

EXPANDER SPANNING SUBGRAPHS WITH LARGE GIRTH

ITAI BENJAMINI MIKOLAJ FRACZYK AND GÁBOR KUN

ABSTRACT. We conjecture that finite graphs with positive Cheeger constant admit a spanning subgraph with positive Cheeger constant and girth proportional to the diameter. We prove this conjecture for regular expander graphs with large expansion. Our proof relies on the Lovász Local Lemma. We find it quite surprising that it helps to get a large Cheeger constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite graph with vertex set $V(G)$. The Cheeger constant of G is defined as

$$h(G) := \inf_{\substack{S \subset V(G), \\ 0 < |S| \leq |V(G)|/2}} \frac{|\partial_G S|}{|S|},$$

where $\partial_G S$ denotes the edge boundary of S . We conjecture the following:

Conjecture 1.1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and natural number D there exist $\kappa, K > 0$ such that if G is a finite graph with maximum degree at most D and $h(G) > \varepsilon$ then G admits a spanning subgraph H with*

$$h(H) > \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(H) < K \text{girth}(H).$$

Our conjecture is a close relative of the Erdős-Hajnal and the Thomassen conjecture. Erdős and Hajnal [9, 10] asked the following: does for every k and g there exist a $K = K(k, g)$ such that every finite graph with chromatic number at least K contains a subgraph with chromatic number at least

Date: 20.12.30.

The first author thanks the Israeli Science Foundation for support. The third author is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant No. 741420, by the János Bolyai Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the ÚNKP-20-5 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.

k and girth at least g ? Thomassen [23] posed his conjecture in terms of the average degree: does for every d and g there exist a $D = D(d, g)$ such that every finite graph with average degree at least D contains a subgraph with average degree at least d and girth at least g ? The case of regular graphs is handled in an unpublished work of Alon, see Kühn and Osthus [17]. However, the general case can not be reduced to this as proved by Pyber, Rödl and Szemerédi [20].

We can prove our conjecture in the case when G is regular and it does not have too many short cycles. This will be a corollary of the following, more general theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let d, g, δ be positive integers and let G be a finite d -regular graph. Assume that for every $k \leq g$ every vertex x is contained in at most $(\frac{d}{8\delta})^k$ cycles of length k . Then G admits a spanning subgraph H with girth at least g such that*

$$|\partial_H S| \geq \frac{\delta}{2d} |\partial_G S| - (2 \log(d) + 4) |S|$$

holds for every $S \subseteq V(G)$.

Note that the theorem is meaningless if $\delta < 4 \log(d)$ because then H can be the edgeless spanning subgraph.

Remark 1.3. Our proof works beyond regular graphs. However, if G is not regular then the ratio of the maximum and minimum degree appears in the theorem. Note that H may not be close to regular, not even when G is.

Corollary 1.4. *Let d, g, δ be positive integers and let G be a finite d -regular graph. Assume that for every $k \leq g$ every vertex x is contained in at most $(\frac{d}{8\delta})^k$ cycles of length k and that $h(G) \geq \frac{8d(\log(d)+2)}{\delta}$. Then G admits a spanning subgraph H with girth at least g and $h(H) \geq \frac{\delta}{4d} h(G)$.*

We wish to combine the condition on the cycles and the Cheeger constant into one. Recall that in a d -regular graph G the Cheeger constant $h(G)$ and the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2(G)$ of the normalized combinatorial Laplacian are related by the inequality (see, e.g., [7])

$$d\lambda_2(G)/2 \leq h(G) \leq \sqrt{2d\lambda_2(G)}.$$

We can deduce the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let d, g be positive integers. Let G be a finite d -regular graph with $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{16(\log d + 2)}$ and $|V(G)| > 16(\log d + 2)^g$. Then, the graph G admits a spanning subgraph H of girth at least g with*

$$h(H) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda_2(G)(1 - \lambda_2(G))^{-1}}{64} - 2 \log d - 4 \right).$$

In particular if $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{192(\log d + 2)}$, then $h(H) \geq 0.99(\log d + 2)$.

Remark 1.6. Ramanujan graphs of degree d have $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$ so Theorem 1.5 is not vacuous. It even gives a subgraph with expansion of order $\Omega(\sqrt{d})$, on par with Ramanujan graphs. Random d -regular graphs are almost Ramanujan in the sense that $\lambda_2(G) = 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} + o(d^{-1})$ with high probability [21], so by Theorem 1.5 they admit spanning subgraphs of girth proportional to the diameter and expansion of order $\Omega(\sqrt{d})$.

