



Periodic solution of a bioeconomic fishery model by coincidence degree theory

Satyam Narayan Srivastava ^{✉1}, Seshadev Padhi² and Alexander Domoshnitsky¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Ariel University, Ariel-40700, Israel

²Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology Mesra, Ranchi-835215, India

Received 28 February 2023, appeared 3 August 2023

Communicated by Leonid Berezansky

Abstract. In this article we use coincidence degree theory to study the existence of a positive periodic solutions to the following bioeconomic model in fishery dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left(r(t) \left(1 - \frac{n}{K} \right) - \frac{q(t)E}{n+D} \right), \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{n}{n+D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{n^2 E}{(n+D)^2} - c(t) \right), \end{cases}$$

where the functions r, q, A, c and α are continuous positive T -periodic functions. This is the model of a coastal fishery represented as a single site with $n(t)$ is the fish stock biomass, and $E(t)$ is the fishing effort. Examples are given to strengthen our results.

Keywords: periodic solution, coincidence degree theory, existence of solutions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C25, 34A34, 34A38.

1 Introduction

In [17], Moussaoui and Auger introduced following system of three ordinary differential equation describing the fishery dynamics with price depending on supply and demand

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{d\tau} = \varepsilon \left(rn \left(1 - \frac{n}{K} \right) - \frac{qnE}{n+D} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{d\tau} = \varepsilon \left(-cE + p \frac{qnE}{n+D} \right) \\ \frac{dp}{d\tau} = \phi p \left(P(p) - \frac{qnE}{n+D} \right) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$n(t)$ is the fish stock biomass, $E(t)$ is the fishing effort and $(p(t))$ is the price per unit of the resource at time t). Authors assumed that the price varies at a fast time scale τ , while fish growth and investment in the fishery by boat owners occur at a slow time scale $t = \varepsilon\tau$, with $\tau \ll 1$ being a small dimensionless parameter.

[✉]Corresponding author. Email: satyamsrivastava983@gmail.com

The study of existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of solutions of mathematical models can be found in all applied sciences in the recent years. Many of the mathematical models occur in terms of differential equations or a system of differential equations. The increasing expansion of branches of system of differential equations has attracted many researchers to study the dynamical nature of solutions, especially, on existence and uniqueness of solutions. One of the models that attracts the attention of researchers in applied science is the bioeconomic model, similar to classical bioeconomic models of fishery dynamics [1,3].

Using regression [17], we can transform model (1.1) into the following system of two differential equations.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left(r \left(1 - \frac{n}{K} \right) - \frac{qE}{n+D} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{Aq}{\alpha} \frac{n}{n+D} - \frac{q^2}{\alpha} \frac{n^2 E}{(n+D)^2} - c \right). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Since the variation of environment, in particular the periodic variation of the environment, play an important role in many biological and ecological system, especially, in fish stock biomass and fishing effort, it is natural to study the existence and asymptotic behavior of periodic solutions of the model (1.2). From the application point of view, only positive periodic solutions are important. Hence, it is realistic to assume the periodicity of the coefficient functions in (1.2). Thus, assuming r, q, A, c , and α to be positive T -periodic functions, we have the following nonautonomous model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left(r(t) \left(1 - \frac{n}{K} \right) - \frac{q(t)E}{n+D} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{n}{n+D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{n^2 E}{(n+D)^2} - c(t) \right) \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where r, q, A, c , and α are continuous positive T -periodic functions with ecological meaning as n the fish stock biomass, E the fishing effort, r fish growth rate, K carrying capacity, q catchability per fishing effort unit, D half saturation level, A carrying capacity of the market or maximum demand and α slope of the linear demand function decreasing with the price.

