



ON G-RADICAL SUPPLEMENT SUBMODULES

HASAN HÜSEYİN ÖKTEN AND AYTEN PEKİN

Received 23 June, 2020

Abstract. In this work, we give some new properties of Rad-supplement and g-radical supplement submodules. Let V be a g-radical supplement of U in M and U or V be essential submodule of M . Then $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$. Let V be a g-radical supplement of U in M , U or V be essential submodule of M and $x \in V$. Then $Rx \ll_g V$ if and only if $Rx \ll_g M$. In this work, some relations between Rad-supplement, g-radical supplement, β^* and β_g^* relations are also studied. Let $X\beta_g^*Y$ in M . If V is a g-radical supplement of X in M and $V \trianglelefteq M$, then V is also a g-radical supplement of Y in M . Let M be an R -module. It is proved that M is semilocal (g-semilocal) if every submodule of M β^* equivalent to a Rad-supplement (g-radical supplement) submodule in M .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16D10; 16D70

Keywords: small submodules, radical, g-supplemented modules, g-radical supplemented modules

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings will be associative with identity and all modules will be unital left modules.

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. We will denote a submodule N of M by $N \leq M$. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If $L = M$ for every submodule L of M such that $M = N + L$, then N is called a *small submodule* of M and denoted by $N \ll M$. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If there exists a submodule K of M such that $M = N + K$ and $N \cap K = 0$, then N is called a *direct summand* of M and it is denoted by $M = N \oplus K$. A submodule N of an R -module M is called an *essential submodule* of M , denoted by $N \trianglelefteq M$, in case $K \cap N \neq 0$ for every submodule $K \neq 0$, or equivalently, $N \cap K = 0$ implies that $K = 0$. Let M be an R -module and K be a submodule of M . K is called a *generalized small* (briefly, *g-small*) *submodule* of M if for every $T \trianglelefteq M$ with $M = K + T$ implies that $T = M$, this is written by $K \ll_g M$ (in [13], it is called an *e-small submodule* of M and denoted by $K \ll_e M$). It is clear that every small submodule is a generalized small submodule but the converse is not true generally. Let U and V be submodules of M . If $M = U + V$ and V is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently, $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll V$, then V is called a *supplement* of U in M . M is called a *supplemented module* if every

submodule of M has a supplement in M . Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and $M = U + T$ with $T \leq V$ implies that $T = V$, or equivalently, $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll_g V$, then V is called a *g-supplement* of U in M . M is said to be *g-supplemented* if every submodule of M has a *g-supplement* in M . The intersection of all maximal submodules of an R -module M is called the *radical* of M and denoted by $RadM$. If M have no maximal submodules, then we denote $RadM = M$. M is said to be *semilocal* if $M/RadM$ is semisimple, i. e. every submodule of $M/RadM$ is a direct summand of $M/RadM$. Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq RadV$, then V is called a *generalized (radical) supplement* (briefly *Rad-supplement*) of U in M . M is said to be *generalized (radical) supplemented* (briefly *Rad-supplemented*) if every submodule of M has a *Rad-supplement* in M . The intersection of all essential maximal submodules of an R -module M is called the *generalized radical* (briefly *g-radical*) of M and denoted by Rad_gM (in [13], it is denoted by Rad_eM). If M have no essential maximal submodules, then we denote $Rad_gM = M$. If M/Rad_gM is semisimple, i. e. every submodule of M/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM , then M is called a *g-semilocal* module. Let M be an R -module. We say submodules X and Y of M are β^* equivalent, $X\beta^*Y$, if and only if $Y + K = M$ for every $K \leq M$ such that $X + K = M$ and $X + T = M$ for every $T \leq M$ such that $Y + T = M$. We say submodules X and Y of M are β_g^* equivalent, $X\beta_g^*Y$, if and only if $Y + K = M$ for every $K \leq M$ such that $X + K = M$ and $X + T = M$ for every $T \leq M$ such that $Y + T = M$. Let M be an R -module and $X \leq Y \leq M$. If $Y/X \ll M/X$, then we say Y lies above X in M .