Our proof is an advanced version of the proof of Theorem 7 in [16], where the goal was to find a spanning subgraph H with large girth, but there was no condition on the Cheeger constant of H . The proof is based on the Local Lemma. We find it interesting that it helps to have a large Cheeger constant.

If the expansion is small, a natural approach to attack the conjecture is to work with a power graph, since that has larger spectral gap: A spanning Lipschitz subgraph (where the endpoints of an edge are at most at a constant distance) H was found in [16] with large girth if G is regular. The core of this problem is that we do not know that if a power graph, say G^2 admits a spanning expander subgraph with large girth then so does G .

Benjamini and Schramm [2] showed that infinite graphs with positive Cheeger constant contain a tree with positive Cheeger constant, solving a problem of Deuber, Simonovits and T. Sós [8].

In [16] a factor of iid version of this theorem was proved for Cayley graphs of non-amenable groups with large Cheeger constant. The measurable solution of Gaboriau and Lyons [13] to the von Neumann problem requires less: there is no bound on the length of the edges in the spanning forest (but it should be a factor of iid) and it will be the Schreier graph of an almost free action of the free group. On the other hand, Gaboriau and Lyons showed that this action is ergodic. Their result had many applications to operator algebras, see Houdayer's Bourbaki seminar paper [15].

Many interesting examples of expander families are provided by Cayley graphs of congruence quotients of arithmetic groups. For example for any $d \geq 2$ and a set $S \subset \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ spanning a Zariski dense subgroup the family of Cayley graphs $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{F}_p), S)$ is an expander family with girth $\Omega(\log p)$. This is a rather deep fact originating in the work of Bourgain and Gamburd [3] and later expanded upon in [5]. For some of these arithmetic examples we can find evidence toward Conjecture 1.1 by hand. Let's take a closer look at $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $S \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a finite symmetric set generating a Zariski dense subgroup. By the work of Bourgain and Gamburd [3] it is known that the sequence of graphs $G_p := \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), S)$ is an expander sequence as p varies among sufficiently big primes. Let Γ be the subgroup generated by S . The conjecture predicts that we should be able to find spanning subgraphs of G_p of large girth which are still good expanders. In this example we can do something slightly weaker. We can find a sequence of expander graphs as spanning Lipschitz subgraphs H_p of girth proportional to $\log p$. To find such graphs take a finite power of S that contains two elements, say a and b , that generate a free Zariski dense subgroup. This is always possible by the Tits alternative. Put $H_p := \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\})$. By [3] H_p is an expander sequence. Since $\langle a, b \rangle$ is free, the girth must go to infinity. Indeed, any relation on a, b that holds modulo infinitely many primes would have to hold in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, which contradicts the freeness. In fact, it is easy to show that the girth of these graphs grows as $\Omega(\log p)$.

Arzhantseva and Biswas [1] constructed an expander sequence of Cayley graphs of $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with bounded diameter-girth ratio. Our theorem gives an alternative of their theorem, bounded diameter-girth ratio in a spanning subgraph of a good expander graph.

2. THE LOVÁSZ LOCAL LEMMA

One of the most useful basic facts in probability is the following. If there is a finite set of mutually independent events that each of them holds with positive probability then the probability that all events hold simultaneously is still positive, although small. The Lovász Local Lemma allows one to show that this statement still holds in case of rare dependencies.

We will use the so-called **variable version** of the lemma: We will consider a set of mutually independent random variables. Given an event A determined by these variables we will denote by $vbl(A)$ the unique minimal set of variables that determines the event A : such a set clearly exists. Note that given the events A, B_1, \dots, B_m if $vbl(A) \cap vbl(B_i) = \emptyset$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ then A is mutually independent of all the events B_1, \dots, B_m .

Lemma 2.1. [11] *Let \mathcal{V} be a finite set of mutually independent random variables in a probability space. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set of events determined by these variables. If there exists an assignment $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq x(A) \prod_{vbl(A) \cap vbl(B) \neq \emptyset} (1 - x(B))$ then $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{A}) \geq \prod_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (1 - x(A))$.*

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

A large girth spanning subgraph was obtained under the condition on the number of cycles in [16], see Theorem 7. However, we also have an expansion condition on connected subsets. Hence we study a probability distribution on spanning subgraphs where expansion is easier to analyse. Surprisingly, the Local Lemma helps to achieve this goal, too.

