

**Supplement 3A** Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of major environmental variables in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and significance levels (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations (BCICs) for the Mann-Kendall tests based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI (in second line). Significant trends are bold and italic.

Parameter	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
Water temperature (°C)	<b><i>0.25 (***)</i></b>	0.11 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.42	0.11 (n.s.) 0.10, 0.32	<b><i>0.30 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.38, 0.82</i></b>	0.07 (n.s.) 0.04, 0.25
Total suspended solids (mgL <sup>-1</sup> )	<b><i>-0.35 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.31 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.89, -0.36</i></b>	<b><i>-0.32 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.90, -0.39</i></b>	<b><i>-0.31 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.87, -0.37</i></b>	<b><i>-0.32 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.87, -0.41</i></b>
Ammonium-N (mgN L <sup>-1</sup> )	<b><i>-0.66 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.70 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.77, -1.02</i></b>	<b><i>-0.62 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.56, -0.91</i></b>	<b><i>-0.56 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.43, -0.78</i></b>	<b><i>-0.65 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.62, -0.96</i></b>
Nitrite-N (mgN L <sup>-1</sup> )	<b><i>-0.58 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.43 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.15, -0.56</i></b>	<b><i>-0.58 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.50, -0.83</i></b>	<b><i>-0.55 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.42, -0.77</i></b>	<b><i>-0.61 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.54, -0.88</i></b>
Nitrate-N (mgN L <sup>-1</sup> )	<b><i>-0.20 (***)</i></b>	-0.02 (n.s.) -0.28, 0.19	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.40, -0.08	<b><i>-0.22 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.65, -0.21</i></b>	<b><i>-0.21 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.61, -0.22</i></b>
Orthophosphate-P (µgP L <sup>-1</sup> )	<b><i>-0.57 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.63 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.62, -0.88</i></b>	<b><i>-0.55 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.43, -0.78</i></b>	<b><i>-0.41 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.13, -0.50</i></b>	<b><i>-0.59 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-1.52, -0.85</i></b>

**Supplement 3B** Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of the relative abundance of phytoplankton functional traits in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and p values (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations for the Mann-Kendall tests (in the second line) based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI. Significant trends are bold and italic. For the abbreviation of functional traits, see Table 1 in the article.

FTs	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
S	<b><i>0.10 (**)</i></b>	-0.02 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.13	<b><i>0.17 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.16, 0.51</i></b>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.07, 0.40	0.10 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.42
M	<b><i>-0.12 (***)</i></b>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.27, 0.06	<b><i>-0.25 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.73, -0.28</i></b>	-0.0269 (n.s.) -0.29, 0.19	<b><i>-0.133 (*)</i></b> <b><i>-0.49, -0.04</i></b>
L	0.04 (n.s.)	0.08 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.36	<b><i>0.23 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.20, 0.71</i></b>	<b><i>-0.17 (*)</i></b> <b><i>-0.58, -0.09</i></b>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.06, 0.36
