

ON A "BIG DEVIATIONS" PROBLEM

by
JÓZSEF PERGEL

A result of Yu V. LINNIK [1] states that if ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are independent random variables with the same distribution function $F(x)$ of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &= \frac{A_a}{|x|^a} + \dots + \frac{A_{4a+5}}{|x|^{4a+5}} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{4a+5+\varepsilon}}\right); \text{ for } x \rightarrow -\infty \\ 1 - F(x) &= \frac{A_a}{x^a} + \dots + \frac{A_{4a+5}}{x^{4a+5}} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{4a+5+\varepsilon}}\right); \text{ for } x \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(a integer, $a > 3, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$)

and the density function exists, then, denoting by $F_n(x)$ the distribution function of the variable

$$(2) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - n\mathbf{E}(\xi_1)}{\sqrt{n} \mathbf{D}(\xi_1)}$$

we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{F_n(x)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du + r(x, \sqrt{n})} &\rightarrow 1 \quad (x \leq -1); \\ \frac{1 - F_n(x)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du + r(x, \sqrt{n})} &\rightarrow 1 \quad (x \geq 1) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in x where $r(x, \sqrt{n})$ is a rational function of both variables. Furthermore, if $x > n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{a} + \varepsilon}$, then

$$(4) \quad 1 - F_n(x) \sim n(1 - F(x\sqrt{n})).$$

Yu. V. LINNIK raised also the problem of finding an analogue of this theorem, for the case when $F(x)$ is of the form:

$$(5) \quad 1 - F(x) = \int_a^{a+5} \frac{dG(v)}{x^v} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{4a+5+\varepsilon}}\right), \text{ for } x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$F(x) = \int_a^{a+5} \frac{dG(v)}{|x|^v} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{4a+5+\varepsilon}}\right), \text{ for } x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $G(v)$ is a functions of bounded variation. In the present note this problem is solved. We assume that $\int_a^{a+\varepsilon} d|G(v)| > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We state and prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be independent random variables with a common distribution function $F(x)$ of the form (5) and let us suppose that the density function exists. Then (3) and (4) are valid, but $r(x, \sqrt{n})$ is replaced by a function of the form

$$(6) \quad R(x, n) = \int_m^M \frac{1}{n^v x^{v-1}} q(x, v) dK(v)$$

where $q(x, v)$ is a rational function of x bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and a continuous function of v as $K(v)$ is a function of bounded variation.

Proof. For sake of simplicity, let us suppose, that the variables ξ_i are symmetrical, i.e. $\mathbf{P}(\xi_i > x) = \mathbf{P}(\xi_i < -x)$ for $x > 0$ and that $\mathbf{D}^2(\xi_i) = 1$. It is easy to see, as in [1] that though the supposition of symmetry simplifies the calculations, it does not play a significant role.

Let $\varphi(t)$ be the characteristic function of ξ_i . Then

$$(7) \quad \varphi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x) = h_1(t) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x)$$

where $h_1(t)$ is an analytical function. As to the second term of (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x) = \int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} d \int_a^{4a+5} \frac{dG(v)}{x^v} + h_2(t),$$

here $h_2(t)$ is $4a + 5$ times differentiable. Now

$$(9) \quad \int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} d \int_a^{4a+5} \frac{dG(v)}{x^v} = \int_a^{4a+5} \left(\int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} d \left(\frac{1}{x^v} \right) \right) dG(v)$$

and

$$(10) \quad \int_1^{\infty} e^{itx} d \left(\frac{1}{x^v} \right) = -v \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{itx}}{x^{v+1}} dx = h_{1v}(t) + h_2(t) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{itx}}{x^{(v)}} dx$$

where $h_{j\nu}(t)$ ($j = 1, 2$) is a polynomial and $\{v\}$ is the fractional part of v .

$$(11) \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{itx}}{x^{\{v\}}} dx = h_{3\nu}(t) + t^{\{v\}-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{iy}}{y^{\{v\}}} dy$$

as $h_{2\nu}(t) = c(v)t^{\lfloor v \rfloor}$ we have

$$(12) \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{itx}}{x^{\nu+1}} dx = h_{4\nu}(t) + c(v)t^{\nu}.$$

Finally we obtain the following formula for the characteristic function $\varphi(t)$.

$$(13) \quad \varphi(t) = \int_b^B t^{\nu} dG_2(\nu) + O(t^{1a+5}), \quad (t > 0, t \rightarrow 0).$$

As it is easy to show, there exist b_1, B_1 and $G_3(\nu)$ such, that

$$(14) \quad \left(\int_b^B t^{\nu} dG_2(\nu) \right)^n = \int_{b_1}^{B_1} t^{\nu} dG_3(\nu).$$

According to our assumptions $\mathbf{M}(\xi_1) = 0$, $\mathbf{D}(\xi_1) = 1$, that is

$$(15) \quad \varphi(t) = 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + O(t^3) \quad (t > 0, t \rightarrow 0)$$

and thus it follows from (12) and (13), that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such, that for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$(16) \quad \log \varphi(t) = -\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_3^{B_3} t^{\nu} dG_4(\nu) + O(t^{1a+5}).$$

