

THE BIOMASS CHANGES OF MICROPHYTOBENTHOS IN LAKE BALATON DURING THE 1960S

GIZELLA TAMÁS

*Biological Research Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
Tihany, Hungary*

Received: 15th March, 1974

The qualitative composition of the microphytobenthos in the open-water sediment surface was described in a number of studies (PANTOCSEK, 1902; 1902 a; KOL, 1938; ENTZ et al., 1963). The calculation of its number of individuals for cm^2 was reported in various works (ENTZ, 1954; ENTZ and TAMÁS, 1952; TAMÁS, 1966; 1967; 1968; 1971).

The aim of the present study was to calculate the wet weight of algal carpet in mg/cm^2 from the number of individuals of the microphytobenthos and the mean value of each of the species for the years of 1965, 1966 and 1967.

Methods

Since the spring of 1965, the period of a large fish destruction in Lake Balaton, samples has been taken from the five transversal sections of the lake (M, K, G, A and E) with Ekman-Birge dredge. On the sample of $\sim 114 \text{ cm}^2$ taken from the sediment surface algological and zoological (PONYI, 1966) determinations were made. In 1966 and 1967 we used the modified Craib's sampler (PONYI et al., 1967). In these two years samplings were made at three points of the five transversal sections. The number of years, months, samplings, alga species, varieties and the total algae is given in *Table 1*.

When making the quantitative determinations of algae (number of individuals and biomass) we adapted the time-honoured method employed in

TABLE 1

*Data on the samples of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
(year, month, number of samplings, number of species and varieties, total algae)*

Year	Month	Number of samplings	Species	Variety	Total
1965.	VI—X.	25	94	7	101
1966.	V—XI.	105	107	6	113
1967.	IV—X.	115	80	5	85

earlier investigations of the microphytobenthos (ENTZ and TAMÁS, 1952; ENTZ, 1954).

Results and discussion

The data on the qualitative composition and population density of the algal carpet living on the surface of the mud, together with a good knowledge of the phytoplankton, are important factors to explain the qualitative and quantitative change taking place in the fauna of the lake. On the one hand, while evaluating the data, the occurrence, distribution and the number of the individuals in Lake Balaton (transversal sections) of the new species focus attention on the change itself and on the tendency of change of the environment. On the other hand, the algal cover of the sediment surface serves as food source to the Chironomida (ENTZ, 1964), Nematoda species (BIRÓ, 1968; 1973) and fish (ENTZ and LUKACSOVICS, 1957) feeding on it. In the environment of Lake Balaton the composition and quantity of microphytobenthos are important links in the food chain.

The values of total biomass were calculated by multiplying the mean value of the algal species each with the number of individuals. When calculating the number of individuals we considered only living algae, dead or fragmentary parts were disregarded.

In addition to the algal volumes published in previous studies (SEBES-TYÉN, 1954; TAMÁS, 1955; 1974; HERODEK and TAMÁS, 1973; 1974) the following values were recorded:

1000 μ^3 (of small size and quantity)

<i>Achnanthes dispar</i>	300
<i>A. minutissima</i>	560
<i>Cymbella prostrata</i>	400
<i>Epithemia intermedia</i>	800
<i>E. zebra</i>	500
<i>Eunotia valida</i>	500
<i>Fragilaria pinnata</i>	400
<i>Navicula costulata</i>	390
<i>N. dicephala</i>	1000
<i>N. pupula</i>	570

1000—10 000 μ^3 (of moderate size and quantity)

<i>Amphora perpusilla</i>	3000
<i>Cocconeis diminuta</i>	2260
<i>Cymbella cymbiformis</i>	4700
<i>C. ehrenbergii</i>	5300
<i>C. lanceolata</i>	6000
<i>Diatoma vulgare</i> var. <i>brevis</i>	1700
<i>D. vulgare</i> var. <i>linearis</i>	3000
<i>D. vulgare</i> var. <i>producta</i>	1700
<i>Epithemia turgida</i>	9200
<i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i>	1500
<i>Mastogloia smithii</i> var. <i>amphicephala</i>	2400
<i>Navicula reinhardtii</i>	2500
<i>N. scutelloides</i>	2000
<i>N. tuscula</i>	3900
<i>Nitzschia angustata</i>	2500
<i>N. communata</i>	3900

10 000 μ^3 (of large size and quantity)

<i>Cymatopleura solea</i> var. <i>angulata</i>	86000
<i>Diploneis domblitterensis</i>	10200
<i>Epithemia hyndmanni</i>	47100
<i>Gomphonema intricatum</i> var. <i>vibrio</i>	20100
<i>Nitzschia vermicularis</i>	13500
<i>Rhopalodia gibba</i>	31400
<i>Surirella linearis</i>	31400

The list of algal quantity of the transversal sections was compiled according to the method used for calculating phytoplanktonic values (HERODEK and TAMÁS, 1973; 1974; TAMÁS, 1974). The specific name of algae surpassing $0.1 \mu\text{g}$ wet weight/cm² is given, the values of other species is marked with "other" in each of the phyla.

