

Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica

70 (2023) 1, 61–72

DOI: [10.1556/030.2023.01942](https://doi.org/10.1556/030.2023.01942) © 2023 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest

# Molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from clinical specimens in an urban university hospital in Bangkok, Thailand

SIRIPHAN BOONSILP<sup>1</sup> . JĘDRZEJ SIKORA<sup>2[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2947-1872)3</sup> . KITWADEE RUPPROM<sup>1</sup>, SARPER ACILIOĞLU<sup>3,4</sup>, ANCHALEE HOMKAEW<sup>5</sup>  $\bullet$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0273-4636) DARANEE NUTALAI<sup>5</sup> $\bullet$ , URAPORN PHUMISANTIPHONG<sup>1,5</sup> $\bullet$  and THANWA WONGSUK $1*$ 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital, Navamindradhiraj University, Bangkok, 10300, Thailand

# RESEARCH ARTICLE



<sup>2</sup> Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, 61701, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Student Exchange Program, International Federation of Medical Students' Associations (IFMSA), Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>4</sup> Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, 06460, Türkiye

<sup>5</sup> Central Laboratory and Blood Bank, Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital, Navamindradhiraj University, Bangkok, 10300, Thailand

Received: December 4, 2022 • Accepted: January 23, 2023 Published online: February 14, 2023

#### **ARSTRACT**

Little is known about the properties of the current strains of Staphylococcus aureus associated with human infections in Thailand. This study examined the rate of resistance to various antimicrobial agents, prevalence of virulence genes, and biofilm formation ability of 60 clinical S. aureus isolates from a single Thai hospital. Moreover, the Staphylococcus protein A gene (spa) type was determined among methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) isolates. Most methicillin-susceptible S. aureus isolates were susceptible to antimicrobials, whereas all MRSA isolates were resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin. The major virulence genes among the isolates were hla (100%), sec (26.7%), and hlb (20%). Meanwhile, 46.7% and 1.7% of the strains exhibited low-grade and high-grade biofilm formation, respectively. Our findings revealed the presence of spa types among MRSA isolates were: t032 (37.5%, 6/16), t088 (25%, 4/16), t001 (12.5%, 2/16), t008 (6.25%, 1/16), t034 (6.25%, 1/16), t439 (6.25%, 1/16), and t1928 (6.25%, 1/16). These findings will be useful for future research on anti-virulence therapies and the epidemiology of the strains circulating in our hospital.

Staphylococcus aureus, antibiotic resistance, virulence genes, spa typing

# INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is gram-positive coccus that normally colonizes the skin and nasal microbiota of healthy individuals  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . However, if this bacterium enters the internal tissues or bloodstream, it can cause a variety of potentially serious infections in both community-acquired and hospital acquired settings [[2](#page-6-1)]. S. aureus is a leading cause of bacteremia, infective endocarditis, skin and soft tissue infections, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, devicerelated infections, pulmonary infections, gastroenteritis, urinary tract infections, and toxic shock syndrome (TSS) [[2](#page-6-1), [4\]](#page-6-2).

\*Corresponding author. Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital, Navamindradhiraj University; 681 Samsen Road, Vajira District, Dusit, Bangkok, 10300, Thailand. E-mail: [thanwa@nmu.ac.th](mailto:thanwa@nmu.ac.th)



S. aureus infections involve the production of several virulence factors associated with clinical symptoms such as hemolysins, leukotoxins, superantigens, and exfoliative toxins (ETs) [[5](#page-6-3)–[7](#page-6-3)]. Hemolysin lyses red blood cells by creating pores and disrupting the cell membranes or dissolving cell wall components [\[7](#page-6-4), [8\]](#page-7-0). Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL, one type of leukotoxin) causes the destruction of white blood cells such as neutrophils and monocytes, and it is associated with tissue necrosis and the acceleration of apoptosis [\[7](#page-6-4), [9\]](#page-7-1). The major types of pyrogenic exotoxins, called superantigens of S. aureus, are staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1). SEs are recognized as major causes of food poisoning [[7\]](#page-6-4). Based on nucleotide and amino acid sequences, the 24 currently SEs and staphylococcal enterotoxin-like proteins (SE ls) have been discovered [[7,](#page-6-4) [10\]](#page-7-2), and the classical SEs are categorized into five major types: SEA, SEB, SEC, SED, and SEE [[11](#page-7-3)]. TSST-1, another major superantigen, stimulates the release of proinflammatory cytokines from host T-cells and macrophages and then causes TSS. A cluster of manifestations including high fever, hypotension, rash, and hypovolemic shock rapidly progresses to severe or multisystem organ failure [\[7](#page-6-4), [12,](#page-7-4) [13](#page-7-5)]. Staphylococcal ETs, specifically ETA and ETB, are etiologic agents that are linked to staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome (SSSS) [[7,](#page-6-4) [14](#page-7-6)]. Moreover, S. aureus can produce a multilayer biofilm embedded in a glycocalyx or slime layer with heterogeneous protein expression throughout the structure [[15](#page-7-7), [16\]](#page-7-8). Biofilm plays an important role in the pathogenesis of diseases by facilitating bacterial colonization, infection, and antibiotic resistance [[16](#page-7-8)–[18\]](#page-7-8). Generally, S. aureus infection is multifactorial because of the combined action of several virulence determinants [[19\]](#page-7-9). Remarkably, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (VRSA) have emerged after the use of antibiotics in clinical practice [\[3](#page-6-5), [20](#page-7-10)–[22\]](#page-7-10).

Molecular typing of MRSA isolates is critical for the rapid identification of prevalent strains, and this technique will help control and prevent MRSA spread in healthcare settings. Staphylococcus protein A gene (spa) typing, which relies on single-locus DNA sequencing of the repeat region of spa, exhibits excellent discriminatory ability for MRSA. The spa type distribution of S. aureus isolates varies in different regions globally. The most common spa types in Europe are t032, t008, and t002, whereas t037 is the most common MRSA strain found in clinical settings in most Asian countries [\[23](#page-7-11), [24\]](#page-7-12). Although the distribution of spa types in Asia has been thoroughly studied, detailed reports on the distribution of the spa types of MRSA strains from clinical isolates in Thailand are lacking. Therefore, this study aimed to detect the occurrence of virulence factors and biofilm formation and presence of certain drug resistance genes produced by S. aureus strains isolated from clinical samples in an urban university hospital in Bangkok, Thailand. Furthermore, we genotyped spa types to gain insights into the epidemiology of MRSA isolates.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Ethics approval

The Ethical Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine, Vajira Hospital, Navamindradhiraj University (Bangkok, Thailand) approved the study (COA194/2565).

