



Miskolc Mathematical Notes
Vol. 13 (2012), No 1, pp. 121-126

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2012.470

The intersection of the compatible linear extensions of a natural partial order

Szilvia Szilágyi



THE INTERSECTION OF THE COMPATIBLE LINEAR EXTENSIONS OF A NATURAL PARTIAL ORDER

SZILVIA SZILÁGYI

Received 16 January, 2012

Abstract. For an acyclic function $f : A \rightarrow A$ we define a natural f -compatible partial order \hat{f} on A and determine the intersection of the f -compatible linear extensions of \hat{f} .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A06; 06F99.

Keywords: compatible partial order, compatible linear extension

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central problems in the theory of ordered algebras is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a compatible linear extension of r in a partially ordered algebraic structure (A, F, \leq_r) . If $F = \emptyset$, then Szpilrajn proved that any partial order r (\leq_r) on a set A can always be extended to a linear order R and any partial order is the intersection of its linear extensions (see [5]). In the present paper we consider a partial order r on the set A and an order endomorphism $f : A \rightarrow A$ with the natural compatibility property: $x \leq_r y$ implies $f(x) \leq_r f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Clearly, the pair (A, f) is a unary algebra and the above f -compatibility condition allows us to view the triple (A, f, \leq_r) as a partially ordered unary algebra. For $F = \{f\}$ ($f : A \rightarrow A$ is a unary operation) the above mentioned extension problem has been thoroughly investigated. Szigeti and Nagy proved that the partial order r of a unary algebra (A, f, \leq_r) has an f -compatible linear extension if and only if the function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is acyclic (see [3]). For an acyclic (A, f, \leq_r) the intersection of the f -compatible linear extensions of r is determined in [2]. For an arbitrary (A, f, \leq_r) the maximal f -compatible partial order extensions of r and the intersection are investigated in [1] and [4]. The aim of the present paper is to determine the intersection of the f -compatible linear extensions of \hat{f} , where the f -compatible partial order \hat{f} on A can be defined in a natural way starting from an acyclic function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (A, f) be a (mono-)unary algebra. A partial order r on A is called *f-compatible* if the function (unary operation) $f : A \rightarrow A$ is an order endomorphism with respect to r . We shall make use of the following notation

$$\mathcal{L}(A, f, \leq_r) = \{R \mid r \subseteq R \subseteq A \times A \text{ is an } f\text{-compatible linear order on } A\}.$$

The intersection

$$r_f = \mathbf{cl}(A, f, \leq_r) = \bigcap_{R \in \mathcal{L}(A, f, \leq_r)} R$$

is called the *closure* of r with respect to f . Indeed, the above definition gives a closure operator (with the monotone, idempotent and extensive properties) on the set of the f -compatible partial orders of A .

Definition 1 (see [3]). Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a set and $N \geq 0$ be an integer. A function $f : A \rightarrow A$ takes N steps on the element $x \in A$, if

$$x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots, f^N(x)$$

are different elements in A , and

$$f^{N+1}(x) = f^N(x)$$

(by convention $f^0(x) = x$). Take $N = \infty$ if

$$f^m(x) \neq f^n(x)$$

for all integers $0 \leq m < n$.

Definition 2 (see [3]). The function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is called *acyclic*, if for each element $x \in A$ there is an integer $0 \leq N = N(x) \leq \infty$ such that f takes $N = N(x)$ steps on x .

A partially ordered unary algebra (A, f, \leq_r) is called *acyclic*, if $f : A \rightarrow A$ is acyclic. It is easy to see that any linearly ordered unary algebra is acyclic. If (A, f, \leq_r) is acyclic, then r_f is an f -compatible partial order on A .

Lemma 1 (see [2]). Let (A, f, \leq_r) be an acyclic partially ordered unary algebra. If $a, b \in A$ and $a \leq_r f(a)$ or $f(a) \leq_r a$, then $(a, b) \in r_f$ implies that $a = b$ or $f^m(a) \leq_r f^m(b)$ and $f^m(a) \neq f^m(b)$ for some integer $m \geq 0$.

3. THE ACYCLIC PARTIALLY ORDERED UNARY ALGEBRA (A, f, \hat{f})

Let

$$\langle x \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$$

denote the f -orbit of x and define the following reflexive and transitive relation $\hat{f} \subseteq A \times A$ as follows:

$$x \hat{f} y \Leftrightarrow \langle x \rangle_f \subseteq \langle y \rangle_f.$$

Clearly, $\langle x \rangle_f \subseteq \langle y \rangle_f$ if and only if $x \in \langle y \rangle_f$, i.e. we can find an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $x = f^k(y)$.

Proposition 1. *If (A, f) is a unary algebra, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) f is an acyclic function.
- (2) \hat{f} is antisymmetric.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that $x \hat{f} y$ and $y \hat{f} x$ for $x, y \in A$. Then $\langle x \rangle_f = \langle y \rangle_f$ imply that $x = f^m(y)$ and $y = f^n(x)$ for some $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. Thus

$$x = f^m(f^n(x)) = f^{m+n}(x),$$

whence

$$x = f(x) = \dots = f^n(x) = \dots = f^{n+m}(x)$$

follows from the acyclic property of f . Obviously,

$$x = f^n(x) = y.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $f^m(x) = f^n(x)$ for some integers $0 \leq m < n$. Clearly, $\langle f^{m+1}(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(x) \rangle_f$ and $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^{m+1}(x) \rangle_f$ is a consequence of

$$f^m(x) = f^{n-m-1}(f^{m+1}(x)).$$

Thus we have

$$f^m(x) \hat{f} f^{m+1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{m+1}(x) \hat{f} f^m(x).$$

Since \hat{f} is antisymmetric, we get $f^m(x) = f^{m+1}(x)$ and

$$f^m(x) = f^{m+1}(x) = \dots = f^n(x).$$

□

Proposition 2. *Let (A, f) be an acyclic unary algebra. Then the partial order \hat{f} is f -compatible.*

Proof. If the function f is acyclic, then \hat{f} is a partial order by Proposition 1. If $x \hat{f} y$ for $x, y \in A$, then we can find an integer $m \geq 0$ such that

$$x = f^m(y),$$

whence $f(x) = f^m(f(y))$ and $f(x) \hat{f} f(y)$ follows. □

4. THE INTERSECTION

Proposition 3. *If (A, f) is an acyclic unary algebra and $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$ for $x, y \in A$, then there exists a unique $z \in A$ such that*

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f.$$

This element $z = x \Delta y$ is called the f -intersection of x and y .