According to the summarized data of algal species noted during the investigation on microphytobenthos in 1965, 1966 and 1967, at transversal sections "M", "K" and "G" in the south-western basin of the lake, with some exceptions, the maxima were observed in June, while in the north-eastern basin the higher values were recorded in May or September—October (Table 2).

The totalled values of algae show two maxima one in June, the other in May. In 1965, however, the maximum was in September at transversal sections "M" and "G" (Table 3).

The quantity of algal phyla and species found in the samples of 1965 are given in Tables 4—8, that of 1966 in Tables 9—13, while that of 1967 in Tables 14—18.

Comparing the number of species, individuals and biomass values (Table 19), we can see that the maximum number of individuals and biomass occurred in May, 1967 at transversal section Balatonalmádi—Balatonvilágos (1 304 individuals and $9.47 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$). *Nitzschia sigmoidea* amounted to nearly half of the biomass, while *Cymatopleura elliptica* to a quarter. *Surirella robusta* var. *splendida* and *Stenopterobia pelagica* species were driven down to the bottom mud from the water column due the strong wave-action. The species of small size and volume were comparatively very low in density. The second and third highest values were found in the biomass values of 1967. More than two-thirds of the June value ($7.47 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) at transversal section Ságpuszta—Balatonszemes consisted of *Nitzschia sigmoidea* and *Cymatopleura elliptica* the species of small size and volume were in rather low density. The third highest value ($6.36 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) was also observed in May, 1967 at transversal section "A" (between Balatonfüred and Zamárdi): one-third consisted of *Cymatopleura elliptica*, one-third of *Nitzschia sigmoidea*-*Surirella robusta* var. *splendida* and one-third of other species of small size and volume.

The lowest density during the years was always noted in the October (9) samples (Table 19).

Comparing the yearly total wet weight biomass of algae in the openwater bottom mud, we can see that the mean values for 1972 are nearly twice as high as those in the previous years, but three or several times higher values have also been recorded (transversal section "G" 4.6 — 10.1 — $39.8 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$). In 1965 and 1966 the lowest values were at transversal section Ságpuszta—Balatonszemes, while in 1967 a minimum of $32.8 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$ was noted at transversal section "K".

When comparing the number of individuals and biomass values of phytoplankton in the sixties, the maximum and minimum values do not always

TABLE 2

The number of species of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton during the 1960s

	M			K			G			A			E		
	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967
IV.			25			19			19			29			19
V.		35	20		33	21		39	20		42	21		47	24
VI.	21	32	21	26	38	24	20	41	30	26	34	28	20	36	19
VII.	11	35	21	15	32	18	19	33	20	26	26	24	18	34	23
VIII.	18	26	20	14	24	26	9	23	28	19	25	23	23	31	23
IX.	11	27	20	10	32	21	12	29	20	26	33	22	29	33	23
X.	14	19	17	12	23	21	13	25	20	14	23	33	13	26	23
XI.		22			21			18			23			15	
Average	15	28	21	15	29	21	15	30	22	22	29	26	21	32	22

TABLE 3

The number of individuals of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton during the 1960s
(*i/cm*²)

	M			K			G			A			E		
	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967
IV.			669			488			518			469			804
V.		438	894		394	400		188	750		561	930		573	1304
VI.	106	295	520	267	319	806	100	248	895	392	451	679	143	122	273
VII.	130	355	512	384	188	292	142	128	484	519	317	910	375	292	387
VIII.	509	153	293	165	156	463	55	367	971	978	287	490	160	626	413
IX.	1160	525	654	354	245	524	99	200	262	624	334	343	281	224	728
X.	650	432	223	216	115	171	83	121	106	230	306	484	43	134	349
XI.		116			210			92			180			37	
Average	511	331	552	277	232	449	96	192	569	549	348	615	200	287	608

TABLE 4

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton in 1965
between Gyenesdiás and the mouth of River Zala (M) $10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$

