

On Turán problems with bounded matching number

Dániel Gerbner

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
gerbner.daniel@renyi.hu

Abstract

Very recently, Alon and Frankl initiated the study of the maximum number of edges in n -vertex F -free graphs with matching number at most s . For fixed F and s , we determine this number apart from a constant additive term. We also obtain several exact results.

1 Introduction

A basic problem in extremal graph theory is the following. Given a positive integer n and a graph F , how many edges can an n -vertex graph have if it does not contain F as a subgraph? More generally, given n and a family \mathcal{F} of graphs, how many edges can an n -vertex graph have if it does not contain any member of \mathcal{F} as a subgraph? We denote the largest number of edges by $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. In the case \mathcal{F} contains only one graph, we write $\text{ex}(n, F)$ instead of $\text{ex}(n, \{F\})$.

One of the earliest results concerning these numbers is due to Turán [8], who showed that $\text{ex}(n, K_{k+1}) = |E(T(n, k))|$, where the Turán graph $T(n, k)$ is the complete k -partite n -vertex graph with each part of order $\lfloor n/k \rfloor$ or $\lceil n/k \rceil$. Another fundamental result is due to Erdős and Gallai [5], who showed that $\text{ex}(n, M_{s+1}) = \max\{|E(G(n, s))|, \binom{2s+1}{2}\}$, where the matching M_{s+1} consists of $s+1$ independent edges and $G(n, s)$ has s vertices of degree $n-1$ and $n-s$ vertices of degree s . Chvátal and Hanson [3] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_{1,k+1}, M_{s+1})$ (the case $s=k$ was solved earlier in [1]).

Very recently, Alon and Frankl [2] combined the above results and considered forbidding a graph F and M_{s+1} at the same time. Let $G(n, k, s)$ denote the complete k -partite n -vertex graph with one part of order $n-s$ and each other part of order $\lfloor s/k \rfloor$ or $\lceil s/k \rceil$. Alon and Frankl [2] showed that $\text{ex}(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \max\{|E(G(n, k, s))|, |E(T(2s+1, k))|\}$, in particular for n sufficiently large we have $\text{ex}(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = |E(G(n, k, s))|$. Moreover, for any F with chromatic number $k+1$ and a color-critical edge (an edge whose deletion decreases the chromatic number), they showed that $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = |E(G(n, k, s))|$, provided $s > s_0(F)$ and $n > n_0(F)$.

First we prove a generalization of this second result.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\chi(F) > 2$ and n is large enough, then $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = \text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) + s(n - s)$, where \mathcal{F} is the family of graphs obtained by deleting a color class from F .*

We remark that isolated vertices of members of \mathcal{F} are important here. For example, if F is an odd cycle $C_{2\ell+1}$ (or more generally, if F is 3-chromatic with a color-critical edge), then \mathcal{F} contains the graph consisting of an edge and $2\ell - 1$ isolated vertices. If $s \geq 2\ell + 1$, then $\text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, while if $s \leq 2\ell + 1$, then $\text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) = \binom{s}{2}$.

Observe that if F has a color-critical edge, then \mathcal{F} contains a graph F' with chromatic number $k := \chi(F - 1)k$ and a color-critical edge. By a result of Simonovits [7], we have that $\text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) = |E(T(s, k - 1))|$ if s is large enough. Therefore, the above theorem indeed generalizes the second result of Alon and Frankl [2]. We also have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *If $\chi(F) > 2$, then $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = s(n - s) + O(1)$.*

In the case F is bipartite, we can also determine $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ apart an additive constant term. Let F be a bipartite graph and let $p = p(F)$ denote the smallest possible order of a color class in a proper two-coloring of F . If $p > s$, then $G(n, s)$ and K_{2s+1} are both F -free, thus the Erdős-Gallai theorem [5] gives the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$.

Proposition 1.3. *If F is bipartite and $p = p(F) \leq s$, then $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = (p - 1)n + O(1)$. Moreover, there is a $K = K(F, s)$ such that for any n , there is an n -vertex $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph with $|E(G)| = \text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ that has vertices v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} and at least $n - K$ vertices u such that the neighborhood of u is $\{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$. Furthermore, the vertices with neighborhood different from $\{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$ each have degree at last p .*

The lower bound is given by $K_{p-1, n-p+1}$. It is clearly not the extremal graph though. Now we describe two candidates.