Setting

$$f(t, n, E) = \frac{r(t)}{K} n^2 + \frac{q(t)En}{n+D}$$

and

$$g(t, n, E) = \frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{nE}{n+D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{n^2 E^2}{(n+D)^2},$$

we can express (1.3) into the following systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = r(t)n(t) - f(t, n(t), E(t)) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = -c(t)E(t) + g(t, n(t), E(t)). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

System of equations of the form (1.4) with general f and g have been studied by many authors [2,11,14,20–25,28] using various types of fixed point theorems to study the existence of positive T -periodic of (1.4) when f and g are positive continuous functions. Further, they were applied to many mathematical models [11,14,20–25,28] to study the existence of positive T -periodic solutions. One may refer to [19] for applications of fixed point theorems [7,9,10,12] on the existence of positive periodic solutions of mathematical models. As far as our knowledge is concerned, there exist no results on the existence and uniqueness of positive T -periodic solutions of (1.3). We have used Mawhin's coincidence degree theory to study the existence of

T -periodic solution of (1.3). Although there exist hundreds of research articles in the literature on the use of Schauder's fixed point theorem and Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, the use of Mawhin's coincidence degree theory to study the existence of positive T -periodic solutions of (1.3) is relatively scarce in the literature. Previous papers based on Mawhin's coincidence degree theory for different biological models are [4–6, 8, 15, 26, 27, 29].

In order to obtain our results, we assume $r(t)$, $q(t)$, $A(t)$, $c(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ in (1.3) are all positive T -periodic functions. Further then we assume f and g are T -periodic functions with respect to the first variable.

This work has been divided into four sections. Section 1 is Introduction. Basic theory and Mawhin's coincidence degree theory is given in Section 2. Section 3 contains the main results of this paper. Examples are given to illustrate our results. Section 4 discusses the conclusion of this article.

2 Preliminaries

Before presenting our results on the existence of periodic solution of system (1.3), We provide the essentials of the coincidence degree theory. Let Z and W be the real Banach spaces, and Let $L : \text{dom}(L) \subset Z \rightarrow W$ be Fredholm operator of index zero, If $P : Z \rightarrow Z$ and $Q : W \rightarrow W$ are two continuous projectors such that $\text{Im}(P) = \text{Ker}(L)$, $\text{Ker}(Q) = \text{Im}(L)$, $Z = \text{Ker}(L) \oplus \text{Ker}(P)$ and $W = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \text{Im}(Q)$, then the inverse operator of $L|_{\text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)} : \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P) \rightarrow \text{Im}(L)$ exists and is denoted by K_p (generalized inverse operator of L). If Ω is an open bounded subset of Z such that $\text{dom}(L) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, the mapping $N : Z \rightarrow W$ will be called L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$, if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_p(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Z$ is compact. The abstract equation $Lx = Nx$ is shown to be solvable in view of [16, Theorem 2.4 on p. 84].

Theorem 2.1 ([16]). *Let L be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let N be the L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- 1) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ for every $(x, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}(L) \setminus \text{Ker}(L)) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$;
- 2) $Nx \notin \text{Im}(L)$ for every $x \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \partial\Omega$;
- 3) $\text{deg}(QN|_{\text{Ker}(L)}, \text{Ker}(L) \cap \Omega, 0) \neq 0$, where $Q : W \rightarrow W$ is a projector as above with $\text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q)$.

Then, the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega}$.

3 Existence of the periodic solution

For the sake of convenience and simplicity, we use the notations:

$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) dt, \quad f^L = \min_{t \in [0,1]} f(t), \quad f^M = \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t),$$

where f is a continuous t -Periodic function.

