# Effects of *Pseudomonas putida* and zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* on the management of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* on pea

Deeksha Kashyap and Zaki Anwar Siddiqui\* 💿

Section of Plant Pathology and Nematology, Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Received: January 4, 2022 • Revised manuscript received: October 30, 2022 • Accepted: December 17, 2022 Published online: April 19, 2023 © 2022 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest



#### ABSTRACT

Effects of *Pseudomonas putida* and zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) alone and in combination was observed in plants grown with bacterized seeds with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* for the management of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* on pea (*Pisum sativum*). Inoculation of *M. incognita* and *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* alone and both together reduced plant growth, chlorophyll and carotenoid content over uninoculated control. Use of *P. putida* and ZnO NPs  $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$  (foliar spray/seed priming) alone and in combination resulted in a significant increase in plant growth, chlorophyll, and carotenoid in pathogen-inoculated plants. Seed priming with ZnO NPs was better than NPs foliar spray in increasing plant growth, chlorophyll and carotenoid content of plants with pathogens. Use of *P. putida* plus NPs seed priming was better than its use with foliar spray in increasing plant growth, chlorophyll, and carotenoid. Bacterization with *R. leguminosarum* caused sufficient root nodulation and nodulation was better in plants with *P. putida* than in plants with ZnO NPs. Both test pathogens had adverse effect on root nodulation. Blight disease indices, galling, and nematode population were also greatly reduced when *P. putida* was used with ZnO NPs seed priming.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: zasiddiqui.bt@amu.ac.in

#### **KEYWORDS**

bacteria, nematode, photosynthetic pigments, Pisum sativum, root nodule bacterium

# INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum*) is grown as cool season crop, reaches the maturity after 100 days of sowing (Oelke et al., 1991). Its cultivated species are highly nutritious and have 18–30% protein, 35–50% starch and 4–7% fiber and soluble sugars (5%) (Bastianelli et al., 1998).

Bacterial blight is an economically important disease of pea caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi*. This bacterium causes yield losses up to 70% (Richardson and Hollaway, 2011) and its infection causes water-soaked spots which later coalesce into larger lesions (Martín-Sanz et al., 2013). Similarly, root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* parasitizes pea (Bozbuga et al., 2018) and is a serious pathogen causes 20–33% yield losses (Sharma et al., 2006).

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are vigorous colonizers of the plant root system in almost all ecological niches (Antoun and Kloepper, 2001). *Pseudomonas putida* (Trevisan) Migula is one of the most potential rhizobacterium for biological control of plant diseases (Pastor et al., 2016). Similarly, *Rhizobium* Frank is generally used by farmers to increase production of their crops as it is known to fix atmospheric nitrogen and to reduce the damage caused by pathogens (Volpiano et al., 2019).

Nanotechnology has a major impact on agriculture. Use of nanoparticles (NPs) is an important way for plant disease management (Nayana et al., 2020). Similarly, rhizobacterium with NPs may provide effective management of plant diseases. Designing a conjugative approach of NPs and PGPR may increase plant yield and manage plant diseases (Nayana et al., 2020).

The effect *P. putida* (Trevisan) Migula and ZnO NPs (seed priming/foliar spray) alone and in combination was observed in the presence of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Frank on bacterial blight disease complex of pea caused by *M. incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood and *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* (Sackett) Young, Dye & Wilkie. Effect of *P. putida* and ZnO NPs in the presence *R. leguminosarum* was also observed on plant growth, chlorophyll, carotenoid contents on pea.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Preparation of zinc oxide nanoparticle suspension

Zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Product No. 721077-100G) (average particle size of 40 nm). ZnO NPs is a solution of 20% (Sigma Aldrich) i.e. 20 ml in 100 ml solution. Therefore, after dilution of 0.50 ml in 1 L double distilled water, ZnO NPs solution became 0.01% (i.e.  $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ ). Ten ml suspension of ZnO NPs was used as foliar spray per pot/per plant. Seed priming with NPs was done as described below.

#### Effect of ZnO NPs on hatching and mortality of *M. incognita*

The effect of ZnO NPs (0.10 ml<sup>-1</sup>) were observed on the hatching and mortality of *M. incognita* in Petri plates at  $25 \pm 1$  °C as described (Kashyap and Siddiqui, 2021).



# Effect of ZnO NPs on P. syringae pv. pisi

*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* was inoculated aseptically on the nutrient agar (NA) plates and paper discs of 0.7 mm diameter dipped in  $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$  ZnO NPs were placed in NA plates separately. The plates were incubated at  $30 \pm 2$  °C and replicated five times. Inhibition zone was measured on plates after 48 h.

