# On 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a cycle of length four

Beka Ergemlidze<sup>a</sup> Ervin Győri<sup>b</sup> *<sup>b</sup>* Abhishek Methuku*<sup>c</sup>* Nika Salia*<sup>d</sup>* Casey Tompkins*<sup>b</sup>*

Submitted: Aug 10, 2022; Accepted: Apr 12, 2023; Published: Oct 6, 2023 ©c The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

#### Abstract

We show that the maximum number of edges in a 3-uniform *n*-vertex hypergraph without a Berge cycle of length four is at most  $(1 + o(1))\frac{n^{3/2}}{\sqrt{10}}$ . This improves earlier estimates by Győri and Lemons, and by Füredi and Özkahya. Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C65, 05C38

## 1 Introduction

Given a hypergraph  $H$ , let  $V(H)$  and  $E(H)$  denote the set of vertices and edges of  $H$ . A hypergraph is called *r*-uniform if all of its edges have size *r*. Berge [\[1](#page-5-0)] introduced the following definitions of a path and a cycle in a hypergraph.

**Definition 1.** A *Berge cycle* of length  $\ell \geq 2$  in a hypergraph is a set of  $\ell$  distinct vertices  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_\ell\}$  and  $\ell$  distinct edges  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_\ell\}$  such that  $\{v_i,v_{i+1}\}\subseteq e_i$  with indices taken modulo  $\ell$ . A *Berge path* of length  $\ell$  is a set of  $\ell + 1$  distinct vertices  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell+1}\}\$  and  $\ell$ distinct edges  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\}$  such that for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  we have  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq e_i$ .

Let  $ex_r(n, BC_\ell)$  denote the maximum number of edges in a *r*-uniform *n*-vertex hypergraph without a Berge cycle of length  $\ell$ . In the case  $r = 2$  we write simply  $ex(n, C_{\ell})$ .

A well-known result of Bondy and Simonovits [[3\]](#page-5-1) asserts that for all  $\ell \geq 2$  we have  $ex(n, C_{2\ell}) = O(n^{1+1/\ell})$ , however, the order of magnitude is only known to be sharp in the cases  $\ell = 2, 3, 5$  $\ell = 2, 3, 5$ . Erdős, Rényi and Sós [5] proved the asymptotic result  $ex(n, C_4)$ 

*<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, USA (beka.ergemlidze@gmail.com).

*b*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary (gyori.ervin@renyi.hu, CTompkins496@gmail.com).

*<sup>c</sup>*ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland (abhishekmethuku@gmail.com).

*<sup>d</sup>*Department of Mathematics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, 31261, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia (salianika@gmail.com).

 $\frac{n^{3/2}}{2} + o(n^{3/2})$ , see also [[4,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-6-0)]. Győri and Lemons [[11\]](#page-6-1) extended this result (and the Bondy-Simonovits theorem) and showed in particular that  $ex_r(n, BC_4) = O(n^{3/2})$  for all  $r \ge 3$ . It follows from the results of Füredi and Özkahya [[9](#page-6-2)] that  $ex_3(n, BC_4) \leq (1 + o(1))\frac{2}{3}n^{3/2}$ (see Theorem 2 in [[9](#page-6-2)]). In this note, we significantly improve this bound as follows.

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>Theorem 2.

$$
\operatorname{ex}_3(n, BC_4) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n^{3/2}}{\sqrt{10}}.
$$

Note that, the best known lower bound  $ex_3(n, BC_4) \geq (1 - o(1))\frac{n^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{3}}$  comes from a construction of Bollobás and Győri [[2](#page-5-4)] with a more general version stated in [[10](#page-6-3)]. We take a *C*<sub>4</sub>-free bipartite graph with color classes of size  $n/3$  and  $\frac{(2n/3)^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}} = \frac{n^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{3}}$  edges asymptotically. Fix one of the classes and for each vertex *v* in that class, we take an additional vertex *v*′ and add it to every edge in the graph incident to *v*. This results in a 3-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices with  $\frac{n^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{3}}$  edges asymptotically, and it is easy to verify this hypergraph contains no Berge *C*4.