First, we consider a digraph D on the vertex set $V(G)$. For every $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we add (x, y) to $E(D)$ with probability $\frac{\delta}{d}$, and we add (y, x) to $E(D)$ with probability $\frac{\delta}{d}$. All these choices are independent. An undirected edge is present in $E(H)$ if at least one of the corresponding directed edges is in $E(D)$, this is, $E(H) = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in E(D) \text{ or } (y, x) \in E(D)\}$.

We will apply the Local Lemma to this probability space. The set of variables \mathcal{V} will correspond to the directed edges of G : Two directed edges correspond to every edge (G has no loops). We will call a cycle in G *short* if it is shorter than g . The "bad events" of \mathcal{A} correspond to either short cycles or connected subsets of vertices. Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of short cycles in G , and \mathcal{S} the set of connected subsets of vertices. For $C \in \mathcal{C}$ let A_C denote the event that $E(C) \subseteq E(H)$. Given a set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ let A_S denote the event that $|\partial_D^{out} S| \leq \frac{\delta}{2d} |\partial_G(S)| - (2 \log(d) + 4) |S|$, where $\partial_D^{out} S$ denotes the set of directed edges in D with starting point in S and endpoint not in S . Note that if this inequality holds for every connected set S then it holds for every

set. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that with positive probability the set of events $\{A_C : C \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{A_S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ can be avoided.

Since the choices for distinct edges are independent we get the following.

Claim 1: Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ be a cycle of length k in G . Then $\mathbb{P}(A_C) \leq (\frac{2\delta}{d})^k$.

Claim 2: For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the inequality $\mathbb{P}(A_S) \leq (10d)^{-|S|}$ holds.

Proof. Consider the edges in $\partial_G(S)$. For every such edge we make a choice if we include it in $\partial_D^{out} S$ (with the right direction). These are independent choices. We apply the Chernoff bound. Given a real number α consider

$$\mathbb{E}\alpha^{|\partial_D^{out} S|} = \sum_{k=0}^{|\partial_G(S)|} \binom{|\partial_G(S)|}{k} \left(\frac{\alpha\delta}{d}\right)^k \left(\frac{d-\delta}{d}\right)^{|\partial_G(S)|-k} = \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha-1)\delta}{d}\right)^{|\partial_G(S)|}.$$

Since $1 + \frac{(\alpha-1)\delta}{d} \leq \exp(\frac{(\alpha-1)\delta}{d})$ the Markov inequality implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\alpha^{|\partial_D^{out} S|} \geq (10d)^{|S|} \exp\left(\frac{(\alpha-1)\delta}{d} |\partial_G(S)|\right)\right) \leq (10d)^{-|S|}.$$

Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\partial_D^{out} S| \leq \frac{-\log(10d)}{\log(2)} |S| + \frac{\delta}{2\log(2)d} |\partial_G(S)|\right) \leq (10d)^{-|S|}$$

The claim follows. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a d -regular graph, x a vertex of G and s a positive integer. Then the number of connected, induced subgraphs of G of size s containing x is at most $d(d-1)^{s-2} \binom{2s-2}{s-1} \leq (4d)^{s-1}$.*

Proof. Given a connected, induced subgraph containing x we explore it by running a Depth First Search algorithm starting at x . The algorithm makes $(s-1)$ forward and $(s-1)$ backward steps: There are at most $\binom{2s-2}{s-1}$ ways to choose the order of these steps. We have at most $(d-1)$ choices when going forward, but in the first step when we have d possibilities. The lemma follows. \square

We choose the function x in order to apply the Local Lemma. For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ set $x(A_S) = (8d)^{-|S|}$, and for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ set $x(A_C) = \left(\frac{4\delta}{d}\right)^{|C|}$, where $|C|$ denotes the length of the cycle C . We have to check the condition of the Local Lemma.

First, we bound the product of terms of the form $(1 - x(A))$. We will have separate bounds for short cycles and connected subsets. Let $v \in V(G)$. We use that the number of cycles of length k containing v is at most $(\frac{d}{8\delta})^k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in C \in \mathcal{C}} (1 - x(A_C)) &= \prod_{k=3}^g \prod_{v \in C \in \mathcal{C}, |C|=k} \left(1 - \left(\frac{4\delta}{d}\right)^k\right) \geq \prod_{k=3}^g \left(1 - \left(\frac{4\delta}{d}\right)^k\right)^{\left(\frac{d}{8\delta}\right)^k} \\ &\geq \prod_{k=3}^{\infty} \exp(2^{-k}) = e^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in S \in \mathcal{S}} (1 - x(A_S)) &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \in \mathcal{S} \\ |S|=k}} (1 - x(A_S)) \geq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(- (8d)^{-k} (4d)^{k-1}) \\ &\geq \exp\left(\frac{1}{4d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -2^{-k}\right) = e^{-1/4d} \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $d \geq 3$. Hence for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_S) &\leq (10d)^{-|S|} \leq (8d)^{-|S|} \exp\left(-\frac{d+1}{4d}\right)^{|S|} \\ &\leq x(A_S) \prod_{v \in S} \prod_{v \in C \in \mathcal{C}} (1 - x(C)) \prod_{v \in T \in \mathcal{S}} (1 - x(A_T)) \end{aligned}$$