# Preparations of *M. incognita* juveniles (J<sub>2</sub>s) for scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

*Meloidogyne incognita*  $J_2s$  were treated with 0.10 ml<sup>-1</sup> ZnO NPs suspensions/DDW. These  $J_2s$  were prepared for SEM, according to Eisenback (1986). Juveniles were picked into 1.5 mL of water in the Eppendorf tube, fixed with glutaraldehyde at 4 °C for 12 h. The fixed  $J_2s$  were dehydrated gradually using a seven-grade ethanol series (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 90, and 100%), 15 min on each step. The dried  $J_2s$  were mounted on SEM stubs, coated with gold, and finally examined through SEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

## Preparation of P. syringae pv. pisi samples for SEM

Suspension of *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* was mixed with  $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$  ZnO NPs, incubated at 30 °C for 5 h. The samples were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The pellets were washed thrice with potassium phosphate buffer (PBS) at pH 7 and prepared as described (Kashyap and Siddiqui, 2021).

## Preparation and sterilization of the soil mixture

The loam soil used in the experiment was obtained from agricultural field of A.M.U. Aligarh. A 3:1 (v/v) ratio of soil and organic manure were mixed, and was sieved through 2 mm sieve. Soil was autoclaved for 20 min at 137.9 kPa. One kg of this soil was used to fill 15-cm diameter earthen pots after cooling.

# Seeds priming, sowing and maintenance of test plants

Pea sterilized seeds (cv. BK-10) were soaked for 12 h in a  $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$  suspension of ZnO NPs. Five unprimed and NPs primed seeds were sown separately in 15 cm earthen pots containing 1 kg of sterilized soil.

# Preparation of M. incognita inoculum

*Meloidogyne incognita* was multiplied using a single egg mass on *Solanum melongena* L. roots as described (Kashyap and Siddiqui, 2021). The hatched  $J_2$  were collected from the Petri plates after every 24 h and fresh water was added to repeat the process. For counting of  $J_2$ , an average of 5 counts was made and volume of nematode suspension was so adjusted that each ml may contain  $200 \pm 5 J_2$ . Ten ml of this suspension containing 2000 J<sub>2</sub>s of *M. incognita* were applied to each pot around pea seedling.

# Preparation of P. syringae pv. pisi inoculum

*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* colonies were streaked under aseptic conditions on sterilized petri dishes with NA medium and incubated (Kashyap and Siddiqui, 2021). Cell density of *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* was calculated by Sharma (2001) and each ml contains  $1.2 \times 10^5$  colony-forming units (CFU).



#### Preparation of P. putida inoculum

NA plates were incubated overnight at 30 °C to check sterility and remove excess moisture. Fresh culture of *P. putida* was inoculated using a single colony into nutrient broth flasks. These flasks were incubated at  $30 \pm 1$  °C for 72 h to get the mass inoculum of *P. putida*. One ml of nutrient broth suspension contains approximately  $1.2 \times 10^5$  CFU.

#### Preparation of R. leguminosarum inoculum

Charcoal culture of *R. leguminosarum* (pea strain, 100 g) was dissolved in 1 L sterilized distilled water. Each pea seedling/pot was inoculated with 10 ml suspension containing 1 g inoculum.

#### Inoculation technique

For inoculations of *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi, P. putida* and *R. leguminosarum* sterilized forceps were used to gently remove soil around the roots. The inocula suspensions were poured around each seedling and soil was placed again. Same amount of distilled water was poured around the seedling in control. Seed priming and foliar spray of ZnO NPs was done as stated above.

#### **Experimental design**

The experiment was performed in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with all seedling bacterized with *R. leguminosarum* as stated above. ZnO NPs was used as seed priming, foliar spray and control i.e. A. Control; B. Seed priming; C. Foliar spray. These 3 treatments were tested both in the presence and absence of *P. putida* i.e.  $3 \times 2 = 6$  treatments. These 6 treatments were tested with pathogens and a control i.e. 1. Control; 2. *M. incognita*; 3. *P. syringae* pv. *pisi*; 4. *M. incognita* plus *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* i.e.  $6 \times 4 = 24$  treatments. Each treatment was replicated 5 times i.e.,  $24 \times 5 = 120$  pots.

#### Data collection

Ninety days after pathogen inoculation harvesting was done. Observations data were recorded on plant length, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, chlorophyll, carotenoid, bacterial blight index, galling and population of *M. incognita* as described (Kashyap and Siddiqui, 2021).

#### Chlorophyll and carotenoid estimation

Chlorophyll and carotenoid were estimated Mackinney (1941) and Maclachlan and Zalik (1963).

