- New South Wales, 934 QLD- Queensland, SA- South Australia, VIC-Victoria and WA- Western Australia. Regions 935 of Hungary; Kar-Karcag, Köl-, Kölcse, Kom-Kompolt, Mar-Martonvásár, Márk-Márok, Szé-936 Székkutas and Szo- Szombathely. Regions of RSA; Bre- Bredasdorp, Cal- Caledon, Gre-937 Greyton, Kli-Klipdale, Nap-Napier, Pro-Protem and Rie-Rietpoel.

938 Supplementary Fig. S3. The optimum number of clusters (K) for (A) the entire *Pyrenophora* 939 *teres* f. *teres* collection, populations from (B) Australia, (C) Hungary and (D) Republic of 940 South Africa based on delta K ( $\Delta$ K) estimated over 10 independent runs.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Estimates of genetic structuring in (A) Australia (blue, K = 2) (B) Hungary (red, K = 2) and (C) Republic of South Africa (RSA) (green, K = 2) grouped into optimal clusters using the model-based clustering method in STRUCTURE. Bars represent individual isolates and the colour and height of each bar depicts the estimated membership fraction of each individual into the corresponding cluster. States of Australia; NSW- New South Wales, QLD- Queensland, SA- South Australia, VIC-Victoria and WA- Western

Dahanayaka et al.

- 947 Australia. Regions of Hungary; Kar- Karcag, Köl-, Kölcse, Kom- Kompolt, Mar- Martonvásár,
- 948 Márk- Márok, Szé- Székkutas and Szo- Szombathely. Regions of RSA; Bre- Bredasdorp, Cal-
- 949 Caledon, Gre- Greyton, Kli- Klipdale, Nap- Napier, Pro- Protem and Rie- Rietpoel.

950

- 951 e-Xtra table titles
- 952 Supplementary Table S1. Meta data for *Pyrenophora teres* isolates genotyped in this study
- 953 Supplementary Table S2. Details of the most contributing DArTseq<sup>™</sup> marker annotations for
  954 the DAPC and PCA
- 955 Supplementary Table S3. Chi square and PHI test values for subdivisions in Australia, regions956 in Hungary and RSA
- 957 Supplementary Table S4. Indices of genetic diversity for *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* populations
- 958 from Australia, Hungary and Republic of South Africa (RSA) based clusters detected in DAPC
- 959
- 960



Fig. 1. Sample collection regions of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates in (A) Australia, (B) Hungary and (C) Republic of South Africa (RSA). (ArcGISPro version 2.3, Esri, California, USA)



Fig. 2. Principal components analysis of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates collected from Australia, Canada, Denmark, Hungary, Japan, Republic of South Africa (RSA) and Sweden. Principal component axis 1 (PC1) and principal component axis 2 (PC2) explained 13.6% and 9.3% variation, respectively, for the genetic clusters.



Fig. 3. Discriminant analysis of principal components of the entire collection of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* from Australia (Aus), Republic of South Africa (RSA), Hungary (Hun), Canada (Can), Japan (Jap), Sweden (Swe) and Denmark (Den). The distribution of the eigenvalues of principal component analysis (PCA) and discriminant analysis (DA) indicate that the first two principal components explain 25% of the genetic structure of the clusters.



Fig. 4. Estimates of genetic structuring in the entire clone-corrected *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* collection grouped into clusters (K = 2-10) using the model-based clustering method in STRUCTURE. Population color bars represent isolates from Australia (n = 100), Canada (n = 7; including the historical Canadian isolate), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 78), Japan (n = 1), Republic of South Africa (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1) respectively. Bars represent individual isolates and the color and height of each bar depicts the estimated membership fraction of each individual into the corresponding cluster.

295x192mm (96 x 96 DPI)



Fig. 5. Neighbor-net phylogenetic network based on DArTseq<sup>TM</sup> data for *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates from Australia (n = 100), Canada (n = 7; including the historical Canadian isolate), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 78), Japan (n = 1), Republic of South Africa (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1).

