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#### Abstract

We prove that if a multiplicative function $f$ satisfies the equation $f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=f\left(n^{2}+1\right)+f\left(m^{2}+2\right)$ for all positive integers $n$ and $m$, then either $f(n)$ is the identity function or $f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=f\left(n^{2}+1\right)=f\left(m^{2}+2\right)=0$ for all positive integers.


Throughout this paper $\mathbf{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of complex valued multiplicative functions $f$ such that $f(1)=1$.

In 1992, C. Spiro [3] showed that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is a function such that $f(p+q)=f(p)+f(q)$ for all primes $p$ and $q$, then $f(n)=n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Recently, in the paper [2] written jointly with J. M. de Koninck and I. Kátai we proved that if $f \in \mathcal{M}, f\left(p+n^{2}\right)=f(p)+f\left(n^{2}\right)$ holds for all primes $p$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $f(n)$ is the identity function. It follows from results of [1] that a completely multiplicative function $f$ satisfies the equation $f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}\right)=$ $f\left(n^{2}\right)+f\left(m^{2}\right)$ for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ if and only if $f(2)=2, f(p)=p$ for all primes $p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4)$ and $f(q)=q$ or $f(q)=-q$ for all primes $p \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following
Theorem. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=f\left(n^{2}+1\right)+f\left(m^{2}+2\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n^{2}+1\right)=f\left(m^{2}+2\right)=f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad n, m \in \mathbf{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $f(n)=n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
Corollary. If $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the condition (1) and $f\left(n_{0}^{2}+1\right) \neq 0$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$, then $f(n)$ is the identity function.

First we prove the following lemma.
Lemma. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then either (2) is satisfied for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ or the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(n^{2}+1\right)=n^{2}+1, \quad f\left(m^{2}+2\right)=m^{2}+2 \quad \text { and } \\
& f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=n^{2}+m^{2}+3 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]simultaneously hold for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$.
Proof. From (1), we have
$$
f\left(n^{2}+1\right)+f\left(m^{2}+2\right)=f\left(m^{2}+1\right)+f\left(n^{2}+2\right)
$$
for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, and so
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n^{2}+2\right)-f\left(n^{2}+1\right)=f(3)-f(2):=D \quad \text { for all } \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Thus, the last relation together with (1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=f\left(n^{2}+1\right)+f\left(m^{2}+1\right)+D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $S_{j}:=f\left(j^{2}+1\right)$. It follows from (5) that if $k, l, u$ and $v \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfy the condition

$$
k^{2}+l^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2}
$$

then

$$
f\left(k^{2}+1\right)+f\left(l^{2}+1\right)+D=f\left(u^{2}+1\right)+f\left(v^{2}+1\right)+D
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}+l^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2} \quad \text { implies } \quad S_{k}+S_{l}=S_{u}+S_{v} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n+12}=S_{n+9}+S_{n+8}+S_{n+7}-S_{n+5}-S_{n+4}-S_{n+3}+S_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
Since

$$
(2 j+1)^{2}+(j-2)^{2}=(2 j-1)^{2}+(j+2)^{2}
$$

and

$$
(2 j+1)^{2}+(j-7)^{2}=(2 j-5)^{2}+(j+5)^{2}
$$

we get from (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 j+1}+S_{j-2}=S_{2 j-1}+S_{j+2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
S_{2 j+1}+S_{j-7}=S_{2 j-5}+S_{j+5}
$$

These with (8) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j+5}-S_{j+2}+S_{j-2}-S_{j-7}=S_{2 j-1}-S_{2 j-5} \\
& =S_{j+1}-S_{j-3}+S_{2 j-3}-S_{2 j-5}=S_{j+1}-S_{j-3}+S_{j}-S_{j-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves $(7)$ with $n=j-7$.
By (8), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{7}=S_{2 \cdot 3+1}=2 S_{5}-S_{1} \\
S_{9}=S_{2 \cdot 4+1}=S_{7}+S_{6}-S_{2}=S_{6}+2 S_{5}-S_{2}-S_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
S_{11}=S_{2 \cdot 5+1}=S_{9}+S_{7}-S_{3}=S_{6}+4 S_{5}-S_{3}-S_{2}-2 S_{1} .
$$