Construction 1. Let \mathcal{F}_0 denote the family of graphs obtained by deleting $p - 1$ vertices from F and let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{M_{s-p+2}\}$. Then we can add an \mathcal{F}_1 -free graph to the larger class of $K_{p-1, n-p+1}$ and all edges to the smaller class. The resulting graph is clearly $\{F, M_{s+1}$ -free and has $(p - 1)(n - p + 1) + \binom{p-1}{2} + \text{ex}(n - p + 1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ edges. Note that \mathcal{F}_1 contains $K_{1, |V(F)|-p}$, thus $\text{ex}(n - p + 1, \mathcal{F}_1) = O(1)$.

Construction 2. Assume that F is connected. We take $K_{p-1, n+p-2s}$, and on the remaining $2s - 2p + 1$ vertices, we take an F -free graph with $\text{ex}(2s - 1, F)$ edges. Clearly, none of the components of this graph contains F , and the largest matchings have size at most $p - 1 + s - p$.

We remark that the second construction can easily be improved for some specific F . For example, if F is a path P_4 on 4 vertices, we can take $K_{p-1, n-3s+2p-1}$ and $s - p$ triangles. We claim that if F contains a cycle and s is large enough, then the second construction contains more edges. Indeed, compared to the first construction, we lose $O(s)$ edges and gain $\omega(s)$ edges.

Assume now that F is a forest and observe that \mathcal{F}_1 contains a matching of order at most $|V(F)| - p + 1$. Indeed, if F has v non-isolated vertices, then there are at most $v - 1$ edges between the two parts, thus at most $p - 1$ vertices of the part of order $|V(F)| - p$ have

degree more than 1. If we delete those vertices, we obtain a matching. This implies that $\text{ex}(n - p + 1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ does not depend on s .

Now assume that F is a tree with parts of different order, i.e., $|V(F)| > 2p$. Assume furthermore that s and n are sufficiently large, and for simplicity assume that $2s - 1$ is divisible by $|V(F)| - 1$. In this case $s/(|V(F)| - 1)$ copies of $K_{|V(F)| - 1}$ forms an F -free graph, thus $\text{ex}(2s - 1, F) \geq (|V(F)| - 2)(2s - 1)/2$. Now, compared to Construction 1, the second construction loses $(2s - 1)(p - 1) + c$ edges, where c does not depend on s . On the other hand, Construction 2 gains at least $(2s - 1)(|V(F)| - 2)/2 > (2s - 1)(p - 1/2)$, thus Construction 2 is better. Note that essentially the same argument also works if $2s - 1$ is not divisible by $|V(F)| - 1$.

We believe that for other trees Construction 1 is better than Construction 2 for every s , moreover, Construction 1 is extremal. The Erdős-Sós conjecture [4] states that for any tree F , we have $\text{ex}(n, F) \leq (|V(F)| - 2)n/2$. It is known for several classes of trees. In particular, it was shown for paths by Erdős and Gallai [5].

Proposition 1.4. *Let F be a balanced tree, i.e., $|V(F)| = 2p(F)$ and let $p(F) \leq s$. Assume that the Erdős-Sós conjecture holds for F . Then for sufficiently large n , we have $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = (p - 1)(n - p + 1) + \binom{p-1}{2}$.*

The above proposition determines $\text{ex}(n, \{P_{2\ell}, M_{s+1}\})$ for sufficiently large n . We can also deal with odd paths.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $2 \leq \ell \leq s$. If ℓ divides $s - \ell + 1$, then for sufficiently large n we have that $\text{ex}(n, \{P_{2\ell+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = (\ell - 1)(n - 2s + \ell - 1) + \binom{p-1}{2} + (s - \ell + 1)(2\ell - 1)$. If ℓ does not divide $s - \ell + 1$, then let $t := \lfloor (s - \ell + 1)/\ell \rfloor$. For sufficiently large n , we have that $\text{ex}(n, \{P_{2\ell+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = (\ell - 1)(n - \ell + 1 - 2\ell t) + 1 + \binom{p-1}{2} + t\binom{2\ell}{2}$.*

2 Proofs

Let us start with the proof of Theorem 1.1 that we restate here for convenience.