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Isolate	Mat <sup>a</sup>	Year	Host	Region/State	Country	Reference <sup>e</sup>	DAPC	STR
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LID S07012d	2	2007	Unknown	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
HRS080464     2     208     RB07572     QLD     Austral     Marine (100)     Image: (100)       HRS081174     2     208     Hanown     QLD     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       HRS081174     2     208     Hanown     QLD     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       nf25/084     1     2007     Fleet     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       nf49/07     1     2007     Kel     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       nf55/074     1     2007     Kel     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       nf55/074     1     2007     Kel     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       fRS081954     1     2008     Reform net (200)     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       fRS081954     1     2008     Reform net (200)     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       fRS081954     1     2008     Reform net (200)     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       fRS081954     1     0     Austral (200)     Marine (200)     Image: (200)       fRS091954     1     0     Austral (200)     Image: (200)     Image: (200)	111130/013*	Z	2007	Ulikilowii	113 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HB 208046d	2	2008	NPB07572		Australia	Martin et	4	III
HRS081174 $2$ $2008$ $Unknown$ $QLD$ $Austalia$ Martin et $al. (2019)$ $4$ III $nf25/08^d$ $1$ $2007$ $Peet$ $SA$ $Austalia$ $Martin et$ $2.011$ $Martin et$ $2.011$ $Martin et$ $2.011$ $Martin et$ $Austalia$ $Martin et$ $2.011$ $Martin et$ $Austalia$	111(5000+0	2	2000	NRD07372	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HR \$08117d	2	2008	Unknown		∆ustralia	Martin et	4	III
nf25/08 <sup>d</sup> 1     2007     Fleet     SA     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     2     III       nf39/07     1     2007     Rel     SA     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     Martin et al. (2019)     NA       nf55/07 <sup>d</sup> 1     2007     Rel     SA     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     I     I       nfS5/07 <sup>d</sup> 1     2007     Rel     SA     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     I     I       HRS081954     1     2008     Reform net blotch     SA     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     NA       HRS081954     2     2008     Unknown     NSW     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III       HRS09015 <sup>d</sup> 1     2007     Barley Stubble     QLD     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III       HRS09002 <sup>d</sup> 2     2008     Reperd     QLD     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III       nf47/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Australia     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III       nf48/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Australia     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III       hf48/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Australia     Australia     Martin et al. (2019)     III <td>111(500117</td> <td>2</td> <td>2000</td> <td>Chikhown</td> <td>QLD</td> <td>Tustiana</td> <td>al. (2019)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	111(500117	2	2000	Chikhown	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nf25/08d	1	2007	Fleet	SA	Australia	Martin et	2	III
nf49/07     1     207     Reel     SA     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     NA       nf55/07 <sup>d</sup> 1     207     Reel     SA     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     1     I       nf55/07 <sup>d</sup> 1     207     Reel     SA     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     1     I       HRS08194     1     208     Net form net jerential     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     NA     NA       HRS08195 <sup>d</sup> 2     2008     Unknown     SA     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     NA     NA       HRS09015 <sup>d</sup> 1     2009     Barley Stubble     QLD     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       HRS09002 <sup>d</sup> 1     209     Shepherd     QLD     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       nf47/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Shepherd     QLD     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       nf48/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Australia     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       nf48/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Australia     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       nf48/09A3 <sup>d</sup> 2     2009     Martinee     Australia     Martin et la (2019)     III       nf48/09A	11123/00	1	2007	11000	511	Tustiunu	al. (2019)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nf49/07	1	2007	Keel	SA	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
nf55/07d         1         2007         Keel         SA         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         I         I         I         NA           HRS08194         1         2008         Net form net blotch         SA         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         NA         NA           HRS081954         1         2008         Unknown         NSW         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         III           HRS090154         1         2009         Barley Stubble         QLD         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         III           HRS090424         1         2009         Skiff         QLD         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         III           HRS090924         2         2009         Shepherd         QLD         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         III           nf47/09A34         2         2009         Martinee         SA         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         I         I           nf48/09A34         2         2009         Martinee         SA         Australia         Martin et al. (2019)         I         I           HRS09120         2         2009         Martinee         SA         Australia <t< td=""><td>in 19707</td><td>Ĩ</td><td>2007</td><td>11001</td><td></td><td>1 Wolland</td><td>al. (2019)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	in 19707	Ĩ	2007	11001		1 Wolland	al. (2019)		
HRS0819412008Net form net blotch differentialSAAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)NANAHRS08195d22008UnknownNSWAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)4III al. (2019)HRS09015d12009Barley StubbleQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)4III al. (2019)HRS09042d12009SkiffQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)4III al. (2019)HRS09092d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)4III al. (2019)nf47/09A3d22009MartineeSAAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)1Inf48/09A3d22009MartineeSAAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IInf48/09A3d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IInf48/09A3d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IIHRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IIHRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IIHRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)IIHRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)I <t< td=""><td>nf55/07<sup>d</sup></td><td>1</td><td>2007</td><td>Keel</td><td>SA</td><td>Australia</td><td>Martin et</td><td>1</td><td>Ι</td></t<>	nf55/07 <sup>d</sup>	1	2007	Keel	SA	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
HRS0819412008blotch differentialSA al. (2019)Martin et al. (2019)NA NANA NAHRS08195d22008UnknownNSWAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)III al. (2019)HRS09015d12009Barley StubbleQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)III al. (2019)HRS09042d12009SkiffQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)III al. (2019)HRS09092d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et al. (2019)III 							al. (2019)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				Net form net			Martin et	NA	NA
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HRS08194	1	2008	blotch	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				differential				_	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HRS08195 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Unknown	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
HRS09015d12009Barley StubbleQLDAustraliaMartin et4IIIHRS09042d12009SkiffQLDAustraliaMartin et4IIIHRS09092d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et4IIIHRS09092d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et4IIInf47/09A3d22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1Inf48/09A3d22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1Inf48/09A3d22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1Inf48/09A3d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et1IhRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin etNANAal. (2019)22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et1IHRS0912022009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin etNANAal. (2019)22009ShepherdAUDAustraliaMartin et4IIIHRS0912022009ShepherdNEWAustraliaMartin et4IIIHRS0912022009ShepherdNEWAustraliaMartin et4III							al. (2019)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HRS09015 <sup>d</sup>	1	2009	Barley Stubble	QLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							al. (2019)		***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HRS09042 <sup>d</sup>	1	2009	Skiff	QLD	Australia	Martin et	4	111
HRS09092d22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin et4III $nf47/09A3^d$ 22009MartimeSAAustraliaMartin et1I $nf47/09A3^d$ 22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1I $nf48/09A3^d$ 22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1I $nf48/09A3^d$ 22009MaritimeSAAustraliaMartin et1I $nf48/09A3^d$ 22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin etNANA $al. (2019)$ 22009ShepherdQLDAustraliaMartin etNANA $al. (2019)$ 22009ShepherdNGWAustraliaMartin et4III							al. (2019)	4	TT
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HRS09092 <sup>d</sup>	2	2009	Shepherd	QLD	Australia	Martin et $(2010)$	4	111
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							al. (2019)	1	т
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nf47/09A3d	2	2009	Maritime	SA	Australia		1	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							al. (2019) Mortin et	1	T
HRS09120 2 2009 Shepherd QLD Australia Martin et NA NA al. (2019) Martin et 4 III	nf48/09A3d	2	2009	Maritime	SA	Australia	$\frac{1}{2} (2010)$	1	1
HRS09120 2 2009 Shepherd QLD Australia al. (2019) Martin et 4 III							al. (2019) Martin et	NΛ	NΛ
Martin et 4 III	HRS09120	2	2009	Shepherd	QLD	Australia	$\frac{1}{2} (2019)$	INA	
							Martin et	4	Ш
$\frac{1}{2009} \frac{1}{1129} \frac{1}{5111} \frac{1}{150} \frac{1}{51129} \frac{1}{51111} \frac{1}{150} \frac{1}{5111} \frac{1}{5111} \frac{1}{5111} \frac{1}{5111} \frac{1}{51111} \frac{1}{51111} \frac{1}{51111} \frac{1}{51111} \frac{1}{511111} \frac{1}{511111} \frac{1}{5111111} \frac{1}{51111111111111111111111111111111111$	HRS09121 <sup>d</sup>	1	2009	TR129/Skiff	NSW	Australia	al. (2019)		