Set:

$$m_\epsilon = A^M(K + D) + \epsilon, \quad g_\epsilon = K \left(1 - \frac{q^M m_0}{Dr^L} \right) - \epsilon, \quad h_\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^L}{(q^L)^2} \left(\frac{A^L q^L g_0}{\alpha^M(K + D)} - c^M \right) - \epsilon.$$

Also, there exist positive numbers L_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$) such that $L_2 \leq z_1(t) \leq L_1$, $L_4 \leq z_2(t) \leq L_3$, where L_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$) will be calculated as in the proof of following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume the following conditions hold:*

$$(A1) \quad q^M m_0 < Dr^L,$$

$$(A2) \quad c^M \alpha^M (K + D) < A^L q^L g_0,$$

$$(A3) \quad \bar{A}\bar{q} - \bar{\alpha}K < \bar{\alpha}\bar{c} < \bar{A}\bar{q} + D\bar{r}\bar{q}.$$

Then, system (1.3) has at least one positive T -periodic solution

Proof. Firstly, we make a change of variables.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(t) &= \ln n(t) \Rightarrow n(t) = e^{z_1(t)}, \\ z_2(t) &= \ln E(t) \Rightarrow E(t) = e^{z_2(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

then system (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz_1}{dt} = r(t) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{K}\right) - \frac{q(t)e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D}, \\ \frac{dz_2}{dt} = \frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)} + D)^2} - c(t). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Define $Z = W = \{z = (z_1, z_2) \in (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) | z(t+T) = z(t)\}$, Z, W are both Banach spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ as follows:

$$\|z\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{i=1}^2 |z_i|, \quad z = (z_1, z_2) \in Z \text{ or } W.$$

For any $z = (z_1, z_2) \in Z$, the periodicity of system (3.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{K}\right) - \frac{q(t)e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} &= \Gamma_1(z, t), \\ \frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)} + D)^2} - c(t) &= \Gamma_2(z, t), \end{aligned}$$

are T -periodic functions. In fact

$$\Gamma_1(z(t+T), t+T) = r(t) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{K}\right) - \frac{q(t)e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D}.$$

Obviously, $\Gamma_2(z, t)$ is also periodic function by similar way.

Define operators L, P, Q as follows, respectively

$$L : \text{dom}(L) \cap Z \rightarrow W, \quad Lz = \left(\frac{dz_1}{dt}, \frac{dz_2}{dt}\right),$$

$$P \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T z_1(t) dt \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T z_2(t) dt \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Z = W,$$

where $\text{dom}(L) = \{z \in Z : z(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)\}$.

Define $N : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow W$

$$N \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1(z, t) \\ \Gamma_2(z, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\text{Ker}(L) = \{z \in Z \mid z = c_0, c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2\},$$

and

$$\text{Im}(L) = \left\{ z \in W \mid \int_0^T z(t) dt = 0 \right\}$$

is closed in W . Furthermore, both P, Q are continuous projections satisfying

$$\text{Im}(P) = \text{Ker}(L), \quad \text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q) = \text{Im}(I - Q).$$

For any $z \in W$, let $z_1 = z - Qz$, we can obtain that

$$\int_0^T z_1 dp = \int_0^T z(p) dp - \int_0^T \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T z(t) dt dp = 0,$$

so $z_1 \in \text{Im}(L)$. It follows that $W = \text{Im}(L) + \text{Im}(Q) = \text{Im}(L) + \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\text{Im}(L) \cup \mathbb{R}^2 = 0$, we conclude that $W = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, which means $\dim \text{Ker}(L) = \text{codim } \text{Im}(L) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^2) = 2$. Thus, L is a Fredholm operator of index zero, which implies that L has a unique generalized inverse operator.

Next we show that N is L -compact. Define the inverse of L as $K_P : \text{Im}(L) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(P) \cap \text{dom}(L)$ and is given by

$$K_P(z) = \int_0^t z(s) ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t z(s) ds dt.$$

