



1 **The role of filter-feeding Asian carps in algal dispersion**

2

3 Judit Görgényi^{1*}, Gergely Boros², Zoltán Vitál², Attila Mozsár², Gábor Várbíró¹,

4 Gábor Vasas³, Gábor Borics¹

5 ¹ *Department of Tisza Research, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, 18/c Bem square, H-4026*
6 *Debrecen, Hungary*

7 ² *Balaton Limnological Institute, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, 3. Klebelsberg Kuno street, H-*
8 *8237 Tihany, Hungary*

9 ³ *Department of Botany, University of Debrecen, 1. Egyetem square, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary*

10 *Corresponding author: gorgenyi.judit@okologia.mta.hu

11

12 *Keywords:* phytoplankton, digestibility, biogeography, Asian carps

13

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The gut contents of filter-feeding fish often contain considerable amounts of viable
17 phytoplankton cells, thus these animals can act as vectors and may play an important role in
18 the horizontal and vertical transport of algae. In this study, the potential role of the introduced
19 filter-feeding Asian carps (hybrids of silver carp *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* and bighead
20 carp *H. nobilis*) in algal dispersion was studied in the oligo-mesotrophic Lake Balaton
21 (Hungary). We examined the algal composition in the lake water, gut-contents (foregut and
22 hindgut) and occasionally in the filtered suspensions collected directly from the gill rakers
23 (filtering apparatus) of fish. Microscopic analyses revealed that the phytoplankton
24 composition of the ingested food differed considerably from what we found in the lake water.
25 Cryptophytes, dinoflagellates and euglenophytes were observed in both the lake water and

26 foregut samples, but were absent in the hindgut samples. However, in the cultured hindgut
27 samples we found viable cells of several phytoplankton taxa (e.g., diatoms, blue-greens,
28 desmids, volvocalean and chlorococcalean green algae), which managed to survive the
29 physical and chemical digestion. Thus, it can be concluded that Asian carps can be potential
30 vectors of phytoplankton and facilitate their dispersal. These results imply that the presence of
31 these filter-feeding fish can alter the phytoplankton species composition and promote the
32 dominance of taxa that are able to resist digestion.

33

34

35 **Introduction**

36 Capability of dispersion is among the most important features of all living organisms that
37 enable them to colonize new habitats and increase their evolutionary success (Cox & Moore,
38 1993). Even though there are some endemic algal taxa typical for isolated areas, the majority
39 of phytoplankton species have a widespread occurrence, which could be attributed mainly to
40 their ability to travel over large distances. Algae are mainly dispersed passively by water
41 currents, by air, by animals or by accidental human activities (Chrisostomou et al., 2009;
42 Genitsaris et al., 2011; Padisák, 2004). There are some taxa (e.g., species in the Chlorophyta,
43 Cyanobacteria, Chrysophyta groups), which are able to survive travelling in a humid medium
44 (i.e., in aerosols or on the wet surfaces of animals), without developing specialized protective
45 structures (Van Overeem, 1937; Brown et al., 1964). However, to cope with unfavorable
46 external conditions, several phytoplankton species develop akinetes, cysts or thick-walled
47 structures enabling algae to be effectively dispersed even on dry surfaces (Padisák, 2004).

48 Another possible way of active algal dispersal is the moving in aqueous media. This
49 process does not involve the risk of dehydration, but if it occurs within the alimentary tract of
50 a fish, algae are exposed to the effects of digesting fluids. Accordingly, the capability of

51 species to resist digestion is a significant factor in determining the survival and ultimately the
52 dispersal success of algae (Miura & Wang, 1985; Vörös et al., 1997). Despite fish are less
53 motile and able to travel slower than waterfowls for instance, they can also be important
54 vectors of algae and serve as low-distance carriers in aquatic ecosystems.

55 To improve the water quality and increase fishery yields, filter-feeding silver carps
56 (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), bighead carps (*H. nobilis*), and their hybrids (collectively
57 known as filter-feeding Asian carps) have been introduced into eutrophic lakes and rivers
58 throughout the world since the early 1950s (Jennings 1988; Kolar et al. 2007). Consequently,
59 Asian carps became key species in freshwater biomanipulation (Datta & Jana, 1998; Zhang et
60 al., 2008; Zeng et al., 2014), and several studies were published on the ecological role of these
61 fish, including their impacts on the functioning of aquatic food webs (Borics et al., 2013), or
62 their capability to digest planktonic organisms (Spataru, 1977; Vörös et al., 1997; Herodek et
63 al., 1989; Tátrai et al., 2006). The general approach regarding Asian carp stocking has
64 changed considerably in the past decade, because a number of recent studies have
65 demonstrated that the presence of these fish outside their native range involves ecological
66 risks, including the deterioration of water quality and strong interspecific food competition
67 with native fish species (Sampson et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2014).

68 Introduction of Asian carps to Hungarian waters started in the 1960s. They were stocked
69 into small artificial ponds (Borics et al., 2000), as well as into natural lakes (Boros et al.,
70 2014). During the decades, Asian carps became successful invaders in different types of
71 aquatic habitats in Hungary and nowadays can be considered as the most efficient plankton
72 consumers in the freshwaters of this region. Lake Balaton was stocked with Asian carps
73 between 1972 and 1983. The stocking was stopped and banned thereafter because this
74 intervention did not result in any significant improvement in water quality. Yet, the Asian

75 carp biomass is still high in the lake, constituting about 30% of the total fish biomass (Tátrai
76 et al., 2009). The population is dominated by hybrid individuals (Boros et al., 2014).

77 In this study, we aimed at examining the potential role of filter-feeding Asian carps in algal
78 dispersion. More specifically, we studied the algal composition in the lake water, in the gut
79 contents (foregut, hindgut) and occasionally in the filtrated suspension (collected from the gill
80 rakers of Asian carps), focusing on the identification of algae species that remain viable after
81 passing through the alimentary tract.

82 We hypothesized that algae having thick gelatinous substance around the cells or robust
83 cellulose cell wall can cope successfully with the different physical and chemical digesting
84 processes. We also hypothesized that smaller-sized taxa are more exposed to digesting effects
85 of intestinal fluids, because of their larger surface to volume ratio. Thus, we expected that
86 their survival rate in the alimentary tract is smaller compared to that of larger-sized taxa.

87

88 **Methods**

89 *Study area*

90 Lake Balaton (latitude: 47° 3' 50"- 46° 42' 6", longitude: 17° 14' 58"- 18° 10' 28") is the
91 largest shallow lake in Central Europe, located in Hungary. The lake has a surface area of 596
92 km² and an average depth of about 3 meters (Istvánovics et al., 2007). The lake had been
93 suffering from serious eutrophication and cyanobacterial blooms for several decades, but the
94 water quality has since improved due to the restoration efforts, and now the lake is oligo-
95 mesotrophic (Tátrai et al., 2008).