as needed. Similarly, for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_C) &\leq \left(\frac{2\delta}{d}\right)^{|C|} \leq \left(\frac{4\delta}{d}\right)^{|C|} \exp\left(-\frac{d+1}{4d}\right)^{|C|} \\ &\leq x(A_C) \prod_{v \in C} \prod_{v \in D \in \mathcal{C}} (1 - x(A_D)) \prod_{v \in S \in \mathcal{S}} (1 - x(S)). \end{aligned}$$

We can apply the Local Lemma and Theorem 1.2 follows.

Remark 3.2. Our proof is based on the Local Lemma, hence it is not very efficient: It provides a(n exponentially) small lower bound on the probability that we find the required spanning subgraph. Recent approaches would give a polynomial time algorithm if the number of events to avoid was polynomial. Unfortunately, this is not the case, since we may need to check every connected subset of the vertices. However, in case when G is an expander the Moser-Tardos algorithm [19] finds an expander H in polynomial time.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite d -regular graph. Let $\lambda_2(G)$ be the second eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian. Then for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ the number of cycles of length k passing through v is at most $d^k|V(G)|^{-1} + d^k(1 - \lambda_2(G))^k$.*

Proof. Let $T: L^2(V(G)) \rightarrow L^2(V(G))$ be the operator $Tf(v) = \sum_{v' \sim v} f(v')$. It is the non-normalized transition operators of the random walk on G . The eigenvalues of T are $d(1 - \lambda_1), d(1 - \lambda_2), \dots$, where λ_i are the eigenvalues of the normalized combinatorial Laplacian. The number of closed cycles of length k passing through v is bounded by $\langle T^k \mathbf{1}_v, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle$. Let f_1, \dots, f_n be the normalized eigenvectors of T , with $f_1 = |V(G)|^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{V(G)}$. We have $\mathbf{1}_v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i$, with $\alpha_1 = |V(G)|^{-1/2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Hence

$$\langle T^k \mathbf{1}_v, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle = d^k |V(G)|^{-1} + \sum_{i=2}^n |\alpha_i|^2 (d(1 - \lambda_i))^k \leq d^k |V(G)|^{-1} + d^k (1 - \lambda_2)^k.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Lemma 4.1 each vertex $v \in V(G)$ is contained in at most $c_k := d^k |V(G)|^{-1} + d^k (1 - \lambda_2(G))^k$ cycles of length k . For $|V(G)| > 16(\log d + 2)^g$ we will have $c_k \leq 2d^k (1 - \lambda_2(G))^k$ for each $k \leq g$. Choose $\delta = (1 - \lambda_2(G))^{-1}/16$. The cycle condition in Theorem 1.2 is satisfied, so we get a spanning subgraph H of girth at least g such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_H S| &\geq \frac{\delta}{2d} |\partial_G S| - (2 \log(d) + 4) |S| \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{4} \lambda_2(G) - (2 \log d + 4) \right) |S| \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_2(G)(1 - \lambda_2(G))^{-1}}{64} - 2 \log d - 4 \right) |S|, \end{aligned}$$

for every subset $S \subset V(G)$ with $|S| \leq |V(G)|/2$. If $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{192(\log d + 2)}$ then $(1 - \lambda_2(G))^{-1}/64 \geq 3(\log d + 2)$. We deduce that in this case the Cheeger constant of H satisfies $h(H) \geq 0.99(\log d + 4)$. □

5. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

It would be interesting to make the proof of Theorem 1.2 efficient in the general case, not only when G is an expander graph.

Graph sparsification turned out to be a successful method in the last decade, see the work of Spielman and Srivastava [22]. A Local Lemma based sparsification could be very interesting, especially if it provides a large girth graph in certain cases. Unfortunately, our proof does not achieve this goal, but a similar approach might work.

A strongly ergodic measurable solution to the von Neumann problem could probably have many applications, see [13] for details. Theorem 1.5 implies a finite analogue of this.