Therefore, for any $z(t) \in Z$, we have

$$QN \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Gamma_1(z, t) dt \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Gamma_2(z, t) dt \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_P(I - Q)Nz &= \int_0^t Nz(s) ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t Nz(s) ds dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \int_0^T QNz(s) dt ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_0^T QNz(s) dt ds dt \\ &= \int_0^t Nz(s) ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t Nz(s) ds dt - \left(\frac{t}{T} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^T QNz(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, QN and $K_P(I - Q)N$ are continuous. Due to Z is Banach space, using the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, we have that N is L -compact on \bar{U} for any open bounded set $U \subset Z$. Next, in order to apply the coincidence degree theory, we need to construct an appropriate open bounded subset U . Therefore, the operator equation is defined by $Lz = \lambda Nz$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, that

is,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz_1}{dt} = \lambda \left[r(t) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{K} \right) - \frac{q(t)e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} \right], \\ \frac{dz_2}{dt} = \lambda \left[\frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)} + D)^2} - c(t) \right]. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We assume that $z \in (z_1, z_2)^T \in Z$ is a T -periodic solution of system (3.1) for any fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Now, integrating system (3.1) from 0 to T leads to

$$\begin{cases} \bar{r}T = \int_0^T \left[\frac{r(t)e^{z_1(t)}}{K} + \frac{q(t)e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} \right] dt, \\ \bar{c}T = \int_0^T \left[\frac{A(t)q(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} - \frac{q^2(t)}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)} + D)^2} \right] dt. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $(z_1, z_2) \in Z$, there exist $\eta_i, \xi_i \in [0, T]$ such that

$$z_i(\eta_i) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} z_i(t), \quad z_i(\xi_i) = \min_{t \in [0, T]} z_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Through simple analysis, we have,

$$\dot{z}_1(\eta_1) = \dot{z}_1(\xi_1) = 0, \quad \dot{z}_2(\eta_2) = \dot{z}_2(\xi_2) = 0.$$

If we apply previous to (3.2), we obtain

$$r(\eta_1) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(\eta_1)}}{K} \right) - \frac{q(\eta_1)e^{z_2(\eta_1)}}{e^{z_1(\eta_1)} + D} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$-c(\eta_2) + \frac{A(\eta_2)q(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{z_1(\eta_2)}}{e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D} - \frac{q^2(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{2z_1(\eta_2)}e^{z_2(\eta_2)}}{(e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D)^2} = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$r(\xi_1) \left(1 - \frac{e^{z_1(\xi_1)}}{K} \right) - \frac{q(\xi_1)e^{z_2(\xi_1)}}{e^{z_1(\xi_1)} + D} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$-c(\xi_2) + \frac{A(\xi_2)q(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \frac{e^{z_1(\xi_2)}}{e^{z_1(\xi_2)} + D} - \frac{q^2(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \frac{e^{2z_1(\xi_2)}e^{z_2(\xi_2)}}{(e^{z_1(\xi_2)} + D)^2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.4), we obtain

$$r(\eta_1) - \frac{r(\eta_1)e^{z_1(\eta_1)}}{K} > 0,$$

which implies that

$$z_1(\eta_1) < \ln(K) = L_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Considering (3.5) and (3.8), we get

$$\frac{q^2(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{2z_1(\eta_2)}e^{z_2(\eta_2)}}{(e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D)^2} + c(\eta_2) = \frac{A(\eta_2)q(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{z_1(\eta_2)}}{e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D}.$$

So, we can obtain

$$\frac{q^2(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{2z_1(\eta_2)}e^{z_2(\eta_2)}}{(e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D)^2} < \frac{A(\eta_2)q(\eta_2)}{\alpha(\eta_2)} \frac{e^{z_1(\eta_2)}}{e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D}'$$

or

$$\frac{qe^{z_1(\eta_2)}e^{z_2(\eta_2)}}{e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D} < A(\eta_2),$$

or

$$e^{z_2(\eta_2)} < A^M(e^{z_1(\eta_2)} + D),$$

or

$$e^{z_2(\eta_2)} < A^M(K + D),$$

which gives

$$z_2(\eta_2) < \ln(A^M(K + D)) = \ln m_0 = L_3. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.6) and (3.9), we can obtain

$$r(\xi_1) - \frac{r(\xi_1)e^{z_1(\xi_1)}}{K} - \frac{q(\xi_1)m_0}{D} < 0,$$

then,

$$\frac{e^{z_1(\xi_1)}}{K} > 1 - \frac{q^M m_0}{Dr^L}$$

which implies that

$$z_1(\xi_1) > \ln \left(K \left(1 - \frac{q^M m_0}{Dr^L} \right) \right) = \ln(g_0) = L_2. \quad (3.10)$$

