

## **Flight of the European Corn Borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner) as Followed by Light Traps in 2002**

S. KESZTHELYI and GY. SÁRINGER

Department of Entomology, University of Veszprém,  
Georgikon Faculty of Agriculture Keszthely,  
Institute for Plant Protection, H–8361 Keszthely, P. O. Box 71, Hungary

The investigations were made in 2002 in the outskirts of Balatonmagyaród (Zala county) and Várda (Somogy county) in Hungary. In the corn fields Jermy's light-traps were placed in order to follow the course of flight. From the material collected the corn borer specimens were isolated, then separated by sex. The generation number of the populations appearing on the respective areas, and the characteristics of the flight were determined. The meteorological data of the areas obtained from Keszthely and Kaposvár for the period concerned were compared with the data of flight. In this way the effect of the different meteorological elements on the flight and on the trend of the female ratio could be established. The results showed the presence of two-generation corn borer populations in both places. In Balatonmagyaród the first while in Várda the second generation proved to be larger. The meteorological elements significantly influenced the possibility of trapping in the case of both sexes. As for the female sex ratio close correlations were found with the minimum ( $P=100\%$ ;  $r=0.297$ ), maximum ( $P=99.9\%$ ;  $r=0.267$ ), average ( $P=100\%$ ;  $r=0.308$ ) temperature and precipitation ( $P=98.2\%$ ;  $r=0.187$ ) data.

Keywords: European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*, light traps.

In Hungary the economic importance of the European corn borer has increased with the spread of monocultures and the expansion of the sowing area, so it is easy to see how important is the prognosis of this pest and its control.

The development cycle of the corn borer varies. According to (Mészáros, 1969) the limit of dispersion of the one- and two-generation biotypes is the annual 3200 °C isotherm. The difference in generation number between the warmer and cooler parts of the country is due to their different temperature and photoperiodic conditions (Sáringer, 1976). Beside the temperature and photoperiod the different range of host plants may also cause physiological, ecological and population dynamic changes to the European corn borer (Nagy, 1985).

The prognosis of the corn borer is very discussable recently, and has but a limited importance since the biological effects produced on the overwintering larvae, and the success of flight and oviposition, which is highly dependent on the climatic conditions cannot be analyzed in advance by Manninger (1971).

Signalization pointing to the immediate appearance of the pest supplies useful data also in the case of the corn borer concerning the necessity and the right time of control (Nagy, 1961). Hanó (1984) found that by the optimum time of control, the mass hatching of larvae, 80% of the adults emerged.

In the course of light trap observations of the corn borer in Hungary Balázs (1965) was the first to take the climatic factors into consideration. He compared the daily results of light trapping to the meteorological data of the respective days. On the basis of results of previous investigations the modifying effect of 25 environmental factors on the outcome of collection was proved (Nowinszky, 2003). The literary data are inconsistent when the sexual index is to be determined on the basis of collecting by light trap. While Járfás (1978) found less males and more females in both generations, Lesznyák et al. (1993) established male dominance in the early stage of flight in the case of the first generation. The female sex ratio of light-trapped one-generation corn borers was found to be 47.3% by Cordillott (1989) in Switzerland.

This suggests that the difference between the one- and two-generation populations considered to be two different ecotypes manifests itself in sex pheromone polymorphism, too (Pena et al., 1988). The varying attraction of the sexual attractant traps was described in the USA too (Harrison and Vawter, 1977). This asynchrony can also be detected between the data supplied by the pheromone- and light-traps (Lesznyák et al., 1993).

## Materials and Methods

With the view of making prognostic surveys we placed Jermy's light traps in a 100 ha hybrid corn field of the Mezőgazdasági Szövetkezeti Rt. Balatonmagyaród (Zala county), and a farmer's 50 ha corn field in Várda (Somogy county). The light traps were set up directly in the edge of fields with halogen bulbs. The surveys were made in 2002, from April to September. In the above-mentioned fields no control of the corn borer took place. Data were collected every second day with both prognostic instruments. From the material obtained we isolated the corn borer specimens and separated them by sex. We asked Keszthely and Kaposvár to submit the meteorological data of the areas in question for the period concerned. The required data were: precipitation (mm), relative humidity (could not be obtained from Kaposvár) and temperature. With the help of the temperature and precipitation data we calculated in both places the value of Seljaninov's hydrothermic coefficient for each month, whereby the precipitation conditions of the given period could be characterized. We compared the meteorological data with the data of flight and in this way determined the effect of each meteorological element on the flight and on the trend of the female ratio.