Related results. Let us briefly mention some important related results where one or more short Berge cycles are forbidden. Recall that a hypergraph without a Berge cycle of length two is linear (i.e., any two hyperedges intersect in at most one vertex). The famous  $(6,3)$ -problem is equivalent to determining  $ex_3(n, {BC_2, BC_3})$ . This was considered by Ruzsa and Szemerédi in their classical paper [\[13\]](#page-6-4), where they showed that  $n^{2-\frac{c}{\sqrt{\log n}}}$  $\exp\left\{B_2(BC_2, BC_3\right\} = o(n^2)$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Lazebnik and Verstraëte [[12](#page-6-5)] studied hypergraphs containing no Berge cycle of length less than five (i.e., girth five) and showed that  $ex_3(n, {BC_2, BC_3, BC_4}) = \frac{1}{6}n^{3/2} + o(n^{3/2})$ . Ergemlidze, Győri and Methuku [\[6](#page-6-6)] strengthened their result by showing that the same bound holds even if one does not forbid the Berge triangle i.e., they showed  $ex_3(n, {BC_2, BC_3, BC_4}) \sim ex_3(n, {BC_2, BC_4}).$ Bollobás and Győri [[2\]](#page-5-4) studied hypergraphs containing no Berge five cycle and showed that  $(1+o(1))\frac{n^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{3}} \leqslant \text{ex}_3(n, BC_5) \leqslant \sqrt{2}n^{3/2}+4.5n$ . Ergemlidze, Győri and Methuku [[7](#page-6-7)] improved this result by showing that  $ex_3(n, BC_5) < (1+o(1))0.254n^{3/2}$ . Moreover, in [[6](#page-6-6)], the same authors also studied the analogous question for linear hypergraphs and determined the bound asymptotically by showing that  $\exp(n, {BC_2, BC_5}) = n^{3/2}/3\sqrt{3} + o(n^{3/2}).$ 

## 2 Proof of the upper bound in Theorem [2](#page-1-0)

Now we prove Theorem [2.](#page-1-0) Let  $H$  be a 3-uniform hypergraph with no Berge  $C_4$  and no isolated vertices. A block  $\beta$  of a hypergraph  $\mathcal H$  is defined to be a maximal subhypergraph of *H* with the property that for any two edges  $e, f \in E(B)$ , there is a sequence of edges of  $\mathcal{H}, e = e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t = f$ , such that  $|e_i \cap e_{i+1}| = 2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$  and  $V(\mathcal{B}) =$ ∪*h*∈*E*( $\mathcal{B}$ )*h*. It is easy to see that the blocks of *H* define a unique partition of *E*(*H*).

For a block *B* and an edge  $h \in E(B)$ , we say *h* is a *leaf* if there exists  $x \in h$  such that the only edge of  $\beta$  incident to *x* is *h*. Let  $\beta'$  be the set of non-leaf edges of  $\beta$ . By the definition, if  $\mathcal{B}'$  contains at least two edges it contains two edges sharing two vertices of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let two such edges be  $\{v, u, w\}$  and  $\{v, u, w'\}$ . If there is an edge  $\{w, w', v\}$  or  $\{w, w', u\}$ ,

note that at most one such edge may exist, then these three edges induce  $K_4^{(3)-}$ , the 3-uniform hypergraph on 4-vertices and 3 edges, and  $\mathcal{B} = K_4^{(3)-}$  since  $\mathcal{H}$  is Berge  $C_4$ -free hypergraph. If neither  $\{w, w', v\}$  nor  $\{w, w', u\}$  is an edge, then since  $\{v, u, w\}$  is not a leaf edge there is an edge in  $\beta$  incident with vertices  $v$  and  $w$  or vertices  $u$  and  $w$ , without loss of generality we assume there is an edge  $\{v, w, v'\}$ , for some vertex *v*' distinct from *v*, *u*, *w*, *w*<sup>'</sup>. Similarly, we have an edge  $\{v, w', v''\}$  or  $\{u, w', v''\}$  for some vertex *v*<sup>*''*</sup> distinct from  $v, u, w, w'$ . This is a contradiction since  $w, u, w', v, w$  induces a Berge  $C_4$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  in this order. Therefore we have that the set of non-leaf edges of a block  $\mathcal{B}$  is either empty, a single edge, or  $K_4^{(3)-}$ . Even more, if the set of non-leaf edges of *B* is  $E(K_4^{(3)-})$ , then *B* does not contain a leaf edge. Thus, the following classification of the blocks into *type 1* and *type* 2 blocks is indeed partitioning of the set of all blocks  $B(\mathcal{H}) := \{ \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B} \text{ is a block } \}$ in *H}*.

- We say  $\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is *type 1* if there exists an edge  $e \in E(\mathcal{B})$  such that for all distinct *f*<sub>1</sub>*, f*<sub>2</sub> ∈ *E*( $\mathcal{B}$ ), *f*<sub>1</sub>*, f*<sub>2</sub> ≠ *e*, we have  $|e \cap f_i| = 2$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $f_1 \cap f_2 \subseteq e$ . (Note that if a block consists of a single edge it is a *type 1* block since it trivially satisfies the condition.)
- We say  $\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is *type* 2 if  $\mathcal{B} = K_4^{(3)-}$ .