In view of (3.7) and (3.10), we have

$$\frac{q^2(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \frac{e^{2z_1(\xi_2)}e^{z_2(\xi_2)}}{(e^{z_1(\xi_2)} + D)^2} = \frac{A(\xi_2)q(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \frac{e^{z_1(\xi_2)}}{e^{z_1(\xi_2)} + D} - c(\xi_2).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{q^2(\xi_2)e^{z_2(\xi_2)}}{\alpha(\xi_2)} > \frac{A^L q^L g_0}{\alpha^M(K + D)} - c^M,$$

or

$$e^{z_2(\xi_2)} > \frac{\alpha^L}{(q^2)^L} \left(\frac{A^L q^L g_0}{\alpha^M(K + D)} - c^M \right),$$

that is

$$z_2(\xi_2) > \ln \left(\frac{\alpha^L}{(q^2)^L} \left(\frac{A^L q^L g_0}{\alpha^M(K + D)} - c^M \right) \right) = \ln(h_0) = L_4. \quad (3.11)$$

Finally, from (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), we get

$$|z_1(t)| < \max\{|L_1|, |L_2|\} = \Lambda_1,$$

$$|z_2(t)| < \max\{|L_3|, |L_4|\} = \Lambda_2.$$

where Λ_1, Λ_2 is independent of λ . Denote $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3$ where Λ_3 is taken sufficiently large such that each solution (z_1^*, z_2^*) of following system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{K} e^{z_1(t)} - \frac{\bar{q}e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} = 0, \\ \frac{\bar{A}\bar{q}}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)} + D} - e^{z_1(t)} + \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\alpha(t)} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)} + D)^2} - \bar{c} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

satisfies $|z_1^*| + |z_2^*| < \Lambda$. Now we consider $\Omega = \{(z_1, z_2)^T \in Z : \|(z_1, z_2)\| < \Lambda\}$ then it is clear that Ω satisfies the first condition of Theorem 2.1.

For the second condition of Theorem 2.1, we prove that $QN(z_1, z_2)^T \neq (0, 0)^T$ for each $(z_1, z_2) \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L)$. When $(z_1, z_2)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L) = \partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^2$, $(z_1, z_2)^T$ is a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^2 and $|z_1| + |z_2| = \Lambda$. If the system (3.12) has a solution, then

$$QN \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{K}e^{z_1(t)} - \frac{\bar{q}e^{z_2(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)}+D} \\ \frac{\bar{A}\bar{q}}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)}+D} - e^{z_1(t)} + \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)}+D)^2} - \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since, (3.12) does not have solution then, it is evident that $QN(z_1, z_2)^T \neq 0$, thus the second condition of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Finally, we prove that the last condition of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied, to do so, we define the following mapping $\Psi_\mu : \text{dom}(L) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$

$$\Psi_\mu \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{K}e^{z_1(t)} - \frac{\bar{q}e^{z_2(t)}}{\mu e^{z_1(t)}+D} \\ \frac{\bar{A}\bar{q}}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{e^{z_1(t)}}{e^{z_1(t)}+\mu D} - e^{z_1(t)} + \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{e^{2z_1(t)}e^{z_2(t)}}{(e^{z_1(t)}+\mu D)^2} - \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the invariance property of homotopy in topological degree theory, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(QN(z_1, z_2)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg(\Psi(z_1, z_2, 1)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg(\Psi(z_1, z_2, \mu)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg(\Psi(z_1, z_2, 0)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg \left(\bar{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{K}e^{z_1(t)} - \frac{\bar{q}e^{z_2(t)}}{D}, \frac{\bar{A}\bar{q}}{\bar{\alpha}} - e^{z_1(t)} + \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\bar{\alpha}}e^{z_2(t)} - \bar{c}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T \right) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the system of algebraic equation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{K}x - \frac{\bar{q}y}{D} = 0, \\ \frac{\bar{A}\bar{q}}{\bar{\alpha}} - x + \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\bar{\alpha}}y - \bar{c} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