From the data supplied by the two light traps we computed the relative individual number (RIN) and relative female number (RFN) per day:  $1RIN = G_{in} / G_{day}$ ;  $1RFN = GF_n / G_{day}$ , where  $G_{in}$  = individual number per generation;  $GF_n$  = female number per generation;  $G_{day}$  = number of days per generation.

Comparing the total-, male- and female catching data with the meteorological elements we made statistical evaluation using the SPSS for Windows 9.0 program.

## Results

### *Flight by the light traps*

In *Table 1* the different meteorological values are shown together with the captures. As seen from the table in both places monthly changes in the individual number of corn borer were proportionately followed by a change in the number of males, in contrast with the monthly change of the female number. In Várda the recession in July – due to the pause between the disappearance and appearance of the two generations – was minimum. In Balatonmagyaród the female number in the same period of time formed transition between the individual number of females observed in June and August, respectively.

**Table 1**

Monthly totalled meteorological and light trapping data of the places of survey

|                 | Months    | $\Sigma$ precipitation (mm) | $\Sigma$ temperature (°C) | HTC  | Precipitation conditions | $\Sigma$ corn borer number | Male number | Female number |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Várda           | April     | 100.4                       | 340.75                    | 2.94 | extremely rainy          | –                          | –           | –             |
|                 | May       | 55                          | 609.1                     | 0.9  | dry                      | 9                          | 7           | 2             |
|                 | June      | 95                          | 672.65                    | 1.41 | optimum                  | 99                         | 60          | 39            |
|                 | July      | 77.2                        | 740.9                     | 1.04 | optimum                  | 48                         | 15          | 33            |
|                 | August    | 37.1                        | 687.8                     | 0.53 | dry                      | 81                         | 40          | 41            |
|                 | September | 50.8                        | 481.6                     | 1.05 | extremely rainy          | 19                         | 8           | 11            |
| Balatonmagyaród | April     | 116.5                       | 315.6                     | 3.69 | extremely rainy          | –                          | –           | –             |
|                 | May       | 33.9                        | 570                       | 0.59 | dry                      | 13                         | 9           | 4             |
|                 | June      | 44.2                        | 623.3                     | 0.7  | dry                      | 61                         | 39          | 22            |
|                 | July      | 59.1                        | 685                       | 0.86 | dry                      | 45                         | 16          | 29            |
|                 | August    | 47.4                        | 649.8                     | 0.72 | dry                      | 95                         | 52          | 43            |
|                 | September | 27.9                        | 452.4                     | 0.61 | dry                      | 18                         | 9           | 9             |

HTC = Seljaninov's hydrothermic coefficient: the precipitation conditions of the period concerned were determined according to its threshold values

It is worth mentioning that the high individual number of June in Várda was associated with a higher hydrothermic coefficient. In July – even if to a minimum extent – the amount of precipitation in Várda was associated with a higher individual number. The dry weather dominant in the last two months of flight influenced the number of individuals caught by the light traps almost in the same measure in the two places.

However, farreaching conclusions cannot be drawn from the results, because the course of flight is affected by other population dynamic rules too.

In *Figs 1 and 2* the diagrams prepared by using the data collected with the light traps represent the course of flight. As seen from the figures two definite generations appeared both in Várda and Balatonmagyaród.




Fig. 1. Course of corn borer flight observed in 2002, Balatonmgyaród




Fig. 2. Course of corn borer flight observed in 2002, Várda

The effective heat sum required for the flight of the corn borer (Manninger, 1971) was reached at different times in the two places, in Balatonmagyaród on 13 June, in Várda on 5 June. The flight diagrams clearly show a definite rising in the above-mentioned points of time.

The border between the two generations was determined in a subjective manner on the horizontal axis of the diagrams, the values seen in *Tables 2 and 3* are given accordingly. In Balatonmagyaród it was on 21 July, in Várda on 25 July.

In Balatonmagyaród similar values were obtained for the trapped individuals in the case of the two generations, and the same trend appeared in the individual number of the sexes. In the generation appearing in the second part of the summer a minimum increase in the individual number of males was associated with a negligible extent of decrease in the female number. The totaled results when taken into consideration suggest that in Balatonmagyaród the presence of corn borer in that year showed a male surplus, as indicated by the relevant value of the generation coefficient, too. The comparative ratio of the generations shows differences in the case of the sexes, too. The decrease here did not involve the same rate and direction of change in the sexes, since the increase in the number of males was associated with a roughly twofold decline in the female number.