#### Bacterial isolates and identification

Sixty non-repetitive clinical isolates of S. aureus from blood, tissue, sterile body fluid, and pus were collected by the Microbiology Laboratory, Central Laboratory and Blood Bank, Faculty of Medicine, Vajira Hospital between October 2021 and July 2022. The bacteria were first identified to the species level using a MALDI BioTyper (Bruker, Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) and stored in Soyabean Casein Digest Medium (TSB; HIMEDIA®, Mumbai, India) at 4 °C at the organism bank in the Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Vajira Hospital until use.

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

In vitro antibacterial susceptibility testing was performed by the disk diffusion method according to the protocol described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines document M100-S31 [[25](#page-7-13)]. The antibiotic disk contained cefoxitin (30 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), clindamycin (2 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), and trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 μg). The disk diffusion method was performed on Mueller–Hinton Agar (MHA: HIME-DIA®, Mumbai, India). The size of each inhibition zone size was interpreted according to the CLSI guideline as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), or resistance (R) [\[25\]](#page-7-13). Isolates with cefoxitin zones ≥22 mm in size were considered methicillinsusceptible S. aureus (MSSA) isolates, and those with zones ≤21 mm in size were deemed MRSA isolates [[25](#page-7-13)]. Macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin B  $(MLS_B)$  resistance was detected by placing 15-μg erythromycin and 2-μg clindamycin disks spaced 15–26 mm apart on a MHA plate. Inducible clindamycin resistance (ICR) isolate was indicated by flattening of the clindamycin zone of inhibition adjacent to the erythromycin disk (termed a D-zone) [[25](#page-7-13)].

# Genomic DNA extraction; strain molecular identification; and mecA, vanA, and vanB detection

The studied strains were grown on brain heart infusion agar (BHI; HIMEDIA<sup>®</sup>, Mumbai, India) 24 h, and then the DNA of each strain was extracted following the protocol of the AxyPrep Bacterial Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA). The extracted DNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

Isolates were identified to the species level using PCR with a specific primer pair targeting femA (species-specific, [Table A1](#page-9-0)) [[26](#page-7-14)]. Then, PCR amplification using a mecAspecific primer pair [\(Table A1](#page-9-0)) was performed to identify MRSA isolates. The PCR reaction mixture (final volume,

25 μL) contained 0.4 μM of each primer, exTEN  $2 \times PCR$ Master Mix with loading dye (Axil Scientific Pte Ltd, Singapore), nuclease-free water, and genomic DNA. PCR was performed using a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using the following program: initial denaturation at 95  $\degree$ C for 5 min; 35 cycles of amplification at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 45 s, and extension at 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Moreover, the studied strains were subjected to PCR using vanA- and vanB-specific primer pairs to identify VRSA isolates [\(Table A1\)](#page-9-0) [[27](#page-7-15)–[30\]](#page-7-15). The PCR program for the vanAspecific primers was as follows: initial denaturation at 98  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 min; 35 cycles of amplification at 98 °C for 10 s, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. The PCR reaction mixture for vanA and vanB amplification was prepared as described for femA and mecA amplification. Ten microliters of PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel in  $1 \times$  TAE buffer containing FloroSafe DNA stain (Axil Scientific Pte Ltd, Singapore) and photographed using a Gel Doc XR+ system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

#### Virulence-associated gene detection

The extracted DNA was subjected to PCR to amplify 11 virulence genes encoding hemolysins [alpha-hemolysin (hla) and beta-hemolysin (hlb)], leukocidin; PVL (pvl), superantigens (sea, seb, sec, sed, see, and tsst-1), and ETs (eta and etb) using specific primers ([Table A1\)](#page-9-0) [\[31,](#page-7-16) [32\]](#page-7-17). Each PCR mixture and PCR program followed those described for femA amplification excluding changes in the specific annealing temperature of each gene ([Table A1\)](#page-9-0). PCR was performed using the same machine, and PCR amplicons were detected as previously described.

#### spa typing

MRSA strains were selected for further determination of spa types. spa typing was performed via PCR amplification using the standard primer pair spa-1113F and spa-1514R [\(Table A1](#page-9-0) and available at <http://www.spaserver.ridom.de>) [\[33\]](#page-7-18). The PCR reaction mixture was generated as previously described, and PCR was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension of 5 min at 72 °C. All PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel as previously described, purified, and bidirectionally sequenced using gene-specific forward and reverse primers at 1st BASE DNA Sequencing (Apical Scientific Sdn Bhd, Malaysia) by BNK Bioscience Limited (Nonthaburi, Thailand). The sequence files were analyzed using BioEdit software [\(https://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html\)](https://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html). The spa types were identified and grouped using the based upon repeat pattern algorithm with Ridom SeqSphere $+$  software [\[34](#page-7-19)].

#### Biofilm-producing ability on 96-well plates

Each strain was grown on BHI agar at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. After that, bacterial cells were transferred to TSB and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Cell suspensions of each strain were prepared at a density of  $1 \times 10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> in TSB, and then 200 µL of each adjusted cell suspension were inoculated into the wells (10 wells per isolate) of a flat-bottom 96-well plate (Costar®, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA). The plates were incubated without shaking at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. Then, the supernatant and planktonic cells were removed, and the wells were washed three times with PBS. Biofilm was fixed with 200 μL of methanol per well for 15 min and stained with 200 μL of 1% crystal violet per well (YD Diagnostic, Republic of Korea) for 5 min. The crystal violet solution was then removed, and the wells were washed with sterile water. To destain the biofilms, 200 μL of 95% ethanol were added, and the plate was incubated for 45 min. One hundred fifty microliters of the solution were transferred to another microplate, and the absorbance was read at 570 nm using a Sunrise absorbance microplate reader (Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig, Austria). The production of biofilm was quantified using crystal violet and categorized according to the absorbance of as high-grade biofilm formation ( $OD_{570} \ge$ 1), low-grade biofilm formation (0.1  $\leq$  OD<sub>570</sub> < 1), or no biofilm formation ( $OD_{570} < 0.1$ ) for each isolate (35). The experiment was performed in duplicate, and biofilm measurements are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD of 20 readings (wells) per isolate.