Theorem. *If $\chi(F) > 2$ and n is large enough, then $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = \text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) + s(n - s)$, where \mathcal{F} is the family of graphs obtained by deleting a color class from F .*

Proof. Let G_0 be an s -vertex \mathcal{F} -free graph with $\text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F})$ edges. Let us add $n - s$ new vertices and connect each of them to each vertex of G_0 . The resulting graph is clearly M_{s+1} -free, since s vertices are incident to all the edges, and F -free by the definition of \mathcal{F} . This gives the lower bound.

To show the upper bound, consider an $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free n -vertex graph G . Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the vertices of G in decreasing order of their degrees. Observe that $d(v_{s+1}) \leq 2s$. Indeed, otherwise we can pick greedily a matching M_{s+1} the following way. In step i , we pick v_i and a neighbor of v_i we have not picked earlier. This way we have at most $2i - 2$ forbidden neighbors, thus we can pick a new one even at step $s + 1$, a contradiction.

Observe also that G has at most $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} d(v_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^s d(v_i) + 2s^2$ edges. Indeed, the at most $2s$ vertices of a largest matching are incident to every edge, and $2s$ vertices are incident to at most $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} d(v_i)$ edges. The upper bound on this quantity follows from $d(v_{s+1}, \dots, v_{2s}) \leq 2s$.

We claim that $d(v_s) \geq n - 3s^2$. Indeed, otherwise $\sum_{i=1}^s d(v_i) + 2s^2 \leq (s-1)(n-1) + n - s^2 \leq s(n-s)$ and we are done. This implies that v_1, \dots, v_s have at least $n - s - 3s^3$ common neighbors. Let $U = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$. Observe that $G[U]$ is \mathcal{F} -free, otherwise we would find an F by picking at most $|V(F)|$ of their common neighbors as the missing color class.

We claim that there is no edge outside U . Indeed, otherwise we could find M_{s+1} greedily as earlier: first we pick the edge outside U , and then in step $i+1$, we pick v_i and a neighbor of v_i we have not picked earlier. This is doable since v_i has at least $n - 3s^2 \geq 2i$ neighbors. The number of edges is at most $\text{ex}(s, \mathcal{F}) + s(n-s)$, where the first term is an upper bound on the number of edges inside U , while the second term is an upper bound on the number of edges with one endpoint inside U and the other endpoint outside U . This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Let us continue with the proof of Proposition 1.3 that we restate here for convenience.

Proposition. *If F is bipartite and $p = p(F) \leq s$, then $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = (p-1)n + O(1)$. Moreover, there is a $K = K(F, s)$ such that for any n , there is an n -vertex $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph with $|E(G)| = \text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ that has vertices v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} and at least $n - K$ vertices u such that the neighborhood of u is $\{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$. Furthermore, the vertices with neighborhood different from $\{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$ each have degree at last p .*

Proof. The lower bound is given by $K_{p-1, n-p+1}$, or by Construction 1 or Construction 2.

Let G be an n -vertex $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph. Let U denote the set of at most $2s$ vertices of a largest matching, then every edge of G is incident to at least one vertex of U . Every p -set in U has less than $q := |V(F)| - p$ common neighbors. As there are at most $\binom{2s}{p}$ p -sets in U , there are at most $\binom{2s}{p}$ vertices outside U that are adjacent to at least p sets.

Let W denote the set of the other at least $n - \binom{2s}{p}(|V(F)| - p) - 2s$ vertices outside U . Then vertices of W have degree at most $p-1$. Note that by choosing K sufficiently large, we can assume that n is sufficiently large. In particular, if at most $\binom{2s}{p-1} \max\{|V(F)|, 2s\}$ vertices in W with degree $p-1$, then the number of edges is at most $(p-2)n + O(1)$ and we are done. Otherwise, at least $\max\{|V(F)|, 2s\}$ vertices of W have the same $p-1$ neighbors v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} .

For any other vertex of W , we change its neighborhood to v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} to obtain G' . If G' contained F or M_{s+1} , that would contain some of the vertices whose neighborhood was changed. But the could be replaced by vertices with the same neighborhood already in G , to obtain F or M_{s+1} in G . Therefore, G' is $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. Clearly $|E(G')| \geq |E(G)|$, hence if G has $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ edges, then so does G' . It is easy to see that G' has $(p-1)n + O(1)$ edges and the desired additional property. \blacksquare

Let us continue with the proof of Proposition 1.4 that we restate here for convenience.