XL	<b><i>-0.08 (*)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.18 (*)</i></b> <b><i>-0.56, -0.14</i></b>	0.04 (n.s.) -0.11, 0.28	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.33, 0.06	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.44, -0.04
GAL	<b><i>0.21 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>0.19 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.18, 0.59</i></b>	<b><i>0.38 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.49, 1.02</i></b>	-0.00 (n.s.) -0.22, 0.18	<b><i>0.212 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.23, 0.62</i></b>
FLA	<b><i>0.36 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>0.30 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.37, 0.85</i></b>	<b><i>0.32 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.35, 0.91</i></b>	<b><i>0.29 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.35, 0.82</i></b>	<b><i>0.51 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.72, 1.30</i></b>
SIN	<b><i>-0.19 (***)</i></b>	0.06 (n.s.) -0.07, 0.30	<b><i>-0.25 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.70, -0.31</i></b>	<b><i>-0.21 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.59, -0.23</i></b>	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.40, -0.07
COL	0.01 (n.s.)	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.33, 0.06	<b><i>0.19 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.21, 0.55</i></b>	-0.13 (n.s.) -0.49, -0.02	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.25, 0.13
FIL	<b><i>0.21 (***)</i></b>	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.12	<b><i>0.36 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.48, 0.95</i></b>	<b><i>0.23 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.24, 0.69</i></b>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.03, 0.34
SIR	<b><i>-0.24 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>-0.16 (*)</i></b> <b><i>-0.51, -0.12</i></b>	<b><i>-0.31 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.87, -0.39</i></b>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.31, 0.12	<b><i>-0.34 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.92, -0.43</i></b>
VAC	<b><i>0.15 (***)</i></b>	0.09 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.41	<b><i>0.17 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.14, 0.54</i></b>	0.136 (n.s.) 0.05, 0.49	0.0878 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.40
N2R	0.02 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.24	<b><i>0.16 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.11, 0.52</i></b>	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.13	-0.08 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.02
CHB	-0.00 (n.s.)	0.00 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.21	<b><i>0.18 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.19, 0.52</i></b>	<b><i>-0.19 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.62, -0.15</i></b>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.12
CHC	-0.02 (n.s.)	0.04 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.28	<b><i>-0.188 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.54, -0.21</i></b>	<b><i>0.134 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.03, 0.51</i></b>	0.01 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.23
PYC	<b><i>0.41 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>0.20 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.20, 0.58</i></b>	<b><i>0.37 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.44, 1.02</i></b>	<b><i>0.45 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.61, 1.20</i></b>	<b><i>0.51 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.72, 1.30</i></b>
BEN	<b><i>0.11 (**)</i></b>	0.05 (n.s.) -0.11, 0.31	<b><i>0.24 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.28, 0.68</i></b>	0.00 (n.s.) -0.22, 0.23	<b><i>0.17 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.14, 0.53</i></b>
PLA	<b><i>-0.11 (**)</i></b>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.11	<b><i>-0.24 (***)</i></b> <b><i>-0.69, -0.28</i></b>	-0.00 (n.s.) -0.23, 0.22	<b><i>-0.17 (*)</i></b> <b><i>-0.53, -0.14</i></b>