## Supplementary Table S1. Meta data for *Pyrenophora teres* isolates genotyped in this study

HR\$00122d	2	2009	TR120/Skiff	NSW	Australia	Martin et	2	III
11K507122	2	2007	1K129/5KIII	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
HPS00123d	2	2000	Vlamingh	W/ A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K509125	2	2009	viannigh	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
HPS00127	2	2000	TP120/Skiff	NSW	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
11K509127	2	2009	1K129/5KIII	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
03 0006d	1		Unknown	VIC	Australia	Martin et	4	III
03-0000*	1		Ulkilowli	VIC	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
nf08/007acd	r	2008	Unknown	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11106/00/55*	2	2008	Ulkilowli	SA	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
UD S00126d	r	2000	Darloy	<b>W</b> 7 <b>A</b>	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K509150*	2	2009	Balley	WA	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
nf00/126d	1	2000	Darqua	VIC	Australia	Martin et	4	III
11109/130*	1	2009	Darque	VIC	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf00/140d	1	2000	Darqua	VIC	Australia	Martin et	2	III
11109/140*	1	2009	Darque	VIC	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf122/00bd	1	2000	Fleet	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111122/090	1	2009	Theet	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
HR\$10004	1	2010	Grimmett	OLD	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
111(510004	1	2010	Orminett	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS10015d	1	2010	NDD06050		Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K510015	1	2010	NRB00033	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
ntt00 120d	1	2000	Unknown	S A	Australia	Martin et	4	III
pu07-120	1	2007	Clikilowii	54	Australia	al. (2019)		
ptt00 151	1	2000	Baudin	W/ A	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
pu09-154	1	2009	Daudill	WA	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
ptt00 155d	1	2000	Vlamingh	W/ A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
pu09-155*	1	2009	v lanningii	WA	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
UDS10022	1	2010	Kaal		Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
11K510055	1	2010	Keel	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf32/08d	2	1009	Unknown	S A	Australia	Martin et	2	III
11132/70	2	1770	UIIKIIUWII	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		

nf57/09 <sup>d</sup>	2	2009	Unknown	SA	Australia	Martin et al. (2019)	1	Ι
nf66/09 <sup>d</sup>	2	2009	Unknown	SA	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
<b>67</b> 0/00d	1	2000	TT 1	C A	A ( 1	al. (2019) Martin et	1	Ι
nf/0/09ª	1	2009	Unknown	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf122/00d	2	2000	Unknown	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111125/09*	2	2009	Ulikilowii	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS10077d	1	2010	Unknown	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
IIIIIIII	1	2010	Clikitown	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10097d	1	2010	NR B06059	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
IIIIII	1	2010	INRE000037	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10108d	1	2010	Tallon	OI D	Δustralia	Martin et	4	III
IIIIIIII	1	2010	1 anon	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10109d	1	2010	Unknown	OI D	Δustralia	Martin et	4	III
IIIII	1	2010	Clikitown	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10121d	2	2010	Grout		Δustralia	Martin et	1	Ι
111(510121	2	2010	Giout	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10122d	2	2010	Shenherd		Δustralia	Martin et	1	Ι
111(510122	2	2010	Shephera	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10131	1	2010	Barley	NSW	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
IIIIIIII	1	2010	Dariey	145 W	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10135d	2	2010	Mackay	NSW	Δustralia	Martin et	4	III
111010100	2	2010	Widekay	145 W	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS10137d	2	2010	Shenherd	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111(510157	2	2010	Shepherd	113 1	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS10138d	2	2010	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	2	III
IIIIIIII	2	2010	Commander	145 W	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS101/12d	1	2010	Grout	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111(510142	1	2010	Glout	113 1	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS10150d	2	2010	Bass	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111010137	4	2010	Da55		rusualla	al. (2019)		

HRS10164 <sup>d</sup>	2	2010	Grimmett	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
IIIIII	2	2010	Grinniett	QLD	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HRS10167 <sup>d</sup>	1	2010	Grout	OLD	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
IIIII IIII IIII IIII IIII IIII IIII IIII	1	2010	Glout	QLD	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HRS10185d	2	2010	Hindmarsh	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111010105	2	2010	i internet sit	QLD	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HR\$10189d	1	2010	Mackay	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
	1	2010	WIdekdy	QLD	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HR\$10190ad	1	2010	Tallon	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
IIIIIIIII	1	2010	1 anon	QLD	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HRS10103d	2	2010	Bass	W/A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111(510175	2	2010	Dass	W A	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS1010/d	2	2010	Baudin	W/A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111(310174	2	2010	Baudin	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS10220d	2	2010	Commandar	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
пк510220*	2	2010	Commanuel	IN 5 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS12164ad	2	2012	Fathom	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
пк515104а*	Z	2015	гашош	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS12175.d	2	2012	Unknown	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111(5151/54*	2	2013	Ulikilowii	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS12182.d	2	2012	Hoplay	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111(515162a	2	2013	Trefficy	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
HPS12100ad	2	2013	Scope CI	W/ A	Australia	Martin et	2	III
111(31)1994	2	2013	Scope CL	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS12200.d	2	2012	Dorlay	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
1111313209a	2	2013	Barley	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS12217.d	1	2012	Unknown	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
пк51521/а"	1	2015	UIIKIIOWII	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf019/12d	C	2012	Floot	S A	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111010/13*	2	2013	ricet	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
ntt1/ 007d	r	2014	Unknown	VIC	Australia	Martin et	4	III
pu14-007	4	2014	UIINIUWII	V IC	Australia	al. (2019)		

ntt14 057d	1	2014	Unlin our	VIC	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
pu14-03/*	1	2014	UIIKIIOWII	VIC	Australia	al. (2019)		
ntt1/1 110d	1	2014	Fairview	VIC	Australia	Martin et	2	III
pu14-110*	1	2014	I'all view	VIC	Ausualia	al. (2019)		
nf65/1/12d	2	2014	Maritime	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11103/14a*	2	2014	Warthine	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf71/1/12d	2	2014	Fleet	S A	Australia	Martin et	2	III
111 / 1/ 1 <b>-4</b> a	2	2014	Tiett	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
nf117/14ad	2	2014	Barque	SA	Australia	Martin et	4	III
11111//14 <b>u</b>	2	2014	Darque	571	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
87/15a <sup>d</sup>	2	2015	Alstar	SA	Australia	Martin et	2	III
07/13a	2	2015	1 Mistai	571	Tustialla	al. (2019)		
HRS16025ad	1	2016	Shenherd	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
11105100250	1	2010	Shepherd	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS16026ad	1	2016	Compass	NSW	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11105100200	1	2010	Compuss		Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HRS16031ad	2	2016	Shenherd	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
Incoroosiu	2	2010	Shephera	QLD	Tustiullu	al. (2019)		
HRS16033ad	1	2016	Unknown	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
Intoroossu	1	2010	Chikilown	QLD	Tustiullu	al. (2019)		
HRS16041ad	1	2016	Compass	SA	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11105100114	1	2010	Compuss	511	Tustiunu	al. (2019)		
HRS16043ad	2	2016	Compass	OLD	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11105100134	2	2010	Compuss	QLD	Tustiunu	al. (2019)		
HRS16051ad	1	2016	Shenherd	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
11105100514	1	2010	Shephera	QLD	Tustiullu	al. (2019)		
HRS16083ad	1	2016	Shenherd	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
11105100054	1	2010	Shephera	QLD	Tustiunu	al. (2019)		
HRS17058 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Shenherd	OLD	Australia	Martin et	4	III
111017020	1	2017	Shephera	QLD	Tustiunu	al. (2019)		
HRS17066ad	2	2017	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11101/0004	-	<u> </u>	Communicut	110 11	i iusuunu	al. (2019)		