96

97 *Collection of fish, gut content and gill raker filtrate samples and lake water for microscopic*
98 *analyses*

99 To explore the feeding habits of Asian carps, five samplings were conducted between
100 April–October 2013. Fish were sampled from the eastern basin of Lake Balaton with 12 cm
101 mesh-size gill nets. Altogether 46 Asian carps were collected (9–12 fish per sampling). Fish
102 were transported to the laboratory after catching (within 30 min) and were dissected
103 immediately to obtain the foregut and hindgut contents. Foregut samples were collected from
104 the anterior end of intestines (a 10 – 15 cm long section starting from the oesophagus), while
105 hindgut samples were collected from the posterior end of the alimentary tract (0 – 15 cm from
106 the anus). Alternatively, when we did not find sufficient amount of material in the foreguts,
107 gill raker filtrate samples were collected from the sulcus of the epibranchial organ, which is a
108 groove within the gill arch where the filtered matter is concentrated before ingestion. The
109 compressed filtrates were scraped off from the inner surface of gill rakers with a flat stick
110 (Fig. 1). The collected samples were stored in dry and cold place until sample processing,
111 which was performed within 1 hour. All samples for microscopic phytoplankton analyses
112 were preserved in diluted Lugol's solution, while samples for culturing were stored in sterile
113 vessels and were not treated with any chemicals. These samples were kept in darkness at
114 0–4°C for no longer than 24 h before culturing.

115 To determine phytoplankton species composition in Lake Balaton, water samples were
116 collected from the entire water column with a tube-sampler at each fish sampling date. Three
117 subsamples were taken at different locations of the study area, typically in the proximity of
118 the fishing net. These three samples were mixed right after samplings.

119 Subsamples for additional zooplankton and detritus analyses were also preserved in Lugol's
120 solution, but these results are not detailed in this study.

121

122

123

124 Analysis of phytoplankton composition

125

126 *Phytoplankton samples*

127 The preserved phytoplankton samples were placed in 5 mL sedimentation chambers
128 (Hydrobios, Kiel) and were left to settle for 24 h. The identification of phytoplankton species
129 was carried out using Zeiss Axioimager A2 research microscope. Determination of relative
130 percentage abundances were performed with an inverted microscope (ZEISS Axiovert-40
131 CFL), following Utermöhl's (1958) method. In all cases, a minimum of 400 specimens per
132 sample were counted at 400× magnification. For the characterization of phytoplankton, both
133 abundance and occurrence data were used. Identification of species was performed according
134 to Ettl, 1978; Förster, 1982; Hofmann et al., 2011; Huber-Pestalozzi, 1950, 1955, 1961;
135 Komárek & Anagnostidis, 1998, 2005; Komárek & Fott, 1983; Krammer, 2003; Krammer &
136 Lange-Bertalot, 1986-1991; Popovsky & Pfiester, 1990 and Starmach, 1985.

137

138 *Gut contents*

139 Phytoplankton was identified using an inverted microscope (ZEISS Axiovert-40 CFL).
140 Samples of suitable density were placed in 1 ml counting chambers (Hydrobios, Kiel) and
141 allowed to settle for at least 24 hours. High-density samples were diluted with distilled water
142 (10×, 20×, 25× dilutions were applied, depending on the density). Estimations of cell
143 concentrations and identifications were made either by counting the whole chamber at 400×
144 magnification or by counting two or more transects. At least 400 individuals were counted.
145 The identified taxa were classified into 12 main groups, following the taxonomic
146 categorization of Van den Hoek et al. (1995) (Table 1). Diatoms and blue-green algae were
147 not homogenous regarding their morphology, so these taxa were divided into further
148 subgroups. To study the importance of size on the survival during the passage through the

149 digestive tract, phytoplankton taxa were categorized into 3 groups based on their longest
150 diameter (<10 μm , 10–40 μm and >40 μm).

151 *Culturing*

152 The hindgut material consists of various inorganic particles, dead and living organic
153 compounds. Besides the microscopic investigation of this material is a really challenging task,
154 viability of the organisms cannot be demonstrated by this method. Thus, the hindgut samples
155 were cultured. It is important to note, that there is no “general” culturing medium which
156 would be suitable to check the viability of all the phytoplankton groups in the hindgut.
157 Therefore, prior to the investigations, we made preliminary studies, and tested several media
158 (Jaworski’s, Allen’s media, diatom-, euglena specific media, BG-11), among which the BG-
159 11 was found to be the best for our purposes. Although BG-11 gives an advantage to
160 cyanobacteria, other taxa (Chlorococcalean green algae and diatoms) grew much better in this
161 medium than in other offered genera-specific media. In addition, the species that proved to be
162 viable in this medium were identical with those we observed during the microscopic
163 observations of the hindgut material. To study the viability of cells in the hindguts, 100 μl
164 aliquots of hindgut samples were inoculated to blue-green medium (BG-11) and nitrogen free
165 (BG) medium (Stanier et al., 1971). Each sample were grown in microtiter plate (with 24
166 well) in 2 ml volume. Samples were incubated at 28°C and at 80 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity
167 for 10 days. After finishing the incubation for the microscopic analyses, the samples were
168 fixed with Lugol’s solution.

169

170 *Statistical analyses*

171 Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and Levene’s tests were used to estimate the normality of
172 response variables and the homogeneity of variances. One-way ANOVA tests were used to
173 compare the number of taxa in the three types of samples. When criteria of normality and

174 homogeneity did not meet, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was applied. We used the STATISTICA
175 8.0 software for the statistical analyses, and decisions about the statistical significances were
176 set at $p = 0.05$ level. Differences between the three sample types were examined with non-
177 metric multidimensional scaling ordination (NMDS), based on Jaccard similarity index. The
178 significant segregation of groups was tested by ANOSIM. We used PAST 3 software package
179 for the analyses (Hammer et al., 2001).

180

181 **Results**

182 *Phytoplankton composition of Lake Balaton*

183 A total of 100 phytoplankton species were identified in the water samples taken from Lake
184 Balaton, among which the *Cyclotella ocellata* had the highest relative abundance.
185 *Chrysochromulina parva* and *Rhodomonas* cf. *minuta* also were abundant in the lake's
186 phytoplankton samples (these results are not shown here).

187 Phytoplankton species were divided into 12 groups. Pennate diatoms and Chlorococcales spp.
188 had the highest number of species in April, May, June and October. In September, colonial
189 blue-greens and chlorococcalean green algae were the most species-rich taxa (Table 1).
190 Regarding the species richness, there were no considerable differences in the numbers of
191 species during the five sampling months (higher number of tycho planktonic pennate diatoms
192 was probably the consequence of water column mixing).