#### **Disease index**

Disease indices were observed on the basis of symptoms on the leaves (Nesha and Siddiqui, 2013). The disease indices were recorded on 0 to 5 scale, where 0 = No disease and 5 = Severe blight symptoms.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) (*P. putida*  $\times$  ZnO NPs  $\times$  Pathogens) using R (3.6.1) statistical software (package library, agricolae). For comparison among means,



Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) test were performed ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Graphs of nematode population and number of galls/per root system were prepared using Sigma Plot<sup>TM</sup> and error bars represent standard error. The principal components analysis (PCA) was used to determine the total variability of data using Origin Pro 2021.

# RESULTS

#### In vitro study

ZnO NPs 0.10 ml<sup>-1</sup> had an inhibitory effect on growth of *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* in NA medium and form inhibition zones of 0.64 mm (Table 1). Similarly, ZnO NPs 0.10 ml<sup>-1</sup> caused 84.7% reduction in the hatching of *M. incognita* J<sub>2</sub> while the same concentration caused 74.1% mortality of *M. incognita* of J<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). The ZnO NPs-treated J<sub>2</sub>s showed irregular cuticle, shrunken, wrinkled, and corrugated cuticle (Fig. 1). The *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* cells were damaged, misshapen and ridged after the treatment with ZnO NPs (Fig. 2).

#### Pot experiment

Three-ways ANOVA showed that the individual effects of ZnO NPs, *P. putida* and pathogens on plant length, plant fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, nodulation, chlorophyll, carotenoid, root galling and nematode population was significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  (ANOVA not shown). Interaction of NPs  $\times$  *P. putida*, and NPs  $\times$  Pathogens on plant length, plant fresh weigh was significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Interaction of NPs  $\times$  pathogens on root dry weight, chlorophyll, root galling and nematode population was also significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Interaction of *P. putida*  $\times$  Pathogens on plant fresh weight was also significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  (ANOVA not shown).

Plants inoculated with *M. incognita* and *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* individually and in combination showed a significant reduction in plant growth parameters, chlorophyll and carotenoid over control (Table 2). Root nodulation was high in all plants bacterized with *R. leguminosarum*. Pathogens alone and together caused a greater reduction in nodulation. Plant growth, nodulation, chlorophyll and carotenoid were greater in plants with *P. putida* over uninoculated control. Seed priming had adverse effect on nodulation while foliar spray had no significant effect on

Culture incelium against 1. Synnigue pv. pisi								
Treatments	No. of hatched <i>M. incognita</i> J <sub>2</sub> after 48 h	% reduction in the hatching of J <sub>2</sub> over Control	No. of <i>M. incognita</i> J <sub>2</sub> died after 48 h	% mortality of J <sub>2</sub> over control				
Double distilled water	216a	-	07b	-				
ZnO NPs $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$	33 b	84.7	27a	74.1				
	Treatments	Inhibition zone form	ation against P. syringa	e pv. pisi				
ZnO NPs $0.10 \text{ ml}^{-1}$		0.64 (	mm)					

 Table 1. Effects of ZnO NPs on the hatching and mortality of M. incognita and inhibition zone formation in culture medium against P. syringae pv. pisi

Data are present as treatments mean. Values with in a column followed by different letters are statistically significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  by Tukey's HSD post hoc test.





Fig. 1. Effect of ZnO NPs on second stage juvenile of Meloidogyne incognita



Fig. 2. Effect of ZnO NPs on the cells of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi

nodulation over control. ZnO NPs foliar spray/seed priming caused an increase in plant growth, chlorophyll and carotenoid over control (Table 2).

### Effect on shoot dry weight

Foliar spray and seed priming caused 7.32 and 20.33% increase in shoot dry weight (% data not shown in table) over control (Table 3) while foliar spray and seed priming resulted in 8.29 and 19.02% increase in shoot dry weight over plants with *M. incognita*. However, 6.39 and 16.44% increase in shoot dry weight was observed over plants with *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* by foliar spray and seed priming. Foliar spray and seed priming caused 9.52 and 13.49% increase in shoot dry weight over plants *P. syringae* pv. *pisi*. Application of *P. putida* to plants