has a unique solution (x^*, y^*) , where $x^* = \bar{r}(1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}K + \bar{\alpha}\bar{c} - \bar{A}\bar{q}}{\bar{\alpha}K + D\bar{r}\bar{q}}) > 0$ and $y^* = \frac{D\bar{r}(\bar{\alpha}K + \bar{\alpha}\bar{c} - \bar{A}\bar{q})}{\bar{q}(\bar{\alpha}K + D\bar{r}\bar{q})} > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg\{QN(z_1, z_2)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0, 0)^T\} &= \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{\bar{r}}{K}x^* & -\frac{\bar{q}}{D}y^* \\ -1 & \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\bar{\alpha}}y^* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \text{sgn} \left[-\bar{q} \left(\frac{\bar{q}\bar{r}x^*y^*}{\bar{\alpha}K} - \frac{y^*}{D} \right) \right] \\ &= -1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, all the conditions in Theorem 2.1 have been verified. This implies that system (3.1) has at least one T -periodic solution. Consequently, system (1.3) has at least one positive T -periodic solution. The theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 3.1. *If $qA(K + D) < Dr$, $c\alpha(K + D) < AqK(1 - \frac{qA(K+D)}{Dr})$, and $Aq - \alpha K < \alpha c <$*

$Aq + Drq$ holds, then the system (1.2) has a positive T -periodic solution.

Example 3.1. By Corollary 3.1, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left(8 \left(1 - \frac{n}{1} \right) - \frac{E}{n+1/2} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{1.5 \times 1}{1.5} \frac{n}{n+1/2} - \frac{1^2}{1.5} \frac{n^2 E}{(n+1/2)^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right). \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

has a positive periodic solution.

Example 3.2. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left((21 + \cos t) \left(1 - \frac{n}{1.1} \right) - \frac{(1.15 + \frac{1}{10} \cos t)E}{n + \frac{1}{4}} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{(1.7 + \frac{1}{10} \sin t)(1.15 + \frac{1}{10} \cos t)}{(1.5 + \frac{1}{10} \cos t)} \frac{n}{n + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{(1.15 + \frac{1}{10} \cos t)^2}{(1.5 + \frac{1}{10} \cos t)} \frac{n^2 E}{(n + \frac{1}{4})^2} - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{10} \sin t \right) \right) \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

It is easy to obtain $\bar{q} = 1.15$, $\bar{r} = 21$, $\bar{A} = 1.7$, $\bar{\alpha} = 1.5$, $\bar{c} = 0.25$, $D = 0.25$, $K = 1.1$, $q^L = 1.05$, $q^M = 1.25$, $r^L = 20$, $A^L = 1.6$, $A^M = 1.8$, $\alpha^M = 1.6$, $c^M = 0.35$, $m_0 = 1.8(1.1 + 0.25) = 2.43$, $g_0 = 1.1 \left(1 - \frac{1.25 \times 2.43}{0.25 \times 20} \right) = 0.43175$. Consequently, we obtain

$$q^M m_0 = 3.0375 < Dr^L = 5,$$

$$c^M \alpha^M (K + D) = 0.7 < A^L q^L g_0 = 0.72534,$$

and

$$\bar{A}\bar{q} = 0.305 < \bar{\alpha}\bar{c} = 0.525 < \bar{A}\bar{q} + D\bar{r}\bar{q} = 7.9925.$$

It is clear that assumptions (A1), (A2), (A3) are satisfied. Hence, according to Theorem 3.1, system (3.15) has at least one positive T -periodic solution.