More informations about supplemented modules are in [2, 8, 12]. More informations about *g-small* submodules and *g-supplemented* modules are in [3, 7, 10]. The definition of *generalized supplemented* modules and some properties of them are in [11]. The definition of *g-semilocal* modules and some properties of them are in [5]. The definition of β^* relation and some results of this relation are in [1]. The definition of β_g^* relation and some results of this relation are in [9].

Lemma 1. *Let M be an R -module. The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *For every $m \in Rad_gM$, $Rm \ll_g M$.*
- (2) *If $N \leq M$, then $Rad_gN \leq Rad_gM$.*
- (3) *$Rad_gM = \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$.*

Proof. See [4, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3]. □

2. G-RADICAL SUPPLEMENT SUBMODULES

Definition 1. Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq Rad_gV$, then V is called a *g-radical supplement* of U in M . If every submodule of M has a *g-radical supplement* in M , then M is called a *g-radical supplemented* module. (See [4, 6].)

Clearly we can see that every g -supplemented module is g -radical supplemented. But the converse is not true in general. Every Rad -supplemented module is g -radical supplemented.

Lemma 2. *Let V be a g -radical supplement of U in M and $U \trianglelefteq M$. Then $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, $Rad_g V \leq V \cap Rad_g M$. Let T be an essential maximal submodule of V . Then $U \cap V \leq Rad_g V \leq T$ holds. By $\frac{M}{U+T} = \frac{U+T+V}{U+T} \cong \frac{V}{V \cap (U+T)} = \frac{V}{U \cap V + T} = \frac{V}{T}$ and $U + T \trianglelefteq M$, $U + T$ is an essential maximal submodule of M and $Rad_g M \leq U + T$. Hence $V \cap Rad_g M \leq V \cap (U + T) = U \cap V + T = T$. Thus $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$, as desired. \square

Theorem 1. *Let V be a g -radical supplement of U in M , $U \trianglelefteq M$ and $x \in V$. Then $Rx \ll_g V$ if and only if $Rx \ll_g M$.*

Proof.

\implies Clear.

\impliedby Since $Rx \ll_g M$, by Lemma 1, $Rx \leq Rad_g M$ and $x \in Rad_g M$. Then $x \in V \cap Rad_g M$. By Lemma 2, $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$. Hence $x \in Rad_g V$ and by Lemma 1, $Rx \ll_g V$. We can also prove this part as follows:

Let T be an essential maximal submodule of V . Here $U \cap V \leq Rad_g V \leq T$. Assume that $Rx \not\leq T$. Then $Rx + T = V$ and $M = U + V = U + Rx + T$. Since $Rx \ll_g M$ and $U + T \trianglelefteq M$, $U + T = M$. Then $V = V \cap M = V \cap (U + T) = U \cap V + T = T$, a contradiction. Hence $Rx \leq T$ for every essential maximal submodule T of V and $Rx \leq Rad_g V$. Thus $x \in Rad_g V$ and by Lemma 1, $Rx \ll_g V$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let V be a Rad -supplement of U in M and $U \trianglelefteq M$. Then $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 2. \square

Corollary 2. *Let V be a Rad -supplement of U in M , $U \trianglelefteq M$ and $x \in V$. Then $Rx \ll_g V$ if and only if $Rx \ll_g M$.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 2. *Let V be a g -radical supplement of U in M , $V \trianglelefteq M$ and $x \in V$. The following assertions hold.*

- (1) $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$.
- (2) $Rx \ll_g V$ if and only if $Rx \ll_g M$.

Proof.

(1) By Lemma 1, $Rad_g V \leq V \cap Rad_g M$. Let T be an essential maximal submodule of V . Then $U \cap V \leq Rad_g V \leq T$ holds. Since $T \trianglelefteq V$ and $V \trianglelefteq M$, then $T \trianglelefteq M$ and $U + T \trianglelefteq M$. Then by $\frac{M}{U+T} = \frac{U+T+V}{U+T} \cong \frac{V}{V \cap (U+T)} = \frac{V}{U \cap V + T} = \frac{V}{T}$, $U + T$ is an essential maximal submodule of M and $Rad_g M \leq U + T$. Hence $V \cap Rad_g M \leq V \cap (U + T) = U \cap V + T = T$. Thus $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$, as desired.

(2) \implies Clear.