**Supplement 3C** Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of the relative abundance of phytoplankton functional groups (FGs) *sensu* Reynolds in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and p values (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations for the Mann-Kendall tests (in the second line) based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI. Significant trends are bold and italic. For the abbreviation of FGs, see Reynolds *et al.* (2002), Borics *et al.* (2007), and Padisák, Crossetti & Naselli-Flores (2009).

FGs	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
A	<b>-0.13 (**)</b>	-0.14 (n.s.) -0.50, -0.04	0.07 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.35	<b>-0.21 (**)</b> <b>-0.66, -0.15</b>	<b>-0.18 (*)</b> <b>-0.60, -0.13</b>
B	-0.01 (n.s.)	0.0681 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.40	<b>0.15 (*)</b> <b>0.06, 0.54</b>	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.25, 0.22	<b>-0.26 (***)</b> <b>-0.80, -0.23</b>
C	<b>-0.11 (**)</b>	-0.06 (n.s.) -0.36, 0.11	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.37, 0.01	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.367, 0.08	<b>-0.24 (***)</b> <b>-0.71, -0.23</b>
D	<b>-0.23 (***)</b>	<b>-0.17 (*)</b> <b>-0.53, -0.13</b>	<b>-0.31 (***)</b> <b>-0.87, -0.36</b>	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.35, 0.07	<b>-0.33 (***)</b> <b>-0.91, -0.41</b>
E	<b>0.16 (***)</b>	<b>0.37 (***)</b> <b>0.44, 1.02</b>	<b>0.25 (***)</b> <b>0.27, 0.73</b>	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.45, -0.01	0.13 (n.s.) 0.04, 0.49
F	<b>-0.10 (**)</b>	-0.13 (n.s.) -0.50, -0.04	0.07 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.30	<b>-0.24 (***)</b> <b>-0.75, -0.22</b>	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.44, 0.01
G	-0.07 (n.s.)	<b>0.17 (*)</b> <b>0.13, 0.54</b>	0.08 (n.s.) 0.00, 0.34	<b>-0.23 (***)</b> <b>-0.69, -0.23</b>	<b>-0.16 (*)</b> <b>-0.56, -0.09</b>
H1	0.02 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.24	<b>0.18 (*)</b> <b>0.14, 0.57</b>	-0.04 (n.s.) -0.26, 0.11	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.36, -0.01
J	0.02 (n.s.)	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.14	<b>0.23 (***)</b> <b>0.28, 0.62</b>	<b>-0.16 (*)</b> <b>-0.56, -0.09</b>	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.39, 0.02
K	0.07 (n.s.)	-0.16 (n.s.) -1.80, 0.59	<b>0.26 (**)</b> <b>-0.29, 1.19</b>	0.12 (n.s.) -0.09, 0.58	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.44, 0.22
L0	0.03 (n.s.)	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.38, 0.11	0.13 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.48	<b>0.18 (**)</b> <b>0.11, 0.61</b>	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.39, 0.01
M	<b>0.19 (***)</b>	0.13 (n.s.) -0.06, 0.56	0.09 (n.s.) -0.41, 0.69	<b>0.23 (**)</b> <b>0.18, 0.73</b>	0.14 (n.s.) 0.01, 0.55
P	<b>0.27 (***)</b>	<b>0.26 (***)</b> <b>0.21, 0.81</b>	<b>0.46 (***)</b> <b>0.58, 1.24</b>	<b>0.16 (*)</b> <b>0.08, 0.53</b>	0.13 (n.s.) 0.06, 0.46
Q	0.11 (n.s.)	-0.04 (n.s.) -1.68, 0.81	0.02 (n.s.) -1.56, 0.92	0.08 (n.s.) -0.20, 0.49	<b>0.18 (*)</b> <b>-0.53, 1.06</b>
S1	<b>0.12 (***)</b>	0.04 (n.s.) -0.14, 0.31	<b>0.25 (***)</b> <b>0.28, 0.73</b>	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.17	<b>0.16 (*)</b> <b>0.13, 0.51</b>
S2	<b>-0.20 (***)</b>	<b>-0.28 (***)</b> <b>-0.82, -0.29</b>	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.43, -0.01	-0.06 (n.s.) -0.31, 0.06	<b>-0.16 (*)</b> <b>-0.54, -0.11</b>
T	<b>0.10 (*)</b>	-0.06 (n.s.) -1.60, 0.78	<b>0.17 (*)</b> <b>0.11, 0.60</b>	0.02 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.26	<b>0.16 (*)</b> <b>0.05, 0.57</b>
TB	<b>0.12 (***)</b>	0.05 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.32	<b>0.18 (**)</b> <b>0.16, 0.57</b>	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.21, 0.17	<b>0.23 (***)</b> <b>0.26, 0.65</b>
TC	<b>-0.26 (***)</b>	-0.05 (n.s.)	-0.11 (n.s.)	<b>-0.40 (***)</b>	<b>-0.43 (***)</b>

Abonyi, A., É. Ács, A. Hidas, I. Grigorszky, G. Várbiro, G. Borics & K. T. Kiss, 2018. Functional diversity of phytoplankton highlights long-term gradual regime shift in the middle section of the Danube River due to global warming, human impacts and oligotrophication. *Freshwater Biology* doi:10.1111/fwb.13084.