	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta					
Total	0.5	0.5	0.1	—	—
Euglenophyta	0.1	—	—	—	0.1
Pyrrophyta					
Total	—	—	—	—	—
Chrysophyta					
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	4.8	3.7	9.5	7.9	13.8
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	—	60.0	45.0	15.0	—
<i>Gyrosigma distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	—	—	—	—	22.1
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	—	—	126.4	184.9	3.4
<i>M. granulata</i> var. <i>angustissima</i>	—	—	4.4	22.5	17.0
<i>Nitzschia sigmaidea</i>	1.4	31.6	1.4	—	1.4
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12.5	12.5	—	—	—
Other	7.1	11.3	9.1	11.0	19.4
Total	25.8	119.1	195.8	241.3	77.1
Chlorophyta					
Total	1.3	—	0.4	—	—
Total algae	27.7	119.6	196.3	241.3	77.2

TABLE 5

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Szigliget and Balatonmária (K) in 1965 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta					
<i>Gomphosphaeria lacustris</i>	—	24.8	—	—	—
Other	3.4	0.3	—	0.5	0.4
Total	3.4	25.1	—	0.5	0.4
Euglenophyta					
Total	—	—	6.3	—	—
Chrysophyta					
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	40.8	6.3	6.3	7.9	28.6
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	—	135.0	105.0	—	15.0
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	—	—	19.8	74.4	—
<i>Nitzschia sigmaidea</i>	4.1	53.2	5.5	—	8.2
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	50.0	12.5	—	—
Other	21.6	12.9	12.6	10.3	9.7
Total	66.5	257.4	161.7	92.6	61.5
Chlorophyta					
Total	1.4	0.9	—	—	0.3
Total algae	71.3	283.4	168.0	93.1	62.2

TABLE 6

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Ságpusztá and Balatonszemes (G) in 1965 ($10^4\mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta					
Total	1.0	12.7	0.5	0.2	0.3
Pyrrophyta					
<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	24.4	—	—	—	—
Other	4.5	—	—	—	—
Total	28.9	—	—	—	—
Chrysophyta					
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	1.6	3.2	1.6	21.0	1.6
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	—	45.0	—	—	—
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	—	1.4	—	4.1	16.5
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	—	—	50.0	—
Other	5.5	12.2	6.4	7.2	8.8
Total	7.1	61.8	8.0	82.3	26.9
Chlorophyta					
Total	0.5	1.0	—	—	—
Total algae	37.5	75.5	8.5	82.5	27.2

TABLE 7

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonfüred and Zamárdi in 1965 ($10^4\mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta					
<i>Aphanothece clathrata</i> var. <i>brevis</i>	—	10.8	—	—	—
Other	0.9	0.3	0.5	1.6	0.5
Total	0.9	11.1	0.5	1.6	0.5
Euglenophyta					
<i>Euglena oxyuris</i>	135.0	—	—	—	—
Other	—	4.1	—	1.8	—
Total	135.0	4.1	—	1.8	—
Chrysophyta					
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	98.5	40.8	9.5	45.0	27.0
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	15.0	45.0	45.0	—	15.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	38.7	9.2	7.1	6.1	6.1
<i>Fragilaria construens</i>	1.2	1.4	26.5	11.4	3.7
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	—	—	17.6	—	—
<i>Navicula gracilis</i>	9.3	1.8	—	11.9	0.4
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	—	53.2	13.7	12.4	13.7
<i>Stauroneis phoenicenteron</i>	—	24.0	—	—	—
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	37.5	37.5	12.5	—	—
Other	28.1	8.7	12.9	19.5	9.9
Total	228.3	221.6	144.8	106.3	75.8
Chlorophyta					
Total	1.8	2.1	1.5	1.8	—
Total algae	366.0	238.9	146.8	111.5	76.3

TABLE 8

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonalmádi and Balatonvilágos (E) in 1965 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta					
<i>Coelosphaerium kuetzingianum</i>	—	24.8	—	0.6	0.2
Other	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.8	1.2
Total	1.2	25.3	0.3	1.4	1.4
Euglenophyta					
<i>Euglena oxyuris</i>	—	27.9	—	—	—
Other	—	—	1.2	—	—
Total	—	27.9	1.2	—	—
Chrysophyta					
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	6.3	7.9	5.3	10.6	0.5
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	45.0	90.0	15.0	60.0	—
<i>C. solea</i>	—	—	25.5	—	—
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	1.0	9.2	6.1	10.2	1.0
<i>Gyrosigma distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	0.8	9.8	4.9	13.3	0.8
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	2.7	—	2.7	23.4	—
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	—	42.6	16.5	16.5	4.1
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	50.0	112.5	187.5	50.0	—
Other	9.1	4.5	19.5	23.7	2.7
Total	114.9	276.5	283.0	207.7	9.1
Chlorophyta					
Total	1.1	4.4	0.6	2.6	0.1
Total algae	117.2	334.1	285.1	211.7	10.6