4 Conclusion

Using Mawhin's coincidence degree theory, we have established sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions of the model (1.3). By formulating the model as a system of differential equations and introducing appropriate transformations, we were able to apply the coincidence degree theory and obtain our main results. The conditions (A1), (A2), and (A3) played a crucial role in establishing the existence of periodic solutions.

Set $r(t) \equiv r$, $q(t) \equiv q$, $A(t) \equiv A$, $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$ and $c(t) \equiv c$ be constants; then (1.3) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dn}{dt} = n \left(r \left(1 - \frac{n}{K} \right) - \frac{qE}{n+D} \right) \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = E \left(\frac{Aq}{\alpha} \frac{n}{n+D} - \frac{q^2}{\alpha} \frac{n^2 E}{(n+D)^2} - c \right). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

In a recent paper, Moussaoui and Auger [17], studied the equilibrium points of (4.1). They proved that if

$$Aq < \alpha c, \quad (4.2)$$

then the system (4.1) has no positive equilibrium point provided that

$$D < K, \quad \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{K}{2} \quad (4.3)$$

holds. It is worth noting that our conditions (A1), (A2), and (A3) are different from the condition (4.2). By Theorem 3.1, the system (4.1) has positive T -periodic solution. We note that the condition (4.3) can be satisfied for large K . On the other hand, by Theorem 1 b) of [17], the system (4.1) has a unique positive equilibrium, which is a positive T -periodic solution of (4.1). Our Theorem 3.1 strengthens this observation.

While this research paper has successfully addressed the existence of positive periodic solutions for the bioeconomic fishery model, there are several avenues for further exploration. It would be interesting to study global attractivity and uniqueness of the solution for the system investigated in this paper. Another promising direction is to examine problem (1.3) by introducing a delay in the system, such as incorporating a time lag in fish stock biomass. Conducting further investigations in these areas have potential implications for understanding and managing fisheries dynamics.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions, leading to a better presentation of our results.

References

- [1] S. LY, P. AGURE, M. BALDE, A bioeconomic model of a multi-site fishery with nonlinear demand function: number of sites optimizing the total catch, *Acta Biotheor.* **62**(2014), 371–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10441-014-9222-z>
- [2] L. BEREZANSKY, E. BRAVERMAN, L. IDELS, Delay differential equations with Hill's type growth rate and linear harvesting, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **49**(2005) No. 4, 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2004.07.015>
- [3] T. BROCHIER, P. AUGER, D. THIAO, A. BAH, S. LY, T. NGUYEN-HUU, P. BERHMER, Can overexploited fisheries recover by self-organization? Reallocation of fishing effort as an emergent form of governance, *Mar. Policy* **95**(2018), 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.06.009>
- [4] M. CAI, S. YAN, Z. DU, Positive Periodic solutions of an eco-epidemic model with Crowley—Martin type functional response and disease in the prey, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **19**(2020), 56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-020-00392-3>
- [5] F. CHEN, Positive periodic solutions of neutral Lotka–Volterra system with feedback control, *Appl. Math. Computa.* **162**(2005), No. 3, 1279–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2004.03.009>
- [6] X. CHEN, Z. DU, Existence of positive periodic solutions for a neutral delay predator–prey model with Hassell–Varley type functional response and impulse, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **17**(2018), No. 1, 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-017-0223-6>
- [7] K. DEIMLING, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-00547-7>