		-0.29, 0.10	-0.43, -0.01	<b>-1.09, -0.49</b>	<b>-1.17, -0.56</b>
<b>U</b>	0.07 (n.s.)	0.04 (n.s.)	<b>0.17 (*)</b>	-0.02 (n.s.)	-0.01 (n.s.)
		-0.82, 0.78	<b>-1.14, 1.24</b>	-1.05, 0.71	-1.61, 0.87
<b>W1</b>	<b>-0.18 (***)</b>	<b>-0.22 (**)</b>	-0.05 (n.s.)	-0.13 (n.s.)	<b>-0.30 (***)</b>
		<b>-0.66, -0.23</b>	-0.31, 0.12	-0.44, -0.07	<b>-0.81, -0.37</b>
<b>W2</b>	<b>-0.26 (***)</b>	-0.14 (n.s.)	<b>-0.27 (***)</b>	<b>-0.36 (***)</b>	<b>-0.25 (***)</b>
		-0.58, -0.00	<b>-0.82, -0.24</b>	<b>-0.98, -0.45</b>	<b>-0.72, -0.26</b>
<b>WS</b>	<b>-0.11 (**)</b>	0.02 (n.s.)	0.07 (n.s.)	<b>-0.30 (***)</b>	<b>-0.17 (*)</b>
		-0.17, 0.26	-0.07, 0.34	<b>-0.85, -0.35</b>	<b>-0.56, -0.14</b>
<b>X1</b>	<b>-0.12 (**)</b>	-0.13 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.)	<b>-0.14 (*)</b>	<b>-0.21 (**)</b>
		-0.42, -0.07	-0.07, 0.16	<b>-0.51, -0.05</b>	<b>-0.66, -0.18</b>
<b>X2</b>	<b>0.39 (***)</b>	<b>0.33 (***)</b>	<b>0.33 (***)</b>	<b>0.31 (***)</b>	<b>0.55 (***)</b>
		<b>0.40, 0.91</b>	<b>0.36, 0.94</b>	<b>0.38, 0.86</b>	<b>0.79, 1.41</b>
<b>X3</b>	<b>0.22 (***)</b>	<b>0.29 (***)</b>	<b>0.35 (***)</b>	0.08 (n.s.)	<b>0.16 (*)</b>
		<b>0.32, 0.85</b>	<b>0.43, 0.99</b>	-0.07, 0.37	<b>0.11, 0.52</b>
<b>Y</b>	<b>0.11 (**)</b>	0.03 (n.s.)	<b>0.23 (***)</b>	0.01 (n.s.)	<b>0.17 (*)</b>
		-0.11, 0.23	<b>0.22, 0.71</b>	-0.15, 0.19	<b>0.17, 0.52</b>

**Supplement 3D** Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of functional evenness (FEVE), functional divergence (FDIV) and functional dispersion (FDIS) based on phytoplankton functional traits and phytoplankton functional groups *sensu* Reynolds in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and significance levels (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations (BCICs) for the Mann-K tests based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI (in second line). Significant trends are bold and italic.

Functional metric	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
<i>Functional traits</i>					
FEVE	<b><i>0.21 (***)</i></b>	0.00 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.24	0.03 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.24	<b><i>0.36 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.48, 0.96</i></b>	<b><i>0.32 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.40, 0.89</i></b>
FDIV	<b><i>0.09 (*)</i></b>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.36	<b><i>0.14 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.11, 0.44</i></b>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.04, 0.35	0.00 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.15
FDIS	<b><i>0.31 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>0.14 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.09, 0.48</i></b>	<b><i>0.34 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.43, 0.92</i></b>	<b><i>0.21 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.20, 0.64</i></b>	<b><i>0.39 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.53, 1.02</i></b>
<i>Functional groups</i>					
FEVE	0.01 (n.s.)	<b><i>-0.23 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.71, -0.21</i></b>	<b><i>-0.18 (**)</i></b> <b><i>-0.53, -0.20</i></b>	<b><i>0.21 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.17, 0.67</i></b>	<b><i>0.27 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.29, 0.79</i></b>
FDIV	<b><i>0.13 (***)</i></b>	<b><i>0.18 (*)</i></b> <b><i>0.16, 0.56</i></b>	0.12 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.46	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.36, 0.09	<b><i>0.31 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.38, 0.85</i></b>
FDIS	<b><i>0.19 (***)</i></b>	0.14 (n.s.) 0.07, 0.47	<b><i>0.32 (***)</i></b> <b><i>0.38, 0.89</i></b>	0.05 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.31	<b><i>0.20 (**)</i></b> <b><i>0.17, 0.62</i></b>

## References

Borics G., Várbiro G., Grigorszky I., Krasznai E., Szabó S. & Kiss Keve T. (2007) A new evaluation technique of potamo-plankton for the assessment of the ecological status of rivers. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplementband Large rivers*, **17**, 466 - 486.

Abonyi, A., É. Ács, A. Hidas, I. Grigorszky, G. Várbiro, G. Borics & K. T. Kiss, 2018. Functional diversity of phytoplankton highlights long-term gradual regime shift in the middle section of the Danube River due to global warming, human impacts and oligotrophication. *Freshwater Biology* doi:10.1111/fwb.13084.

Padisák J., Crossetti L. & Naselli-Flores L. (2009) Use and misuse in the application of the phytoplankton functional classification: a critical review with updates. *Hydrobiologia*, **621**, 1-19.

Reynolds C.S., Huszar V., Kruk C., Naselli-Flores L. & Melo S. (2002) Towards a functional classification of the freshwater phytoplankton. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **24**, 417-428.