

## Supplementary information

**Table S1.** Summary statistics of the frond length datasets

**Table S2.** Parameters and correlation coefficients of the linear regression models describing Fv/Fm and Y(II) as the function of frond length

**Table S3.** Similarity of linear regression model slopes describing Fv/Fm and Y(II) as a function of frond size under different treatments

**Table S1.** Summary statistics of the frond length distributions based on the analyzed chlorophyll fluorescence images of the three duckweed species grown in either pure Steinberg’s medium (control), 4 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Cr(VI), or 2.5 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Ni, respectively. The data summarize results of 3 independent experiments with Cr(VI) and Ni for *S. polyrhiza* and *La. punctata*, and 2 independent experiments per heavy metal for *Le. minor*, respectively.

		<i>S. polyrhiza</i>			<i>La. punctata</i>			<i>Le. minor</i>		
		control	Cr(VI)	Ni	control	Cr(VI)	Ni	control	Cr(VI)	Ni
<b>number of fronds (n) (young/mature)</b>		131 (59/72)	45 (30/15)	60 (31/29)	132 (55/77)	38 (27/11)	45 (24/21)	107 (46/61)	32 (16/16)	32 (14/18)
<b>length</b>	<b>Min</b>	3.5	3.5	3.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.6
	<b>Max</b>	7.8	7.4	7.6	5.6	5.4	5.6	5.9	5.4	5.2
	<b>Mean</b>	6	5.7	5.8	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3
	<b>Std. error</b>	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	<b>Variance</b>	0.9	1.1	1.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.7
	<b>Stand. dev</b>	1	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8
	<b>Median</b>	6.3	5.6	6.1	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.6
	<b>25<sup>th</sup> prcntil</b>	5.4	5	4.8	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	4
	<b>75<sup>th</sup> prcntil</b>	6.7	6.6	6.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5
	<b>Mode</b>	6.3	5	7.2	4.8	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.3	5
	<b>Skewness</b>	-0.779	-0.25	-0.45	-0.788	-0.308	-0.605	-0.26	-0.046	-0.934

**Table S2.** Parameters and correlation coefficients of the ordinary least squares linear regression models describing potential (Fv/Fm) and actual photochemical efficiency (Y(II)) as the function of frond length, in fronds of untreated (control) duckweed cultures, and of cultures treated with either 4 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Cr(VI), or 2.5 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Ni, respectively.

			a (slope)	b (intercept)	Pearson's r	p (uncorrelated)
length vs Fv/Fm	<i>S. polyrhiza</i>	control	0.0091	0.7080	0.312	<0.001
		Cr(VI)	0.0482	0.3574	0.464	0.001
		Ni	-0.0090	0.6012	-0.172	0.189
	<i>La. punctata</i>	control	0.0026	0.7795	0.217	0.012
		Cr(VI)	-0.0172	0.7178	-0.351	0.031
		Ni	0.0162	0.6755	0.421	0.004
	<i>Le. minor</i>	control	0.0087	0.7296	0.261	0.007
		Cr(VI)	0.0337	0.4396	0.363	0.041
		Ni	0.0368	0.5669	0.591	<0.001
length vs Y(II)	<i>S. polyrhiza</i>	control	0.0144	0.4238	0.230	0.005
		Cr(VI)	0.0155	0.2501	0.316	0.011
		Ni	-0.0047	0.1907	-0.142	0.325
	<i>La. punctata</i>	control	0.0158	0.5606	0.595	<0.001
		Cr(VI)	-0.0132	0.3957	-0.196	0.267
		Ni	0.0391	0.3703	0.552	<0.001
	<i>Le. minor</i>	control	0.0167	0.5138	0.324	<0.001
		Cr(VI)	0.0086	0.3036	0.138	0.390
		Ni	0.0575	0.2153	0.700	<0.001

**Table S3.** F-values (lower triangles) of pairwise comparisons and probabilities (upper triangles) of similar slopes of ordinary least square linear regression models, comparing potential (Fv/Fm, left), and actual photochemical efficiency (Y(II), right) in fronds of untreated (control) duckweed cultures, and of cultures of the same species treated with 4 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Cr(VI), or 2.5 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Ni, respectively.

length vs Fv/Fm				length vs Y(II)				
<i>S. polyrhiza</i>	control			<i>S. polyrhiza</i>	control			
	control		<0.0001		control		0.6681	0.0068
	Cr	18.11			Cr	0.1844		0.0122
	Ni	9.494	16.05		Ni	7.472	6.494	
<i>La. punctata</i>	control			<i>La. punctata</i>	control			
	control		<0.0001		control		<0.0001	<0.0001
	Cr	19.65			Cr	16.52		0.0006
	Ni	14.81	13.53		Ni	13.53	12.72	
<i>Le. minor</i>	control			<i>Le. minor</i>	control			
	control		0.0160		control		0.3837	<0.0001
	Cr	5.948			Cr	0.7629		0.0018
	Ni	15.15	0.0310		Ni	14.85	10.49	