For $n \geq 2$ we have for the density $f_n(x)$ of (2)

$$(17) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\varphi(t))^n e^{-\sqrt{n}itx} dt = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\infty} (\varphi(t))^n e^{-\sqrt{n}itx} dt$$

as ξ_1 and hence $f_n(x)$ too, are symmetrical. In the same way, as in [1] it can be shown, that

$$(18) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}} (\varphi(t))^n e^{-\sqrt{n}itx} dt + O(e^{-\varepsilon_1(\log n)^2}).$$

From (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi(t))^n &= e^{n \log \varphi(t)} = e^{-n \frac{t^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(n \int_0^{B_3} t^{\nu} dH_k^*(\nu) \right)^k}{k!} = \\
 (19) \quad &= e^{-n \frac{t^2}{2}} + e^{-n \frac{t^2}{2}} \sum_{0 < k \leq N} n^k \int_0^{D_k} t^{\nu} dH_k(\nu) + O(t^{4a+5})
 \end{aligned}$$

where $H_k^*(\nu)$ and $H_k(\nu)$ are functions of bounded variation. Substituting the expression in (19) for $(\varphi(t))^n$ into (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-n \frac{t^2}{2}} \sum_{0 < k \leq N} n^k \int_0^{D_k} t^{\nu} dH_k(\nu) e^{-ixt} dt + \\
 (20) \quad &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + O(n^{-2a-2});
 \end{aligned}$$

changing the order of integration in (20) we obtain

$$(21) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + \sum_{0 < k \leq N} n^k \int_0^{D_k} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} t^{\nu} e^{-ixt} dt \right) dH_k(\nu) + O(n^{-2a-2}).$$

By substituting $t = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}$,

$$(22) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + \sum_{0 < k \leq N} \int_0^{D_k} \left(\int_0^{\log n} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{\nu} e^{-ix\tau} d\tau \right) dH_k(\nu) + O(n^{-2a-2}).$$

As

$$(23) \quad \int_0^{\log n} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2}} \tau^{\nu} d\tau = O\left(e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log n)^2}\right),$$

we have

$$(24) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + \sum_{0 < k \leq N} \int_0^{D_k} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{\nu} e^{-ix\tau} d\tau \right) dH_k(\nu) + O(n^{-2a-2}).$$

The following asymptotic expansion holds for the integral

$$(25) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2}} \tau^{\nu} e^{-ix\tau} d\tau = \frac{c_0}{x^{\nu-1}} + \dots + \frac{c_L}{x^{L+\nu}} + O\left(\frac{c_L}{x^{L+\nu+1}}\right)$$

(see [2] p. 61).

Finally we find for $f_n(x)$

$$(26) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + \sum_{0 < k \leq N} n^k \int_{3k}^{D_k} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left(\frac{c_0(\nu)}{x^{\nu-1}} + \dots + \frac{c_L(\nu)}{x^{L+\nu}} \right) dH_k(\nu) + \\ + O(n^{-2a-2}) + O\left(\frac{1}{n} x^{-L}\right)$$

where L is as large as we want.

It can be shown by the same method as in [1], that for $x < n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{a} + \varepsilon}$

$$(27) \quad 1 - F_n(x) \sim n(1 - F(x\sqrt{n}))$$

holds.

It follows from (26) and (27), as in [1], integrating (26) from x ($1 < x \leq n^2$) to n^2

$$(28) \quad 1 - F_n(x) = 1 - \varphi(x) + R(x, n) + O(n^{-2a}) + O\left(\frac{1}{n} x^{-L+1}\right)$$

where $R(x, n)$ is according to our statement of the form

$$(29) \quad R(x, n) = \int_m^M \frac{1}{n^\nu x^{\nu-1}} q(x, \nu) dk(\nu).$$

It follows from (28) and (27) that for $n^{\frac{7}{4}} < x < n^2$

$$(30) \quad R(x, n) \sim 1 - F_n(x) \sim n \int_a^{a+\varepsilon} (x\sqrt{n})^{-\nu} dG(\nu).$$

For (29) the relation (30) must hold for $x > n^2$ too. As for $x < n^2$ the error terms in (28) may be neglected in comparison with $1 - F_n(x)$ and for $x > n^{\frac{7}{4}}$ $1 - \Phi(x)$ is infinitely small in comparison with $1 - F_n(x)$, the theorem is proved.

(Received February 1, 1963)

REFERENCES

- [1] LINNIK, Yu. V.: "On the probability of large deviations for the sums of independent variables". *Proc. 4 th. Berkeley Symp. Mat. Stat. and Prob. Vol II.* pp. 289—306. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif. 1961.
 [2] ERDÉLYI, A.: *Asymptotic expansions.* Dover Publications, Inc.

ОБ ОДНОЙ ПРОБЛЕМЕ „БОЛЬШИХ УКЛОНЕНИЙ”

J. PERGEL

Резюме

Пусть ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n случайные величины с функцией распределения вида (5), то имеет место (3) равномерно на всей оси, где вместо функцией $r(x, \sqrt{n})$ пишется $R(x, n)$ вида (6), а $q(x, v)$ — рациональная функция от x , $K(v)$ — функция ограниченной вариации.