\impliedby Since $Rx \ll_g M$, by Lemma 1, $Rx \leq Rad_g M$ and $x \in Rad_g M$. Then $x \in V \cap Rad_g M$. By Theorem 2 (1), $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$. Hence $x \in Rad_g V$ and by Lemma 1, $Rx \ll_g V$. We can also prove this part as follows:

Let T be an essential maximal submodule of V . Here $U \cap V \leq Rad_g V \leq T$. Assume that $Rx \not\leq T$. Then $Rx + T = V$ and $M = U + V = U + Rx + T$. Since $T \trianglelefteq V$ and $V \trianglelefteq M$, then $T \trianglelefteq M$ and $U + T \trianglelefteq M$. Since $Rx \ll_g M$, $U + T = M$. Then $V = V \cap M = V \cap (U + T) = U \cap V + T = T$, a contradiction. Hence $Rx \leq T$ for every essential maximal submodule T of V and $Rx \leq Rad_g V$. Thus $x \in Rad_g V$ and by Lemma 1, $Rx \ll_g V$. □

Corollary 3. *Let V be a Rad-supplement of U in M and $V \trianglelefteq M$. Then $Rad_g V = V \cap Rad_g M$.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 2 (1). □

Corollary 4. *Let V be a Rad-supplement of U in M , $V \trianglelefteq M$ and $x \in V$. Then $Rx \ll_g V$ if and only if $Rx \ll_g M$.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 2 (2). □

Example 1. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} . For ${}_Z \mathbb{Z} \leq_Z \mathbb{Q}$, $Rad_g \mathbb{Z} = Rad \mathbb{Z} = 0$. Since $Rad_g \mathbb{Q} = Rad \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z} \cap Rad_g \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $Rad_g \mathbb{Z} \neq \mathbb{Z} \cap Rad_g \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 1. *Let $X\beta_g^* Y$ in M . If V is a g -radical supplement of X in M and $V \trianglelefteq M$, then V is also a g -radical supplement of Y in M .*

Proof. By hypothesis, $M = X + V$ and $X \cap V \leq Rad_g V$. Since $X\beta_g^* Y$ and $V \trianglelefteq M$, $Y + V = M$. Let T be any essential maximal submodule of V . Since $T \trianglelefteq V$ and $V \trianglelefteq M$, then $T \trianglelefteq M$. Assume that $Y \cap V \not\leq T$. Then $Y \cap V + T = V$. Here $M = Y + V = Y + Y \cap V + T = Y + T$ and since $X\beta_g^* Y$ and $T \trianglelefteq M$, $X + T = M$. Then $V = V \cap M = V \cap (X + T) = V \cap X + T$ and since $X \cap V \leq Rad_g V \leq T$, $V = V \cap X + T = T$. This is a contradiction. Hence $Y \cap V \leq T$ for every essential maximal submodule of V and $Y \cap V \leq Rad_g V$. Thus V is a g -radical supplement of Y in M . □

Lemma 3. *Let $X\beta_g^* Y$ in M . If X and Y have Rad-supplements in M , then they have the same Rad-supplements in M .*

Proof. Let C be a Rad-supplement of X in M . Then $M = X + C$ and $X \cap C \leq \text{Rad}C$. Since $X\beta^*Y$, $Y + C = M$. Let T be any maximal submodule of C . Assume that $Y \cap C \not\leq T$. Then $Y \cap C + T = C$. Here $M = Y + C = Y + Y \cap C + T = Y + T$ and since $X\beta^*Y$, $X + T = M$. Then $C = C \cap M = C \cap (X + T) = X \cap C + T$ and since $X \cap C \leq \text{Rad}C \leq T$, $C = X \cap C + T = T$. This is a contradiction. Hence $Y \cap C \leq T$ for every maximal submodule of C and $Y \cap C \leq \text{Rad}C$. Thus C is a Rad-supplement of Y in M . Similarly, the interchanging the roles of X and Y , we can prove that every Rad-supplement of Y in M is also a Rad-supplement of X in M . \square

Corollary 5. *Let X lies above Y in M . If X and Y have Rad-supplements in M , then they have the same Rad-supplements in M .*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $X\beta^*Y$ in M . If X has a g -radical supplement V in M , then V is also a g -radical supplement of Y in M .*