193 Regarding the relative abundances of counted units (cells or colonies) (Fig. 2), blue-greens,
194 Chrysophyceae, diatoms, Cryptophyta, Chlorococcales and Volvocales occurred in relatively
195 high percentage (>10%) in Lake Balaton samples, while the relative abundance of Dinophyta,
196 Euglenophyta and Desmidiaceae were less than 5%. In April and June, Diatoms (April: 38.3%,
197 June: 40.8%) and Chlorococcales (April: 33.9%, June: 32.4%), in May Chlorococcales (27 %)
198 and Cryptophyta (26.4%), while in September and October diatoms (September: 56.7%,

199 October: 40.6%) and blue-greens (September: 19.3 %, October: 16.6%) had the highest
200 relative abundance in the water column samples.

201

202 *Foregut contents*

203 In the samples taken from the foreguts, 138 phytoplankton species were found, among which
204 (similarly to the water samples) the most frequent was the *Cyclotella ocellata*. Besides this,
205 *Aulacoseira* sp. and *Chroococcus* sp. were also common species in the foreguts.

206 Pennate diatoms and chlorococcalean green algae had the greatest number of species in each
207 month of the study period (Table 2). As for the total numbers of taxa, only slight differences
208 were found, and similarly to the Lake Balaton samples the highest number of species was
209 observed in June.

210 Regarding the relative abundances of counted units (cells or colonies) of the foregut samples,
211 blue-greens, Chrysophyceae, diatoms and Chlorococcales species were dominant. Relative
212 abundance of Dinophyta, Euglenophyta, Desmidiiales, Volvocales and Cryptophyta taxa were
213 less than 5%. Diatoms (April: 54.4%, May: 68.1%, June: 49.8%, September: 49.9%, October:
214 60.1 %) and blue-greens (April: 26.8%, May: 15.7%, June: 29.1%, September: 33%, October:
215 27.1 %) were present in the highest percentage in the foregut samples (Fig. 3).

216 Significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was found in the number of taxa between the Lake Balaton
217 and the foregut samples (Fig. 4 a,b). More specifically, the taxa numbers of pennate diatoms,
218 colonial blue-greens, Chlorococcales, Dinophyta and Euglenophyta species were higher in the
219 foreguts. All other groups showed similar species richness in the lake and in the foregut
220 samples.

221 Although there were several species which were found exclusively in Lake Balaton samples,
222 surprisingly much more species were found in the foreguts, which were not found in the lake
223 water samples (Table 3).

224

225 *Hindgut samples*

226 In the cultured hindgut samples, 149 viable phytoplankton species were identified (Table 3).

227 Nine of these species occurred in the cultured samples in all months of the study (*Aulacoseira*

228 *ambigua*, *Cyclotella ocellata*, *Fragilaria construens*, *Microcystis aeruginosa*, *Microcystis*

229 *flos-aquae*, *Monoraphidium griffithii*, *Oocystis marssonii*, *Pediastrum boryanum* and

230 *Scenedesmus denticulatus*). We found 60 taxa, which were detected neither in the foregut, nor

231 in the Lake Balaton, but were found in the hindgut samples (Table 3). As to the species

232 richness of the larger algae groups, there were no considerable differences in the numbers of

233 species during the study period. Pennate diatoms, chlorococcalean green algae and colonial

234 blue-greens had the greatest number of species in each months (Table 4).

235 Significant difference was detected ($p < 0.05$) in the number of species of colonial blue-greens,

236 chlorococcalean green algae, Chrysophyceae and Cryptophyta groups between the Lake

237 Balaton and hindgut samples (Fig. 4 a,b). When foregut and hindgut samples were compared,

238 significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were detected in the number of species in case of pennate

239 diatoms, colonial blue-greens, Chlorococcales, Dinophyta, Euglenophyta and Cryptophyta

240 groups (Fig. 4 a,b).

241 By comparing the taxa richness of the Lake Balaton and the hindgut samples, we found that

242 pennate diatoms and chlorococcalean green algae were the most species-rich groups in both

243 types of samples. However, the hindgut samples contained almost twice as many green algae

244 and colonial blue-green species than the lake water samples (Fig. 5).

245 The proportion of groups in the foregut and hindgut samples differed remarkably from each

246 other. Towards the hindgut, the number of chlorococcales and volvocalean green algae and

247 both colonial and filamentous blue-green algae increased significantly ($p < 0.05$). Cryptophyta

248 and Dinophyta species were not detectable in the hindgut samples (Fig. 5).

249 The NMDS ordination of samples also showed a clear separation of the three groups, thereby
250 indicated significant differences in the algal composition between the three sample types
251 (ANOSIM, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 6).

252 *Size categories*

253 The three groups of samples contained an almost identical number of species in the small-
254 sized taxa (Fig. 7). Taxa numbers in the middle and large-sized groups showed similar
255 patterns, and both differed considerably in taxa numbers from the small-sized group.

256

257 **Discussion**

258

259 Due to the extensive global stocking that started in the 1950s, nowadays filter-feeding Asian
260 carps have a widespread occurrence in the temperate regions all around the world, inhabiting
261 almost all types of aquatic ecosystems. If we assume that planktivorous fish can be potential
262 vectors of phytoplankton dispersal, then two questions should be answered: what kinds of
263 algae are filtered, and what are digested by Asian carps? Both questions were examined
264 profoundly in preceding studies. For instance, Porter (1973) divided phytoplankton to 3
265 distinct groups from the point of fish nutrition: non-available; available but non-digestible;
266 and available and digestible. As for the availability, several studies addressed this topic,
267 focusing mostly on the phytoplankton content of the foreguts. These studies have yielded
268 controversial results. Some of them support the notion that silver carps cannot ingest algae
269 smaller than 10 μm (Hampl et al., 1983; Smith, 1989; Vörös et al., 1997), while others
270 suggest that silver carps are able to collect even nanoplankton ($< 10 \mu\text{m}$) (Cremer &
271 Smitherman, 1980; Xie, 1999). This latter can be explained by the fact that gill rakers of silver
272 carps are coated with mucus that facilitates filtering particles smaller than the gill-raker
273 spacings (Lazzaro, 1987; Northcott & Beveridge, 1988). Our results support this finding,

274 because we found algae smaller than 10 μm both in the foregut (*Nitzschia* sp., *Oocystis* sp.,
275 *Phacus skujae*, *Rhodomonas minuta*, *Tetraedron minutum*, *Xanthophyceae* sp., *Centrales* sp.,
276 *Chlorococcales* sp., *Chrysophyceae* sp., *Cyclotella pseudostelligera*) and in the hindgut
277 (*Achnanthes minutissima*, *Centrales* sp., *Chlorella* sp., *Chlorococcales* sp., *Choricystis minor*,
278 *Chrysophyceae* sp., *Cyclotella pseudostelligera*, *Fragilaria pinnata*, *Lagerheimia balatonica*,
279 *Monoraphidium irregulare*, *Trachydiscus* sp.).

