

## REMARKS ON A PAPER OF PÓSA

by  
P. ERDŐS

This note will use the terminology of Pósa's paper.  $G_l^{(n)}$  will denote a graph of  $n$  vertices and  $l$  edges and  $G_l^{(n)}(k)$  denotes a graph of having  $n$  vertices  $l$  edges and every vertex of which has valency  $\geq k$ . ORE [2] proved that if  $l \geq \binom{n-1}{2} + 2$  then every  $G_l^{(n)}$  is Hamiltonian, and he showed that the result is false for  $l = \binom{n-1}{2} + 1$ . Now I prove the following more general

**Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq k < n/2$ . Put*

$$(1) \quad l_k = 1 + \max_{k \leq t < \frac{n}{2}} \left[ \binom{n-t}{2} + t^2 \right] =$$

$$1 + \max \left[ \binom{n-k}{2} + k^2, \binom{n - \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor^2 \right].$$

*Then every  $G_{l_k}^{(n)}(k)$  is Hamiltonian. There further exists a  $G_{l_k-1}^{(n)}(k)$  which is not Hamiltonian.*

First of all observe that by the theorem of DIRAC (see the preceding paper of PÓSA) if every vertex of  $G$  has valency  $\geq n/2$  then  $G$  is Hamiltonian, thus the condition  $1 \leq k < n/2$  can be assumed without loss of generality.

Next a simple computation shows that  $\binom{n-t}{2} + t^2$  decreases for  $1 \leq t \leq (n-2)/3$  and increases for  $(n-2)/3 < t < n/2$ , which proves the second equality of (1).

Now we are ready to prove our Theorem. If our  $G^{(n)}(k)$  is not Hamiltonian then by the Theorem of PÓSA there exists a  $t$ ,  $k \leq t < n/2$  so that  $G^{(n)}(k)$  has at least  $t$  vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  of valency not exceeding  $t$ . The number of edges of  $G^{(n)}(k)$  which are not incident to any of the vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  is clearly at most  $\binom{n-t}{2}$  (i. e. if the vertices of  $G^{(n)}(k)$  are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  we obtain  $\binom{n-t}{2}$  edges if every two of the vertices  $x_{j_1}$  and  $x_{j_2}$ ,  $t < j_1 < j_2 \leq n$  are connected

by an edge). The number of edges incident to one of the vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  is at most  $t^2$  (since each of them has valency  $\leq t$ ). Thus our  $G^{(n)}(k)$  has at most  $\binom{n-t}{2} + t^2$  edges for some  $k \leq t < n/2$  i. e. it can have at most  $l_k - 1$  edges which proves (1).

To complete our proof we show that (1) is best possible. Let the vertices of  $G_{t-1}^{(n)}(t)$  be  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Its edges are:

$$(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}), \quad t < j_1 < j_2 \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad (x_i, x_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq t < j \leq 2t < n.$$

A simple argument shows that our  $G_{t-1}^{(n)}(t)$  is not Hamiltonian (it clearly has  $l_t - 1$  edges). It is easy to see that every  $G_{t-1}^{(n)}(t)$  which is not Hamiltonian has this structure. (If  $t = (n-1)/2$  ( $n$  odd) by PÓSA's theorem we can assume that there are  $t+1 = (n+1)/2$  vertices of valency  $\leq t$  but by  $\binom{t+1}{2} + t^2 = \binom{t}{2} + t(t+1)$  we do not obtain a better result by utilising this  $(t+1)$ -st vertex).

It is easy to see that the argument of PÓSA's paper gives the following.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G^{(n)}$  be a graph and assume that for every  $1 \leq k < (n-1)/2$   $G^{(n)}$  has at most  $k$  vertices of valency  $\leq k$ . Then  $G^{(n)}$  has an open Hamilton line. The theorem is best possible.*

The proof can be left to the reader of PÓSA's paper. Using this result we obtain by the same argument as used in this paper that every  $G_{\mu_k}^{(n)}(k)$  with

$$\mu_k = 1 + \max_{k \leq t < \frac{n-1}{2}} \left[ \binom{n-t-1}{2} + t(t+1) \right]$$

has an open Hamilton line. The theorem is best possible. Finally we mention that by the method of this paper we can prove the following sharpening of Lemma (3.2) of [1]. Let  $G^{(n)}$  be a graph with the vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $2 \leq k < n/2$ . Assume that  $v(x_1) \geq k$  and that there is a circuit containing the vertices  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ . Then if  $G^{(n)}$  has  $\geq l_k$  edges it is Hamiltonian. The result is best possible. We leave the simple proof to the reader.

(Received August 2, 1962)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ERDŐS, P.—GALLAI, T.: "On maximal paths and circuits of graphs." *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **10**(1959)337—356.
- [2] ORE, O.: "Arc coverings of graphs." *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata.* **IV.** 55(1961)315—321.
- [3] PÓSA, L.: "A theorem concerning Hamilton lines." *Publications of the Math. Inst.* **7**(1962) A. 225—226



## ЗАМЕЧАНИЯ ОБ ОДНОЙ СТАТЬЕ ПÓСА

P. ERDŐS

Для тех графов, которые не содержат петель и кратных ребер, исходя из одной теоремы Пóса [3] автор доказывает следующее

**Теорема.** Пусть  $1 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}$  и

$$l_k = 1 + \max_{k \leq t < \frac{n}{2}} \left[ \binom{n-t}{2} + t^2 \right] =$$

$$= 1 + \max \left[ \binom{n-k}{2} + k^2, \binom{n - \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor^2 \right].$$

Тогда в каждом графе  $G$ , который имеет  $n$  точек и в котором степень каждой точки  $\geq k$  и число ребер равно  $l_k$ , существует Гамильтонова линия, то есть окружность, содержащая все точки  $G$ . Теорема точна.