HPS17080ad	1	2017	Commander	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K51/000a*	1	2017	Commander	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
UDS17081.d	1	2017	Darloy		Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K51/001a*	1	2017	Balley	QLD	Ausualla	al. (2019)		
HPS170822	1	2017	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
11K517002a	1	2017	Commander	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS17083ad	1	2017	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11105170054	1	2017	Commander	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
HR\$17084ad	1	2017	Commander	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111X51700 <del>4</del> a	1	2017	Commander	QLD	Tustiana	al. (2019)		
HR\$17085ad	1	2017	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11105170054	1	2017	Commander	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS17087ad	1	2017	Commander	NSW	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K517007a	1	2017	Commander	115 W	Australia	al. (2019)		
HRS17088ad	2	2017	Commander	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
111(517000a	2	2017	Commander	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
HPS17000ad	2	2017	Commander	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
11K517090a	2	2017	Commander	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
62/17ad	2	2017	Fathom	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
02/1/a	2	2017	Pathom	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
						Ellwood	1	Ι
NB2015-024 <sup>d</sup>	1	2015	Navigator	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	1	Ι
NB2015-027 <sup>d</sup>	1	2015	Fleet	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	4	III
NB2015-032 <sup>d</sup>	1	2015	CMP	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	NA	NA
NB2015-033	2	2018	Barley	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		

						Ellwood	1	Ι
NB2016-045 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Oxford	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	2	III
NB2016-048 <sup>d</sup>	1	2015	Unknown	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	4	III
NB2016-051 <sup>d</sup>	2	2015	Unknown	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	4	III
NB2016-052 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Unknown	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
						Ellwood	1	Ι
Ko103-3 <sup>d</sup>	2	2013	Barley	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
NB020d	1	1085	Beecher	WA	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
ND02)	1	1705	Decener	WA	Australia	al., 2019)		
NB033d	1	1989	Grimmett	OI D	Australia	Martin et	4	III
ND055	1	1707	Orminiett	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB03/d	2	1080	Corvette	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
ND034	2	1707	Corvette	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB035d	2	1003	Gilbert	OI D	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
ND055	2	1775	Gilbert	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB050	1	100/	Barley	OI D	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
ND050	1	1774	Barrey	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB023d	2	100/	Tallon	5.4	Australia	Martin et	4	III
ND033	2	1774	1 anon	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB085	1	1005	Cape	OI D	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
ND003	1	1995	Cape	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB102d	1	1005	Gilbert		Australia	Martin et	4	III
1ND102-	1	1773		QLD	Australla	al. (2019)		

						Ellwood	1	Ι
NB2015-021 <sup>d</sup>	2	2015	Barley	WA	Australia	et al.		
						(2019)		
ND222d	1	1006	Daaahar	S A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
IND223*	1	1990	Beecher	SA	Australia	al. (2019)		
ND270d	2	1006	Grimmatt	NGW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
$1ND2/0^{\circ}$	2	1990	Ommett	113 11	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB330ad	2	2003	Binalong	NSW	Australia	Martin et	4	III
IND550a	2	2003	Dinaiong	113 1	Australia	al. (2019)		
NR63 1	2	100/	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
ND03-1	2	1994	UIIKIIOWII	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB63 2	2	100/	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
IND03-2	2	1994	UIIKIIOWII	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB63 3 d	2	100/	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Martin et	1	Ι
IND03-3 *	2	1994	UIIKIIOWII	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB63 /	2	100/	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
11003-4	2	1774	UIKIIOWII	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB63-5	2	100/	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
11005-5	2	1774	UIKIIOWII	WA	Australia	al. (2019)		
NB73	2	100/	Gilbert	OI D	Australia	Martin et	NA	NA
IND / J	2	1774	Gilbert	QLD	Australia	al. (2019)		
W1_1d	2	2000	Unknown	W/A	Australia	Syme et	1	Ι
VV 1-1	2	2007	Clikilowii	VV 7 1	Tustiana	al. (2018)		
WAC10721b	1¢	2002	Unknown	W/A	Australia	McLean et	NA	NA
WIIC10721	1	2002	Clikilowii	VV 7 1		al. (2014)		
						Akhavan	4	III
AB11 <sup>d</sup>	1	2010	Unknown	Alberta	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		
						Akhavan	4	III
AB34 <sup>d</sup>	2	2010	Unknown	Alberta	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		

						Akhavan	2	III
MB05 <sup>d</sup>	2	2010	Unknown	Manitoba	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		
						Akhavan	4	III
MB11 <sup>d</sup>	1	2011	Unknown	Manitoba	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		
						Akhavan	4	III
MB14 <sup>d</sup>	1	2011	Unknown	Manitoba	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		
						Serenius	4	III
WRS858 <sup>d</sup>	1	1973	Barley	Manitoba	Canada	et al.		
						(2007)		
						Akhavan	4	III
SK52 <sup>d</sup>	1	2011	Unknown	Saskatchewan	Canada	et al.		
						(2016a)		
D D d	1	1076		<b>T</b> T 1		Justesen et	3	II
Pt-Pastorale <sup>u</sup>	I	19/6	Barley	Unknown	Denmark	al. (2008)		
						Bakonyi	2	III
CDC202 21d	2	1021	T. T	T. I	<b>I</b>	and		
CB5282.31 <sup>a</sup>	2	1931	Unknown	Unknown	Japan	Justesen		
						(2007)		
						Bakonyi	NA	NA
CDC201 21h	26	1021	Domlary	Lalmonn	Isaaa	and		
CB5281.51°	20	1931	Barley	Unknown	Japan	Justesen		
						(2007)		
H-114-1 <sup>d</sup>	2	2006	Pasadena	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	4	III
H-137 <sup>d</sup>	1	2006	Adagio	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-186 <sup>d</sup>	1	2007	Petra	Kölcse	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-190 <sup>d</sup>	2	2007	Barley	Kölcse	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-191 <sup>d</sup>	2	2007	Barley	Kölcse	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-196 <sup>d</sup>	2	2007	Spring barley	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	4	III
H-288 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	20899YH2- PETRA	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	Π