# RESULTS

### Strains and identification

In total, 60 isolates of S. aureus were collected between October 2021 and July 2022 ([Table A2\)](#page-9-1). S. aureus was recovered from different specimen types, including pus specimens (25, 41.6%), blood (25, 41.6%), and tissue (5, 8.3%), as well as ascetic fluid, synovial fluid, ear swab, peritoneal dialysis, and umbilical swab (1 each, 1.7%). The isolates were first identified to the species level using a MALDI BioTyper and then confirmed by *femA* amplification.

## Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and drug resistant gene detection

The antimicrobial susceptibility of the 60 S. aureus isolates was tested. Sixteen (26.7%) isolates were identified as MRSA as determined by resistance to cefoxitin and the presence of mecA, whereas the remaining 44 (73.3%) isolates were identified as MSSA. None of S. aureus isolates carried vanA or vanB. The mecA-positive S. aureus (MRSA) isolates exhibited different rates of resistance (25–100%) to five antimicrobials. The MRSA isolates were resistant to β-lactams, erythromycin, and clindamycin. One MRSA isolate (VJR-STPARS040) exhibited an  $MLS<sub>b</sub>$  resistance mechanism as confirmed by ICR phenomenon detection. However, the isolates were more sensitive to gentamicin (75%) and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (100%), and no isolate carried both vanA and vanB. The mecA-negative S. aureus (MSSA) isolates were highly susceptible to all tested antibiotics ([Tables 1](#page-3-0) and [A2](#page-9-1)).



Table 1. Antimicrobial susceptibility of the studied strains

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

		<b>MRSA</b> <b>MSSA</b>			
Antimicrobial Agent	Susceptible No. (%)	Resistant No. (%)	Susceptible No. (%)	Resistant No. (%)	
Cefoxitin	0(0)	16(100)	44 (100)	0(0)	
Erythromycin	0(0)	16(100)	43 (97.7%)	$1(2.3\%)$	
Clindamycin	0(0)	16(100)	44 (100)	0(0)	
Gentamicin	12(75)	4(25)	43 (97.7%)	$1(2.3\%)$	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	16(100)	0(0)	44 (100)	0(0)	

#### Virulence gene detection

The frequencies of virulence gene detection among the 60 S. aureus isolates are presented in [Tables 2,](#page-3-1) [A3,](#page-11-0) and [A4](#page-11-1). All S. aureus isolates carried hla, whereas sec, hlb, sed, pvl, seb, tsst-1, and sea were detected in 26.7%, 20%, 11.7%, 10%, 10%, 3.3%, and 1.7% of these isolates, respectively. No isolates carried see, eta, or etb. Other than hla (100%), hlb (31.25%) was the most common virulence gene detected in MRSA isolates, followed by pvl (18.75%), sed (18.75%), and sec (12.5%). Aside from hla (100%), the most common virulence gene carried by MSSA isolates was sec (31.8%), followed by hlb (15.9%), seb (13.7%), sed (9.1%), pvl (6.8%), tsst-1 (4.5%), and sea (2.3%, [Table 2](#page-3-1)). Thirty-two (53.3%) S. aureus isolates harbored multiple virulence genes. Of those 32 isolates, 19, 8, and 5 isolates carried 2, 3, and 4 virulence genes, respectively ([Fig. 1](#page-4-0), [Tables A3](#page-11-0) and [A4\)](#page-11-1).

#### spa typing

spa PCR products ranged in size from 250 to 600 bp. The 16 MSRA isolates were categorized into seven spa types. The most prevalent spa type was t032 (6, 37.5%), followed by t088 (4, 25%), t001 (2, 12.5%), t008 (1, 6.25%), t034 (1, 6.25%), t439 (1, 6.25%), and t1928 (1, 6.25%). t032 was the most frequently detected spa type among isolates from pus (50%) and blood (33%), whereas t088 was the only spa type detected in isolates from blood (100%; [Fig. 2,](#page-5-0) [Tables A2](#page-9-1) and [A5](#page-11-2)).

#### Biofilm formation under static conditions

The biofilm formation capability of the 60 S. aureus isolates was determined by measuring the absorbance after crystal violet staining of biofilm formed in flat-bottom microtiter plates. Biofilm formation capacity was classified according to the scheme of Kouidhi et al. [[35](#page-7-20)]. According to the analysis of biofilm production, 51.7% of the isolates produced no biofilm, 46.67% exhibited low-grade biofilm production, and 1.7% exhibited high-grade biofilm production. One isolate with strong biofilm production was MRSA ([Fig. 1,](#page-4-0) [Table 3\)](#page-5-1).