280 Another key factor of dispersion is the digestibility of algae, which plays also an important
281 role in the success of biomanipulation and in the growth of fish. The high number of viable
282 species found in the hindgut samples and their large morphological diversity imply that algae
283 developed various types of adaptations that help their survival in the digestive tract. Several
284 studies argued that the digestibility of phytoplankton by filter-feeding fishes is variable and
285 depends on the structure and composition of the algal cell walls (Moriarty, 1973; Moriarty &
286 Moriarty, 1973). Some studies showed that colonial and filamentous blue-greens species that
287 produce extracellular mucilage are able to remain viable after passing through the alimentary
288 tract of filter-feeding fishes (Miura & Wang, 1985; Vörös et al., 1997; Datta & Jana, 1998;
289 Lewin et al., 2003). Moreover, Gavel et al. (2004) demonstrated that larger *Microcystis*
290 colonies were disintegrated during the passage through the digestive system of fish, but
291 individual cells remained viable due to their mucilaginous coating. These results might serve
292 as an explanation for the large number of viable blue-greens in the hindgut samples.

293 The thick cellulose cell wall might also protect algae from digestion. Bitterlich (1985)
294 suggested that silver carps may not be able to meet their energy requirements consuming
295 phytoplankton alone, most probably because the digestibility of some phytoplankton taxa is
296 low (Dong et al. 1992) and gut fluids of silver carps lack cellulase enzyme to break down
297 algal cell walls (Kolar et al. 2007). Thus, phytoplankton species with thicker cellulose cell
298 walls are more likely to survive the passage through the guts. Among the viable taxa we found

299 in the hindgut samples, chlorococcalean green algae and members of Xanthophyceae group
300 have this type of cell-wall structure. Several studies demonstrated that viable cells of blue-
301 greens, chlorococcalean green algae and Xanthophyceae not simply survived the passage
302 through the digestive system of fish, but were able to take-up phosphorus from the gut
303 content, which enhanced their growth after returning to water (Lewin et al., 2003; Kolmakov
304 et al., 2006; Jancula et al., 2008). This can be explained by the fact that both the gelatinous
305 cover and the thick cellulose cell wall can act as selective sieves, allowing small ionic
306 compounds to enter, but impede the penetration of large molecules, such as digestive enzymes
307 (Porter, 1976).

308 Although we hypothesized that the size of algae is an important feature, which ultimately
309 determines the survival success during the passage through the digestive system, we found no
310 relationship between survival rates of the algae and their cell sizes. However, the number of
311 viable phytoplankton taxa increased in the larger cell-sized categories after digestion (Fig. 7).
312 This increment was not typical for Dinoflagellatae, Euglenophyceae and Cryptophyta, even
313 though most of these taxa consist of larger-sized species. The number of viable taxa from
314 these groups decreased considerably as the digested material reached the hindgut. Despite the
315 fact that these groups have different phylogenetic origin, they share common characteristics
316 regarding their cell wall structure (intracellular coverings can be found in each of the
317 enumerated taxa). It means that the structures, which make the cells more or less rigid, i.e.,
318 flattened cellulose-containing vesicles (Dinoflagellates), protainaceous plates (Cryptophytes)
319 and the protainaceous pellicle (Euglenophytes), are formed beneath the outermost plasma
320 membrane (Okuda, 2002). It seems that this otherwise evolutionary successful type of inner
321 cell covering does not facilitate the survival of algal cells in the digestive systems of fish.

322 However, investigation of potential dispersion of algae from the aspect of digestibility is
323 not necessarily the most relevant approach. It is indisputable, that digestibility largely

324 determines the amount of viable cells in the faeces of fish, i.e., the number of cells that can
325 inoculate new habitats. Nevertheless, under favourable conditions, even a single cell can
326 proliferate and build large populations in a new habitat. Thus, in the present context, the most
327 important question is: which taxa are able to survive the passage through the digestive system
328 of fish? Our investigations demonstrated that viable cells can be observed in the hindgut
329 contents from each size group and each taxonomic group, except those algal groups that
330 possess intracellular cell coverings.

331 Availability of algae to fish, i.e., which algae can be filtered effectively by Asian carps,
332 also seemed to be an important question for us when planning this study. We can conclude
333 that the plankton filtering of fish is apparently but not exclusively a size selective process, and
334 even the smallest ($< 10 \mu\text{m}$) components of the phytoplankton can be found in the diet of
335 Asian carps. Moreover, we established that there were taxa, which were not found in the lake
336 water samples, but were observed in both foregut and hindgut content samples in variable
337 proportions. Asian carps are able to filter several orders of magnitude larger water volume a
338 day than what a researcher can check during a conventional sample analysis and this may
339 explain the presence of more species in gut contents. These results support the view that
340 distribution of phytoplankton size classes found in the hindgut does not reflect the menu
341 offered to the herbivores but the leftovers after feeding (Sommer & Stibor, 2002).

342 Because of the rapid downstream transport of algae (Hudon et al., 1996), colonization of
343 the riverine ecosystems seems to be difficult for the newly arriving phytoplankton taxa.
344 Although in the shallow areas the rivers are capable of retaining planktonic organisms
345 (Reynolds, 2000), upstream dispersal needs the contribution of active vectors. Although, to
346 our knowledge, there are no reports on the role of fish in algal dispersion in riverine systems,
347 Asian carps can be effective vectors. Large population of these fish can develop in rivers and
348 because of their feeding habits they have to come considerable distances independently of the

349 flow direction. These fish can not only inoculate side arms, oxbows and other connecting
350 water bodies, but can also modify the composition of the prevailing planktonic communities
351 of these waters and thus can help the newcomers in the establishment populations.

352 In conclusion, we demonstrated that filter-feeding fish can harvest and disperse all algal taxa
353 that are available in the ambient water, except for those few groups that possess intracellular
354 cell coverings. However, this latter finding still requires further confirmation by additional
355 experimental studies.

356

357

358 **References**

359

360 Bitterlich, G., 1985. Digestive enzyme pattern of two stomachless filter feeder, silver carp,
361 *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val., and bighead carp, *Aristichthys nobilis* Rich. J. Fish.
362 Biol. 27: 103–112.

363 Borics, G., I. Grigorszky, J. Padisák & S. Szabó, 2000. Phytoplankton associations in a small
364 hypertrophic fishpond in East Hungary during a change from bottom-up to top-down
365 control. Hydrobiologia 424: 79–90.

366 Borics, G., L. Nagy, S. Miron, I. Grigorszky, Z. László-Nagy, B. A. Lukács, L. G.-Tóth & G.
367 Várbíró, 2013. Which factors affect phytoplankton biomass in shallow eutrophic lakes?
368 Hydrobiologia 714: 93-104.