As regards the relative individual number per day and the relative female number per day the values calculated for the second generation outnumbered these of the first generation. On the other hand, the extent of increase was lower, that is, the increased individual number was the result of an increase in the number of males.

In Várda an inverse ratio was found between the number of trapped individuals and the period of flight in contrast with the values of Balatonmagyaród where the shorter period of flight went together with a decrease in the individual number. The number of individuals caught in the second half of trapping was higher by 10% compared to the individual num-

**Table 2**

Flight periods of corn borer in the places of survey,  
number of individuals trapped and their comparison by generation

|                              |               | Balatonmagyaród | Difference (%) |       | Várda |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Flight period<br>(day)       | 1. generation | 72              | <              | 12.5  | 81    |
|                              | 2. generation | 62              | 1.63           | >     | 61    |
| Presence of corn borer (day) |               | 134             | <              | 5.97  | 142   |
| Individual<br>number         | 1. generation | 122             | 0.81           | >     | 121   |
|                              | 2. generation | 120             | <              | 10.83 | 133   |
|                              | Σ             | 244             | <              | 3.68  | 253   |
| Female<br>number             | 1. generation | 57              | <              | 7.01  | 61    |
|                              | 2. generation | 53              | <              | 28.3  | 68    |
|                              | Σ             | 110             | <              | 17.27 | 129   |
| Male<br>number               | 1. generation | 65              | 8.33           | >     | 60    |
|                              | 2. generation | 67              | 3.07           | >     | 65    |
|                              | Σ             | 132             | 5.6            | >     | 125   |

**Table 3**

Generation coefficients of corn borer in the places of survey,  
relative individual numbers and their comparison

|                                    | Balatonmagyaród | Difference (%) |      | Várda |      |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|-------|------|
| Generation coefficient             | 0.98            | <              | 0.07 | 1.09  |      |
| Generation coefficient for males   | 1.03            | <              | 0.05 | 1.08  |      |
| Generation coefficient for females | 0.92            | <              | 0.03 | 1.11  |      |
| 1 RIN                              | 1. generation   | 1.69           | 0.2  | >     | 1.49 |
|                                    | 2. generation   | 1.93           | <    | 0.17  | 2.1  |
|                                    | Σ               | 1.81           | 0.03 | >     | 1.78 |
| 1 RFN                              | 1. generation   | 0.79           | 0.04 | >     | 0.78 |
|                                    | 2. generation   | 0.85           | <    | 0.26  | 1.11 |
|                                    | Σ               | 0.82           | <    | 0.08  | 0.9  |

In the case of the generation coefficients the differences are those of the absolute values of deviation from 1.  
1 RIN = relative individual number per day; 1 RFN = relative female number per day

ber of the first generation corn borer, manifest also in the individual number of the sexes. The generation coefficients indicated the presence of a stronger second generation.

The relative female number per day which represents a “damaging stress” on the plant stand of corn also indicates a 0.36 increase. The value of 1.11 calculated for the second generation shows considerable reserves concerning the damage expected for the next year in the countryside.

#### *Statistical analysis of the light trap observations*

The changes in the individual number of the corn borer males showed significant correlation with the abiotic factors; they were closely correlated with the maximum temperature (P=99.9%; r=0.267), the minimum temperature (P=99.6%; r=0.226), the average temperature (P=100%; r=0.277) and with the precipitation (P=99.3%; r=0.299).

The number of females was remarkably influenced by the maximum temperature (P=100%; r=0.371), the minimum temperature (P=100%; r=0.292), the average temperature (P=100%; r=0.375) and by the precipitation (P=99.8%; r=0.276). This correlation was determined at higher significance level and closeness than in the case of the male number.

The correlation between the air humidity data and the results of trapping could not be statistically proved. Only the female number was significantly though less closely correlated with the relative humidity (P=95.1%; r=0.254).

The female ratio showed significant correlation with the maximum temperature (P=99.9%; r=0.267), the minimum temperature (P=100%; r=0.297), the average temperature (P=100%; r=0.308), and in inverse ratio to the amount of precipitation (P=98.2%; r=0.187). Thus, within the decreasing number of corn borer individuals observed with the higher amount of precipitation the reaction of the sexes was different: the number of females decreased in a greater measure.

## Discussion

In Balatonmagyaród and Várda two definite generations were found to appear in spite of the fact that the Zala area was near to those parts of Hungary where the European corn borer had only one generation. Between the two corn borer generations we observed differences in the course of flight, due to the different weather conditions of the two places. In Balatonmagyaród the first, while in Várda the second generation was larger in individual number.