# **DISCUSSION**

S. aureus is a major cause of numerous infections in both communities and healthcare facilities, and this bacterium is increasingly exhibiting resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents [\[36\]](#page-7-21). In this study, 60 clinical isolates of S. aureus were collected at an urban university hospital between 2021 and 2022 and then analyzed for antimicrobial susceptibility, the presence of virulence genes, and biofilm production. The prevalence of S. aureus was highest in blood and pus cultures. All S. aureus isolates were tested for antimicrobial resistance, and their rates of resistance to cefoxitin, erythromycin, clindamycin, and gentamicin were 26.7%, 28.3%, 26.7%, and 8.3%, respectively. All isolates were susceptible to trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole. The prevalence of MRSA was 26.7% at both the phenotype (resistance to cefoxitin disks) and genotype levels (mecA gene-positive). The prevalence of MRSA has varied among different studies in Thailand, ranging from <20% to >40% [\[37,](#page-7-22) [38\]](#page-7-23). The majority of MSSA isolates were susceptible to antimicrobial drugs. No S. aureus isolates harbors vanA or vanB genes. An MRSA isolate (VJR-STPARS040) exhibited an  $MLS<sub>b</sub>$  resistance mechanism via an ICR phenomenon. The majority of

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Virulence gene		$MRSA (N = 16)$	$MSSA (N = 44)$	No. of isolates $(N = 60)$
Alpha-hemolysin	hla	$16(100\%)$	44 (100%)	60 (100%)
Beta-hemolysin	hlb	5(31.25%)	$7(15.9\%)$	12 (20%)
Toxic shock syndrome toxin	$t$ sst- $1$	$0(0\%)$	$2(4.5\%)$	$2(3.3\%)$
Panton-Valentine Leukocidin	pvl	3(18.75%)	$3(6.8\%)$	$6(10\%)$
Enterotoxin A	sea	$0(0\%)$	$1(2.3\%)$	$1(1.7\%)$
Enterotoxin B	seb	$0(0\%)$	6(13.7%)	$6(10\%)$
Enterotoxin C	sec	2(12.5%)	14 (31.8%)	16 (26.7%)
Enterotoxin D	sed	3(18.75%)	$4(9.1\%)$	7(11.7%)
Enterotoxin E	see	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$
Exfoliative toxin A	eta	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$
Exfoliative toxin B	etb	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$	$0(0\%)$

Table 2. Frequencies of virulence genes among MRSA and MSSA



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Code	tsst-1	pvl	hla	hlb	eta	etb	sea	seb	sec	sed	see	biofilm
VJR-STPARS001												
VJR-STPARS002												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS003</b>												
VJR-STPARS004*												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS005</b>												
VJR-STPARS006												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS007												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS008												
VJR-STPARS009*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS011												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS012*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS013*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS014												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS016												
VJR-STPARS017*												
VJR-STPARS018												
<b>VJR-STPARS019</b>												
VJR-STPARS020												
VJR-STPARS021*												
VJR-STPARS023												
VJR-STPARS024												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS025												
VJR-STPARS026												
VJR-STPARS027*												
<b>VJR-STPARS028</b>												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS029</b>												
VJR-STPARS030												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS031												
VJR-STPARS032												
VJR-STPARS033*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS034												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS035												
VJR-STPARS036												
VJR-STPARS037												
VJR-STPARS038*												
VJR-STPARS039*												
VJR-STPARS040*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS041												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS042</b>												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS043</b>												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS044*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS045												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS046												
VJR-STPARS047*												
VJR-STPARS048												
VJR-STPARS049												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS050</b>												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS051*												*
VJR-STPARS052*												$\circ$
<b>VJR-STPARS053</b>												
<b>VJR-STPARS054</b>												
VJR-STPARS055												
<b>VJR-STPARS056</b>												
VJR-STPARS057												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS058												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS059												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS060												
VJR-STPARS061*												$\circ$
VJR-STPARS062												
<b>VJR-STPARS063</b>												$\circ$

Fig. 1. The distribution pattern of virulence genes among 60 S. aureus isolates obtained in this study. Virulence genes encoding exotoxins and biofilm formation were screened (Gray squares = presence; Black squares = absence; Circle in gray squares = low-grade biofilm formation; Asterisk in gray squares  $=$  high-grade biofilm formation;  $* = MRSA$ )

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Minimum spanning tree (Ridom SeqSphere+ software [[34](#page-7-19)]) based on seven different spa types from all MRSA isolates (n = 16) presenting the distribution of the spa types among MRSA isolates. Each spa type is represented by a single node. The diameter of the node is proportional to the number of the isolates belonging to the spa type. The separated sections in each node represent the number of isolates. The distance between nodes represents the genetic diversity of the isolates

Table 3. Biofilm formation of the studied strains

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Rate of biofilm formation	<b>MRSA</b> $(N = 16)$	MSSA $(N = 44)$	No. of isolates
High biofilm formation Low grade biofilm formation	1(6.25%) 9(56.25%)	$0(0\%)$ 19 (43.2%)	$1(1.7\%)$ 28 (46.7%)
Non-biofilm formation	6(37.5%)	25 (56.8%) 31 (51.7%)	

MRSA strains in our study were resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin; moreover, 56.2% of the studied MRSA isolates were derived from bloodstream infections. Previous studies confirmed that MRSA isolates were frequently resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin; therefore, both drugs are ineffective for the treatment of MRSA infection in our hospital [[37](#page-7-22), [39](#page-8-0), [40](#page-8-1)].

There are various trends in the spa type distribution of S. aureus isolates in various global geographic regions. We detected seven different spa types among 16 spa-typeable MRSA isolates. The three most common spa types among MRSA isolates in our study were t032, t088, and t001. t032 is the most prevalent spa type causing invasive MRSA infection in Europe and the dominant clone of hospital acquired-MRSA strains in the United Kingdom [\[41\]](#page-8-2). This spa type is uncommon outside European countries; however, a recent

study identified t032 as the dominant clone causing infection in Malaysia and Thailand [\[24,](#page-7-12) [42\]](#page-8-3). Taken together, our findings indicate that t032 has become the predominant variant in European and Asian countries, including Malaysia and Thailand. Regarding the other spa types in this study, t088 and t001 are the most frequent spa types found in Europe [\[43](#page-8-4)–[45\]](#page-8-4), but they have also been reported in Thailand [[42\]](#page-8-3). Hence, the observation of t032, t088, and t001 among MRSA isolates in our study could reflect the ongoing expansion of these clones Asia.

S. *aureus* produces  $\alpha$ - and β-hemolysins, which are encoded by hla and hlb, respectively. Hemolysin damages a large variety of host cells such as epithelial cells, endothelial cells, erythrocytes, monocytes, and keratinocytes, and it causes cell membrane damage and apoptosis [\[7](#page-6-4), [19](#page-7-9)]. Our results illustrated that the frequencies of hla and hlb among our isolates were 100% and 20%, respectively, which were similar to the results of a previous study [[46](#page-8-5)].