TABLE 9

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Gyenesdiás and the mouth of River Zala (M) in 1966 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	XI.
Cyanophyta							
<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	—	10.0	10.0	—	10.0	—	—
Other	0.6	0.4	2.8	0.4	2.1	0.1	0.2
Total	0.6	10.4	12.8	0.4	12.1	0.1	0.2
Euglenophyta							
Total	—	—	—	—	0.2	—	—
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	11.6	2.6	1.6	2.6	2.6	—	3.2
<i>A. ovalis</i> var. <i>pediculus</i>	13.2	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.1
<i>Cyclotella bodanica</i>	1.6	2.2	—	0.5	—	13.0	—
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	30.0	15.0	15.0	45.0	30.0	60.0	30.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	18.3	4.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	—	1.0
<i>Gyrosigma kuetzingii</i>	21.6	2.7	2.7	7.2	9.9	0.9	1.8
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	24.9	16.3	67.9	15.9	33.1	33.1	5.2
<i>Navicula placentula</i>	12.4	2.3	1.4	1.4	6.9	0.5	1.4
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	26.1	28.8	12.3	4.1	12.3	5.5	12.4
<i>Surirella biseriata</i>	—	—	14.1	—	—	—	—
<i>S. robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12.5	12.5	25.0	12.5	12.5	—	12.5
Other	26.4	23.4	22.2	4.1	20.2	21.8	12.5
Total	198.6	110.7	163.7	94.7	129.2	134.9	80.1
Chlorophyta							
Total	0.2	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total algae	199.4	122.4	176.6	95.2	141.6	135.1	80.4

TABLE 10

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Szigliget and Balatonmária (K) in 1966 ($10^4\mu^3/cm^2$)

	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	XI.
Cyanophyta							
<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	—	10.0	—	—	—	—	—
Other	4.1	4.5	3.4	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.4
Total	4.1	14.5	3.4	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.4
Euglenophyta							
Total	10.1	—	9.9	—	0.9	—	—
Pyrrophyta							
Total	—	—	—	—	—	5.3	5.3
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	17.5	3.2	13.2	15.4	10.6	3.7	6.9
<i>A. ovalis</i> var. <i>pediculus</i>	18.5	0.8	0.9	2.8	0.7	0.5	0.8
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	30.0	45.0	30.0	15.0	75.0	15.0	15.0
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	9.0	21.1	12.9	—	2.1	14.2	17.6
<i>Nitzschia sigmaidea</i>	12.4	28.8	6.9	1.4	26.1	6.9	4.1
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	25.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	—	—
Other	18.0	26.7	10.4	22.6	26.7	3.7	7.3
Total	105.4	150.6	86.8	69.7	153.7	44.0	51.7
Chlorophyta							
Total	—	1.2	0.6	—	2.4	1.1	0.9
Total algae	119.6	166.3	100.7	69.8	157.6	50.7	58.3

TABLE 11

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Ságpuszta and Balatonszemes (G) in 1966 ($10^4\mu^3/cm^2$)

	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	XI.
Cyanophyta							
<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	—	10.0	10.0	—	—	—	—
Other	0.8	0.7	0.7	4.3	2.4	0.8	0.4
Total	0.8	10.7	10.7	4.3	2.4	0.8	0.4
Euglenophyta							
Total	14.5	—	—	—	—	4.2	—
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	5.3	16.4	2.6	7.4	9.5	1.6	3.2
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	15.0	75.0	15.0	30.0	15.0	—	—
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	4.1	29.6	5.1	64.3	9.2	2.0	6.1
<i>D. puella</i>	2.3	4.0	5.2	11.8	2.5	0.1	0.1
<i>Gyrosigma kuetzingii</i>	26.1	0.9	—	1.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
<i>Nitzschia sigmaidea</i>	6.9	20.6	15.1	19.2	23.3	6.9	9.6
<i>Surirella elegans</i>	—	12.0	—	—	—	—	—
<i>S. robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12.5	25.0	12.5	12.5	—	—	—
Other	14.9	7.9	20.1	20.5	60.0	12.8	10.9
Total	87.1	201.4	75.6	167.5	70.4	24.3	30.8
Chlorophyta							
Total	0.6	0.5	0.9	—	1.3	0.1	0.2
Total algae	103.0	212.6	87.2	171.8	74.1	29.4	31.4