				-	-		e	
Treatments	5	Plant length (cm)	Plant fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	No. of Nodules per root system	Chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)	Carotenoid (mg $g^{-1}$ fresh weight)
Pathogens	С	86.20a	11.60a	2.81a	0.23a	41.00a	0.830a	0.104a
	Μ	72.13c	8.37c	2.36c	0.14c	23.33c	0.732c	0.075b
	Р	74.88b	8.83b	2.45b	0.17b	28.17b	0.742b	0.079b
	M+P	43.55d	5.20d	1.45d	0.13d	20.67d	0.484d	0.052c
L.S.D. $P =$	= <b>0.05</b>	1.13	0.09	0.09	0.008	0.66	0.005	0.004
P. putida	Control	67.82b	8.23b	2.16b	0.16b	26.25b	0.672b	0.075b
-	P. putida	70.56a	8.77a	2.38a	0.18a	30.33a	0.722a	0.080a
L.S.D. $P =$	• 0.05	0.79	0.07	0.07	0.006	0.47	0.004	0.003
ZnO NPs	Control	63.17c	7.77c	2.08c	0.15c	28.75a	0.638c	0.070c
	Foliar spray	69.54b	8.50b	2.29b	0.17b	28.25ab	0.696b	0.077b
	Seed priming	74.87a	9.23a	2.43a	0.18a	27.88b	0.757a	0.086a
L.S.D. $P =$	• 0.05	0.97	0.08	0.08	0.007	0.57	0.005	0.003

*Table 2.* Influence of ZnO NPs and *P. putida* alone and in combination on the growth attributes, nodulation, chlorophyll and carotenoid of pea in the presence of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* 

\*Data analysis through Least Square mean (LSM). \* The mean values within a column followed by the different letter are significantly different at P = 0.05 by Tukey's HSD post hoc test; C = Control; M = M. *incognita*; P = P. *syringae* pv. *pisi*.

with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi*, or both caused 11.22, 8.21, and 9.52% increase in shoot dry weight over respective control. Use of *P. putida* plus NPs foliar spray resulted in 24.39, 17.80 and 26.98% increase in shoot dry weight of plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi*, or both respectively. Seed priming plus *P. putida* resulted in a 28.78, 22.37 and 30.95% increase in shoot dry weight compared to plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi*, or both respectively (Table 3).

# Effect on chlorophyll and carotenoid

Foliar spray to plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi* and both increased chlorophyll by 6.50–10.48%, and carotenoid by 9.09%–10.77% over pathogen (% data not shown in table) inoculated control (Table 3). NPs seed priming resulted in 14.39%–20.49% increase in chlorophyll and 21.54–29.55% increase in carotenoid of plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi* and both. Inoculation of *P. putida* resulted in 7.64–11.60% increases in chlorophyll and 7.25–11.36% increases in carotenoid content over plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi* or both. Use of *P. putida* with foliar spray to plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi* or both resulted in 16.24–18.80% increase in chlorophyll, and 18.84–20.45% increase in carotenoid content. Use of *P. putida* plus seed priming resulted in 25.06–28.55, % increase in chlorophyll and 30.43–40.91% in carotenoid in plants with *M. incognita, P. syringae* pv. *pisi*, or both (Table 3).



Nil	Control Foliar Spray	C M P M+P C	77.06f 64.18i 66.96h 38.18m	10.27f 7.16l 7.84k 4.560	2.46bcdef 2.05g 2.19fg	0.19de 0.12h	40.0c	0.734h	0.091def	-
	Foliar Spray	M P M+P C	64.18i 66.96h 38.18m	7.16l 7.84k 4.560	2.05g 2.19fg	0.12h				
	Foliar Spray	P M+P C	66.96h 38.18m 85.15c	7.84k 4.560	2.19fg	011 = 11	22.0gh	0.641k	0.065lmn	-
	Foliar Spray	M+P C	38.18m	4.560		0.14gh	26.0e	0.649k	0.069klm	3
	Foliar Spray	C	85 15c		1.26j	0.09i	18.0i	0.431p	0.044q	4
	Spray		05.150	11.20d	2.64bc	0.22bc	41.0bc	0.804e	0.101bcd	-
		M	69.96h	8.14j	2.22fg	0.14gh	22.0gh	0.705ij	0.072ijklm	-
1 /		Р	73.32g	8.68i	2.33def	0.16fg	25.0ef	0.717i	0.076hijkl	2
		M+P	41.37l	4.77o	1.38ij	0.12h	17.0i	0.4590	0.048pq	3
	Seed	С	94.06a	12.42b	2.96a	0.25a	40.0c	0.879b	0.110ab	-
	priming	М	77.40ef	8.93i	2.44bcdef	0.14gh	21.0h	0.771fg	0.079fghijk	-
		Р	80.47d	9.44gh	2.55bcde	0.17ef	25.0ef	0.782f	0.084fghi	2
		M+P	45.76k	5.38n	1.43hij	0.14gh	18.0i	0.493mn	0.057nop	3
P. putida	control	С	80.23de	10.93e	2.66b	0.21cd	43.0a	0.779f	0.096cde	-
-		М	67.58h	7.86k	2.28efg	0.14gh	26.0e	0.690j	0.070jklm	-
		Р	69.85h	8.31j	2.37cdef	0.16fg	31.0d	0.701j	0.074hijklm	2
		M+P	41.28l	5.21n	1.38ij	0.12h	24.0fg	0.481n	0.049pq	3
	Foliar	С	89.47b	11.91c	3.02a	0.24ab	42.0ab	0.851c	0.107abc	-
	Spray	М	74.01g	8.78i	2.55bcde	0.16fg	25.0ef	0.761g	0.078ghijk	-
		Р	77.34ef	9.22h	2.58bcd	0.18ef	31.0d	0.771fg	0.082fghij	1
		M+P	45.68k	5.31n	1.60hi	0.14gh	23.0g	0.501m	0.053opq	3
	Seed	С	91.25b	12.85a	3.11a	0.26a	40.0c	0.933a	0.116a	-
	priming	М	79.65def	9.37gh	2.64bc	0.16fg	24.0fg	0.824d	0.086efgh	-
		Р	81.36d	9.49g	2.68b	0.19de	31.0d	0.832d	0.090defg	1
		M+P	49.00j	5.97m	1.65h	0.16fg	24.0fg	0.5391	0.062mno	2
L.S.D. $P =$	0.05		2.77	0.24	0.24	0.02	1.63	0.014	0.010	-