369 Boros, G., A. Mozsár, Z. Vitál, S. A. Nagy & A. Specziár, 2014. Growth and condition factor
370 of hybrid (Bighead *Hypophthalmichthys nobilis* Richardson, 1845 x silver carp *H.*
371 *molitrix* Valenciennes, 1844) Asian carps in the shallow, oligo-mesotrophic Lake
372 Balaton. Journal of Applied Ichthyology-Zeitschrift für angewandte Ichthyologie 30:
373 546–548.

374 Brown, R. M., D. H. Jr. Larson & H. C. Bold, 1964. Airborne algae: their abundance and
375 heterogeneity. *Science* 143: 583–585.

376 Chrisostomou A., M. Moustaka-Gouni, S. Sgardelis & T. Lanaras, 2009. Air-dispersed
377 phytoplankton in a Mediterranean river–reservoir system (Aliakmon-Polyphytos,
378 Greece). *J. Plankton Res.* 31: 877–884.

379 Cox, C. B. & P. D. Moore, 1993. *Biogeography: an Ecological and Evolutionary Approach*.
380 Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications pp. 326.

381 Cremer, M. C. & R. O. Smitherman, 1980. Food habits and growth of silver and bighead carp
382 in cages and ponds. *Aquaculture* 20: 57–64.

383 Datta, S. & B. B. Jana, 1998. Control of bloom in a tropical lake: grazing efficiency of some
384 herbivorous fishes. *Fish Biology* 53: 12–24.

385 Dong, S., D. Li, X. Bing, Q. Shi & F. Wang, 1992. Suction volume and filtering efficiency of
386 silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val.) and bighead carp (*Hypophthalmichthys*
387 *nobilis* Rich.). *Journal of Fish Biology* 41: 833–840.

388 Ettl, H., 1978. Xanthophyceae. In: Ettl, H., J. Gerloff, H. Heynig (eds.), *Süßwasserflora von*
389 *Mitteleuropa*, Band 3/1. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.

390 Förster, K., 1982: Conjugatophyceae. Zygnematales und Desmidiaceae (excl. Zygnemataceae).
391 In: Huber-Pestalozzi, G. (ed.), *Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers: Systematik und*
392 *Biologie*, Band 16/8. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.

393 Gavel, A., B. Maršálek & Z. Adámek, 2004. Viability of *Microcystis* colonies is not damaged
394 by silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) digestion. *Algol. Stud.* 113: 189–194.

395 Genitsaris, S., K. A. Kormas & M. Moustaka-Gouni, 2011. Airborne algae and cyanobacteria:
396 occurrence and related health effects. *Frontiers in Bioscience* E3: 772–787.

397 Hammer, O., D. A. T. Harper & P. D. Ryan, 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software
398 Package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol Electron* 4(1): 9.

- 399 Hampl, A., J. Jirasek & D. Sirotek, 1983. Growth morphology of the filtering apparatus of
400 silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val.) II. microscopic anatomy. *Aquaculture*
401 31: 153–158.
- 402 Herodek, S., I. Tátrai, J. Oláh & L. Vörös, 1989. Feeding experiments with silver carp
403 (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val.) fry. *Aquaculture* 83: 331–344.
- 404 Hofmann, G., M. Wermun & H. Lange-Bertalot, 2011. Diatomeen in Süßwasser-Benthos von
405 Mitteleuropa. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein, Germany.
- 406 Huber-Pestalozzi, G., 1950. Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers. Systematik und Biologie.
407 Cryptophyceen, Chloromonadinen, Peridineen. In: Thiemann, A. (ed.), *Die*
408 *Binnengewässer*, Band 16/3. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- 409 Huber-Pestalozzi, G., 1955. Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers. Systematik und Biologie.
410 Euglenophyceen. In: Thienemann, A. (ed.), *Die Binnengewässer*, Band 16/4.
411 Schweizerbart, Stuttgart.
- 412 Huber-Pestalozzi, G., 1961. Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers. Systematik und Biologie.
413 Chlorophyceae, Volvocales. In: Thienemann, A. (ed.), *Die Binnengewässer*, Band 16/5.
414 Schweitzerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- 415 Hudon, C., S., Paquet & V., Jarry, 1996. Downstream variations of phytoplankton in the St.
416 Lawrence River (Québec, Canada). *Hydrobiologia* 337: 1–26.
- 417 Istvánovics, V., A. Clement, L. Somlyódy, A. Specziár, L. G.-Tóth & J. Padisák, 2007.
418 Updating water quality targets for shallow Lake Balaton (Hungary), recovering from
419 eutrophication. *Hydrobiologia* 581: 305–318.
- 420 Jancula, D., M. Mikovcova, Z. Adamek & B. Marsalek, 2008. Changes in the photosynthetic
421 activity of *Microcystis* colonies after gut passage through Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis*
422 *niloticus*) and silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*). *Aquacult. Res.* 39: 311–314.

- 423 Jennings, D. P., 1988. Bighead carp (*Hypophthalmichthys nobilis*): a biological synopsis. U.
424 S. Fish Wildlife Serv. 88: 1–35.
- 425 Kolar, C. S., D. C. Chapman, W. R. Jr. Courtenay, C. M. Housel, J. D. Williams & D. P.
426 Jennings, 2007. Bigheaded carps: a biological synopsis and environmental risk
427 assessment. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 33, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 428 Kolmakov, V. I., M. I. Gladyshev, E. S. Kravchuk, S. M. Chuprov, O. V. Anishchenko, E. A.
429 Ivanova & M. Yu. Trusova, 2006. Species-specific stimulation of cyanobacteria by
430 silver carp *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* (Val.). Doklady Biological Sciences 408: 223–
431 225.
- 432 Komárek, J. & K. Anagnostidis, 1998. Cyanoprokaryota. Chroococcales. In: Ettl, H., G.
433 Gärtner, H. Heynig & D. Mollenhauer (eds), Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa, Band
434 19/1. Gustav Fisher, Berlin.
- 435 Komárek, J. & K. Anagnostidis, 2005. Cyanoprokaryota. Oscillatoriales. In: Büdel, B., L.
436 Krienitz, G. Gärtner & M. Schagerl (eds), Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa, Band 19/2.
437 Gustav Fisher, Jena.
- 438 Komárek, J. & B. Fott, 1983. Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers. Systematik und Biologie.
439 Chlorophyceae (Grünalgen), Ordnung: Chlorococcales. In: Huber-Pestalozzi, G. (ed),
440 Die Binnengewässer, Band 16/7. Schweitzerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- 441 Krammer, K., 2003. Diatoms of the European Inland Waters and Comparable Habitats, vol. 4,
442 Cymbopleura, Delicata, Navicymbula, Gomphocymbellopsis, Afrocybella. Edited by
443 H. Lange-Berlot. Ruggell, Liechtenstein: A. R. Gantner Verlag.
- 444 Krammer, H. & H. Lange-Bertalot, 1986–1991. Bacillariophyceae. In: Ettl, H., G. Gärtner, J.
445 Gerloff, H., Heynig & D. Mollenhauer (eds): Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa 2 (1–4).
446 Gustav Fischer, Stuttgart.