TABLE 12

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonfüred and Zamárdi (A) in 1966 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	XI.
Cyanophyta							
<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	—	—	10.0	—	—	—	—
Other	1.2	1.4	0.9	1.1	2.3	1.9	0.9
Total	1.2	1.4	10.9	1.1	2.3	1.9	0.9
Euglenophyta							
Total	—	—	—	4.2	0.4	—	—
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	23.8	16.4	2.1	1.6	6.4	3.2	4.8
<i>Campylodiscus noricus</i> var. <i>hibernica</i>	—	13.6	1.1	4.5	3.4	1.1	3.4
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	225.0	75.0	15.0	60.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	41.8	40.8	47.9	28.6	26.5	7.1	8.2
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i>	—	—	—	21.0	—	—	—
<i>G. distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	81.2	10.7	—	—	0.8	0.8	1.6
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	63.0	6.3	1.8	4.5	1.8	1.8	1.8
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	2.1	—	8.6	6.4	21.9	9.0	6.4
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	57.7	37.1	8.2	1.4	12.4	23.4	6.9
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	62.5	75.0	12.5	62.5	37.5	50.0	37.5
Other	35.3	32.9	15.3	22.8	21.1	11.3	7.0
Total	592.4	307.8	112.5	213.3	161.8	137.7	107.6
Chlorophyta							
Total	0.4	1.5	0.1	—	2.8	—	—
Total algae	594.0	310.7	123.5	218.6	167.3	139.6	108.5

TABLE 13

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonalmádi and Balatonvilágos (E) in 1966 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	XI.
Cyanophyta							
Total	2.9	0.4	0.7	1.5	2.2	2.0	0.3
Euglenophyta							
Total	11.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	13.2	2.1	6.4	7.9	11.7	0.5	2.1
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	60.0	15.0	30.0	210.0	15.0	—	—
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	18.4	17.3	22.4	71.4	20.4	9.2	—
<i>D. puella</i>	3.1	0.4	3.0	16.2	2.0	1.1	—
<i>Gyrosigma distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	27.1	3.3	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	—
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	21.6	4.5	18.9	14.4	3.6	8.1	0.9
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	3.4	3.0	11.2	0.8	17.6	6.4	—
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	122.3	15.1	27.5	67.3	21.9	4.1	5.5
<i>Surirella biseriata</i>	—	—	14.1	—	—	—	—
<i>S. robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12.5	50.0	62.5	100.0	12.5	—	—
Other	37.3	15.5	28.8	43.6	6.2	8.8	4.4
Total	318.9	126.2	225.0	532.4	111.7	39.0	12.9
Chlorophyta							
Total	5.4	1.3	1.4	0.3	3.4	0.2	0.1
Total algae	338.7	127.9	227.1	534.2	122.6	41.2	13.3

TABLE 14

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Gyenesdiás and the mouth of River Zala (M) in 1967 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta							
Total	0.1	—	0.4	0.7	0.1	2.2	7.4
Chrysophyta							
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	9.2	24.8	2.8	0.8	10.0	14.8	5.6
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	135.0	90.0	45.0	120.0	30.0	60.0	—
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	9.2	6.1	7.1	1.0	—	16.3	8.1
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i>	—	—	14.0	49.0	—	—	14.0
<i>G. distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	2.4	20.5	—	13.9	18.8	4.9	1.6
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	7.2	21.6	12.6	9.0	25.2	10.8	15.3
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	35.2	22.8	6.0	—	2.1	—	19.8
<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i>	1.4	1.2	1.6	1.1	0.6	12.9	0.4
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	8.9	18.4	5.7	10.7	3.5	3.7	2.6
<i>N. sigmaidea</i>	180.0	204.7	105.8	180.0	49.4	76.9	20.6
<i>Stenopterobia pelagica</i>	50.5	85.1	9.9	29.7	1.0	4.9	—
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	—	—	100.0	62.5	50.0	12.5
Other	18.2	10.7	18.9	10.6	15.6	16.0	6.9
Total	457.2	505.9	229.4	525.8	218.7	271.2	107.4
Total algae	457.3	505.9	229.8	526.5	218.7	273.4	114.8