 Table 3. Effect of ZnO NPs and P. putida alone and in combination on the growth attributes and nodulation of pea infected with M. incognita,

 P. syringae pv. pisi or both in the presence of R. leguminosarum

\*Data are presented as treatments mean (n = 5). The mean values within a column followed by the different letter are significantly different at P = 0.05 by Tukey's HSD post hoc test; C = Control; M = M. *incognita*; P = P. *syringae* pv. *pisi*.

#### Effect on root galling and nematode population

High root galling and nematode population was observed when *M. incognita* was inoculated alone (Figs 3 and 4). Root galling and nematode population was reduced in the presence of *P. syringae* pv. *pisi*. Foliar spray and seed priming of ZnO NPs and inoculation of *P. putida* reduced galling and nematode population. Seed priming caused a greater reduction in galling and nematode population sthan foliar spray. Seed priming plus *P. putida* resulted in the greatest reduction in galling and nematode population (Figs 3 and 4).



Fig. 3. Effect of ZnO NPs foliar spray and seed priming with and without *P. putida* on the galling of *M. incognita* on pea



Fig. 4. Effect of ZnO NPs foliar spray and seed priming with and without P. putida on the popupation of M. incognita on pea

#### **Disease indices**

Bacterial blight indices were 3 and 4 respectively when *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* was inoculated alone and in combination with *M. incognita* (Table 3). Disease indices were reduced by the application of NPs and *P. putida*. Maximum reduction in disease indices was observed when NPs seed priming was used with *P. putida* (Table 3).

#### Principal component analysis (PCA)

Total 96.42% (PC1 = 87.55%; PC2 = 8.87%) variability of the data was demonstrated in PCA (Fig. 5). Blight disease indices, nematode population and galling were negatively correlated with plant growth attributes, nodulation, chlorophyll and chlorophyll content. The segregation of various treatments in the biplot demonstrated the disease suppressive role of *P. putida* and ZnO NPs on pea. Use of *P. putida* plus NPs seed priming was best followed by *P. putida* plus foliar spray and *P. putida* alone in reducing disease indices, nematode population and galling (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Principal component analysis (PCA) showing the effect of ZnO NPs and P. putida alone and in combination on various studied attributes of pea infected with M. incognita, P. syringae and both. M = M. incognita, P = P. syringae, and MP, PP = P. putida, F = Foliar spray, S = Seed priming, B = Plant length, C = Plant fresh weight, D = Shoot dry weight, E = Root dry weight, F = Nodulation, G = chlorophyll, H = Carotenoid, I = Nematode population, J = Nematode galling, K = Disease index



# DISCUSSION

*Pseudomonas* spp. possess traits which provide biocontrol and growth-promotion (Hernández-León et al., 2015). Certain bioactive metabolites are produced by *P. putida* which may attribute as successful biocontrol agent (Raza et al., 2016). An inhibition zone was formed around *P. putida* and bacterial blight bacterium could not grow around *P. putida* colonies in present study.

*Pseudomonas* species are ubiquitous in agricultural soils and act as a multifunctional biocontrol possession against phytopathogens (Ray and Patel, 2022). Application of *P. putida* reduced galling and nematode population and also produce siderophores (Siddiqui et al., 2007). The *P. putida* showed good inhibition of *M. incognita in vitro* and in pot experiments, with biocontrol efficiency as high as 71.67%. In addition, *P. putida* could induce systematic resistance in tomato by increasing the activity of defense enzymes (Tang et al., 2014). Hydrogen cyanide and cyclo (L-Pro-L-Ile) also were identified from *P. putida* strain and exhibited nematicidal activity against *M. incognita* (Guo et al., 2016).