- [8] O. DIOP, S. ABDU, Mathematical model of the dynamics of fish, waterbirds and tourists in the Djoudj National Park, Senegal, *Acta Biotheor.* **64**(2016), No. 4, 447–468. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10441-016-9290-3>
- [9] A. GRANAS, J. DUGUNDJI, Fixed point theory, Springer Verlag, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21593-8>
- [10] D. GUO, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [11] G. JHANG, D. ZHU, P. BI, Existence of periodic solutions of a scalar functional differential equation via a fixed point theorem, *Math. Comput. Model.* **46**(2007), No. 5–6, 718–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2006.12.026>
- [12] R. W. LEGGETT, L. R. WILLIAMS, Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28**(1979), No. 4, 673–688. <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1979.28.28046>
- [13] S. A. LEVIN, T. G. HALLAM, L. J. GROSS (EDS.), *Applied mathematical ecology*, Biomathematics, Vol. 18, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61317-3>
- [14] S. LU, W. GE, On the existence of positive periodic solutions for neutral functional differential equation with multiple deviating arguments, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **19**(2003), No. 4, 631–640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/210255-003-0137-8>
- [15] W. LU, Y. XIA, Periodic solution of a stage-structured predator-prey model with Crowley–Martin type functional response, *AIMS Math.* **7**(2022), No. 5, 8162–8175. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2022454>
- [16] J. MAWHIN, Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations, in: M. Furi, P. Zecca (Eds.), *Topological methods for ordinary differential equations (Montecatini Terme, 1991)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1537, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 72–142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0085076>
- [17] A. MOUSSAOUI, P. AUGER, A bioeconomic model of a fishery with saturated catch and variable price: Stabilizing effect of marine reserves on fishery dynamics, *Ecol. Complex.* **45**(2021), 100906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2020.100906>
- [18] J. D. MURRAY, *Mathematical biology. I. An introduction*, Springer New York, NY 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b98868>
- [19] S. PADHI, J. R. GRAEF, P. D. N. SRINIVASU, *Periodic solutions of first-order functional differential equations in population dynamics*, Springer, New Delhi, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1895-1>
- [20] S. PADHI, S. SRIVASTAVA, J. DIX, Existence of three nonnegative periodic solutions for functional differential equations and applications to hematopoiesis, *Panam. Math. J.* **19**(2009), No. 1, 27–36.
- [21] S. PADHI, S. SRIVASTAVA, S. PATI, Positive periodic solutions for first order functional differential equations, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **14**(2010), No. 4, 447–462.

- [22] S. PATI, J. R. GRAEF, S. PADHI, Positive periodic solutions to a system of nonlinear differential equations with applications to Lotka–Volterra-type ecological models with discrete and distributed delays, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **21**(2019), No. 80, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-019-0715-x>
- [23] A. WAN, D. JIANG, Existence of positive periodic solutions for functional differential equations, *Kyushu J. Math.* **56**(2002), No. 1, 193–202.
- [24] A. WAN, D. JIANG, X. XU, A new existence theory for positive periodic solutions to functional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **47**(2004), No. 8–9, 1257–1262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221\(04\)90120-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221(04)90120-4)
- [25] Y. WU, Existence of positive periodic solutions for a functional differential equation with a parameter, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**(2008), No. 7, 1954–1962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2007.01.022>
- [26] D. WANG, Positive periodic solutions for a nonautonomous neutral delay prey-predator model with impulse and Hassell–Varley type functional response, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142**(2014), No. 2, 623–638. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2013-11793-4>
- [27] Y. XIA, J. CAO, S. CHENG, Multiple periodic solutions of a delayed stage-structured predator–prey model with non-monotone functional responses, *Appl. Math. Model.* **31**(2007), No. 9, 1947–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2006.08.012>
- [28] D. YE, M. FAN, H. WANG, Periodic solutions for scalar functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **62**(2005), No. 7, 1157–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.03.084>
- [29] S. YU, L. JIANG, X. LIN, Multiple positive periodic solutions of a Gause-type predator-prey model with Allee effect and functional responses, *AIMS Math.* **5**(2020), No. 6, 6135–6148. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020394>