Define the 2-shadow of  $H$  to be the graph on the same set of vertices as  $H$  whose edges are all pairs of vertices  $\{x, y\}$  for which there exists an edge  $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$  such that *{x, y}* ⊂ *e*. We denote the 2-shadow of *H* by ∂*H*. The proof of Theorem [2](#page-1-0) will proceed by estimating the number of 3-paths (3-vertex paths) in the 2-shadow of  $H$  in two different ways. To this end, we introduce several notions of the degree of a vertex. Given a vertex *v* in a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $d(v)$  denotes the classical hypergraph degree of *v*, in particular  $d(v) = |\{h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : v \in h\}|$ . Let  $d_s(v)$  be the (graph) degree of *v* in the 2-shadow of the hypergraph, in particular  $d_s(v) = |\{e \in E(\partial \mathcal{H}) : v \in e\}|$ . The *excess degree* of the vertex *v* to be  $d_{ex}(v) = d_s(v) - d(v)$ . Finally, we define the *block degree*  $d_b(v)$  to be the total number of blocks containing an edge that contains *v*.

Notice that for every 4-cycle  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1$  of  $\partial \mathcal{H}$ , there exists three distinct integers  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq 4$  such that  $\{x_i, x_j, x_k\} \in E(\mathcal{H})$ , otherwise H contains a copy of Berge *C*4. We call this edge a *representative edge* of this 4-cycle. Note that each 4-cycle of ∂*H* has either 1, 2 or 3 representative edges since *H* is Berge *C*4-free hypergraph. Two edges of *H* sharing two vertices yield a  $C_4$  in  $\partial H$ . However, these are not the only types of  $C_4$ 's in  $\partial \mathcal{H}$ . We call a 4-cycle  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1$  in  $\partial \mathcal{H}$  *rare* if the sub-hypergraph of  $\mathcal{H}$ induced by the vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  does not contain two disjoint edges *e* and *f* with both containing  $\{x_1, x_3\}$  or  $\{x_2, x_4\}$ . In the following claim, we show that the number of such cycles is small.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Claim 3.** For every  $a, b \in V(H)$ , there are at most two 3-paths not contained in a single *edge of H with endpoints a and b.*

*Proof.* Suppose, by contradiction, that there are three distinct vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  different from *a* and *b* such that *a,*  $v_i$ *, b* forms a 3-path of  $\partial H$  for all integers  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . It follows that there are three Berge paths  $a, e_i, v_i, f_i, b$ , for integers  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  in  $H$ . Note that those edges are not necessarily distinct. But we have  $e_i \neq f_i$  for  $i \neq j$ , since  $\{a, v_i\} \subset e_i$  and  $\{b, v_j\} \subset f_j$  since H is 3-uniform. Note that if  $e_2 = e_3$ , then  $e_2 = \{a, v_2, v_3\}$ , hence  $e_1 \neq e_2$ . Similarly we have either  $f_1 \neq f_2$  or  $f_1 \neq f_3$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $e_1 \neq e_2, e_3$ . It follows that either  $a, e_1, v_1, f_1, b, f_2, v_2, e_2, a$  or  $a, e_1, v_1, f_1, b, f_3, v_3, e_3, a$ <br>is a Berge  $C_4$ , a contradiction. is a Berge *C*4, a contradiction.

We now define a particular type of 3-path in ∂*H*. A 3-path, *x*1*, x*2*, x*3, is called *good* if  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \notin E(\mathcal{H})$  and there is no  $x \in V(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x$  is a rare cycle of ∂*H*. From Claim [3](#page-2-0) it follows that for every *a, b* ∈ *V* (*H*) there are at most two good 3-paths with endpoints *a* and *b*.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Claim 4.** *There are at most*  $6 |E(\mathcal{H})|$  *rare* 4*-cycles in*  $\partial \mathcal{H}$ *.*

*Proof.* We fix an edge  $\{a, b, c\} \in E(H)$ . It suffices to show that the edge  $\{a, b, c\}$  is representative of at most 6 rare 4-cycles (that is,  $\{a, b, c\}$  is contained in the vertex set of at most 6 rare 4-cycles). Suppose by contradiction that this is not true. Observe that there are three possible positions for a fixed vertex *v* among the vertices of a rare 4-cycle in ∂*H* containing  $\{a, b, c\}$ . By the pigeonhole principle, there are 3 distinct vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ different from *a*, *b*, or *c* with the same position in the 4-cycle. Without loss of generality, we may assume they form a 4-cycle in the order  $v_i$ ,  $a$ ,  $c$ ,  $b$ ,  $v_i$ . Therefore from the definition of a rare 4-cycle, there are at least three 3-paths not contained in a single edge of  $H$  from a to b, a contradiction to Claim 3. *a* to *b*, a contradiction to Claim [3](#page-2-0).