TABLE 15

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Szigliget and Balatonmária (K) in 1967 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta							
Total	0.4	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.5	—	0.4
Euglenophyta							
Total	0.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chrysophyta							
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	60.0	60.0	75.0	225.0	195.0	105.0	15.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	2.0	7.1	26.5	1.0	8.1	5.1	2.0
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i>	—	—	—	—	7.0	35.0	—
<i>G. distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	1.6	4.1	—	1.6	15.6	0.8	—
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	1.8	4.5	12.6	2.7	4.5	4.5	2.7
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	5.6	8.6	3.0	10.7	39.6	15.5	6.4
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	9.4	10.2	9.4	3.1	3.2	10.0	1.7
<i>N. sigmaidea</i>	166.2	87.9	250.1	92.0	50.8	133.3	35.7
<i>Stenopterobia pelagica</i>	8.9	1.9	76.2	18.8	2.0	4.9	—
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	87.5	—	—	62.5	37.5	25.0
<i>S. turgida</i>	—	9.4	3.1	—	18.8	—	—
Other	13.0	6.4	26.0	10.2	17.4	22.6	4.3
Total	268.5	287.6	481.9	365.1	424.5	374.2	92.8
Chlorophyta							
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.2
Total algae	269.8	287.7	482.9	365.2	425.0	374.2	95.4

TABLE 16

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Ságpuszta and Balatonszemes (G) in 1967 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Total	0.6	0.6	2.6	0.5	1.7	1.3	1.6
Euglenophyta							
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.0
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	—	—	25.4	16.4	9.5	1.6	2.1
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	30.0	90.0	240.0	75.0	105.0	45.0	—
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	3.0	9.2	25.6	22.4	4.1	10.2	4.1
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i>	—	—	—	—	56.0	14.0	—
<i>G. distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	5.7	6.5	—	12.3	8.2	0.8	—
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	11.2	9.0	4.3	10.7	15.5	21.9	15.5
<i>Navicula placentula</i>	—	—	17.5	1.4	4.1	2.3	0.4
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	10.2	18.1	12.6	6.1	13.9	5.0	0.8
<i>N. sigmoidea</i>	224.0	218.5	332.5	114.0	357.9	4.1	4.1
<i>Stenopterobia pelagica</i>	36.6	61.4	34.6	12.9	30.7	—	—
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	—	25.0	12.5	150.0	—	—	—
<i>S. turgida</i>	—	—	15.7	—	3.1	—	—
Other	5.8	17.8	23.4	14.6	61.1	7.8	2.9
Total	326.5	455.5	744.1	435.8	669.4	112.7	29.9
Chlorophyta							
Total	0.1	0.1	0.3	—	4.6	0.1	2.2
Total algae	327.2	456.2	747.0	436.3	675.7	114.1	34.7

TABLE 17

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonfüred and Zamárdi (A) in 1967 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta							
Total	0.8	1.6	1.2	2.6	1.6	1.8	3.4
Pyrrophyta							
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.3
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	2.1	16.9	3.2	1.0	3.2	11.1	2.6
<i>Campylodiscus noricus</i> var. <i>hibernica</i>	11.3	12.4	—	—	13.5	1.1	10.2
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	90.0	210.0	45.0	345.0	60.0	45.0	15.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	37.7	22.4	22.4	6.1	24.5	9.2	2.0
<i>Fragilaria construens</i>	4.5	8.4	8.9	21.5	2.2	0.8	1.6
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i>	21.0	—	—	—	—	7.0	7.0
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	5.4	10.8	13.5	10.8	15.3	0.9	22.5
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	11.2	21.9	33.1	4.3	77.4	48.6	33.1
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	3.1	10.7	4.1	5.3	2.0	3.1	2.4
<i>N. sigmoidea</i>	77.0	175.9	97.5	72.8	17.8	31.6	49.5
<i>Stenopterobia pelagica</i>	1.0	11.9	3.0	7.9	—	—	2.0
<i>Surirella elegans</i>	12.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>S. robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12.5	112.5	37.5	37.5	62.5	—	100.0
<i>S. turgida</i>	—	—	3.1	6.3	—	—	3.1
Other	21.3	20.8	11.9	22.0	7.9	8.8	26.2
Total	310.1	634.6	283.2	540.5	289.3	167.2	277.2
Chlorophyta							
Total	0.6	—	1.2	0.7	0.1	0.2	—
Total algae	311.5	636.2	285.6	543.8	291.0	169.2	285.9

TABLE 18

The biomass of microphytobenthos in Lake Balaton
between Balatonalmádi and Balatonvilágos (E) in 1967 ($10^4 \mu^3/\text{cm}^2$)