			F74-82-				3	II
H-289 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	MANAS-	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study		
			SZD0205					
H-306-1 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Henley	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-308-2 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Barley	Székkutas	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-309-2 <sup>d</sup>	1	2008	Barley	Márok	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-322 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Barley	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
Н-323-2	1	2009	Darlary	Mantauxíaín	II	This study.	3	II
(CBS123931) <sup>d</sup>	1	2008	Barley	Martonvasar	Hungary	This study		
H-374 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Wheat	Bőny	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-376 <sup>d</sup>	2	2008	Wheat	Márok	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-386-1 <sup>d</sup>	2	2009	GK Habzó	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-529 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	Petra	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-540 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Mv Initium	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-546 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Laverda	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-547 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	Laverda	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-618 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Zsombor	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-620 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Hunor	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-623 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Anatólia	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-627 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KG Apavár	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-630 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Mv Initium	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-632 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Mv Initium	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-638 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	Patina	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-641 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KG Puszta	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-642 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KG Puszta	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-645 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Tas	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-647 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Tarna	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-651 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Su Ellen	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-656	1	2017	Monique	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-660 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Faktor	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-665 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	GKH 3015	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-668 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Malko	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-672 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Kárpátia	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III

H-675 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Korsó	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	4	III
H-679 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	Antonella	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-690 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Mv Initium	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-732 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Tas	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-733	1	2017	KH Tas	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-746 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Hunor	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-747 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Hunor	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-748 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Hunor	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-771 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Korsó	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-774 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KG Puszta	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-778 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	Patina	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-784	2	2017	KG Konta	Karcag	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-785 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Tas	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-786 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Tas	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-788 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	Mv Initium	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-791 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Anatólia	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-798 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Zsombor	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-802	2	2017	Antonella	Karcag	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-804	1	2017	KH Hunor	Karcag	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-815 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	KH Tarna	Karcag	Hungary	This study	2	III
H-826 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	GKH 3015	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-835 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	KH Zsombor	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	4	III
H-848 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	GKH 3815	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	3	Π
H-850 <sup>d</sup>	2	2017	GKH 3815	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-855 <sup>d</sup>	1	2017	LGBB14W232- 11	Szombathely	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-867 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	KG Puszta	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-874 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	KH Tas	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-883 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	KH Korsó	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-890 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KH Zsombor	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-893 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	Patina	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-897 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	Mv Initium	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-906 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	GKH 3015	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II

H-912 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	Siberia	Karcag	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-919	1°	2018	KG Konta	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-920 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KG Apavár	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-922 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KG Apavár	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-932 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	Patina	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-936 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KH Tarna	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-944 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	Siberia	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-949 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KWS Meridian	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-955	2	2018	Faktor	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	NA	NA
H-958 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	GKH 3015	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-961 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KWS Meridian	Karcag	Hungary	This study	4	III
H-970 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	Boreale	Kompolt	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-974 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	KH Kárpátia	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-977 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KG Konta	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-981 <sup>d</sup>	2	2018	KG Puszta	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
H-995 <sup>d</sup>	1	2018	KH Zsombor	Martonvásár	Hungary	This study	3	II
CG16001	1	2016	Disa	Napier	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16002	1	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16004 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16005 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16006 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16007 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16008	1	2016	Aghulas	Napier	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16009 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16010 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16011 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16013 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16014 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16015 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Rye grass	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16016 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16017 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16018 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16019 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III

CG16021 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16023 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16024 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16028 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	1070	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16029 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	1069	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16030 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	1065	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16031	1	2016	1055	Caledon	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16032 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	1005	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16034 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	999	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16035 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	1000	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16036 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	995	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16037 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	992	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16038 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	744	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16040 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	736	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16041	1	2016	722	Caledon	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16043 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	4	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16044 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	394	Caledon	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16047 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	407	Caledon	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16048 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Rietpoel	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16049 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 18	Rietpoel	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16050	2	2016	LE 12	Riviersonderend	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16051 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Erica	Greyton	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16052 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Hessequa	Greyton	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16054	1	2016	Elim	Greyton	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16055 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Elim	Greyton	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16056 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	S16	Greyton	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16057 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 16	Greyton	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16061 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16062 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Elim	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16063 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	S16	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16064 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 3	Napier	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16065 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 16	Napier	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16067 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Erica	Protem	RSA	This study	2	III

CG16068 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Nemesia	Protem	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16070	1	2016	LE 12	Protem	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16072 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 17	Protem	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16073 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Erica	Klipdale	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16075 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Nemesia	Klipdale	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16076 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 8	Klipdale	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16077 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 10	Klipdale	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16078 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 12	Klipdale	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16079 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 18	Klipdale	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16081 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 22	Klipdale	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16082 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 25	Klipdale	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16083 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	Erica	Bredasorp	RSA	This study	2	III
CG16084 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	Nemesia	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16086	1	2016	Elim	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16088	1	2016	LE 9	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16089 <sup>d</sup>	2	2016	LE 13	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16090 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 13	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16091	1	2016	LE 15	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16092	1	2016	LE 16	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16093 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 25	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	4	III
CG16094	1	2016	LE 23	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	NA	NA
CG16095 <sup>d</sup>	1	2016	LE 3	Bredasdorp	RSA	This study	4	III
						Bakonyi	2	III
LIDGC1020d	1	1096	Oat	Unknown	Swadan	and		
01901939.	1	1900	Oat	UIIKIIOWII	Sweden	Justesen		
						(2007)		

<sup>a</sup> Mating type of the isolate

<sup>b</sup> Included only in distance based cluster analysis and hybrid specific PCR amplification