Using Claim [4](#page-3-0), it is easy to see that the number of 3-paths in ∂*H* which are not good is at most  $3 |E(H)| + 3 \cdot 6 |E(H)| = 21 |E(H)|$ . Here we use the fact that each rare 4-cycle induces an edge of *H*.

By conditioning on the middle vertex of the 3-path, we have the following estimate on the number of 3-paths in ∂*H*:

$$
#(3\text{-paths in }\partial \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d_s(v)}{2} = \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d(v) + d_{ex}(v)}{2}.
$$

The following claim provides an upper bound on the number of good 3-paths in ∂*H*.

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>Claim 5.

$$
\#(\text{good 3-paths in }\partial\mathcal{H}) \leqslant 2\binom{n}{2} - 4 \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d_b(v)}{2}.
$$

*Proof.* Fix a vertex *v* and consider two adjacent edges  $\{v, x_1, x_2\}$  and  $\{v, y_1, y_2\}$  such that they belong to the different blocks; clearly the vertices  $v, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  are all distinct. We claim that there is at most one good 3-path, namely  $x_i, v, y_j$ , between  $x_i$  and  $y_j$ , for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose this is not the case, then without loss of generality, there exists  $u \neq v$  such that  $x_1, u, y_1$  is a good 3-path. By the definition of a good 3-path, there are two distinct edges  $h_x, h_y \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $x_1, u \in h_x$  and  $y_1, u \in h_y$ . If  $\{v, x_1, x_2\}, \{v, y_1, y_2\},$   $h_x$  and  $h_y$  are all different edges, then clearly there is a Berge 4-cycle. Therefore either  $\{v, x_1, x_2\} = h_x$  or  $\{v, y_1, y_2\} = h_y$ . Hence we have  $u \in \{x_2, y_2\}$ , without loss of generality we may assume  $u = x_2$ . Observe that the 4-cycle  $x_1, x_2, y_1, v$  of  $\partial \mathcal{H}$  contains a good 3-path and so by definition the 4-cycle  $x_1, x_2, y_1, v$  is not a rare 4-cycle. Hence we have a contradiction to the statement that edges  $\{v, x_1, x_2\}$  and  $\{v, y_1, y_2\}$  belong to different blocks. We conclude that there is at most one good path between  $x_i$  and  $y_j$ . So there are at least  $4\sum_{v\in V(\mathcal{H})}$  $\binom{d_b(v)}{2}$  pairs of vertices which have at most one good 3-path between them. From Claim [3,](#page-2-0) for each pair of vertices, there are at most two of good 3-paths in ∂*H*. These observations complete the proof of Claim [5.](#page-3-1)  $\Box$ 

Thus, since the number of 3-paths which are not good is at most  $21 |E(H)|$ , we have

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d(v) + d_{ex}(v)}{2} = \#(3 \text{-paths in } \partial \mathcal{H}) \leq 2\binom{n}{2} - 4 \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d_b(v)}{2} + 21 |E(\mathcal{H})|.
$$
\n(1)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Claim 6.** We have  $\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} d_{ex}(v) \ge |E(\mathcal{H})|$  and  $\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} d_b(v) \ge |E(\mathcal{H})|$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove lower bounds on the sums  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d_{ex}(v)$  and  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d_{b}(v)$ . For each block  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $v \in V(\mathcal{B})$ , let  $d_{ex}^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$  denote an excess degree of *v* inside the hypergraph *B*. If *B* is type 1, then every vertex  $v \in V(\mathcal{B})$  has  $d_{ex}^{\mathcal{B}}(v) \geq 1$ , so for type 1 blocks, graph *D*. If *D* is type 1, then every vertex  $v \in V(D)$  has  $u_{ex}(v) \ge 1$ , so for type 1 blocks,<br> $\sum_{v \in V(B)} d_{ex}^{B}(v) \ge |V(B)|$ . It is easy to see that for every block *B* we have  $|V(B)| > |E(B)|$ , so  $\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{B})} d_{ex}^{\mathcal{B}}(v) > |E(\mathcal{B})|$ , for every type 1 block  $\mathcal{B}$ .