Proof. By hypothesis, $M = X + V$ and $X \cap V \leq \text{Rad}_g V$. Since $X\beta^*Y$, $Y + V = M$. Let T be any essential maximal submodule of V . Assume that $Y \cap V \not\leq T$. Then $Y \cap V + T = V$. Here $M = Y + V = Y + Y \cap V + T = Y + T$ and since $X\beta^*Y$, $X + T = M$. Then $V = V \cap M = V \cap (X + T) = X \cap V + T$ and since $X \cap V \leq \text{Rad}_g V \leq T$, $V = V \cap X + T = T$. This is a contradiction. Hence $Y \cap V \leq T$ for every essential maximal submodule of V and $Y \cap V \leq \text{Rad}_g V$. Thus V is a g -radical supplement of Y in M . \square

Corollary 6. *Let X lies above Y in M . If X and Y have g -radical supplements in M , then they have the same g -radical supplements in M .*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $X\beta^*Y$ and Y be a Rad-supplement of U in M . Then $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}M$.*

Proof. Since Y is a Rad-supplement of U in M , $M = U + Y$ and $U \cap Y \leq \text{Rad}Y \leq \text{Rad}M$. Since $M = U + Y$ and $X\beta^*Y$, $M = U + X$. Let T be any maximal submodule of M . Here $U \cap Y \leq \text{Rad}M \leq T$. Assume that $U \cap X \not\leq T$. Then $U \cap X + T = M$ and since $M = U + X$, by [2, Lemma 1.24], $X + U \cap T = M$. Since $X\beta^*Y$, $Y + U \cap T = M$ and since $U + T = M$, by [2, Lemma 1.24] again, $U \cap Y + T = M$. Then by $U \cap Y \leq T$, $M = U \cap Y + T = T$. This is a contradiction. Hence $U \cap X \leq T$ for every maximal submodule T of M and $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}M$. \square

Corollary 7. *Let X lies above Y and Y be a Rad-supplement of U in M . Then $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}M$.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 6. *Let M be an R -module. If every submodule of M is β^* equivalent to a Rad-supplement submodule in M , then M is semilocal.*

Proof. Let $X/\text{Rad}M \leq M/\text{Rad}M$. Since $X \leq M$, by hypothesis, there exists a Rad-supplement submodule Y in M such that $X\beta^*Y$. Let Y be a Rad-supplement of U in M . By Lemma 5, $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}M$. Since $X\beta^*Y$ and $Y + U = M$, $X + U = M$. Then $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{X+U}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{X}{\text{Rad}M} + \frac{U+\text{Rad}M}{\text{Rad}M}$ and $\frac{X}{\text{Rad}M} \cap \frac{U+\text{Rad}M}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{X \cap (U+\text{Rad}M)}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{U \cap X + \text{Rad}M}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{\text{Rad}M}{\text{Rad}M} = 0$. Hence $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}M} = \frac{X}{\text{Rad}M} \oplus \frac{U+\text{Rad}M}{\text{Rad}M}$ and $M/\text{Rad}M$ is semisimple. Thus M is semilocal. \square

Corollary 8. *Let M be an R -module. If every submodule of M lies above a Rad-supplement submodule in M , then M is semilocal.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 6. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $X\beta^*Y$ and Y be a g -radical supplement of U in M . Then $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}_gM$.*

Proof. Since Y is a g -radical supplement of U in M , $M = U + Y$ and $U \cap Y \leq \text{Rad}_gY \leq \text{Rad}_gM$. Since $M = U + Y$ and $X\beta^*Y$, $M = U + X$. Let T be any essential maximal submodule of M . Here $U \cap Y \leq \text{Rad}_gM \leq T$. Assume that $U \cap X \not\leq T$. Then $U \cap X + T = M$ and since $M = U + X$, by [2, Lemma 1.24], $X + U \cap T = M$. Since $X\beta^*Y$, $Y + U \cap T = M$ and since $U + T = M$, by [2, Lemma 1.24] again, $U \cap Y + T = M$. Then by $U \cap Y \leq T$, $M = U \cap Y + T = T$. This is a contradiction. Hence $U \cap X \leq T$ for every essential maximal submodule T of M and $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}_gM$. \square