<sup>c</sup> *Ptm* mating type

- <sup>d</sup> MLGs included in all analyses except hybrid specific PCR amplification
- <sup>e</sup> Original research article describing the isolates
- DAPC The cluster number resulted from DAPC analysis

STR The cluster number assigned to clusters resulted from the K=3 STRUCTURE model

NA Not included in Neighbor-net network, DAPC and STRUCTURE analysis

Marker	Marker Sequence	E value	Gene/locus	Protein	Accession of reference genome	<i>Ptt</i> strain
41804355	TGCAGATCCTGTCTGACTTTGCAATTCGAGTTGATCG CAAGCGCTAGTTGTAGTTCTTGAGTGCTGAGA	3.30E-08	PTT_13375	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28945199	TGCAGATCGCCAGCTATTGCGAGCGGCAAACGCCTT GCCTGCATGCAACTAACCGGCAGCTCACGTGAC	NA	NA	NA		
28945202	TGCAGCCCTGCGACGTCGCCGTGTTTGCACCTCTGAA AGCAGCTTACCGGGAGCAAGTCGAATGACTTG	6.50E-07	PTT_17416 PTT_06721	Hypothetical protein (DDE-1 domain- containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
41804358	TGCAGGCTGATGTATAAGTCTGTGTGTACTCAGTCTCAG AGCAGTCGTACTGCCATCCAGAAGTGGGGAAC	1.60E-04	PTT_17416 PTT_06721	Hypothetical protein (DDE-1 domain- containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
36347108	TGCAGGTCAAGAAGATACCAAGGCCAAAGTGTGACG CTACAATAGACCACCTTCTGCCGATCACCTTGT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
36347128	TGCAGTAGCAGAGCAGGAGAGACCCTAAACCGCGAC AGCTTCTGTGTCGAGACGCGGTAAGAGCCTTCA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
41804360	TGCAGTGAGCTTTTGTCCAGCATGAACGGAGCCTTCG ATCAAAGCCACCAGACCAATTATGCTATGC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946425	TGCAGCAAGACACAATGTCCCTGAACTTACAGATCG GAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945458	TGCAGTCGCAACTCACCTTTGGTAAGGACGCGATGC CTATCTAGGGCTAGCACTGTTTACGGTCACCCA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945448	TGCAGATCTATTGCTCCGCGCTCGTGTTCGCACCAGA GAGGAGCCTGATTCGACAAACCTTTGTAGACC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945774	TGCAGCACCACCTTGACGTACTGCTGCATTCTGTGCA GTCGCTGCATTTCGACTTCTCCAGAAAGGTTG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945775	TGCAGCACTAAGTTACGTTTCTTGCCGTCCACGAGTG GTTCTACACTAGCGGACTTGCATCAAGGATAG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946079	TGCAGCTATATGGGTGTGTGTATAATAATAAAGTGTGG TAGCGATAGCCGTACCTGAGTAGGTCTTAGCAA	7.00E-04	PTT_07236	Uncharacterized protein	AEEY01000000	0-1
28945457	TGCAGGTTTTGTCTCCTTGTCCTGTCAAGAGTACGAG CATCCTGCTTCATGATCAGATTGGGTAGCGAC	0.017	PTT_06709	Uncharacterized protein	AEEY01000000	0-1
28945782	TGCAGTATTAGGACTGCTTTCTGAAAATTGTGAACCG AGTAGTCCGGGGCAACCAGCGTCGCATGATTA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945785	TGCAGTGCGTTGCCGTAGTATTCACCCTGCGCGTTGA TGTCGGCGTGCATGTCAATCAACACCTGAGCA	2.10E-09	PTT_13375	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
36347130	TGCAGTTCGATGGACTGGCGACATGAGCTCAGTAAG CGGAATATCTGTGAGTGCATTTACACCCATAAC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28948860	TGCAGCAAGGACTCTCCATAGGTATTATTACAGATC GGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Supplementary Table S2. Details of the most contributing DArTseq<sup>TM</sup> marker annotations for the DAPC and PCA

36347037	TGCAGCTATCACGACTGCTTCTAAGCTATATACTAGT GGTCGGCAAGGCCGAATACCGTAAGACTATGT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28945446	TGCAGAAGCAGAGCAGGAGACCCCCAAACTGTGACA GTACAAGATGTAGTGAAAAAAATAAGTTTGGTATC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946771	TGCAGGACTTACTAGCGCAGTCAATCGACTCCTTGA GGCAGGATGCGACATCAACGAGAAAGACAGCAA	2.20E-08	PTT_13375	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28949273	TGCAGGCAGCTTCAGTTAGAGGCCACGAGCAGGTGG TCAAGATGCTGCTCGACGCGGGGCGCCGAAGTTA	1.6E-5	PTT_17957 PTT_08880	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
36347080	TGCAGAACCACTATAGTTCAGGCAATTACAGATCGG AAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGATC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946327	TGCAGTAACACCATCCATAGGTACCTCCCACTTACCC GTAACCTGCGTTTCCAGCTCCCTAGACCGAAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946069	TGCAGAAAAGCTCTTCCTGTAATCCACTGCGATTTCC ATGCCATCCCATATATCTCGTCGCGCGCGGAG	4.40E-10	PTT_07238	Hypothetical protein (SET domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28946772	TGCAGGAGCGGGCCATAAAGGCTGGTGCTGTGTCAG GAGTGAGAAAAGACACAATGGTCAACATTGCAG	7.90E-08	PTT_16779	Uncharacterized protein	AEEY01000000	0-1
28945780	TGCAGGGGCTAAGTTGAAACTCAAAAGATAGCAGCA CTCCTACGAACGCATCAAAAGTAACTTTCTATA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28947083	TGCAGGTTGCCGCGTGCCAAGGAGCTGCTAGTTGCG CACCGGCAGTCAGACATATTCTACGACCTTGCT	0.75	JQ582646	Pyrenophora teres f. teres isolate ND89-9 nonribosomal peptide synthetase 2 (NPS2) gene	NA	13A
28945784	TGCAGTGCCAGCCAGAAAGTCGTTTTCGTTATTCGCT AGAATACTAGAGCTATACTTGCAACGTTTCAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28948294	TGCAGCTGCGTCGGACTTAGACGCGTCCGACTCATCC ACCATAGACGATCCGGAGATAAATATAGCGCA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28948801	TGCAGGTAGTCAAGACACTGTTCGACGCGGGCGCCG AAGTCAACGCGCAGGGTGGATACTACGGCAACG	6.90E-06	PTT_06711	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28946767	TGCAGATGAAAAGTGTTTGTGCGCGACATGTAGCAA GAGCGTAGCATCGACGAATACTGTAGAACAAGC	4.80E-09	PTT_07238	Hypothetical protein (SET domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28945777	TGCAGCTCTTATTCTCCTAGCACGTTAGTTTCCGACG CTAAAAAGCGCATCGTTGACCGTGCTGTCGC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946773	TGCAGGCAGCTTCAGCTGAAGGCCACGAGCAGGTGG TCAAAATGCTGCTCGACGCGGGCGCCGACGTCA	3.4E-5	PTT_17957 PTT_08880	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28946082	TGCAGGGTCTCTCACTATTATAGACCTGACTGATCCT GTCATCGACGCTGATTCTACCTGCGTGCTCTT	7.60E-05	_ PTT_09544	Uncharacterized protein	AEEY01000000	0-1
28947077	TGCAGAAAAAAAGGCGGCACTGCGTCAGGAGACT GCTCCACGCCACCGACTAGGGTTCCAGATCTAAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28947744	TGCAGACTACAAGACTCGAATTCCGGCTCTATTTTT GAAACGATTTGGGATACTTCGGTCTTTCGTAA	0.0018	PTT_19103	Hypothetical protein (DUF1996 domain- containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28946403	TGCAGGTACCCACATTGTAAGGGGTGAGGACTAGAG TAAGACTAGGTACGCATCTACATAATCCTTATT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