	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.
Cyanophyta							
Total	1.0	1.4	1.5	0.9	1.1	3.2	3.8
Chrysophyta							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	2.6	10.1	2.6	4.8	6.3	7.4	2.6
<i>Campylodiscus noricus</i> var. <i>hibernica</i>	10.2	10.1	—	3.4	19.2	—	15.8
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>	60.0	225.0	60.0	165.0	135.0	45.0	45.0
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	51.0	42.8	5.1	10.2	10.2	70.4	5.1
<i>D. puella</i>	7.0	15.3	—	2.5	1.8	12.3	0.4
<i>Gyrosigma distortum</i> var. <i>parkeri</i>	13.1	27.9	—	16.4	6.5	—	—
<i>G. kuetzingii</i>	—	29.2	12.6	9.0	4.5	3.6	21.6
<i>Melosira granulata</i>	28.8	6.4	14.2	4.3	61.9	59.8	53.3
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	14.9	21.8	4.2	6.6	4.3	11.4	2.3
<i>N. sigmoidea</i>	346.2	438.3	63.2	63.2	35.7	90.7	28.8
<i>Stenopterobia pelagica</i>	4.0	21.8	—	8.9	—	1.0	—
<i>Surirella robusta</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	37.5	75.0	62.5	37.5	75.0	12.5	—
Other	9.6	22.2	5.5	20.7	6.7	26.5	3.1
Total	584.9	945.9	229.9	352.5	367.1	340.6	178.0
Chlorophyta							
Total	—	0.1	—	1.3	—	0.6	4.4
Total algae	584.9	947.4	231.4	354.7	368.2	344.4	186.2

occur at the same transversal section and at the same time. The phytoplanktonic and microphytobenthic population changes monthly and yearly according to regions, thus a sequence of different species may be established.

Summary

The author carried out qualitative and quantitative investigations on the horizontal microphytobenthos in 1965, 1966 and 1967.

In 1967 the maximum number of individuals was 1304 i/cm², that of biomass 9.47 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. Nearly half of the biomass comprised *Nitzschia sigmoidea*

TABLE 19

The biomass change of microphytobenthos in Lake

	M			K		
	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967
IV.			45.7			26.9
V.		19.9	50.5		11.9	28.7
VI.	2.8	12.2	22.9	7.1	16.6	48.2
VII.	12.0	17.6	52.6	28.3	10.0	36.5
VIII.	19.6	9.5	21.8	16.8	6.9	42.5
IX.	24.1	14.1	27.3	9.3	15.7	37.4
X.	7.7	13.5	11.4	6.2	5.0	9.5
XI.		8.0			5.8	
Average	13.2	13.5	33.2	13.5	10.3	32.8

and a quarter of *Cymatopleura elliptica*. The species of small size and volume yielded very low values. In May, the biomass value at transversal section Ságpuszta—Balatonszemes was $7.47 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$, while between Balatonfüred and Zamárdi $6.32 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. At both places, the diatoms of large size and volume were represented in considerable quantities.

During the years investigated the author noted nine lowest biomass values on the basis of samples taken in October.

Comparing the 1967 mean biomass values they are two, three or several times higher than those in the previous years.

In 1965 and 1966 the lowest mean values were measured between Ságpuszta and Balatonszemes (4.6 and $10.1 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$), while the average minimum in 1967 ($32.8 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$) was observed at transversal section "K", between Szigliget and Balatonmária.

REFERENCES

- BIRÓ K. (1968): The nematodes of Lake Balaton. II. The nematodes of open water mud in the Keszthely Bay. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **35**, 109—116.
- BIRÓ K. (1973): Nematodes of Lake Balaton. IV. Seasonal qualitative and quantitative changes. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **40**, 135—158.
- ENTZ B. (1954): A Balaton termelésbiológiai problémái. — Produktionsbiologische Probleme des Balaton. — *MTA Biol. és Orvosi Tud. Oszt. Közl.* **5**, 433—461.
- ENTZ B. (1964): Ernährungs-Untersuchungen an Chironomiden des Balaton I. Quantitative Ernährungs-Untersuchungen an Larven von *Chironomus plumosus* L. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **31**, 165—175.
- ENTZ B., F. LUKACSOVICS (1957): Vizsgálatok a téli félév néhány balatoni hal táplálkozási, növekedési és szaporodási viszonyainak megismerésére. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **24**, 71—86.
- ENTZ B., E. PONYI, G. TAMÁS (1963): Sedimentuntersuchungen im südwestlichen Teile des Balaton, in der Bucht von Keszthely in 1962. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **30**, 103—125.
- ENTZ B., G. TAMÁS (1952): „Kvantitatív haltáplálékvizsgálatok a Balaton téli fenékiszapjában” címmel a *Hidrobiológiai Társaság Limnológiai Szakosztályán* 1952. áprilisában tartott előadás.
- HERODEK S., G. TAMÁS (1973): The primary production of phytoplankton in Lake Balaton April—September 1972. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **40**, 207—218.
- HERODEK S., G. TAMÁS (1974): The primary production of phytoplankton in Lake Balaton October 1972—March 1973. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **41**, 205—216.