Finally, by using (6) and the facts

$$
8^{2}+1^{2}=7^{2}+4^{2}, \quad 10^{2}+5^{2}=11^{2}+2^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad 12^{2}+1^{2}=9^{2}+8^{2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{8}=S_{7}+S_{4}-S_{1}=2 S_{5}+S_{4}-2 S_{1}, \\
S_{10}=S_{11}+S_{2}-S_{5}=S_{6}+3 S_{5}-S_{3}-2 S_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
S_{12}=S_{9}+S_{8}-S_{1}=S_{6}+4 S_{5}+S_{4}-S_{2}-4 S_{1} .
$$

Thus, to complete the proof of the lemma, by using (1), (4), (5) and (7), it is enough to prove that either $S_{1}=S_{2}=S_{3}=S_{4}=S_{5}=S_{6}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}=j^{2}+1 \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2,3,4,5,6 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeated use of (1), using the multiplicativity of $f$, gives $S_{1}=f\left(1^{2}+1\right)=$ $f(2)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2}=f\left(2^{2}+1\right)=f(5)=f\left(1^{2}+1^{2}+3\right)=f(2)+f(3),  \tag{10}\\
& S_{3}=f\left(3^{2}+1\right)=f(10)=f(2) f(5)=f(2)^{2}+f(2) f(3) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus

$$
f(11)=f\left(2^{2}+2^{2}+3\right)=f(5)+f(6)=f(2)+f(3)+f(2) f(3) .
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(11) & =f\left(3^{2}+2\right)=f(10)+D=f(2) f(5)+D \\
& =f(2)^{2}+f(2) f(3)+f(3)-f(2),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with the last relation, implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2)^{2}=2 f(2) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f(13)=f\left(1^{2}+3^{2}+3\right)=f(2)+f(11)=2 f(2)+f(2) f(3)+f(3) .
$$

Finally, the relation (10) together with the fact

$$
f(8)=f\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+3\right)=f(2)+f(6)=f(5)+f(3)
$$

show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2) f(3)=2 f(3) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{5}=f\left(5^{2}+1\right)=f(26)=f(2) f(13)=4 f(2)+6 f(3), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{6} & =f\left(6^{2}+1\right)=f(37)=f\left(3^{2}+5^{2}+3\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =f(11)+f(26)=5 f(2)+9 f(3), \\
2 f(17)= & f\left(4^{2}+4^{2}+3\right)-D=f(35)-D=f(5) f(7)-D, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(3) f(7)=f(21)=f\left(3^{2}+3^{2}+3\right)=2 f(10)+D=3 f(2)+5 f(3) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (12) shows that either $f(2)=0$ or $f(2)=2$. Assume that $f(2)=0$. Then (13) implies that $f(3)=0$ and so, by using (10)-(17) we have

$$
S_{1}=S_{2}=S_{3}=S_{4}=S_{5}=S_{6}=0,
$$

from which follows that (2) is true.

Assume now that $f(2)=2$. In this case we have $f(5)=2+f(3)$, $f(8)=2+2 f(3)$. We shall prove that $f(3)=3$. It follows from (15) and using the fact

$$
f(37)=f\left(1^{2}+6^{2}+3\right)-f(3)=f(5) f(8)-f(3)=2 f(3)^{2}+5 f(3)+4
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(3)^{2}-4 f(3)-6=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (4) we infer that

$$
f(6) f(11)-f(3) f(13)=f(66)-f(65)=f(3)-f(2),
$$

consequently

$$
3 f(3)^{2}-7 f(3)-6=0
$$

This together with (17) proves that $f(3)=3$, and so (10)-(17) imply that

$$
S_{j}=j^{2}+1 \quad(j=1,2,3,4,5,6) .
$$

This completes the proof of (9) and so the lemma is proved.

## Proof of the theorem

In the proof of the theorem, using the lemma, we can assume that (3) is satisfied, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(n^{2}+1\right)=n^{2}+1, \quad f\left(m^{2}+2\right)=m^{2}+2 \quad \text { and } \\
& f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=n^{2}+m^{2}+3 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from (18) that $f(n)=n$ for all $n \leq 7$.
Assume that $f(n)=n$ for all $n<T$, where $T>7$. We shall prove that $f(T)=T$. It is clear that $T$ must be a prime power, that is $T=q^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$ and some prime $q$.