36349731	TGCAGAACCTGCCTGCACCTCTTCAATGAACATGATG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
50547751	AGAGAAAGAACTGGCACATTGCTTTCGATATC	1174	1174	NA	1171	1171
28947411	TGCAGCTAGGACGCTGATTATACCGAGTAGACTAGG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20747411	CTTGAGGTAAGAGTGAAAAAGCCCGGTAGAGCT	1471	1.02.1		1 17 1	1 1 1
36349088	TGCAGGGTCTGTTATGCGACCTATGAGCGATGCAGA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
50517000	GAAGAGGGAGCTAGGGTTCAGATGAGATTTTAT	1111	1 17 1	147.	1111	1 12 1
28947086	TGCAGCGCCAGATTAGATGAGGTCTAATGGGATCAA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20917000	TGCCCATAACTAGCAGGTGATTGCTGAGTATAT	1111	1111		1111	1.1.1
28946426	TGCAGTGCGTTGCCGTAATCTCCACCCTGCGCGTTGA	0.0011	PTT 06711	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION	AEEY01000000	0-1
20710120	CGCCCGCGTCGAACAGTGTCTTGACTACCAGC	0.0011		domain-containing protein)		• •
36350466	TGCAGCAGAAAGAGATGCGTTGTGTCTCTATCAACA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20220100	ATTTCTTGCTGACTCTGCTTACAGATCGGAAGA	1.1.1				
36350467	TGCAGGCTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	1 80E-05	PTT 13375	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION	AEEY01000000	0-1
20220107	CTAATGATAGACTTACAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTT	1.002.00	111_100,0	domain-containing protein)		• •
28947745	TGCAGTCGATGCCGTCTAAGGCTTTATTACAGATCGG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	AAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGAT					
28946404		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946073		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28948009		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28946407		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	TGCAGTCGCTACTCACCTTTGGTAATGGAACGATGCC					
28948861	TATCCAGGACTAGTGCTGTTTACGGTCACTCA	8.40E-33	NA	NA	NA	NA
	TGCAGCCCTAAATTAGAGGCTAAAATGTATGATTCCT			Hypothetical protein (Pentidase A1 domain-		
36349342	ATGAGTCTTACAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGC	0.023	PTT_17763	containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
• • • • • • • •	TGCAGGCTTGAAACCCGACTTATCGAAGATTACAGA			Hypothetical protein (Peptidase A1 domain-		
28948597	TCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACC	0.023	PTT_17763	containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
20040221	TGCAGACACGAACTATAGCCTATCTTTATTACAGATC	0.705.00	214		274	
28948331	GGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCG	9.70E-08	NA	NA	NA	NA
20017106	TGCAGTGTGTGGATGAGATCGGATCTCCTCACGTTCT	0.022	DTT 177()	Hypothetical protein (Peptidase A1 domain-	A EEV0100000	0.1
2894/400	TGACTCACTTACAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAG	0.023	PTT_1//03	containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
20016100	TGCAGCATTTCAACCTGATTGCGAGCGAAAGTCTCG	8 40E 22	DTT 12275	Hypothetical protein (ANK_REP_REGION	AEEY01000000	0.1
20940400	ATGTCGGCAGTGACGTTCTTGGTCTGGATCTGG	0.40E-33	FII_15575	domain-containing protein)		0-1
28040340	TGCAGTCTGCGTTGTGCACTCTCCTGTCCTTCGCCAT	7 20E 21	DTT 08524	Hypothetical protein (AAA domain-	AEEY01000000	0.1
20747340	ACGCGGTGGGCATAGAGACACCAAGAATCCCA	1.200-21	111_00324	containing protein)		0-1
36350313	TGCAGATGTAGGAAGCACAAGCCTAAAGCTATATTA	0.09	PTT 17763	Hypothetical protein (Peptidase A1 domain-	AEEY01000000	0-1
50550515	CAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGA	0.07	111_1//05	containing protein)		0-1