Proof. Since $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$, we can define an integer n as follows:

$$n = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\}.$$

We claim that $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f$ for $z = f^n(x)$. Obviously,

$$\langle z \rangle_f \subseteq \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f.$$

On the other hand if $u \in \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f$ then $u = f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f$ for some $k \geq 0$. Thus $k \geq n$ and $u = f^{k-n}(f^n(x)) = f^{k-n}(z) \in \langle z \rangle_f$. The fact that there is only one $z \in A$ with

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f$$

is a consequence of Proposition 1. \square

Definition 3. Let (A, f) be an acyclic unary algebra. If $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$ for $x, y \in A$, then define the distance of x and y as follows:

$$\delta(x, y) = |(\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f) \cup (\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f)| = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f| + |\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f|.$$

We note that

$$\delta(x, y) = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f| + |\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f|.$$

immediately follows from

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f = \langle x \rangle_f \setminus (\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f) = \langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f$$

and

$$\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f = \langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f.$$

Proposition 4. If (A, f) is an acyclic unary algebra and $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$ for $x, y \in A$, then

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f| = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f| = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\} \leq N(x),$$

where the integer $N(x)$ is the number of f -steps on x .

Proof. If $n = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\}$, then we have

$$x \Delta y = f^n(x)$$

(see the proof of Proposition 3). We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 If $N(x) = \infty$, then $n < N(x)$ and

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f = \{f^k(x) \mid k \geq 0\} \setminus \{f^k(x) \mid k \geq n\}.$$

Thus

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f| = |\{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)\}| = n.$$

Case 2 If $N = N(x) < \infty$ and $N < n$, then

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^N(x)\} \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. Thus $n \leq N$ and

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^N(x)\} \setminus \{f^n(x), \dots, f^N(x)\},$$

i.e.

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f| = |\{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)\}| = n.$$

□

The next theorem gives a complete description of the intersection of the f -compatible linear extensions of the partial order \hat{f} .

Theorem 1. *If (A, f) is an acyclic unary algebra and $x, y \in A$, then:*

$$(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f \Leftrightarrow x = y, \text{ or } \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \delta(x, x \Delta y) < \delta(y, x \Delta y).$$

Proof. Since $\langle f(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle x \rangle_f$ (i.e. $f(x) \leq_{\hat{f}} x$) for all $x \in A$, the application of Lemma 1 gives that

$$(\hat{f})_f = \{(x, y) \in A \times A \mid (\exists m) 0 \leq m, f^m(x) \hat{f} f^m(y), f^m(x) \neq f^m(y)\} \cup \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}.$$

If $(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f$ and $x \neq y$, then $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(y) \rangle_f$ and $f^m(x) \neq f^m(y)$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Suppose that

$$k = \delta(x, x \Delta y) \geq \delta(y, x \Delta y) = l.$$

Since $f^m(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f$, we have $m \geq k$ (see Proposition 4). Now

$$f^m(x) = f^{m-k}(f^k(x)) = f^{m-k}(x \Delta y)$$

and

$$f^m(y) = f^{m-l}(f^l(y)) = f^{m-l}(x \Delta y)$$

imply that

$$f^m(y) = f^{k-l}(f^m(x)).$$

It follows that $\langle f^m(y) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(x) \rangle_f$. The antisymmetric property of \hat{f} gives that $f^m(x) = f^m(y)$, a contradiction.

If $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$ and

$$m = \delta(x, x \Delta y) < \delta(y, x \Delta y) = l,$$

then

$$f^m(x) = x \Delta y = f^l(y) = f^{l-m}(f^m(y))$$

ensures that $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(y) \rangle_f$. On the other hand, using Proposition 4,

$$m < l \leq N(y)$$

implies that

$$f^m(y) \neq f^l(y) = f^m(x).$$

Thus we have $(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f$. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was carried out as part of the TAMOP- 4.2.1.B-10/2/KONV-2010-0001 project with support from the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Foldes and J. Szigeti, “Maximal compatible extensions of partial orders,” *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 245–252, 2006.
- [2] J. Szigeti, “On the intersection of monotonicity preserving linear extensions,” *Acta Math. Hung.*, vol. 55, no. 1-2, pp. 161–163, 1990.
- [3] J. Szigeti and B. Nagy, “Linear extensions of partial orders preserving monotonicity,” *Order*, vol. 4, no. 1-3, pp. 31–35, 1987.
- [4] S. Szilágyi, “A characterization and the intersection of the maximal compatible extensions of a partial order,” *Order*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 321–333, 2008.
- [5] E. Szpilrajn, “Sur l’extension de l’ordre partiel,” *Fundamenta*, vol. 16, pp. 386–389, 1930.

Author’s address

Szilvia Szilágyi

University of Miskolc, Institute of Mathematics, Miskolc-Egyetemváros, 3515 Miskolc, Hungary

E-mail address: matszisz@uni-miskolc.hu