447 Lazzaro, X., 1987. A review of planktivorous fishes: their evolution, feeding behaviours,
448 selectivities, and impacts. *Hydrobiologia* 146: 97–167.

449 Lewin, W. C., N. Kamjunke & T. Mehner, 2003. Phosphorus uptake by *Microcystis* during
450 passage through fish guts. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 48: 2392–2396.

451 Lin, Q., X. Jiang, B.-P. Han & E. Jeppesen, 2014. Does stocking of filter-feeding fish for
452 production have a cascading effect on zooplankton and ecological state? A study of
453 fourteen (sub)tropical Chinese reservoirs with contrasting nutrient concentrations.
454 *Hydrobiologia* 736: 115–125.

455 Miura, A. & J. Wang, 1985. Chlorophyll a found in feces of phytoplanktivorous cyprinids and
456 its photosynthetic activity. *Verh. Int. Ver. Limnol.* 22: 2636–2642.

457 Moriarty, D. J. W., 1973. The physiology of digestion of blue-green algae in the cichlid fish,
458 *Tilapia nilotica*. *J. Zool. Lond.* 171: 25–39.

459 Moriarty, C. M. & D. J. W. Moriarty, 1973. Quantitative estimation of the daily ingestion of
460 phytoplankton by *Tilapia nilotica* and *Haplochromis nigripinnis* in Lake George,
461 Uganda. *J. Zool. Lond.* 171: 15–23.

462 Northcott, M. E. & M. C. M. Beveridge, 1988. The development and structure of pharyngeal
463 apparatus associated with filter feeding in tilapias (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *J. Zool.*
464 *Lond.* 215: 133–149.

465 Okuda, K., 2002. Structure and phylogeny of cell coverings. *Journal of Plant Research* 115:
466 283–288.

467 Padisák, J., 2004. Phytoplankton. In: O’Sullivan, P. E. & C. S. Reynolds (eds), *The lakes*
468 *handbook*. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford: 251–307.

469 Popovsky, J. & L. Pfiester, 1990. Dinophyceae (Dinoflagellida). In: Ettl, H., J. Gerloff, H.
470 Heynig, D. Mollenhauer (eds), *Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa, Band 6*. Gustav
471 Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart

472 Porter, K. G., 1973. Selective grazing and differential digestion of algae by zooplankton.
473 Nature 244: 179–180.

474 Porter, K., 1976. Enhancement of algal growth and productivity by grazing zooplankton.
475 Science 192: 1332–1333.

476 Reynolds, C. S., 2000. Hydroecology of river plankton: The role of variability in channel
477 flow. Hydrol. Proc. 14: 3119–3132.

478 Sampson, S. J., J. H. Chick & M. A. Pegg, 2009. Diet overlap among two Asian carp and
479 three native fishes in backwater lakes on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers. Biol.
480 Invasions 11: 483–496.

481 Smith, D. W., 1989. The feeding selectivity of silver carp, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val.
482 J. Fish. Biol. 34: 819–828.

483 Sommer, U. & H. Stibor, 2002. Copepoda-Cladocera-Tunicata: the role of three major
484 mesozooplankton groups in pelagic foodwebs. Ecol. Res. 17: 161–174.

485 Stanier, R. Y., R. Kunisawa, M. Mandel, & G. Cohen-Bazire, 1971. Purification and
486 properties of unicellular blue-green algae (order Chroococcales). Bacteriol Rev. 35:
487 171–205.

488 Starmach, K., 1985. Chrysophyceae und Haptophyceae. In: Ettl, H., J. Gerloff, H. Heynig, D.
489 Mollenhauer (eds), Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa, Band 1. Gustav Fischer Verlag,
490 Stuttgart.

491 Tátrai I., G. Paulovits, V. Józsa, G. Boros, Á. I. György & J. Héri, 2009. Halállományok
492 eloszlása és a betelepített halfajok állománya a Balatonban [Distribution of fish stocks
493 and the stock of the introduced fish species in Lake Balaton]. In: Bíró, P. & J.
494 Banczerowski (eds), A Balaton kutatások fontosabb eredményei 1999-2009. MTA,
495 Budapest: 129–141. [In Hungarian with English summary].

496 Tátrai, I., V. Istvánovics, L. G.-Tóth & I. Kóbor, 2008. Management measures and long-term
497 water quality changes in Lake Balaton (Hungary). *Fundamental and Applied*
498 *Limnology*, *Archiv für Hydrobiologie*, 172: 1–11.

499 Tátrai, I., V. Józsa, Á. I. György, M. Havasi & I. Szabó, 2006. A busa biológiai szerepének és
500 hatásának vizsgálata a Balatonban [Investigation of the biological role and influence of
501 silver carp in Lake Balaton]. In: Mahunka, S. & J. Banczerowski (eds), *A Balaton*
502 *kutatásának 2005. évi eredményei*. MTA, Budapest: 73–83. [In Hungarian with English
503 summary].

504 Utermöhl, H., 1958. Zur Vervollkommnung der quantitativen phytoplankton-methodik. *M. H.*
505 *Int. Ver. Limnol.* 9: 1–38.

506 Van den Hoek, C., D. G. Mann & H. M. Jahns, 1995. *Algae: an introduction to phycology*.
507 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

508 Van Overeem, M. A., 1937. On green organisms occurring in the lower troposphere. *Trav.*
509 *Bot. Neerl.* 34: 388–442.

510 Vörös, L., I. Oldal, M. Présing & K. V.-Balogh, 1997. Size-selective filtration and taxon-
511 specific digestion of plankton algae by silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* Val.).
512 *Hydrobiologia* 342/343: 223–228.

513 Xie, P., 1999. Gut contents of silver carp, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*, and the disruption of a
514 centric diatom, *Cyclotella*, on passage through the esophagus and intestine. *Aquaculture*
515 180: 295–305.

516 Zeng, Q., X. Gu & Z. Mao, 2014. In situ growth and photosynthetic activity of Cyanobacteria
517 and phytoplankton dynamics after passage through the gut of silver carp
518 (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), bighead carp (*Aristichthys nobilis*), and Nile tilapia
519 (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Hydrobiologia* 736: 51–60.

520 Zhang, X., P. Xie & X. Huang, 2008. Review of Nontraditional Biomanipulation. The
521 Scientific World Journal 8: 1184–1196.

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541 **Tables**

542 **Table 1.**

543 Number of identified phytoplankton species in samples collected from Lake Balaton at the
544 vicinity of the nets used for fishing Asian carp on 5 occasions (April, May, June, September,
545 October) during 2013.