28948798	TGCAGATCTAAAGCCCGTTCTGGCATTATCGTGTCGA TTTAGAGGTCCAGAAATCCGGAACTTACAGAT	0.023	PTT_01845	Hypothetical protein (MFS domain-containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
36351780	TGCAGCTTGAGCCATTTGAAAGTGAGTGCCGTGCAG GAAGGCAACTCGTGAAGGACGTAGAGACGAAGA	8.40E-33	PTT_16779	Uncharacterized protein	AEEY01000000	0-1
28948601	TGCAGTATGCGTGTCGTTATTGGGTCGATCATCTTAC AGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGA	3.80E-07	NA	NA	NA	NA
28949991	TGCAGTGCTTGGGTTTCTCTAGATAAACGAGGAATA GCTAGGGTTTACAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGC	2.80E-17	NA	NA	NA	NA
36347554	TGCAGAAAGAGAGACGGAAGCTACAAATGAGCTTAC AGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAG	0.0015	PTT_17763	Hypothetical protein (Peptidase A1 domain- containing protein)	AEEY01000000	0-1
28948607	TGCAGACCTATCAATTGTAGACTCCGAGAAAGAGAG AGAGAGAGAGAGAAAAGAGTGGGAGACTTACAAC	1.7	JQ837863	Pyrenophora teres f. teres isolate 13A glyceraldeyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase- like protein (GPD1) gene	NA	13A
28947400	TGCAGAAGTATCAATTGTAGACTCAGGGGAAGAGAG AGAGAGAGAAAGAGTGGGAGACTTACAACAACA	0.12	JX900133	Endo-1,4-beta-xylanase A mRNA	AEEY01000000	0-1
36351843	TGCAGCCACCGGTTGAAGTTAGCCCGCCTAGTTACG CGCGACGCAACCAGGCGCTCACCAATACAACTA	0.061	KX578221	Cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14 alpha- demethylase (CYP51A) gene	OCTH00000000	W1-1
			1 0			

E Expected value indicating the possibility of finding an alignment with the reference genome by random chance

NA No significant alignment was observed with the reference genome

Country/subdiv	visions	Number of	Number of	Number of	Chi square	P value	PHI test	P value
based on DAPC	2	isolates	MAT1-1	<i>MAT1-2</i>	value		mean	
Au	ıstralia	100	47	53				
Cluster_1		31	15	16	0.133	0.715	0.576	0.358
Cluster_2		53	24	29	0.472	0.492	0.641	0.014 <sup>a</sup>
Cluster_3		16	15	11	2.250	0.134	0.518	0.806
Hu	ungary	78	37	41				
Cluster_1		23	9	14	1.087	0.297	0.614	4.8E-4 °
Cluster_2		23	13	10	0.391	0.532	0.695	0.221
Cluster_3		32	13	19	1.125	0.289	0.696	0.007 <sup>b</sup>
	RSA	59	39	20				
Cluster_1		19	10	9	0.053	0.819	0.610	0.511
Cluster_2		12	10	2	5.333	0.021ª	0.553	0.246
Cluster_3		28	18	10	2.286	0.131	0.649	0.310

Supplementary Table S3. Chi square and PHI test values for subdivisions in Australia, regions in Hungary and RSA

<sup>a</sup> Significant at  $P \le 0.05$ 

<sup>b</sup>Significant at  $P \le 0.01$ 

Country/subdivisions					
based on DAPC	n <sup>a</sup>	eMLG <sup>b</sup>	Hc	1 <b>-</b> λ <sup>d</sup>	H <sub>exp</sub> <sup>e</sup>
Australia					
Cluster_1	31	16	0.745	0.968	0.200
Cluster_2	53	16	0.863	0.981	0.213
Cluster_3	16	16	0.702	0.938	0.187
Australia total	100	16	0.890	0.990	0.255
Hungary					
Cluster_1	23	23	0.730	0.958	0.188
Cluster_2	23	23	0.710	0.955	0.207
Cluster_3	32	23	0.797	0.969	0.204
Hungary total	78	23	0.935	0.987	0.279
RSA					
Cluster_1	19	12	0.694	0.941	0.251
Cluster_2	12	12	0.629	0.923	0.173
Cluster_3	28	12	0.627	0.966	0.255
RSA total	59	12	0.859	0.983	0.224

Supplementary Table S4. Indices of genetic diversity for *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* populations from Australia, Hungary and Republic of South Africa (RSA) based clusters detected in DAPC

<sup>a</sup> Number of isolates

<sup>b</sup> The number of expected MLG based on rarefaction at the smallest sample size of  $\geq 10$ 

<sup>c</sup> Normalised Shannon-Wiener index of MLG genotypic diversity, the genotypic diversity of the population by richness and relative abundance in a defined location

<sup>d</sup> Simpson's complement index of multilocus genotypic diversity, the probability of two random isolates drawn from a subpopulation to be of a different genotype

<sup>e</sup> Nei's unbiased gene diversity, the probability that two randomly chosen alleles are different

1



3

4 Supplementary Fig. S1. Neighbor-joining clustering with bootstrapping (≥90%) based on 5 DArTseq<sup>™</sup> data following Jaccard similarity coefficient for *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates from Australia (n = 101), Canada (n = 7), Denmark (n = 1), Hungary (n = 79), Japan (n = 2), Republic of 6 South Africa (RSA) (n = 59) and Sweden (n = 1). 7



**Supplementary Fig. S2.** Discriminant analysis of principal components of *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* populations collected from (A) Australia, (B) Hungary and (C) Republic of South Africa (RSA). The distribution of the eigenvalues of principal component analysis (PCA) and discriminant analysis (DA) indicate that the first two principal components adequately explain > 50% of the genetic structure of the clusters. States of Australia; NSW- New South Wales, QLD-Queensland, SA- South Australia, VIC-Victoria and WA- Western Australia. Regions of Hungary; Kar- Karcag, Köl-, Kölcse, Kom- Kompolt, Mar- Martonvásár, Márk- Márok, Szé- Székkutas and Szo- Szombathely. RSA regions; Bre- Bredasdorp, Cal- Caledon, Gre- Greyton, Kli- Klipdale, Nap- Napier, Pro- Protem and Rie- Rietpoel.



**Supplementary Fig. S3.** The optimum number of clusters (*K*) for (A) the entire *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* collection, populations from (B) Australia, (C) Hungary and (D) RSA based on delta K ( $\Delta K$ ) estimated over 10 independent runs.


grouped into optimal clusters using the model-based clustering method in STRUCTURE. Bars represent individual isolates and the colour and height of each bar depicts the estimated membership fraction of each individual into the corresponding cluster. States of Australia; NSW- New South Wales, QLD-Queensland, SA- South Australia, VIC-Victoria and WA- Western Australia. Regions of Hungary; Kar- Karcag, Köl-,

Kölcse, Kom- Kompolt, Mar- Martonvásár, Márk- Márok, Szé- Székkutas and Szo- Szombathely. RSA regions; Bre- Bredasdorp, Cal- Caledon,

Gre- Greyton, Kli- Klipdale, Nap- Napier, Pro- Protem and Rie- Rietpoel.