Proposition. *Let F be a balanced tree, i.e., $|V(F)| = 2p(F)$ and let $p(F) \leq s$. Assume that the Erdős-Sós conjecture holds for F . Then for sufficiently large n , we have $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) = (p-1)(n-p+1) + \binom{p-1}{2}$.*

Proof. The lower bound is given by Construction 1, which is $G(n, p-1)$ in this case. Indeed, if we delete $p-1$ vertices in one of the parts of F and leave only a leaf, then the resulting graph is a single edge and some isolated vertices. As \mathcal{F}_1 contains this graph, $\text{ex}(n-p+1, \mathcal{F}_1) = 0$.

For the upper bound, let G be a graph ensured by Proposition 1.3. Thus, G has n vertices, $\text{ex}(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ edges, G is $\{F, M_{s+1}\}$ -free, and G contains a set $U = \{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$ such that all but K vertices have neighborhood U . Let W denote the set of vertices with neighborhood U and $U' := V(G) \setminus (U \cup W)$.

Observe that there is no edge inside W since $\text{ex}(n-p+1, \mathcal{F}_1) = 0$.

Claim 2.1. *There is no edge between U and U' .*

Proof. First we show that if $F \neq K_2$, then F has a vertex x that is adjacent to at least one, but at most $p-1$ leaves and exactly one neighbor of degree greater than 1. Indeed, let F' be the graph we obtain by deleting the leaves of F , then F' has at least two leaves. Those vertices in F have one neighbor of degree greater than 1 and at least 1 leaf neighbor. As there are at most $2p-2$ leaves in F , at least one of these two vertices have at most $p-1$ leaf neighbors.

Assume that $v_i u$ is an edge between U and U' and let u' be a neighbor of u outside U (this exists otherwise $u \in W$). Now we map x to u its non-leaf neighbor to v_i , and we map the leaf neighbors of x to u' and $p-2$ other neighbors of u . We map the remaining vertices of the part of F containing these leaves to arbitrary vertices in U , and the remaining vertices of the other part of F to arbitrary vertices in W . This way we find a copy of F in G , a contradiction. ■

Let us return to the proof of the proposition. Since the Erdős-Sós conjecture holds for F , we have $\text{ex}(|U'|, F) \leq (p-1)|U'|$, thus there are at most $(p-1)|U'|$ edges inside U' . Then $|E(G)| \leq \binom{p-1}{2} + (p-1)(n-p+1 - |U'|) + \text{ex}(|U'|, F) \leq \binom{p-1}{2} + (p-1)(n-p+1)$, completing the proof. ■

We finish the paper with the proof of Proposition 1.5 that we restate here for convenience.

Proposition. *Let $2 \leq \ell \leq s$. If ℓ divides $s - \ell + 1$, then for sufficiently large n we have that $\text{ex}(n, \{P_{2\ell+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = (\ell-1)(n-2s+\ell-1) + \binom{p-1}{2} + (s-\ell+1)(2\ell-1)$. If ℓ does not divide $s - \ell + 1$, then let $t := \lfloor (s - \ell + 1)/\ell \rfloor$. For sufficiently large n , we have that $\text{ex}(n, \{P_{2\ell+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = (\ell-1)(n-\ell+1-2\ell t) + 1 + \binom{p-1}{2} + t\binom{2\ell}{2}$.*

Proof. The lower bounds are given by the following graphs. If ℓ divides $s - \ell + 1$, then we take $G(n-2s+2\ell-2, \ell-1)$, and on the remaining $2s-2\ell+2$ vertices, we take $(s-\ell+1)/\ell$ copies of $K_{2\ell}$. Each component is $P_{2\ell+1}$ -free, and the largest matching is of size $\ell-1$ in the large component, and of size $s-\ell+1$ in the clique components.

If ℓ does not divide $s-\ell+1$, then we similarly take copies of $K_{2\ell}$ on at most $s-\ell+1$ vertices, i.e., we take t copies. On the remaining $n-2\ell t$ vertices, we take $G(n-2\ell t, p-1)$ and add

another edge. Again each component is $P_{2\ell+1}$ -free, but this time the largest matching is of size ℓ in the large component. However, the remaining components have order $t2\ell < 2s - 2\ell + 2$, thus the largest matching in those components have size at most $s - \ell$.