Balaton in the 1960s (mg wet weight/m²)

G			A			E		
1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967	1965	1966	1967
		32.7			31.1			58.5
	10.3	45.6		59.4	63.6		33.8	94.7
3.7	21.2	74.7	36.6	31.0	28.5	11.7	12.7	23.1
7.5	8.7	43.6	23.8	12.3	54.3	33.4	22.7	35.4
0.8	17.1	67.5	14.6	21.8	29.1	28.5	53.4	36.8
8.2	7.4	11.4	11.1	16.7	16.9	21.1	12.2	34.4
2.7	2.9	3.4	7.6	13.9	28.5	1.0	4.1	18.6
	3.1			10.8			1.3	
4.6	10.1	39.8	18.5	23.7	36.0	19.1	20.0	43.0

- KOL E. (1938): Bodenalgen des Balaton-Sees I. — *Magyar Biol. Kut. Munk.* **10**, 161—170.
- PANTOCSEK J. (1902): A Balaton kovamoszatai. — Kieselalgen des Balaton-Sees. — *Balaton tud. Tanulm. Eredményei* **2**, 1—144.
- PANTOCSEK J. (1902 a): Die Bacillarien des Balaton-Sees. — *Res. wiss. Erforsch. d. Balaton-Sees.* — *Wien* **2**, 1—144.
- PONYI E. (1966): Tájékoztató vizsgálatok a Balaton nyíltvize iszaplakó rákjainak minőségi és mennyiségi viszonyairól. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **33**, 177—192.
- PONYI J., K. BIRÓ, N. P.-ZÁNKAI (1967): A Balaton iszaplakó állatainak gyűjtéstechnikája és problémái. — *Állatt. Közl.* **54**, 129—134.
- SEBESTYÉN O. (1954): Mennyiségi plankton tanulmányok a Balatonon III. Pelagikus Dinoflagellaták biomasszája. (Módszertani tanulmány.) — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **22**, 185—197.
- TAMÁS G. (1955): Mennyiségi plankton tanulmányok a Balatonon. VI. A negyvenes évek fitoplanktonjának biomasszája. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **23**, 95—109.
- TAMÁS G. (1966): Tájékoztató jellegű algológiai vizsgálatok a Balaton fenékiszapján az 1965. évi gyűjtések alapján. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **33**, 193—210.
- TAMÁS G. (1967): Quantitative algologische Untersuchungen im Bodenschlamm des Balaton-Sees auf Grund der Sammlungen des Jahres 1966. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **34**, 233—254.
- TAMÁS G. (1968): Quantitative Untersuchungen des Mikrophytobentos aus dem Eprofundal des Balaton-Sees auf Grund der Sammlung des Jahres 1967. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **35**, 227—246.
- TAMÁS G. (1971): Quantitative investigations on microphytobenthos in 25 transversal sections of Lake Balaton. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **38**, 269—283.
- TAMÁS G. (1974): The biomass changes of phytoplankton in Lake Balaton during the 1960s. — *Annal. Biol. Tihany* **41**, 323—342.

A BALATON MIKROFITOBENTOSZÁNAK BIOMASSZA VÁLTOZÁSA A HATVANAS ÉVEKBEN

Tamás Gizella

Összefoglalás

Szerző az 1965, 1966 és 1967 évi horizontális mikrofitobentosz minőségi és mennyiségi vizsgálatát végezte el.

Az egyedszám és biomassza maximum 1967 év májusában cm^2 -re 1304 egyed és $9,47 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. A biomasszának csaknem felét a *Nitzschia sigmoidea*, negyedrészt pedig az ugyancsak nagytestű és térfogatú *Cymatopleura elliptica* tette ki. A kis termettel és tömeggel bíró fajok csak igen alacsony értékkel szerepeltek ebben az együttesben. Ságpuszta—Balatonszemes 1967 év júniusi értéke $7,47 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$, az ez év májusi Balatonfüred—Zamárdi szelvényen $6,32 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. Mindkét együttesben a nagytermetű és térfogatú kovamoszatok voltak jelentős mértékben képviselve.

A vizsgálati évek 9 legalacsonyabb biomassza számait az októberi mintákból jegyezte fel.

A biomassza értékek átlagait összevetve az 1967 évi helyenként mintegy két-, három- vagy még többszöröse az előző évekének.

Az 1965 és 1966 évben a legalacsonyabb átlagokat a Ságpuszta—Balatonszemes harántszelvényen $4,6$ és $10,1 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$, míg az 1967 évi átlag minimum a K szelvényen Szigliget—Balatonmária térségében $32,8 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$ volt.