Nutrient agar medium with ZnO NPs has shown antimicrobial activity in the present study against *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* as reported earlier (Zhang et al., 2007; Raffi et al., 2008). Toxicity of ZnO NPs results in microbial cell membrane damage that leads to entry in to the cytoplasm and their accumulation (Zhang et al., 2007). SEM study revealed bacterial cells were damaged and misshapen due to adherence to ZnO NPs. Sirelkhatim et al. (2015) have examined the influence of ZnO NPs on bacterial cell morphology while deformed, ruptured and damage cell wall was also reported (Akbar and Anal, 2014). ZnO NPs damage the cell membrane and DNA (Huang et al., 2008) while integration of NPs with bacteria induce changes in membrane permeability and cell lysis (Brayner et al., 2006; Zhang and Chen, 2009).

ZnO NPs had adverse effects on eggs and  $J_2s$  of *M. incognita* and reduced hatching of  $J_2s$ . It also caused increase in the mortality of  $J_2s$ . SEM revealed that eggs and  $J_{2s}$  were deformed, shrunken and wrinkled with corrugated cuticle. Metal ions generally inhibit the activity of important enzymes or disrupt the integrity of the cell membrane (Gupta et al., 2015). Small size, large surface and ability of NPs to generate reactive oxygen species induce toxicity (Oberdoster et al., 2005) and DNA synthesis and repair is also impaired by ZnO NPs (Hou et al., 2018).

Zn play a role in the structural stability of cell membrane therefore use of ZnO NPs improved plant growth, chlorophyll, and carotenoid content (Welch et al., 1982). It also plays significant role in protein synthesis, membrane structure, cell elongation, and tolerance (Cakmak, 2000). ZnO NPs penetrate leaf surface and releasing ions around the cuticle as compared to water soluble ions (Da Silva et al., 2006). Nano size and lower hydrophilic ability of ZnO NPs is responsible for better bioavailability and higher yield (Prasad et al., 2012), therefore, improve plant growth attributes, chlorophyll, and carotenoid also reduce disease severity of *M. incognita* and *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* on pea.

NPs seed priming has a beneficial impact in different crops under stress (Hussain et al., 2019) and increases nutrients and water absorption efficiency by increasing NPs penetration through the seed cover (Farooq et al., 2006). NPs seed priming is more significant than foliar use (Rizwan et al., 2019) because seed priming alters the embryo's metabolic and physiological nature, as well as the material released during germination (Waqas et al., 2019). NPs also induce enzyme activation, germination inhibitor metabolism, and cell damage repair (Dutta, 2018).



Therefore, NPs seed priming with *P. putida* caused greater reduction in galling, nematode population and disease indices than use of *P. putida* with NPs foliar spray.

PCA demonstrated 96.42% variation of the data which is according to Sneath and Sokal (1973) criteria established that there should be at least 70% of total data variability. Positive correlations were observed between plant growth, nodulation, chlorophyll and carotenoid content while nematode population, root galling, and disease indices were negatively correlated.

# CONCLUSIONS

Greater efficacy of *P. putida* plus ZnO NPs seed priming was demonstrated by PCA in reducing disease severity of pea. Therefore, *P. putida* plus ZnO NPs seed priming may be used for sustainable management of *M. incognita* and *P. syringae* pv. *pisi* of pea by boosting site-specific uptake of NPs into the target pathogens.

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no financial and non-financial competing interests.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Award of University Fellowship to first author by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India and Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India is gratefully acknowledged.

# REFERENCES

- Akbar, A. and Anal, A.K. (2014). Zinc oxide nanoparticles loaded active packaging, a challenge study against Salmonella typhimurium and Staphylococcus aureus in ready-to-eat poultry meat. Food Control, 38: 88–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.09.065.
- Antoun, H. and Kloepper, J.W. (2001). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). In: Brenner, S. and Miller, J.H. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of genetics*, Academic Press, New York, pp. 1477–1480.
- Bastianelli, D., Grosjean, F., Peyronnet, C., Duparque, M., and Regnier, J.M. (1998). Feeding value of pea (*Pisum sativum*, L.) 1: chemical composition of different categories of pea. Animal Science, 67: 609–619.
- Bozbuga, R., Lilley, C.J., Knox, J.P., and Urwin, P.E. (2018). Host-specific signatures of the cell wall changes induced by the plant parasitic nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. Scientific Reports, 8(1): 17302.
- Brayner, R., Ferrari-Iliou, R., Brivois, N., Djediat, S., Benedetti, M.F., and Fiévet, F. (2006). Toxicological impact studies based on *Escherichia coli* bacteria in ultrafine ZnO nanoparticles colloidal medium. *Nano Letters*, 6: 866–870.
- Cakmak, I. (2000). Possible roles of zinc in protecting plant cells from damage by reactive oxygen species. *New Phytologist*, 146: 185–205.
- Da Silva, L.C., Oliva, M.A., Azevedo, A.A., and De Araujo, M.J. (2006). Response of restinga plant species to pollution from an iron pelletization factory. *Water, Air & Soil Pollution*, 175: 241–256.
- Dutta, P. (2018). Seed priming: new vistas and contemporary perspectives. In: Rakshit, A. and Singh, H.B. (Eds.), *Advances in seed priming*, Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., Singapore, pp. 3–22.