In Balatonmagyaród male dominance was pointed out for the whole year. In Várda the initial male dominance increased, and the females were in excess all over the year. Thus, in the southerly population higher fecundity values were observed, which may explain the decisive presence of corn borer this year.

As regards the relative individual- and female number higher values were obtained in Várda, which also proves the more positive appearance of the second generation.

Knowing the flight peaks in both places we can determine the optimum time for controlling the L1 larvae. In Balatonmagyaród spraying ought to have been carried out between 23 and 28 June, and 10–25 August. In Várda the corresponding dates would have been 25 June–5 July and 25–30 August. For purely financial reasons the control operations did not take place, but to determine the optimum time of control is theoretically possible.

The trend of the amount of precipitation was in inverse ratio to but in very close significant correlation with changes in the individual number of the corn borer and its sexes.

The data of air humidity showed significant correlation only with the change of the female number, due perhaps to the short period of observation.

The close correlation between the female ratio and the average- and maximum temperature suggested a strong influence of the high daytime temperature on the female ratio.

It can be established that the abiotic factors have a greater influence on the female number than on the number of males.

## Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Zoltán Németh, director of the Mezőgazdasági Szövetkezeti Rt., Barnabás Fisli, leader of the livestock branch, and to Tibor Keszthelyi, farmer, for putting the places of surveys at our disposal and operating the light traps.

## Literature

- B. Balázs, K. (1965): Lepkekártevők rajzásadatai a meteorológiai tényezők függvényében. (Data on lepidopterous pests as related to meteorological factors.) *Folia Entomol. Hung.* 18, 481–504.
- Cordillott, F. P. (1989): Dispersal, flight oviposition strategies of the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* Hbn. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Ph. D. Dissertation, Basel, 134.
- Hanó, G. (1984): Az üzemi előrejelzés tapasztalatai a kukorica növényvédelmében. (Experiences of local forecasting in the protection of maize.) *Növényvédelem* 20, 424–426.

- Harrison, R. G. and Vawter, A. T. (1977): Allozyme differentiation between pheromone strains of the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* Hbn. (Lep. Pyralidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 70, 717–720.
- Járfás, J. (1978): Különböző fénycsapdázási módszerek eredményessége a kukoricamolaj rajzás megfigyelésében. (Effectivity of different light trapping methods in studying the flight activity of corn borer.) Növényvédelem 14, 494–498.
- Lesznyák, M., Szarukán, I. and Mészáros, Z. (1993): A kukoricamolaj (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner) szexuálindexének alakulása a populáció nagyság és a meteorológiai tényezők függvényében, fénycsapda fogási eredmények alapján. [Sexual index of the European corn borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner) as a function of population size and meteorological factors, based on light trap catches.] Növényvédelem 29, 307–316.
- Manninger, G. A. (1971): Prognózis alapján megtakarítható vagy elvégezhető preventív védekezés a növényvédelemben. MTA Doktori Értekezés (kézirat), Budapest (In Hungarian).
- Mészáros, Z. (1969): Phenological investigations on the Hungarian population of the European corn borer (*O. n.*) in 1965–1967. Acta Phytopath Acad. Sci. Hung. 4, 181–185.
- Nagy, B. (1961): A kukoricamolaj (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hbn., Lepidopt.) magyarországi rajzásidejére vonatkozó újabb megfigyelések. [Neuere Beobachtungen über die Flugzeit des Maiszünslers (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hbn.) in Ungarn.] Ann. Inst. Prot. Plant. Hung. 8, 215–230.
- Nagy, B. (1985): Tápnövénykör-változás és következményei a kukoricamolaj populáció-ökológiájában. (Changes in the host-plant spectrum of the corn borer and consequences in the population ecology.) Növényvédelem 21, 264.
- Nowinszky, L. (2003): The Handbook of Light Trapping. Savaria University Press, Szombathely, 32–46.
- Pena, A., Arn, H., Buser, R., Rauscher S., Bigler, F., Brunetti, R., Maini, S. and Tóth, M. (1988): Sex pheromone of European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*, polymorphism in various laboratory and field strains. J. Chem. Ecology 14, 1359–1366.
- Sáringer, Gy. (1976): Diapause-Versuche mit der ungarischen Population von *Ostrinia nubilalis* Hbn. (Lepid.: Pyraustidae). Z. angew. Entomol. 80, 426–434.