It is easily seen that if $\alpha=1$, then $q>7$ and there are positive integers $n, m \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$ such that $n^{2}+m^{2}+3=q N,(q, N)=1$ and $N<q$. Thus, we have $f(q)=q$.

Assume now that $\alpha \geq 2$ and $q>3$. We consider the congruence

$$
n^{2}+m^{2}+3 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod q^{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{A}_{q}(3):=\left\{1 \leq m \leq q-1:\left(\frac{-m^{2}-3}{q}\right)=1\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp \mathcal{A}_{q}(3) & =\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
\left(m^{2}+3, q\right)=1}}^{q-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{-m^{2}-3}{q}\right)\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{-m^{2}-3}{q}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\
q \mid m^{2}+3}}^{q-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{-m^{2}-3}{q}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{-3}{q}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(q-\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)-2-2\left(\frac{-3}{q}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By our assumption, the last relation implies that $\sharp \mathcal{A}_{q}(3) \geq 1$. Thus, there are integers $m \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}, 1 \leq n_{1} \leq q^{\alpha}-1,\left(n_{1}, q\right)=1$ and $1 \leq$ $n_{2}:=q^{\alpha}-n_{1} \leq q^{\alpha}-1$ such that

$$
n_{i}^{2}+m^{2}+3=q^{\alpha} N_{i} \quad(i=1,2)
$$

It follows from the above relations that

$$
q^{\alpha}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right)=\left(q^{\alpha}-n_{1}\right)^{2}-n_{1}^{2}=q^{2 \alpha}-2 q^{\alpha} n_{1}
$$

that is

$$
N_{2}-N_{1}=q^{\alpha}-2 n_{1}
$$

Since $\left(n_{1}, q\right)=1$, we obtain that at least one of $N_{1}$ or $N_{2}$ is coprime to $q$. Let $n \in\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}$ and $N \in\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$ such that $n^{2}+m^{2}+3=q^{\alpha} N$, $(N, q)=1$. Then $\alpha \geq 2$ implies that

$$
N \leq \frac{1}{q^{\alpha}}\left[\left(q^{\alpha}-1\right)^{2}+(q-1)^{2}+3\right]<q^{\alpha}
$$

Thus,

$$
N f\left(q^{\alpha}\right)=f(N) f\left(q^{\alpha}\right)=f\left(N q^{\alpha}\right)=f\left(n^{2}+m^{2}+3\right)=n^{2}+m^{2}+3=N q^{\alpha}
$$

which shows that $f\left(q^{\alpha}\right)=q^{\alpha}$ as we wanted to establish.
To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to consider the cases $q=2$ and $q=3$. Let $q=2$ and $T=2^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \geq 3$.

Since $-7 \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 8)$, we have -7 is a quadratic residue modulo $2^{\alpha}$ and therefore there exists $n_{\alpha} \in\left[0,2^{\alpha-1}-1\right]$ such that $n_{\alpha}^{2}+7=n_{\alpha}^{2}+2^{2}+3 \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod 2^{\alpha}\right)$, and consequently, $\left[n_{\alpha}+2^{\alpha-1}\right]^{2}+7 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\alpha}\right)$. Define $N_{1}$,
and $N_{2}$ by $n_{\alpha}^{2}+7=2^{\alpha} N_{1}$ and $\left[n_{\alpha}+2^{\alpha-1}\right]^{2}+7=2^{\alpha} N_{2}$. We easily deduce from these two equation and the fact $7<T=2^{\alpha}$ that

$$
N_{1}<2^{\alpha}, \quad N_{2}<2^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad N_{2}-N_{1}=n_{\alpha}+2^{\alpha-2} .
$$

It follows from the last relation and the fact 2 does not divide $n_{\alpha}$ that one of $N_{1}$ or $N_{2}$ is odd, and so $f\left(2^{\alpha}\right)=2^{\alpha}$.

Finally, let $q=3$ and $T=3^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha>1$. We consider the congruence

$$
n^{2}+2 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 3^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Similarly as above, one can deduce that there are positive integers $n, N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n^{2}+2=3^{\alpha} N,(N, 3)=1$ and $N<3^{\alpha}$. Thus these together with (18) implies that $f\left(3^{\alpha}\right)=3^{\alpha}$.

The theorem is proved.
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