PVL is a leukotoxin that belongs to the bi-component Luk toxin family. PVL is most commonly associated with skin and soft tissue disease. PVL-positive strain infections appear to be more likely to require surgical intervention [\[7\]](#page-6-4). In our study, the distribution rate of *pvl* among *S. aureus* isolates was 10%. Of the pvl-positive isolates, 66.7% were recovered from pus samples, and 33.3% were isolated from

blood. Moreover, pvl-positive strains were identified as MRSA and MSSA at equal rates. These findings are consistent with previous reports that MRSA and MSSA isolates from blood cultures and skin lesions are both pvlpositive [[47](#page-8-6), [48](#page-8-7)]. PVL-positive S. aureus strains have been linked to skin infections, and some of these strains are MRSA, which has limited treatment options. TSST-1 produced by S. aureus has been associated with several acute diseases including TSS. The prevalence of tsst-1 in this study was low (3.3%) compared to that in previous reports, in which the prevalence usually exceeded 10%. These variations could be the consequence of the different sample groups.

SEs disrupt intestinal activity and induce staphylococcal food poisoning, which is characterized by nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea without evidence of toxic effects such as fever or hypotension. Based on antigenic heterogeneity, more than 20 SEs (SEA—SEIV) have been discovered [\[7\]](#page-6-4). We only focused on classical SEs, i.e., SEA, SEB, SEC, SED, and SEE. Overall, SE genes were detected in 50% of S. aureus isolates in this study. SEC (sec) was the most predominant toxin, being present in 26.7% of isolates, followed by SED (sed), SEB (seb), and SEA (sea), which were detected in 11.7%, 10%, and 1.7% of isolates, respectively. Meanwhile, SEE (see) was not detected in any isolates. These findings are inconsistent with a previous report in which sea was the most predominant toxin (32.6%), followed by seb (4.3%), whereas sec, sed, and see were not found [\[49](#page-8-8)]. The differences in SE gene patterns of S. aureus could be associated with variable distributions in different regions.

ETs are specific serine proteases that recognize and hydrolyze desmosome proteins in the skin, thereby playing a role in SSSS [[7](#page-6-4)]. The absence of eta and etb in this study is in line with other studies suggesting that the frequency of ET genes varies among S. aureus strains and that it could be close to zero [[46](#page-8-5), [50](#page-8-9)].

Biofilm formation is a key virulence factor of staphylococci and essentially the extracellular polymeric substance that provides unique niches to bacterial cells. Biofilm infections are clinically significant because bacteria in biofilms are resistant to antimicrobial agents [[51](#page-8-10)]. The prevalence of biofilm formation among S. aureus isolates in the present study was 48.3%. According to prior studies, the prevalence of biofilm formation varies from  $<50\%$  to  $>70\%$  [\[52](#page-8-11)–[55](#page-8-11)]. The differences in the prevalence of biofilm formation depends on many factors such as the environment, availability of nutrients, geographical origin, type of specimen, surface adhesion characteristics, and genetic makeup of the organism [\[56\]](#page-8-12). These factors could have influenced the data and contributed to the prevalence recorded in this study.

# **CONCLUSION**

The present study investigated the antibiotic susceptibility and virulence patterns of S. aureus isolated from clinical samples between October 2021 and July 2022. The prevalence of MRSA in our hospital was 26.7%. The MRSA strains were mainly resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin. The current study also demonstrated the presence of spa types among MRSA isolates in Thailand and documented the spread of t032, t088, t001, t008, t034, t439, and t1928 in Thailand. Alpha-hemolysin was the main virulence factor in our isolates. Alpha-hemolysin and enterotoxin C co-existed in 13.3% of isolates, in line with the shared virulence pattern in this study. Forty-eight percent of isolates produced biofilm. Our findings will be useful for future studies on antivirulence therapies and the epidemiology of the strains circulating in our hospital.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors contribution: Conceptualization: SB, TW. Formal analysis: SB, TW. Investigation: SB, KR, TW. Methodology: SB, JS, KR, SA, AH, TW. Project administration: TW. Resources: SB, AH, DN, UP, TW. Writing – original draft: SB, TW. Writing – review & editing: SB, AH, TW. Approved the final manuscript: SB, JS, KR, SA, AH, DN, UP, TW.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital for supporting professional English-language-editing service.

# **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Hussain K, Bandyopadhyay A, Roberts N, Mughal N, Moore LSP, Fuller LC. Panton-Valentine Leukocidin producing Staphylococcus aureus: a clinical review. Clin Exp Dermatol 2022; 47(12): 2150–8.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Taylor TA, Unakal CG. Staphylococcus aureus. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls; 2022.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>3. Hommes JW, Surewaard BGJ. Intracellular Habitation of Staphylococcus aureus: molecular mechanisms and prospects for antimicrobial therapy. Biomedicines 2022; 10(8).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>4. Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG, Jr. Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev 2015; 28(3): 603–61.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>5. Oogai Y, Matsuo M, Hashimoto M, Kato F, Sugai M, Komatsuzawa H. Expression of virulence factors by Staphylococcus aureus grown in serum. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011; 77(22): 8097–105.
- 6. Otto M. Staphylococcus aureus toxins. Curr Opin Microbiol 2014; 17: 32–7.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>7. Ahmad-Mansour N, Loubet P, Pouget C, Dunyach-Remy C, Sotto A, Lavigne JP, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Toxins: an update on their pathogenic properties and potential treatments. Toxins (Basel) 2021; 13(10).