Corollary 9. *Let X lies above Y and Y be a g -radical supplement of U in M . Then $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}_gM$.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 3. \square

Theorem 4. *Let M be an R -module. If every submodule of M is β^* equivalent to a g -radical supplement submodule in M , then M is g -semilocal.*

Proof. Let $X/\text{Rad}_gM \leq M/\text{Rad}_gM$. Since $X \leq M$, by hypothesis, there exists a g -radical supplement submodule Y in M such that $X\beta^*Y$. Let Y be a g -radical supplement of U in M . By Theorem 3, $U \cap X \leq \text{Rad}_gM$. Since $X\beta^*Y$ and $Y + U = M$, $X + U = M$. Then $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{X+U}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{X}{\text{Rad}_gM} + \frac{U+\text{Rad}_gM}{\text{Rad}_gM}$ and $\frac{X}{\text{Rad}_gM} \cap \frac{U+\text{Rad}_gM}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{X \cap (U+\text{Rad}_gM)}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{U \cap X + \text{Rad}_gM}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{\text{Rad}_gM}{\text{Rad}_gM} = 0$. Hence $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}_gM} = \frac{X}{\text{Rad}_gM} \oplus \frac{U+\text{Rad}_gM}{\text{Rad}_gM}$ and M/Rad_gM is semisimple. Thus M is g -semilocal. \square

Corollary 10. *Let M be an R -module. If every submodule of M lies above a g -radical supplement submodule in M , then M is g -semilocal.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. F. Birkenmeier, F. T. Mutlu, C. Nebiyev, N. Sokmez, and A. Tercan, “Goldie*-supplemented modules,” *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, vol. 52A, pp. 41–52, 2010, doi: [10.1017/s0017089510000212](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0017089510000212).
- [2] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting Modules: Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory (Frontiers in Mathematics)*, 2006th ed. Basel: Birkhäuser, 8 2006. doi: [10.1007/3-7643-7573-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-7643-7573-6).
- [3] B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, and N. Sökmez, “G-supplemented modules,” *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 861–864, 2015, doi: [10.1007/s11253-015-1127-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-015-1127-8).
- [4] B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, and A. Pekin, “A generalization of g-supplemented modules,” *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 345–352, 2019, doi: [10.18514/mmn.2019.2586](https://doi.org/10.18514/mmn.2019.2586).
- [5] C. Nebiyev and H. H. Ökten, “Weakly g-supplemented modules,” *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 521–528, 2017.
- [6] C. Nebiyev, “g-radical supplemented modules,” in *Antalya Algebra Days XVII*, Şirince, İzmir, Turkey, 2015.
- [7] C. Nebiyev, “On a generalization of supplement submodules,” *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 283–289, 2017, doi: [10.12732/ijpam.v113i2.8](https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v113i2.8).
- [8] C. Nebiyev and A. Pancar, “On supplement submodules,” *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1071–1078, 2013, doi: [10.1007/s11253-013-0842-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-013-0842-2).
- [9] C. Nebiyev and N. Sökmez, “Beta g-star relation on modules,” *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 238–243, 2018.
- [10] N. Sökmez, B. Koşar, and C. Nebiyev, “Genelleştirilmiş küçük alt modüller,” in *XIII. Ulusal Matematik Sempozyumu*. Kayseri: Erciyes Üniversitesi, 2010.
- [11] Y. Wang and N. Ding, “Generalized supplemented modules,” *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1589–1601, 2006, doi: [10.11650/twjmath/1500404577](https://doi.org/10.11650/twjmath/1500404577).
- [12] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach, 1991. doi: [10.1201/9780203755532](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203755532).
- [13] D. X. Zhou and X. R. Zhang, “Small-essential submodules and morita duality,” *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 35, pp. 1051–1062, 2011.

*Authors' addresses***Hasan Hüseyin Ökten**

Amasya University, Technical Sciences Vocational School, Amasya, Turkey
E-mail address: hokten@gmail.com

Ayten Pekin

(Corresponding author) Istanbul University, Department of Mathematics, Vezneciler, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail address: aypekin@istanbul.edu.tr, aspekin@hotmail.com