

Carlos López-Pinar, C., Esparza-Reig, J. & Bóthe, B.
Psychotherapy for Problematic Pornography Use: A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis

<https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2025.00018>

Supplementary materials

Supplementary Table A.1

PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Page 1
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Page 2
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Pages 3-5
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Page 6
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Page 7
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Page 8
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Page 8
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 8
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 8-9
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Page 9
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Page 9
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 9
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	Page 10
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	Page 10
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Page 10
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Page 10
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Page 10
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	Page 10-11
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	Page 11
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Page 10-11
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Page 10-11
RESULTS			

Supplementary Table A.1

PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Page 12, Figure 1
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	Figure 1, Table 1
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Table 1, Appendix B
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Figure 2, page 12-13
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Table 2
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Table 2
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	Table 2
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Pages 14, Appendix C
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Page 14
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Page 12-13, Appendix C
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Table 2
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Pages 16-20
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Page 20-21
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Page 20-21
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Pages 16-20
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Page 2
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Page 2
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Page 2
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Page 23
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Page 23
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	online

Supplementary Material B

References of articles included in the meta-analysis

- Böthe, B., Baumgartner, C., Schaub, M. P., Demetrovics, Z., & Orosz, G. (2021). Hands-off: Feasibility and preliminary results of a two-armed randomized controlled trial of a web-based self-help tool to reduce problematic pornography use. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 10(4), 1015–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2021.00070>
- Crosby, J. M., & Twohig, M. P. (2016). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for Problematic Internet Pornography Use: A Randomized Trial. *Behavior Therapy*, 47(3), 355–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2016.02.001>
- Dalooyi, S. I. S., Sharbaaf, H. A., Abdekhodaei, M. S., & Chamanabad, A. G. (2023). Effects of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy and Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation on Craving and Problematic Pornography Use in Young Men: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology*, 29(2), 124–141. <https://doi.org/10.32598/ijpcp.29.2.4585.1>
- Gola, M., & Potenza, M. N. (2016). Paroxetine treatment of problematic pornography use: A case series. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 5(3), 529–532. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.5.2016.046>
- Hardy, S. A., Ruchty, J., Hull, T. D., & Hyde, R. (2010). A preliminary study of an online psychoeducational program for hypersexuality. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 17(4), 247–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10720162.2010.533999>
- Hatch, S. G., Goodman, Z. T., Hatch, H. D., Le, Y., Guttman, S., & Doss, B. D. (2023). Web-Based Relationship Education and Pornography-Related Behaviors: A Single-Group Design During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 52(4), 1841–1853. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-022-02518-x>
- Hervías-Ortega, F., Romero López-Alberca, C., & Marchena Consejero, E. (2020). Adicción a la Pornografía en Internet: Análisis de un Caso Clínico. *Behavioral Psychology / Psicología Conductual*, 28, 161–180.
- Holas, P., Draps, M., Kowalewska, E., Lewczuk, K., & Gola, M. (2021). A pilot study of mindfulness-based relapse prevention for compulsive sexual behaviour disorder. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 9(4), 1088–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00075>
- Kellett, S., Simmonds-Buckley, M., & Totterdell, P. (2017). Testing the Effectiveness of Cognitive Analytic Therapy for Hypersexuality Disorder: An Intensive Time-Series Evaluation. *Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy*, 43(6), 501–516. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2016.1208129>
- Kraus, S. W., Meshberg-Cohen, S., Martino, S., Quinones, L. J., & Potenza, M. N. (2015). Treatment of Compulsive Pornography Use With Naltrexone: A Case Report. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 172(12), 1260–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15060843>
- Levin, M. E., Heninger, S. T., Pierce, B. G., & Twohig, M. P. (2017). Examining the Feasibility of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Self-Help for Problematic Pornography Viewing: Results From a Pilot Open Trial. *Family Journal*, 25(4), 306–312.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480717731242>

Minarcik, J. (2016). Proposed Treatment of Problematic Pornography Use: A Cognitive-Behavioral Approach [University of Arkansas, Fayetteville].

<https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19375.84649>

Orzack, M., Voluse, A. C., Wolf, D., & Hennen, J. (2006). An Ongoing Study of Group Treatment for Men Involved in Problematic Internet-Enabled Sexual Behavior. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 9(3), 348–360. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2006.9.348>

Pareek, S., Jain, G., & Gupta, R. K. (2023). Efficacy of Mindfulness Meditation as a Therapeutic Tool in Problematic Pornography Consumption. *Sexual Health and Compulsivity*, 30(4), 365–379. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26929953.2023.2270620>

Pluhar, E., Jhe, G., Tsappis, M., Bickham, D., & Rich, M. (2020). Adapting dialectical behavior therapy for treating problematic interactive media use. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*, 26(1), 63–70. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRA.0000000000000439>

Rodda, S. N., & Luoto, S. (2023). The Feasibility and Impact of a Brief Internet Intervention for Pornography Reduction. *Sexual Health and Compulsivity*, 30(1), 57–80.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/26929953.2022.2153772>

Scanavino, M. D. T., Guirado, A. G., Marques, J. M., Amaral, M. L. S. A. do, Messina, B., Reis, S. C. Dos, Barros, V. B., Abdo, C. H. N., Tavares, H., & Parsons, J. T. (2023). Treatment effects and adherence of sexually compulsive men in a randomized controlled trial of psychotherapy and medication. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 12(1), 261–277.

<https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2023.00004>

Sniewski, L., Krägeloh, C., Farvid, P., & Carter, P. (2022). Meditation as an intervention for men with self-perceived problematic pornography use: A series of single case studies. *Current Psychology*, 41(8), 5151–5162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-020-01035-1>

Todorovic, L., Huisman, M., & Ostafin, B. D. (2024). Targeting Mechanisms for Problematic Pornography Use Interventions. *Sexual Health and Compulsivity*, 31(1), 1–28.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/26929953.2023.2272613>

Twohig, M. P., & Levin, M. E. (2017). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy as a Treatment for Anxiety and Depression: A Review. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 40(4), 751–770.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2017.08.009>

Supplementary Table C.1

Publication bias analyses results

Outcome	Between-group outcomes					Within-subject					Single-case designs				
	Trim and Fill					Trim and Fill					Trim and Fill				
	k	Studies imputed	Adjusted SMD	95% CI	Egger test	k	Studies imputed	Adjusted SMD	95% CI	Egger test	k	Studies imputed	Adjusted LRR	95% CI	Egger test
Problematic pornography use	3	0	1.05	0.59/1.50	-0.13	7	0	0.93	0.66/1.20	0.93	6	1	0.31	0.25/0.37	2.33
Frequency/Duration of pornography use	Not enough studies					10	0	0.94	0.58/1.30	1.50	35	7	0.77	0.45/1.09	1.98
Frequency/Duration of pornography use (Follow-up)	-	-	-	-	-	3	0	1.07	0.75/1.40	-3.44	-	-	-	-	-
Craving	5	0	0.31	0.05/0.57	5.26*	6	0	1.18	0.97/1.40	-3.27	7	1	0.34	0.27/0.40	0.58
Sexual compulsivity	3	0	1.02	0.74/1.30	0.84	4	0	0.97	0.70/1.25	-0.19	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual compulsivity (Follow-up)						3	2	0.85	0.58/1.12	3.85					
Anxiety	-	-	-	-	-	Not enough studies					-	-	-	-	-
Depression	-	-	-	-	-	Not enough studies					-	-	-	-	-
Quality of life	-	-	-	-	-	3	0	0.52	-0.01/1.04	3.14	-	-	-	-	-
Quality of life (follow-up)	-	-	-	-	-	5	2	0.50	0.25/0.75	1.49	-	-	-	-	-

Note. * = $p > 0.05$; ** = $p > 0.01$; *** = $p > 0.001$; SMD = standardized mean difference; LRR = log response ratio

Supplementary Table C.2*Statistically significant subgroup analyses results*

Outcome	Variable	Q test (<i>p</i> value)	Subgroup	k	SMD	95% CI
Craving (Between-groups)	Therapy type	15.34 (< 0.01)	CBT	1	1.07	0.58/1.56
			Third wave	1	0.05	-0.13/0.23
			Others	3	0.24	0.14/0.34
Craving (Within-subject)	Therapy type	10.50 (0.01)	CBT	2	0.66	0.29/1.04
			Third wave	1	1.23	1.03/1.43
			Others	3	1.33	1.19/1.46
Problematic pornography use (Within-subject)	Therapy delivered by...	8.02 (0.02)	Therapist	2	0.48	0.10/0.86
			Self-applied	4	1.14	0.81/1.46
			Combined	1	1.19	0.72/1.18
	Intervention delivery	5.67 (0.02)	Face-to-face	2	0.48	0.10/0.86
			Online	2	1.13	0.76/1.51

Note. SMD = standardized means difference; CI = confidence intervals

Supplementary Table C.3

Meta-regression results

Outcome	Covariates															
	Percentage of men				Age of participants				Number of sessions				Percentage of religious			
	β	SE	p	R^2	β	SE	p	R^2	β	SE	p	R^2	β	SE	p	R^2
Problematic pornography use (Within-subject)	-0.05	0.04	0.18	0.44	0.01	0.03	0.97	0	-0.01	0.07	0.99	0	-	-	-	-
Frequency/duration of pornography use (Within-subject)	0.04	0.02	0.15	0	0.01	0.04	0.73	0	0.05	0.06	0.42	0	0.01	0.01	0.33	0.05
Frequency/duration of pornography use (Single-case designs)	Problems of collinearity				0.01	0.02	0.76	0	0.03	0.06	0.66	0	-	-	-	-
Sexual compulsivity (Within-subject)	Problems of collinearity				0.02	0.04	0.60	0	-0.04	0.08	0.62	0	-	-	-	-

Note. SE = standard error

Supplementary Figure C.1

Funnel-plot from SCD frequency/duration of pornography use outcome