Let us continue with the upper bounds. We apply Proposition 1.3 to obtain an extremal n -vertex graph G with vertices $U = \{v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$, such that the set W of vertices with neighborhood U contains all but at most K vertices. Moreover, the vertices of $U' = V(G) \setminus (U \cup W)$ have degree at least ℓ . We will use multiple times the following simple observation: changing the neighborhood of a vertex u to U does not create F or M_{s+1} . Indeed, we could replace the vertex u in any forbidden configuration to any other common neighbor of the vertices of U to create another copy without containing any of the new edges,

It is easy to see that there is at most one edge inside W . We claim that there is an extremal graph G' satisfying the above properties without any edges between U and U' .

Consider a component C of U' . If C does not contain $P_{2\ell}$, then it contains at most $\text{ex}(|V(C)|, P_{2\ell}) = |V(C)|(\ell - 1)$ edges. Then we can change the neighborhood of vertices in C to U . The resulting graph is also $\{P_{2\ell+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free and the number of edges does not decrease. We apply these to all the $P_{2\ell}$ -free components. In the resulting graph G' , every vertex of U' is in a component containing a $P_{2\ell}$, in particular is the endvertex of a $P_{\ell+1}$ inside U' . As every vertex of U is the endvertex of a $P_{2\ell-1}$ outside U' , an edge between U and U' would give a $P_{2\ell+1}$ in G' , a contradiction.

Consider now a component C of G' in U' with $v > 2\ell$ vertices. A theorem of Kopylov [6] gives an upper bound on the number of edges inside P_k -free connected graphs. It shows that $|E(G'[C])| \leq \max\{\binom{2\ell-1}{2} + v - 2\ell + 1, |E(G(v, \ell - 1))| + 1\} \leq v(\ell - 1)$. Therefore, again, we can change the neighborhood of vertices in C to U without decreasing the number of edges.

Consider now a component C of G' in U' with less than 2ℓ vertices. Then C has at most $|V(C)|(\ell - 1)$ edges, thus again, we can change the neighborhood of vertices in C to U without decreasing the number of edges.

Consider now a component C of G' in U' with 2ℓ vertices that is M_ℓ -free. By the Erdős-Gallai theorem, we know that C contains at most $\binom{2\ell}{2} - \ell + 1 \leq 2\ell(\ell - 1)$ edges, thus again, we can change the neighborhood of vertices in C to U without decreasing the number of edges.

We obtained that each component in U' has 2ℓ vertices and contains a matching M_ℓ , thus adding the missing edges inside that component would not increase the largest matching in G' , nor it would create $P_{2\ell+1}$. Therefore, U' consists of copies of $K_{2\ell}$. Clearly there are at most t copies. Clearly, 2ℓ vertices in a $K_{2\ell}$ add $\ell(2\ell - 1)$ edges, while 2ℓ vertices in W add $2\ell(\ell - 1)$ edges. Therefore, it is worth to pick the maximum number of 2ℓ -cliques.

If there is no edge inside W or ℓ does not divide $s - \ell + 1$, then we are done. In the remaining case, we can only add $t - 1$ copies of $K_{2\ell}$. Compared to this graph, we can delete 2ℓ vertices from W including the endvertices of the extra edge from G' and add one more copy of $K_{2\ell}$. This way we removed $2\ell(\ell - 1) + 1$ edges and added $\ell(2\ell - 1)$ edges without creating F or M_{s+1} . The number of edges increases, a contradiction completing the proof. ■

Funding: Research supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation

Office - NKFIH under the grants KH 130371, SNN 129364, FK 132060, and KKP-133819.

References

- [1] H. L. Abbott, F. Hanson, N. Sauer. Intersection theorems for systems of sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, **12**(3), 381–389, 1972.
- [2] N. Alon, P. Frankl, Turán graphs with bounded matching number, *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2210.15076
- [3] V. Chvátal, D. Hanson, Degrees and matchings. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 20(2), 128–138, 1976.
- [4] P. Erdős, Extremal problems in graph theory. In *Theory of graphs and its applications, Proc. Sympos. Smolenice*, 29–36, 1964.
- [5] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **10**, 337–356, 1959.
- [6] G. N. Kopylov, Maximal paths and cycles in a graph, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **234**, no. 1, 19–21, 1977. (English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **18**, no. 3, 593–596, 1977.)
- [7] M. Simonovits. A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems. *Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966*, Academic Press, New York, 279–319, 1968.
- [8] P. Turán. Egy gráfelméleti szélsőértékfeladatról. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, **48**, 436–452, 1941.