- Eisenback, J. (1986). A comparison of techniques useful for preparing nematodes for scanning electron microscopy. *Journal of Nematology*, 18: 479.
- Farooq, M., Basra, S.M., Rehman, H., and Mehmood, T. (2006). Germination and early seedling growth as affected by pre-sowing ethanol seed treatments in fine rice. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 8: 19–22.
- Guo, J., Jing, X., Peng, W.L., Nie, Q., Zhai, Y., Shao, Z., Zheng, L., Cai, M., Li, G., and Zou, H. (2016). Comparative genomic and functional analyses: unearthing the diversity and specificity of nematicidal factors in *Pseudomonas putida* strain MCCC 1A00316. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 29211. https://10.1038/ srep29211.
- Gupta, S., Kushwah, T., Vishwakarma, A., and Yadav, S. (2015). Optimization of ZnO-NPs to investigate their safe application by assessing their effect on soil nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nanoscale Research Letters, 10: 303.
- Hernández-León, R., Rojas-Solís, D., Contreras-Pérez, M., Orozco-Mosqueda, M.C., Macías-Rodríguez, L.I., la Cruz, H.R., Valencia-Cantero, E., and Santoyo, G. (2015). Characterization of the antifungal and plant growth-promoting effects of diffusible and volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains. *Biological Control*, 81: 83–92.
- Hou, J., Wu, Y., Li, X., Wei, B., Li, S., and Wang, X. (2018). Toxic effects of different types of zinc oxide nanoparticles on algae, plants, invertebrates, vertebrates and microorganisms. *Chemosphere*, 193: 852–860.
- Huang, Z, Zheng, X., Yan, D., Yin, G., Liao, X., Kang, Y., Yao, Y., Huang, D., and Hao, B. (2008). Toxicological effect of ZnO nanoparticles based on bacteria. *Langmuir*, 24: 4140–4144.
- Hussain, A., Rizwan, M., Ali, Q., and Ali, S. (2019). Seed priming with silicon nanoparticles improved the biomass and yield while reduced the oxidative stress and cadmium concentration in wheat grains. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 26(8): 7579–7588.
- Kashyap, D. and Siddiqui, Z.A. (2021). Effect of silicon dioxide nanoparticles and *Rhizobium leguminosa-rum* alone and in combination on the growth and bacterial blight disease complex of pea caused by *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, 54 (9–10): 499–515.
- Mackinney, G. (1941). Absorption of light by chlorophyll solutions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 140: 315–322.
- Maclachlan, S. and Zalik, S. (1963). Plastid structure, chlorophyll concentration and free amino acid composition of chlorophyll mutant barley. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 41: 1053–1062.
- Martin-Sanz, A., De La Vega, M.P., Murillo, J., and Caminero, C. (2013). Strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. syringae from pea are phylogenetically and pathogenically diverse. *Phytopathology*, 103(7): 673–681. https://10.1094/PHYTO-08-12-0196-R.
- Nayana, A.R., Joseph, B.J., Jose, A., and Radhakrishnan, E.K. (2020). Nanotechnological advances with PGPR applications. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, 41: 163–180.
- Nesha, R. and Siddiqui, Z.A. (2013). Interactions of Pectobacterium carotovorum pv. carotovorum, Xanthomonas campestris pv. carotae, and Meloidogyne javanica on the disease complex of carrot. International Journal of Vegetable Science, 19(4): 403–411.
- Oberdörster, G., Oberdörster, E., and Oberdörster, J. (2005). Nanotoxicology: an emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(7): 823–839. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7339.
- Oelke, E.A., Oplinger, E.S., Hanson, C.V., Davis, D.W., Putnam, D.H., Fuller, E.I., and Rosen, C.J. (1991). Dry field pea. Alternative field crop manual, Purdue University, University of Wisconsin-Extension, Cooperative Extension, USA.