If *B* is a type 2 block, then  $\sum_{v \in V(B)} d_{ex}^{B}(v) = 3 = |E(B)|$ . Therefore,

$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{B})} d_{ex}^{\mathcal{B}}(v) \geqslant |E(\mathcal{B})|
$$

for every block  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . This together with the fact that the blocks define a partition of the edges  $E(\mathcal{H})$  implies

$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} d_{ex}(v) = \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{B})} d_{ex}^{\mathcal{B}}(v) \geqslant \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})} |E(\mathcal{B})| = |E(\mathcal{H})|.
$$

On the other hand, a simple double-counting argument yields

$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} d_b(v) = \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})} |V(\mathcal{B})| \geqslant \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in B(\mathcal{H})} |\mathcal{B}| = |E(\mathcal{H})|.
$$

Using Claim [6,](#page-4-0) we have the upper bound

$$
4 |E(\mathcal{H})| = 3 |E(\mathcal{H})| + |E(\mathcal{H})| \leqslant \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} (d(v) + d_{ex}(v)).
$$

Since  $\binom{x}{2}$  is a convex function, by Jensen's inequality we have

$$
\binom{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V(\mathcal{H})}(d(v)+d_{ex}(v))}{2}\leqslant \frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V(\mathcal{H})}\binom{d(v)+d_{ex}(v)}{2}.
$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS  $30(4)$  (2023),  $\#P4.5$  5

Combining the above two inequalities we get

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
n\left(\frac{\frac{4|E(\mathcal{H})|}{n}}{2}\right) \leqslant \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d(v) + d_{ex}(v)}{2}.
$$
 (2)

Similarly, by Claim [6](#page-4-0) and Jensen's inequality, we have

<span id="page-5-6"></span>
$$
n\left(\frac{|E(\mathcal{H})|}{2}\right) \leqslant \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{H})} \binom{d_b(v)}{2}.
$$
\n(3)

Combining  $(1)$ ,  $(2)$  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  $(3)$  we obtain

<span id="page-5-7"></span>
$$
n\left(\frac{\frac{4|E(\mathcal{H})|}{n}}{2}\right) + 4n\left(\frac{|E(\mathcal{H})|}{2}\right) \leqslant 2\binom{n}{2} + 21|E(\mathcal{H})|.
$$
 (4)

Rearranging [\(4\)](#page-5-7) yields the desired bound,

$$
|E(\mathcal{H})| \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n^{3/2}}{\sqrt{10}}
$$
.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which significantly improved the quality of the paper's presentation.

Beka Ergemlidze received support from the National Science Centre grant 2021/42/E/ ST1/00193. Abhishek Methuku received support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 786198) and also in part by the EPSRC, grant no. EP/S00100X/1. Nika Salia received support from the National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH, grants K132696. Casey Tompkins received support from the National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH, grant K135800.

## References

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] Claude Berge. *Graphs and hypergraphs*. North-Holland, 1973.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[2] Béla Bollobás and Ervin Győri. Pentagons vs. triangles. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308(19):4332–4336, 2008.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[3] John A Bondy and Miklós Simonovits. Cycles of even length in graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 16(2):97–105, 1974.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] William G Brown. On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 9(3):281–285, 1966.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[5] Paul Erdős, Alfréd Rényi, and Vera T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar*, 1:215–235, 1966.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[6] Beka Ergemlidze, Ervin Győri, and Abhishek Methuku. Asymptotics for Turán numbers of cycles in 3-uniform linear hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 163:163–181, 2019.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[7] Beka Ergemlidze, Ervin Győri, and Abhishek Methuku. 3-uniform hypergraphs without a cycle of length five. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 27(2):#P2.16, 2020.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[8] Zoltán Füredi. On the number of edges of quadrilateral-free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 68(1):1–6, 1996.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[9] Zoltán Füredi and Lale Özkahya. On 3-uniform hypergraphs without a cycle of a given length. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 216:582–588, 2017.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[10] Ervin Győri and Nathan Lemons. Hypergraphs with no odd cycle of given length. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 34:359–362, 2009.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[11] Ervin Győri and Nathan Lemons. Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length. *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing*, 21(1-2):193, 2012.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[12] Felix Lazebnik and Jacques Verstraëte. On hypergraphs of girth five. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 10(1):#R25, 2003.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[13] Imre Z Ruzsa and Endre Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976), Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 18(939- 945):2, 1978.