	April	May	June	September	October
Pennate diatoms	11	9	20	4	11
Centric diatoms	4	6	6	4	5
Filamentous blue-greens	1	5	4	4	6
Colonial blue-greens	5	4	5	8	3
Chlorococcales	12	16	19	12	13
Volvocales	0	1	1	0	1
Dinophyta	2	1	0	1	0
Desmidiaceae	0	1	2	4	2
Crysochyceae	2	2	1	1	1
Cryptophyta	2	1	2	1	2
Euglenophyta	2	1	0	1	0
Xanthophyceae	0	1	1	0	0
Total number of species	41	48	61	40	44

546

547 **Table 2**

548 Number of identified phytoplankton species collected from the foreguts (the anterior end of
549 intestines of the fish) of Asian carps on 5 occasions (April, May, June, September, October)
550 during 2013.

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

	April	May	June	September	October
Pennate diatoms	21	17	30	25	26
Centric diatoms	4	5	8	10	7
Filamentous blue-greens	3	6	6	6	5
Colonial blue-greens	8	8	8	10	8
Chlorococcales	17	21	29	22	27
Volvocales	0	0	1	1	0
Dinophyta	2	3	2	3	1
Desmidiiales	2	2	2	3	4
Crysophyceae	2	0	1	0	0
Cryptophyta	1	2	1	0	1
Euglenophyta	9	4	6	4	10
Xanthophyceae	0	0	0	1	0
Total number of species	69	68	94	85	89

558

559 **Table 3**

560 The list of all species, which occurred in the Lake Balaton, in the foregut and in the hindgut
561 samples during the 5 month (April, May, June, September, October) of 2013.

Name of the species	Lake Balaton	Foregut	Hindgut
<i>Acanthoceras zachariasii</i>		X	
<i>Aphanizomenon gracile</i>		X	X
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>			X
<i>Achnanthes</i> sp.		X	
<i>Amphora calumetica</i>	X	X	
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>		X	X
<i>Amphora</i> sp.			X
<i>Amphora veneta</i>	X	X	
<i>Anabaena</i> sp.			X
<i>Aphanizomenon issatschenkoi</i>	X		X
<i>Aphanizomenon</i> sp.		X	X
<i>Aphanocapsa holsatica</i>	X	X	
<i>Aphanocapsa incerta</i>			X
<i>Aphanocapsa conferta</i>			X
<i>Aphanocapsa delicatissima</i>			X
<i>Aphanocapsa planctonica</i>	X		
<i>Aphanocapsa</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Aphanothece</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Aulacoseira ambigua</i>	X	X	X
<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	X	X	X
<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i> var. <i>angustissima</i>	X	X	X
<i>Aulacoseira</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i>		X	
<i>Caloneis</i> sp.	X	X	

<i>Carteria</i> sp.	X		
<i>Centrales</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	X	X	
<i>Characium</i> sp.			X
<i>Chlamydomonas simplex</i>			X
<i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.			X
<i>Chlorococcales</i> sp.		X	X
<i>Choricystis minor</i>			X
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i>	X	X	X
<i>Chroococcus minimus</i>		X	X
<i>Chroococcus planctonicus</i>		X	X
<i>Chroococcus turgidus</i>	X	X	X
<i>Closterium aciculare</i>	X	X	X
<i>Closterium acuminatum</i>	X		
<i>Closterium acutum</i>			X
<i>Closterium acutum</i> var. <i>variabile</i>	X	X	X
<i>Cocconeis</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Coelastrum astroideum</i>			X
<i>Coelastrum microporum</i>			X
<i>Coelastrum pseudomicroporum</i>			X
<i>Coelastrum reticulatum</i>		X	X
<i>Cosmarium humile</i>		X	
<i>Cosmarium</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Cryptomonas</i> sp.	X		
<i>Cryptophyta</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Crysochromulina parva</i>	X	X	X
<i>Crysophyceae</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Cyanocatena</i> sp.			X
<i>Cyanodictyon</i> sp.		X	X
<i>Cyclotella bodanica</i>	X	X	
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	X	X	
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	X	X	X
<i>Cyclotella pseudostelligera</i>	X	X	X
<i>Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii</i>			X
<i>Cylindrospermopsis</i> sp.	X		
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i>		X	X
<i>Cymatopleura solea</i>		X	X
<i>Cymbella silesiaca</i>			X
<i>Cymbella elliptica</i>		X	
<i>Cymbella minuta</i>	X	X	X
<i>Cymbella</i> sp.	X		X
<i>Dactyosphaerium jurisii</i>	X	X	X
<i>Dactyosphaerium sociale</i>	X	X	X
<i>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides</i>			X
<i>Dictyosphaerium pulchellum</i>	X	X	X
<i>Dictyosphaerium tetrachotomum</i>		X	X
<i>Dinophyta</i> sp.		X	
<i>Diploneis parma</i>	X	X	X

<i>Dysmorphococcus</i> sp.		X	
<i>Entomoneis costata</i>	X		
<i>Eucapsis</i> sp.			X
<i>Euglena acus</i>		X	
<i>Euglena allorgei</i>		X	
<i>Euglena oblonga</i>	X		
<i>Euglena deses</i>		X	
<i>Euglena gracilis</i>		X	
<i>Euglena limnophila</i>		X	
<i>Euglena mutabilis</i>		X	
<i>Euglena oxyouris</i>		X	
<i>Euglena pisciformis</i>		X	
<i>Euglena spirogyra</i>			X
<i>Euglena texta</i>		X	
<i>Euglena variabilis</i>		X	
<i>Euglenoid</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Eunotia</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Eutetramorus fottii</i>	X	X	X
<i>Eutetramorus glabrum</i>			X
<i>Fragilaria capucina</i>		X	X
<i>Fragilaria construens</i>	X	X	X
<i>Fragilaria nitzschoides</i>			X
<i>Fragilaria parasitica</i>		X	
<i>Fragilaria pinnata</i>			X
<i>Fragilaria</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Fragilaria ulna</i>	X	X	X
<i>Fragilaria ulna</i> var. <i>acus</i>			X
<i>Francea</i> sp.	X		
<i>Gloeotila</i> sp.		X	
<i>Gomphonema olivacea</i>	X	X	X
<i>Gomphosphaeria</i> sp.			X
<i>Gyrosigma</i> sp.	X	X	X
<i>Kirchneriella diana</i>		X	
<i>Kirchneriella irregularis</i>	X	X	X
<i>Kirchneriella obesa</i>			X
<i>Komvolophoron</i> sp.			X
<i>Lagerheimia balatonica</i>	X		X
<i>Lepocinclis fusiformis</i>		X	
<i>Lepocinclis ovum</i> var. <i>dimido-minor</i>		X	
<i>Merismopedia minutissima</i>	X		X
<i>Merismopedia tenuis</i>	X	X	
<i>Micractinium pusillum</i>	X	X	X
<i>Microcoleus</i> sp.			X
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>		X	X
<i>Microcystis viridis</i>	X	X	X
<i>Microspora</i> sp.		X	
<i>Monoraphidium contortum</i>	X	X	X
<i>Monoraphidium griffithii</i>	X	X	X
<i>Monoraphidium irregulare</i>			X