- Pastor, N., Masciarelli, O., Fischer, S., Luna, V., and Rovera, M. (2016). Potential of *Pseudomonas putida* PCI2 for the protection of tomato plants against fungal pathogens. *Current Microbiology*, 73(3): 346–353. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-016-1068-y.
- Prasad, T.N.V.K.V., Sudhakar, P., Sreenivasulu, Y., Latha, P., Munaswamy, V., Reddy, K.R., Sreeprasad, T.S., Sajanlal, P.R., and Pradeep, T. (2012). Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. *Journal Plant Nutrition*, 35: 905–927.
- Raffi, M., Hussain, F., Bhatti, T.M., Akhter, J.I., Hameed, A., and Hasan, M.M. (2008). Antibacterial characterization of silver nanoparticles against E. Coli ATCC-15224. *Journal of Material Science & Technology*, 24: 2192–2196.
- Ray, S. and Patel, H. (2022). Pseudomonas. In: Amaresan, N., Patel, P., and Amin, D. (Eds.), *Practical handbook on agricultural microbiology*. Springer Protocols Handbooks. Humana, New York NY, pp. 1–413. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1724-3\_12.
- Raza, W., Ling, N., Liu, D., Wei, Z., Huang, Q., and Shen, Q. (2016). Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WR-1 restrict the growth and virulence traits of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Microbiological Research*, 192: 103–113.
- Richardson, H.J. and Hollaway, G.J. (2011). Bacterial blight caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. syringae shown to be an important disease of field pea in south eastern Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 40: 260–268.
- Rizwan, M., Ali, S., Ali, B., Adrees, M., Arshad, M., Hussain, A., Rehman, M.Z.U., and Waris, A.A. (2019). Zinc and iron oxide nanoparticles improved the plant growth and reduced the oxidative stress and cadmium concentration in wheat. *Chemosphere*, 214: 269–277.
- Sharma, A., Haseeb, A., and Abuzar, S. (2006). Screening of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) selections for their reactions to root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*). *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B*, 7(3): 209–214.
- Sharma, P.D. (2001). Microbiology. Rastogi and Company, Meerut, India.
- Siddiqui, Z.A., Baghel, G., and Akhtar, M.S. (2007). Biocontrol of *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Rhizobium* and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on lentil. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, 23: 435–441.
- Sirelkhatim, A., Mahmud, S., Seeni, A., Kaus, N.H.M., Ann, L.C., Bakhori, S.K.M., Hasan, H., and Mohamad, D. (2015). Review on zinc oxide nanoparticles: antibacterial activity and toxicity mechanism. *Nano-micro Letters*, 7(3): 219–242. https://10.1007/s40820-015-0040-x.
- Sneath, P.H. and Sokal, R.R. (1973). Numerical taxonomy. The principles and practice of numerical classification, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, USA, pp. 1–573.
- Tang, J.P., Zhang, Z., Jing, X., Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Shao, Z., and Li, G. (2014). Mechanism of antagonistic bacteria *Pseudomonas putida* 1A00316 from the South Pole soil against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Chinese Journal of Applied & Environmental Biology*, 20(6): 1046–1051.
- Volpiano, C.G., Lisboa, B.B., Granada, C.E., São José, J.F.B., de Oliveira, A.M.R., Beneduzi, A., Perevalova, Y., Passaglia, L.M.P., and Vargas, L.K. (2019). Rhizobia for biological control of plant diseases.
   In: Kumar, V., Prasad, R., Kumar, M., and Choudhary, D.K. (Eds.), *Microbiome in plant health and disease*, Springer, Singapore, pp. 315–336.
- Waqas, M., Korres, N.E., Khan, M.D., Nizami, A.S., Deeba, F., Ali, I., and Hussain, H. (2019). Advances in the concept and methods of seed priming. In: Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fotopoulos, V. (Eds.), *Priming* and pretreatment of seeds and seedlings, Springer, Singapore., pp. 11–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8625-1\_2.



129

- Welch, R.M., Webb, M.J., and Loneragan, J.F. (1982). Zinc in membrane function and its role in phosphorus toxicity. In: Scaife, A. (Ed.), *Proceeding of the ninth plant nutrition colloquium*. Warwick. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 710–715.
- Zhang, H. and Chen, G. (2009). Potent antibacterial activities of Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite powders synthesized by a one-pot sol-gel method. *Environmental Science Technology*, 43: 2905–2910.
- Zhang, L., Jiang, Y., Ding, Y., Povey, M., and York, D. (2007). Investigation into the antibacterial behaviour of suspensions of ZnO nanoparticles (ZnO nanofluids). *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 9: 479–489.