- <span id="page-7-0"></span>8. Vandenesch F, Lina G, Henry T. Staphylococcus aureus hemolysins, bi-component leukocidins, and cytolytic peptides: a redundant arsenal of membrane-damaging virulence factors? Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2012; 2: 12.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>9. Bhatta DR, Cavaco LM, Nath G, Kumar K, Gaur A, Gokhale S, et al. Association of Panton Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) genes with methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in Western Nepal: a matter of concern for community infections (a hospital based prospective study). BMC Infect Dis 2016; 16: 199.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>10. Fisher EL, Otto M, Cheung GYC. Basis of Virulence in enterotoxinmediated Staphylococcal food poisoning. Front Microbiol 2018; 9: 436.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>11. Hait JM, Tallent SM, Bennett RW. Screening, detection, and serotyping methods for toxin genes and enterotoxins in Staphylococcus strains. J AOAC Int 2014; 97(4): 1078–83.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>12. Stach CS, Herrera A, Schlievert PM. Staphylococcal superantigens interact with multiple host receptors to cause serious diseases. Immunol Res 2014; 59(1–3): 177–81.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>13. Vergis N, Gorard DA. Toxic shock syndrome responsive to steroids. J Med Case Rep 2007; 1: 5.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>14. Ladhani S, Joannou CL, Lochrie DP, Evans RW, Poston SM. Clinical, microbial, and biochemical aspects of the exfoliative toxins causing staphylococcal scalded-skin syndrome. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; 12(2): 224–42.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>15. Silva-de-Jesus AC, Ferrari RG, Panzenhagen P, Conte-Junior CA. Staphylococcus aureus biofilm: the role in disseminating antimicrobial resistance over the meat chain. Microbiology (Reading)  $2022 \cdot 168(10)$
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>16. Archer NK, Mazaitis MJ, Costerton JW, Leid JG, Powers ME, Shirtliff ME. Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: properties, regulation, and roles in human disease. Virulence 2011; 2(5): 445–59.
- 17. Vestby LK, Gronseth T, Simm R, Nesse LL. Bacterial biofilm and its role in the pathogenesis of disease. Antibiotics (Basel) 2020; 9(2).
- 18. Fitzpatrick F, Humphreys H, O'Gara JP. The genetics of staphylococcal biofilm formation–will a greater understanding of pathogenesis lead to better management of device-related infection? Clin Microbiol Infect 2005; 11(12): 967–73.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>19. Bien J, Sokolova O, Bozko P. Characterization of virulence factors of Staphylococcus aureus: novel function of known virulence factors that are implicated in activation of airway epithelial proinflammatory response. J Pathog 2011; 2011: 601905.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>20. da Silva RS, Duarte FC, Danelli T, Olak APS, Magalhaes GLG, Pelisson M, et al. High prevalence of Panton-Valentine Leukocidinencoding genes in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from inpatients with invasive infections at a university hospital in southern Brazil. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2023; 23(2): e230822207951.
- 21. Rammelkamp Chm, T. Resistance of Staphylococcus aureus to the action of penicillin. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1942; 51(3): 386–9.
- 22. Mlynarczyk-Bonikowska B, Kowalewski C, Krolak-Ulinska A, Marusza W. Molecular Mechanisms of drug resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Mol Sci 2022; 23(15).
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>23. Asadollahi P, Farahani NN, Mirzaii M, Khoramrooz SS, van Belkum A, Asadollahi K, et al. Distribution of the most prevalent spa types among clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible Staphylococcus aureus around the world: a review. Front Microbiol 2018; 9: 163.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>24. Jones SU, Chua KH, Chew CH, Yeo CC, Abdullah FH, Othman N, et al. spa diversity of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible Staphylococcus aureus in clinical strains from Malaysia: a high prevalence of invasive European spa-type t032. PeerJ 2021; 9: e11195.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>25. Weinstein MP, Lewis II JS, Bobenchik AM, Campeau S, Cullen SK, Galas MF, et al. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, M100, 31st ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2021.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>26. Al-Talib H, Yean CY, Al-Khateeb A, Hassan H, Singh KK, Al-Jashamy K, et al. A pentaplex PCR assay for the rapid detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Panton-Valentine Leucocidin. BMC Microbiol 2009; 9: 113.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>27. Handwerger S, Perlman DC, Altarac D, McAuliffe V. Concomitant high-level vancomycin and penicillin resistance in clinical isolates of enterococci. Clin Infect Dis 1992; 14(3): 655–61.
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>28. Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. J Clin Microbiol 1995; 33(5): 1434.
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>29. Saha B, Singh AK, Ghosh A, Bal M. Identification and characterization of a vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from Kolkata (South Asia). J Med Microbiol 2008; 57(Pt 1): 72–9.
- 30. Clark NC, Cooksey RC, Hill BC, Swenson JM, Tenover FC. Characterization of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci from U.S. hospitals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1993; 37(11): 2311-7.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>31. Jarraud S, Mougel C, Thioulouse J, Lina G, Meugnier H, Forey F, et al. Relationships between Staphylococcus aureus genetic background, virulence factors, agr groups (alleles), and human disease. Infect Immun 2002; 70(2): 631–41.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>32. Havaei SA, Azimian A, Fazeli H, Naderi M, Ghazvini K, Samiee SM, et al. Genetic characterization of methicillin resistant and sensitive, vancomycin intermediate Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from different iranian hospitals. ISRN Microbiol 2012; 2012: 215275.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>33. Larsen AR, Stegger M, Sorum M. spa typing directly from a mecA, spa and pvl multiplex PCR assay-a cost-effective improvement for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus surveillance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14(6): 611–4.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>34. Junemann S, Sedlazeck FJ, Prior K, Albersmeier A, John U, Kalinowski J, et al. Updating benchtop sequencing performance comparison. Nat Biotechnol 2013; 31(4): 294–6.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>35. Kouidhi B, Zmantar T, Hentati H, Bakhrouf A. Cell surface hydrophobicity, biofilm formation, adhesives properties and molecular detection of adhesins genes in Staphylococcus aureus associated to dental caries. Microb Pathog 2010; 49(1–2): 14–22.
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>36. Chen CJ, Huang YC. New epidemiology of Staphylococcus aureus infection in Asia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014; 20(7): 605–23.
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>37. Phokhaphan P, Tingpej P, Apisarnthanarak A, Kondo S. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, collected at Thammasat University Hospital, Thailand, August 2012–July 2015. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2017; 48(2): 351–9.
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>38. Waitayangkoon P, Thongkam A, Benjamungkalarak T, Rachayon M, Thongthaisin A, Chatsuwan T, et al. Hospital epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a one-year retrospective study at a tertiary care center in Thailand. Pathog Glob Health 2020; 114(4): 212–7.