Are there approachable high dimensional formulations of the conjecture for the several variants of high dimensional expanders [18]? For the standard definitions and background see [14].

Let us end by recalling an old conjecture: there is no sequence of finite bounded degrees graphs growing in size to infinity, so that all the induced balls in all the graphs in the sequence are uniform expanders. For a related progress see [12].

Acknowledgment. The authors thank to Gábor Pete for encouraging this collaboration and to Elad Tzalik for his suggestions to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Arzhantseva and A. Biswas, Large girth graphs with bounded diameter-by-girth ratio, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.09229.pdf>
- [2] I. Benjamini, and O. Schramm, Every graph with a positive Cheeger constant contains a tree with a positive Cheeger constant. *GAF* (1997), 403–419.
- [3] J. Bourgain, and A. Gamburd, Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. *Ann of Math*, 167, (2008), 625–642
- [4] E. Breuillard, and T. Gelander, A topological Tits alternative. *Ann of Math*, 166, (2007), 427–474
- [5] J. Bourgain and P. Varjú, Expansion in $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, q arbitrary. *Invent. math.* 188, (2012), 151–173
- [6] D. Dellamonica, V. Koubek, D. Martin and V. Rödl, On a conjecture of Thomassen, *J. Graph Theory*, 2011, vol. 67, no. 4, pg. 316 – 331,
- [7] J. Dodziuk, Combinatorial Laplacians and isoperimetric inequality, *From Local Times to Global Geometry, Control and Physics* (1986): 68–74.
- [8] W. A. Deuber, M. Simonovits and V. T. Sós, A note on paradoxical metric spaces, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* (1995), 17–23, see

- <http://www.renyi.hu/~miki/walter07.pdf> for an extended version by G. Elek and V. T. Sós,
- [9] P. Erdős, Problems and results in chromatic graph theory. In *Proof Techniques in Graph Theory* (Proc. Second Ann Arbor Graph Theory Conf., Ann Arbor, Mich., 1968), pages 27–35. Academic Press, New York, 1969. 1,
 - [10] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems in graph theory and combinatorial analysis. In *Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications* (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1969), pages 97–109. Academic Press, London, 1971. 1,
 - [11] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions. In *Infinite and finite sets* (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. II, pages 609–627. *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, Vol. 10. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975. 2,
 - [12] M. Fraczyk, and W. van Limbeek Heat kernels are not uniform expanders. [arXiv:1905.13584](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.13584)
 - [13] D. Gaboriau, R. Lyons, "A Measurable-Group-Theoretic Solution to von Neumann's Problem", *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 177 (2009), 533–540.
 - [14] S. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson, Expander graphs and their applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 43 (2006), no. 4, 439–561.
 - [15] C. Houdayer, "Invariant percolation and measured theory of nonamenable groups (after Gaboriau-Lyons, Ioana, Epstein)". *Séminaire Bourbaki*. Vol. 2010/2011. No. 348 (2012), Exp. No. 1039, 339–374.
 - [16] Gábor Kun, Expanders have a spanning Lipschitz subgraph with large girth, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.4982.pdf>
 - [17] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Every graph of sufficiently large average degree contains a C_4 -free subgraph of large average degree, *Combinatorica*, 24(1): 155–162, 2004,
 - [18] A. Lubotzky, High dimensional expanders. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Rio de Janeiro 2018*. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, 705–730, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
 - [19] R. Moser, G. Tardos, A constructive proof of the general Lovász Local Lemma, *Journal of the ACM* 57 (2010) (2) Art. 11,
 - [20] László Pyber, Vojtech Rödl and Endre Szemerédi, Dense graphs without 3-regular subgraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 63(1):41–54, 1995. 1, 6,
 - [21] Doron Puder, Expansion of random graphs: New proofs, new results. *Inventiones mathematicae* 201, no. 3 (2015): 845–908.
 - [22] Spielman, Daniel A., and Nikhil Srivastava. "Graph sparsification by effective resistances." *SIAM Journal on Computing* 40.6 (2011): 1913–1926.
 - [23] Carsten Thomassen, Girth in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 35(2):129–141, 1983.1,

Email address: itai.benjamini@gmail.com

Email address: mfraczyk@math.uchicago.edu

Email address: kungabor@renyi.hu

THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CHICAGO, IL, 60637, USA

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, H-1053 BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13-15., HUNGARY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EÖTVÖS LÓRÁND UNIVERSITY, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/C, H-1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY