

1 **Corrective notice to the European mudminnow (*Umbra krameri*, Walbaum 1792)**  
2 **record from the Black Sea**

3  
4  
5 Juraj Hajdú<sup>1</sup>, Levente Várkonyi<sup>2</sup>, Ján Ševc<sup>1</sup>, Tamás Müller<sup>2\*</sup>,  
6

7 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Humanities and Natural Sciences, University of Prešov, Ul. 17. Novembra 1,  
8 Prešov, Slovakia, hajdu.juraj@gmail.com

9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Aquaculture, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management  
10 Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Science, Szent István University,  
11 Páter K. u. 1, 2100 Gödöllő, Hungary, muller.tamas@mkk.szie.hu  
12

13 Abstract

14  
15 Raykov et al. (2012) recorded the European mudminnow (*Umbra krameri*) from the Black  
16 Sea, at a depth of 36.3–41 m. Morphometric comparison of the pictured specimen with 10  
17 adult *U. krameri* and published data was conducted which excluded its taxonomic affiliation  
18 to *Umbridae* family.  
19

20 Keywords: morphometric parameters; endangered fish; taxonomic revision,  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## 28 Introduction

29

30 European mudminnow (*Umbra krameri*) is an endemic stagnophil species of the Danube and  
31 Dniester river drainages (Lelek 1987), inhabiting marshes and lowland waters densely  
32 overgrown by aquatic vegetation (Wilhelm 2003, Pekárik et al. 2014). The species is  
33 threatened by extinction in many of its original habitats (Simić et al. 2007). According to  
34 IUCN Red List it is categorized as "Vulnerable" since its isolated and decrescent populations  
35 are estimated to have declined by more than 30% in the past 10 years (Freyhof 2011). Raykov  
36 et al. (2012) reported the first record of *U. krameri* in Romanian territorial waters of the Black  
37 Sea, in south-eastern direction from the mouth of the Sfântu Gheorghe Danube River arm at  
38 the 36.3–41 meters of depth. According to authors' results the genetic markers found after  
39 analyses of one non-enzymatic and six enzymatic systems encoded by totally 18 loci could be  
40 used for the species identification. The authors provided the picture of the captured species  
41 that differed anatomically from the European mudminnow (see Figure 1). According to this  
42 observation Yankova et al. (2013) cited this fish species as non-invasive for the Black Sea.  
43 The aim of this study was to demonstrate the morphometric distinction of the specimen  
44 reported by Raykov et al. (2012) from the test sample of *U. krameri* originating from native  
45 freshwater populations, considering also the available literature data (Berinkey 1966,  
46 Wanzenböck 1996).

47

## 48 Material and methods

49

50 The fish specimen described from the Black Sea by Raykov et al. (2012) as *U. krameri* was the  
51 object of our morphometric investigation (Fig. 1). Test sample consisted of 10 adult individuals  
52 of *U. krameri* originating from native freshwater populations (Müller et al. 2011, Bajomi et al.

53 2013). Altogether 11 external morphometric parameters (Fig. 2, Table 1) measured according  
54 to Specziár et al. (2009) recalculated in % of Standard length (Holčík and Hensel 1972) were  
55 used for comparative analysis. Since the European mudminnow is strictly protected, all  
56 morphometric treatments were conducted according to photographs using ImageJ software  
57 (Rasband 2012). Each measurement was taken as the shortest (direct) distance between two  
58 corresponding reference points. Dixon's Q-test was used for detection of outliers in data sets  
59 consisting of related parameters of the Black Sea specimen and the test sample originating from  
60 freshwater populations (Dixon and Massey 1969).

61

## 62 Results and discussion

63

64 According to our morphometric treatment significant differences were found for the specimen  
65 described from the Black Sea by Raykov et al. (2012) compared to the test sample of *U.*  
66 *krameri* originating from native freshwater populations. According to Dixon's Q-test the  
67 Black Sea specimen (Fig. 1) differed significantly from the freshwater sample in 8 parameters  
68 that proved to be significant outliers within data sets at the significance level of 5% (Table 1,  
69 Fig. 2). Furthermore, five parameters proved to be outliers at the significance level of 1%  
70 (Table 1). The most apparent differences ( $p < 0.01$ ) were found in ratios of PEVD, PVD, LD,  
71 VAD and MAXH, followed by PDD, CP and PHL (Table 1) which proved to be significant as  
72 well ( $p < 0.01$ ). Apart from this, there is some obvious differences of the described specimen  
73 from *U. krameri*; for instance pectoral fins of the European mudminnow originate near the  
74 bottom of abdomen in equal horizontal line to its ventral fins (Fig. 2). Contrary to this, the  
75 ventral fins of the published species are situated well forward and almost beneath the pectoral  
76 fins (thoracic position), that is a typical feature of Perciformes (Fig. 1). Moreover, several  
77 additional morphological features show that the species discovered by Raykov et al. (2012)

78 does not belong to the genus *Umbra* (*Umbridae*, Esociformes). In case of *U. krameri* a single  
79 dorsal fin is situated in the second half of the body (Wanzenböck 1996) and originates directly  
80 above the origin of the pelvic fins (Fig. 2). In contrast to this, there is a double dorsal fin of  
81 the published species situated in the median line of the body, originating behind the base of  
82 the pelvic fins (Fig. 1). The presence of the teeth on the operculum of the Black Sea specimen  
83 (Fig. 1) is a morphological feature characteristic for Perciformes which is never present in  
84 *Umbridae*.

85

## 86 Conclusion

87

88 Based on our morphometric comparison, serious misidentification can be stated for the  
89 species described from the Black Sea by Raykov et al. (2012). This specimen differed  
90 significantly from the *U. krameri* in 8 examined parameters (Table 1). Since the European  
91 mudminnow requires very specific habitat (Pekárik et al. 2014) the probability of its  
92 occurrence in such extreme environment as described by Raykov et al. (2012) is very low.  
93 Although the size and condition of the examined sample did not allow more precise  
94 determination of the species, several morphometric features of the Black sea specimen  
95 suggest its taxonomic affiliation to the order Perciformes.

96

## 97 Acknowledgements:

98 The research has been supported by Bolyai János research grant (BO 54/12/4) and Research  
99 Centre of Excellence-9878/2015/FEKUT and by the agency of Ministry of Education,  
100 Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak republic, the project ITMS: 26110230119.

101

102

103 References

104

105 Bajomi B., Tatár S., Tóth B., Demény F., Müllerné T.M., Urbányi B., Müller T. 2013.  
106 Captive-breeding, re-introduction and supplementation of European Mudminnow in Hungary,  
107 pp. 15–20. In: Soorae P. S. (ed.), Global re-introduction perspectives. Re-introduction case-  
108 studies from around the globe IUCN/SSC Re-introduction Specialist Group. Abu Dhabi,  
109 United Arab Emirates.

110

111 Berinkey L. 1966. Halak – Pisces. Magyarország állatvilága (Fauna Hungariae)[Fishes –  
112 Pisces. Hungarian fauna]. Vol. XX/2, Akadémia Kiadó, Budapest, 32–33..

113

114 Dixon W.J., Massey F.J. 1969. Introduction to statistical analysis. Mc Graw-Hill Company  
115 Inc, New York.

116

117 Freyhof J. 2011. Umbra krameri. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.  
118 Version 2012.2. <http://www.iucnredlist.org/> (accessed 31.12.2014).

119

120 Holčík J., Hensel K. 1972. Ichthyologická příručka [Handbook of ichthyology]. Obzor,  
121 Bratislava, Slovakia, 1–217.

122 Lelek, A., 1987: The Freshwater fishes of Europe. Vol 9. Threatened fishes of Europe. –  
123 AULA-Verlag Wiesbaden.

124

125 Müller T., Balovan B., Tatár S., Müllerné-Trenovszki M., Urbányi B., Demény F. 2011. Lápi  
126 póc (*Umbra krameri*) szaporítása és nevelése a természetesvízi állományok fenntartása és  
127 megerősítése érdekében.. *Pisces Hungarici* 5: 15–20.

128

129 Pekárik L., Hajdú J., Koščo, J. 2014. Identifying the key habitat characteristics of threatened  
130 European mudminnow (*Umbra krameri*, Walbaum 1792). *Fundam. Appl. Limnol.* **184** (2):  
131 151–159.

132

133 Rasband W.S. 2012. ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.  
134 <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/> (accessed 30.6.2014)

135

136 Simić V., Simić S., Paunović M., Cakić, P. 2007. Model of the assessment of the critical risk  
137 of extinction and the priorities of protection of endangered aquatic species at the national  
138 level. *Biodiversity Conservation* **16**: 2471–2493.

139

140 Specziár A., Bercsényi M., Müller T. 2009. Morphological characteristics of hybrid pikeperch  
141 (*Sander lucioperca* female × *Sander volgensis* male) (Osteichthyes, *Percidae*). *Acta*  
142 *Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* **55** (1): 37–52.

143

144 Raykov V., Panayotova M., Ivanova P., Dobrovolov I., Maximov V. 2012. First record and  
145 allozyme data of European mudminnow *Umbra krameri* Walbaum, 1792 (Pisces: *Umbridae*)  
146 in the Black Sea. *Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences* **65** (1): 49–52.

147

148 Wanzenböck J. 1996. Workshop II. Conversation of European mudminnow, *Umbra krameri*,  
149 pp. 339–340. In.: Kirchhofer A., Hefti D. (eds.), *Conservation of endangered freshwater fish*  
150 *in Europe*. Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin.

151

152 Wilhelm A. 2003. Growth of the mudminnow (*Umbra krameri* Walbaum) in river Ér. Tiscia,  
153 **34**: 57–60.

154

155 Yankova M., Pavlov D., Ivanova P., Karpova E., Boltachev A., Bat L., Oral M., Mgeladze M.  
156 2013. Annotated checklist of the non native fish species (Pisces) of the Black Sea. J. Black  
157 Sea/Mediterranean Environment **19** (2): 247-255.

158


159

160



161  
162  
163  
164  
165

Figure 1. The specimen from the Black Sea published by Raykov et al. (2012).



166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173

Figure 2. Morphometric parameters used for the analysis. Full names of parameters are given in Table 1. Background picture according to Berinkey (1966).



174 Table 1. Comparison of morphometric data and results of the comparative analysis. \*  
 175 Parameters proved to be significant.

176

Abbrev.	Measured parameter	Black sea specimen	<i>U. krameri</i> freshwater	Dixon's Q-test	
				$p < 0.05$	$p < 0.01$
SL	Standard length (mm)	69	57.5±5.1	-	-
TL	Total length (mm)	80	71.1±6.2	-	-
PDD	Predorsal distance	42.8	52.2±2.9	*	
PAD	Preanal distance	61.9	68.2±1.9		
PVD	Preventral distance	33.8	53.8±1.4	*	*
PEVD	Distance between pectoral and ventral fins	2.4	21.0±1.6	*	*
LD	Length of dorsal fin base	30.4	24.6±1.0	*	*
VAD	Ventral-anal fin distance	27.6	15.6±1.8	*	*
CP	Length of caudal peduncle	28	17.3±2.6	*	
PODD	Postdorsal distance	26.3	19.6±2.2		
HL	Head length	33.1	29.6±2.0		
MAXH	Maximum body height	35.4	24.4±1.3	*	*
MINH	Minimum body height	14.8	13.3±0.5		
PHL	Postorbital head length	20.6	16.3±1.2	*	

177

178

179

180