(両無面

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>39. Pomorska K, Jakubu V, Malisova L, Fridrichova M, Musilek M, Zemlickova H. Antibiotic resistance, spa typing and clonal analysis of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates from blood of patients hospitalized in the Czech Republic. Antibiotics (Basel) 2021; 10(4).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>40. Rongsanam P, Yano T, Yokart W, Yamsakul P, Sutammeng S, Udpaun R, et al. Acquisition risk factors of the SCCmec IX-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in swine production personnel in Chiang Mai and Lamphun Provinces, Thailand. Antibiotics (Basel) 2020; 9(10).
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>41. Grundmann H, Aanensen DM, van den Wijngaard CC, Spratt BG, Harmsen D, Friedrich AW, et al. Geographic distribution of Staphylococcus aureus causing invasive infections in Europe: a molecular-epidemiological analysis. Plos Med 2010; 7(1): e1000215.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>42. Tabuchi F, Lulitanond A, Lulitanond V, Thunyaharn S, Kaito C. Epidemiological study on the relationship between toxin production and psm-mec mutations in MRSA isolates in Thailand. Microbiol Immunol 2020; 64(3): 219–25.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>43. Ilczyszyn WM, Sabat AJ, Akkerboom V, Szkarlat A, Klepacka J, Sowa-Sierant I, et al. Clonal structure and characterization of Staphylococcus aureus strains from invasive infections in paediatric patients from south Poland: association between age, spa types, clonal complexes, and genetic markers. PLoS One 2016; 11(3): e0151937.
- 44. Tenover FC, Tickler IA, Goering RV, Kreiswirth BN, Mediavilla JR, Persing DH, et al. Characterization of nasal and blood culture isolates of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from patients in United States hospitals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012; 56(3): 1324–30.
- 45. Ruppitsch W, Indra A, Stoger A, Mayer B, Stadlbauer S, Wewalka G, et al. Classifying spa types in complexes improves interpretation of typing results for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(7): 2442–8.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>46. Budzynska A, Skowron K, Kaczmarek A, Wietlicka-Piszcz M, Gospodarek-Komkowska E. Virulence factor genes and antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from blood and chronic wounds. Toxins (Basel) 2021; 13(7).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>47. Ellis MW, Griffith ME, Jorgensen JH, Hospenthal DR, Mende K, Patterson JE. Presence and molecular epidemiology of virulence factors in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains colonizing and infecting soldiers. J Clin Microbiol 2009; 47(4): 940–5.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>48. Nickerson EK, Wuthiekanun V, Wongsuvan G, Limmathurosakul D, Srisamang P, Mahavanakul W, et al. Factors predicting and reducing mortality in patients with invasive Staphylococcus aureus disease in a developing country. PLoS One 2009; 4(8): e6512.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>49. Ahmed A. Baz EKB, Abdul-Raouf U, Abdelkhalek A. Prevalence of enterotoxin genes (SEA to SEE) and antibacterial resistant pattern of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from clinical specimens in Assiut city of Egypt. Egypt J Med Hum Genet 2021; 22: 84.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>50. Becker K, Friedrich AW, Lubritz G, Weilert M, Peters G, Von Eiff C. Prevalence of genes encoding pyrogenic toxin superantigens and exfoliative toxins among strains of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from blood and nasal specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41(4): 1434–9.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>51. Hassan A UJ, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Detection and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of biofilm producing gram positive and gram negative bacteria isolated from a tertiary care hospital of Pakistan. Malays J Microbiol 2011; 7(1): 57–60.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>52. Cha JO, Yoo JI, Yoo JS, Chung HS, Park SH, Kim HS, et al. Investigation of biofilm formation and its association with the molecular and clinical characteristics of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2013; 4(5): 225–32.
- 53. Samie A SN. Biofilm production and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from HIV and AIDS patients in the Limpopo Province, South Africa. Afr J Biotechnol 2011; 10: 14625–36.
- 54. Khan F SI, Rizvi M, Mansoor T, Sharma SC. Detection of biofilm formation in Staphylococcus aureus. Does it have a role in treatment of MRSA infections. Trends Med Res 2011; 6(2): 116–23.
- 55. Neopane P, Nepal HP, Shrestha R, Uehara O, Abiko Y. In vitro biofilm formation by Staphylococcus aureus isolated from wounds of hospital-admitted patients and their association with antimicrobial resistance. Int J Gen Med 2018; 11: 25–32.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>56. Kokare CR CS, Khopade AN, Mahadik KR. Biofilm: importance and applications. Indian J Biotechnol 2009; 8: 159–68.