<i>Monoraphidium komarkovae</i>		X	X
<i>Navicula radiosa</i>		X	
<i>Navicula reticulata</i>	X	X	X
<i>Navicula rhynchocephala</i>			X
<i>Navicula sp.</i>	X	X	X
<i>Neidium sp.</i>		X	
<i>Nephrochlamys sp.</i>	X		X
<i>Nephrochlamys subsolitaria</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia acicularis</i>	X	X	X
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia dissipata</i>	X	X	
<i>Nitzschia constricta</i>	X	X	X
<i>Nitzschia graciliformis</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia gracilis</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia hantzschiana</i>		X	X
<i>Nitzschia hungarica</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia linearis</i>	X	X	
<i>Nitzschia lorenziana</i>	X	X	X
<i>Nitzschia palea</i>			X
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i>	X	X	X
<i>Nitzschia sp.</i>	X	X	X
<i>Oocystis lacustris</i>		X	X
<i>Oocystis marsonii</i>	X	X	X
<i>Oocystis parva</i>	X	X	X
<i>Oocystis solitaria</i>	X	X	X
<i>Oocystis sp.</i>	X	X	X
<i>Oscillatoria sp.</i>	X	X	X
<i>Pandorina smithii</i>			X
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i>	X	X	X
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i>	X	X	X
<i>Pediastrum simplex</i>		X	
<i>Peridinium cinctum</i>	X		
<i>Phacotus lenticularis</i>		X	X
<i>Phacotus sp.</i>			X
<i>Phacus dangeardii</i>		X	
<i>Phacus megapyrenoides</i>	X		
<i>Phacus skujae</i>		X	
<i>Phacus sp.</i>		X	
<i>Phacus wettsteinii</i>		X	
<i>Phormidium autumnale</i>		X	X
<i>Phytomonadina sp.</i>			X
<i>Pinnularia viridis</i>			X
<i>Pinnularia sp.</i>	X	X	X
<i>Planktolyngbya capillaris</i>		X	
<i>Planktolyngbya circinale</i>	X	X	X
<i>Planktolyngbya limnetica</i>	X	X	X
<i>Planktonema lauterbornii</i>	X	X	X
<i>Planktosphaeria gelatinosa</i>		X	
<i>Pseudanabaena sp.</i>	X	X	X

<i>Quadricoccus ellipticus</i>		X	X
<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	X	X	X
<i>Rivularia</i> sp.			X
<i>Romeria elegans</i>	X	X	X
<i>Scenedesmus acuminatus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus acutiformis</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus acutus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus arcuatus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus armatus</i>	X	X	X
<i>Scenedesmus balatonicus</i>	X		
<i>Scenedesmus carinatus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus denticulatus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus dispar</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus ecornis</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus intermedius</i>		X	X
<i>Scenedesmus juvenilis</i>	X	X	X
<i>Scenedesmus maximus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus obtusos</i>		X	X
<i>Scenedesmus pleiomorphus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i>	X		X
<i>Scenedesmus spinosus</i>			X
<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>			X
<i>Schroederia robusta</i>			X
<i>Schroederia setigera</i>	X	X	X
<i>Schroederia</i> sp.			X
<i>Snowella lacustris</i>			X
<i>Snowella litoralis</i>	X	X	X
<i>Staurastrum chaetoceras</i>	X	X	X
<i>Stauroneis</i> sp.	X	X	
<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	X	X	
<i>Stichococcus subtilis</i>		X	X
<i>Surirella brebissonii</i>	X	X	
<i>Surirella robusta</i>	X	X	X
<i>Synechococcus elongatus</i>			X
<i>Tetrachlorella</i> sp.	X		
<i>Tetraedron caudatum</i>	X		
<i>Tetraedron minutum</i>		X	
<i>Tetrastrum staurogeniaeforme</i>	X	X	
<i>Tetrastrum triangulare</i>	X	X	X
<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>			X
<i>Trachelomonas oblonga</i>	X		
<i>Trachelomonas volvocina</i>		X	
<i>Trachydiscus lenticularis</i>	X		
<i>Trachydiscus</i> sp.			X
<i>Ulothrix tenerrima</i>			X
<i>Willea</i> sp.		X	
<i>Woronichinia naegeliana</i>		X	X
<i>Xanthophyceae</i> sp.		X	

563 **Table 4**

564 Number of viable taxa which were observed in the cultured (BG-11) hindgut samples in the 5
 565 sampling months (April, May, June, September, October) during 2013.

	April	May	June	September	October
Pennate diatoms	19	14	15	9	11
Centric diatoms	5	6	4	6	6
Filamentous blue-greens	6	5	5	7	8
Colonial blue-greens	12	13	11	10	12
Chlorococcales	26	30	33	19	29
Volvocales	0	1	1	1	2
Dinophyta	0	0	0	0	0
Desmidiiales	0	0	1	2	3
Crysophyceae	0	1	0	0	0
Cryptophyta	0	0	0	0	0
Euglenophyta	0	0	1	1	1
Xanthophyceae	0	0	0	1	0
Total number of species	68	70	71	56	72

1

2 **Legends for figures**

3 **Fig. 1**

4 An image of the filtering apparatus (gill raker) of hybrid Asian carp showing the inner surface
5 of the gill arch where the filtered material is collected and compressed prior to ingestion
6 (filtered matter highlighted by the white ellipse).

7 **Fig. 2**

8 The relative abundances of counted units (cells or colonies) in Lake Balaton.

9 **Fig. 3**

10 Relative abundances of the counted units (cells or colonies) in the foregut contents.

11 **Fig. 4 a, b**

12 Occurrence of the various algal taxa in the three types of samples. Letters above the columns
13 indicate the similarities and/or the significant differences between the groups. (Identical
14 letters indicate the lack of significant differences based on Kruskal-Wallis tests).

15 **Fig. 5**

16 Comparison of the algal composition (based on the number of species) of Lake Balaton, the
17 foregut and the hindgut samples.

18 **Fig. 6**

19 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plots showing the ordination of the three types
20 of samples (Lake Balaton: □; foregut: x; hindgut: o), based on species occurrences and
21 Jaccard similarity.

22 **Fig. 7**

23 The number of phytoplankton taxa in the three size categories (1. category: <10 μm ; 2. category: 10-
24 40 μm ; 3. category: >40 μm).