# Appendix

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Target	Gene	Primer name	Sequence	PCR product size (bp)	Annealing	Reference
Species specific	femA	femA-F	CGATCCATATTTACCATATCA	450	55	$[26]$
to S. aureus		fem A-R	ATCACGCTCTTCGTTTAGTT			
<b>MRSA</b>	mecA	$mecA-F$	ACGAGTAGATGCTCAATATAA	293	55	$[26]$
		$mecA-R$	CTTAGTTCTTTAGCGATTGC			
S. aureus	spa	spa-1113F	TAAAGACGATCCTTCGGTGAGC	varies	60	$[33]$
protein A		$spa-1514R$	CAGCAGTAGTGCCGTTTGCTT			
<b>VRSA</b>	vanA	$vanA-F$	GGGAAAACGACAATTGC	857	50	$[28]$
		$vanA-R$	<b>TCACCCCTTTAACGCTAATA</b>			$[29]$
	vanB	$vanB-F$	GTGACAAACCGGAGGCGAGGA	433	60	$[27]$
		$vanB-R$	CCGCCATCCTCCTGCAAAAAA			
Alpha-hemolysin	hla	$HLA-1$	CTGATTACTATCCAAGAAATTCGATTG	209	57	$[31]$
		$HLA-2$	CTTTCCAGCCTACTTTTTTATCAGT			
Beta-hemolysin	hlb	$HLB-1$	GTGCACTTACTGACAATAGTGC	309	57	$[31]$
		$HLB-2-2$	GTTGATGAGTAGCTACCTTCAGT			
Panton-	pvl	PVL-F	GGAAACATTTATTCTGGCTATAC	502	55	$[32]$
Valentine Leukocidin		PVL-R	CTGGATTGAAGTTACCTCTGG			
Toxic shock	$Tsst-1$	TSST-1-F	<b>TTATCGTAAGCCCTTTGTTG</b>	398	55	$[32]$
syndrome toxin		TSST-1-R	TAAAGGTAGTTCTATTGGAGTAGG			
<b>SEA</b>	sea	$SEA-1$	GAAAAAAGTCTGAATTGCAGGGAACA	560	55	$[31]$
		SEA-2	CAAATAAATCGTAATTAACCGAAGGTTC			
<b>SEB</b>	seb	$SEB-1$	ATTCTATTAAGGACACTAAGTTAGGGA	404	55	$[31]$
		SEB-2	ATCCCGTTTCATAAGGCGAGT			
<b>SEC</b>	sec	$mpSEC-1$	<b>GTAAAGTTACAGGTGGCAAAACTTG</b>	297	55	$[31]$
		$mpSEC-2$	CATATCATACCAAAAAGTATTGCCGT			
<b>SED</b>	sed	$SED-1$	GAATTAAGTAGTACCGCGCTAAATAATATG	492	55	$[31]$
		SED-2	GCTGTATTTTTCCTCCGAGAGT			
<b>SEE</b>	see	SEE-1	CAAAGAAATGCTTTAAGCAATCTTAGGC	482	55	$[31]$
		SEE-2	CACCTTACCGCCAAAGCTG			
<b>ETA</b>	eta	mpETA-1	ACTGTAGGAGCTAGTGCATTTGT	190	57	$[31]$
		mpETA-3	TGGATACTTTTGTCTATCTTTTTCATCAAC			
<b>ETB</b>	etb	$mpETB-1$	CAGATAAAGAGCTTTATACACACATTAC	612	57	$[31]$
		mpETB-2	AGTGAACTTATCTTTCTATTGAAAAACACTA			

Table A1. Primer used in this study

Table A2. Strain information and antibiotic susceptibility result

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

Code	Specimen	femA	mecA	vanA	vanB	Fox	DA	Е	<b>CN</b>	<b>SXT</b>
VIR-STPARS001	<b>Blood</b>							S	S	
VIR-STPARS002	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS003	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS004	<b>Blood</b>		$^+$			R	R	R		
VIR-STPARS005	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS006	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS007	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS008	<b>Blood</b>									
VIR-STPARS009	<b>Blood</b>	$^+$	$^+$			R	R	R		
VIR-STPARS011	<b>Blood</b>							$\sim$		
VIR-STPARS012	Blood					R	R	R	S	
										(continued)

 $(\text{min})$ 



 $S =$  susceptible,  $R =$  resistant,  $+ =$  the presence,  $- =$  the absence, Fox  $=$  cefoxitin, DA  $=$  clindamycin, E  $=$  erythromycin,  $CN =$  gentamicin, and  $SXT =$  trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole



<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Profile No.	Virulence profile	MRSA $(N = 16)$	$MSSA (N = 44)$	No. of isolates
	hla	$8(50\%)$	20 (45.5%)	28 (46.7%)
2	hla-sec	$0(0\%)$	8 (18.2%)	8 (13.3%)
3	hla-hlb	2(12.5%)	$3(6.8\%)$	$5(8.3\%)$
4	pvl-hla	2(12.5%)	$1(2.3\%)$	3(5%)
5	hla-seb	$0(0\%)$	$2(4.5\%)$	$2(3.3\%)$
6	hla-sed	$0(0\%)$	$1(2.3\%)$	1(1.7%)
7	hla-hlb-sec	1(6.25%)	$1(2.3\%)$	$2(3.3\%)$
8	hla-hlb-sed	2(12.5%)	$1(2.3\%)$	3(5%)
9	tsst-1-hla-sec	$0(0\%)$	$2(4.5\%)$	$2(3.3\%)$
10	pvl-hla-sec	$0(0\%)$	$1(2.3\%)$	1(1.7%)
11	hla-seb-sec-sed	$0(0\%)$	$2(4.5\%)$	$2(3.3\%)$
12	pvl-hla-sec-sed	1(6.25%)	$0(0\%)$	1(1.7%)
13	hla-hlb-sea-seb	$0(0\%)$	$1(2.3\%)$	1(1.7%)
14	pvl-hla-hlb-seb	$0(0\%)$	$1(2.3\%)$	1(1.7%)

Table A3. Distribution of virulence genes patterns among MRSA and MSSA

Table A4. Distribution of virulence genes patterns among the clinical samples

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

								Peritoneal	
		Pus	Blood	Tissue	Ascetic	Synovial	Swab	dialysis	No. of isolates
Profile No.	Virulence profile	$(n = 25)$	$(n = 25)$	$(n = 5)$	$(n = 1)$	fluid $(n = 1)$	$(n = 2)$	$(n = 1)$	$(n = 60)$
1	hla	13	11	3					28 (46.7%)
2	hla-sec		5						8 (13.3%)
3	hla-hlb	2	2						5(8.3%)
4	$pvl-hla$	2							3(5%)
5	hla-seb	2							$2(3.3\%)$
6	hla-sed								1(1.7%)
7	hla-hlb-sec		2						$2(3.3\%)$
8	hla-hlb-sed		$\mathfrak{D}$						3(5%)
9	tsst-1-hla-sec	$\mathfrak{D}$							$2(3.3\%)$
10	pvl-hla-sec								1(1.7%)
11	hla-seb-sec-sed	$\overline{c}$							$2(3.3\%)$
12	pvl-hla-sec-sed								1(1.7%)
13	hla-hlb-sea-seb								1(1.7%)
14	pvl-hla-hlb-seb								1(1.7%)

Table A5. spa types of the 16 isolated MRSA

<span id="page-11-2"></span>